

Polar Codes for Conjugate Degradable Quantum Channels

Laszlo Gyongyosi

¹Quantum Technologies Laboratory, Department of Telecommunications
Budapest University of Technology and Economics

2 Magyar tudosok krt, H-1111, Budapest, Hungary

²Information Systems Research Group, Mathematics and Natural Sciences
Hungarian Academy of Sciences
H-1518, Budapest, Hungary

gyongyosi@hit.bme.hu

We investigate polar codes for quantum communication over a conjugate degradable channel. For a conjugate degradable channel, the environment state can be expressed from the channel output state up to a complex conjugation. We show that for a channel that is both degradable and conjugate degradable, the conjugate degradability property can be exploited and higher quantum data rates can be achieved in comparison to those of a degradable channel. We define an extended codeword set for the channel and give the achievable rates of quantum communication.

The definition of conjugate quantum channels was introduced by Bradler *et al.* [1]. They showed that for a conjugate degradable channel the complex conjugated environment state E' can be simulated from the channel output B . In their examples [1], the connection between environment states E and E' was a complex conjugation. We extend the possibilities into a more general set of conjugate degradable channels, where the connection between E and E' is not just a simple complex conjugation but a *conjugate degradation* map, and construct capacity achieving codes for these conjugate degradable channels. Currently, we have no result for the rates of quantum communication over a degradable and conjugate degradable channel that can be achieved by polar coding. The polar coding [4] has been already studied in the quantum setting, and results have been obtained on the rates of classical and quantum communication over degradable and non-degradable channels [6-8,12]. On the other hand, up to this date the possibilities of conjugate degradability are still unrevealed. Here we show that if a quantum channel is both degradable and has the conju-

gate degradability property, then polar codes can produce better performance in comparison to degradable channels. As we have found, the rate of quantum communication with polar codes can be exceeded in comparison to the currently known results on degradable channels [7-8]. By exploiting the conjugate degradability property, quantum communication will be possible in a regime nearer to the channel's quantum capacity [9-11] in comparison to polar codes that were developed for degradable channels. First, we define the revised codeword sets for a degradable and conjugate degradable channel, and then we give the rates of the quantum communication. In the Supplemental Material, we study the performance of the code over a degradable and conjugate degradable channel.

For a conjugate degradable channel, we use the following description (see Fig. 1.). The channel between Alice and Bob is denoted by \mathcal{N}_{AB} . The input and output of \mathcal{N}_{AB} are denoted by A and B . Since the channel is degradable, Bob can simulate the environment's channel $\mathcal{N}_{AE'}$

by applying the degrading map $\mathcal{D}^{B \rightarrow E'}$ on B , $\mathcal{N}_{AE'} = \mathcal{D}^{B \rightarrow E'} \circ \mathcal{N}_{AB}$. For the error-probabilities of a *degradable* channel \mathcal{N}_{AB} (i.e., a *degraded* or *non-degradable* $\mathcal{N}_{AE'}$), the relation $p_{AE'} \geq p_{AB}$ holds [1, 2-3, 5]. Since the channel is conjugate degradable, the output E of the channel between Alice and the environment, called the complementary channel \mathcal{N}_{AE} , can be used to simulate the *degraded* environment state E' . Applying the *conjugate degrading* map $\mathcal{C}^{E \rightarrow E'}$ (i.e., it is not a simple complex conjugation) on E , the result is E' . From the conjugate degradable property, an important corollary follows: the output E of the complementary channel \mathcal{N}_{AE} is not equivalent to the output E' of channel $\mathcal{N}_{AE'}$, where $\mathcal{N}_{AE'} = \mathcal{N}_{AE} \circ \mathcal{C}^{E \rightarrow E'}$. As we will show, the conjugate degradability property combined with the degradability can be exploited in the construction of polar codeword sets and will make it possible to exceed the currently known results [7-8] on the performance of polar codes for degradable channels.




FIG. 1. The conjugate degradable property. The environment state E is outputted by the complementary channel \mathcal{N}_{AE} . The degraded environment state will be referred to as E' , which is the output of channel $\mathcal{N}_{AE'} = \mathcal{N}_{AE} \circ \mathcal{C}^{E \rightarrow E'}$, where $\mathcal{C}^{E \rightarrow E'}$ is the conjugate degradation map. The environment state E' contains less valuable information than state E , which makes it possible to use a conjugate degradable channel with higher quantum communication rates in comparison to a degradable channel.

The polar coding scheme investigated for the degradable and conjugate degradable channel \mathcal{N} , follows the basic polar coding scheme of [8] for degradable channels. The encoding of quantum information will use the amplitude and phase information. The amplitude “good” and “bad” codewords are depicted by $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta)$, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta)$, and similarly for the phase encoding $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{phase}, \beta)$, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N}_{phase}, \beta)$. From these sets further polar codeword

sets can be constructed. The density matrices of the frozen bits $\varsigma_{A_1}, \dots, \varsigma_{A_{n-l}}$ belong to the set

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{phase}, \beta) \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta) \quad (1)$$

or

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta) \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N}_{phase}, \beta). \quad (2)$$

The frozen bits from the set of

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta) \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N}_{phase}, \beta) \quad (3)$$

require pre-shared entanglement. We extend the results on a degradable channel for a both degradable and conjugate degradable channel.

Theorem 1. *The frozen bits of the polar encoder \mathcal{E} for a both degradable and conjugate degradable channel do not require pre-shared entanglement.*

Proof. The proof trivially follows from the previously derived results in [8]. Since our channel \mathcal{N} is degraded, the rate of entanglement consumption between encoder \mathcal{E} and Bob’s decoder \mathcal{D} is zero [8], i.e., $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\mathcal{B}| = 0$. ■

Corollary 1. (On the net rate for a degradable and conjugate degradable channel). *Since for a degradable and conjugate degradable channel the rate of entanglement consumption is zero, the net rate will be equal to the rate of quantum communication $R_Q(\mathcal{N})$.*

Polar code sets for a conjugate degradable channel.

To derive the $R_Q(\mathcal{N})$ rate of quantum communication for a both degradable and conjugate degradable channel \mathcal{N} , we define two sets that are “good” for a both degradable and conjugate degradable channel but “bad” for a degradable channel, \mathcal{P}'_1 and \mathcal{P}'_2 as follows:

$$\mathcal{P}'_1 \subseteq \mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}'_2 \subseteq \mathcal{P}_2 \quad (4)$$

and the amplitude and phase frozen sets for a degradable and conjugate degradable channel as

$$\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}'_1, \mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}'_2, \quad (5)$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}_1 = (\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta) \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N}_{phase}, \beta))^{degr}, \quad (6)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_2 = (\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta) \cap \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{phase}, \beta))^{degr} \quad (7)$$

are the amplitude or phase frozen sets for a degradable channel, and $|S_{bad}| = n - (m + \Delta) = n - m - |\mathcal{P}_1'|$, where

$$\Delta = |\mathcal{P}_1| - |\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}_1'| = |\mathcal{P}_1'|, \quad (8)$$

along with

$$R_Q(\mathcal{N}_{AE}) - R_Q(\mathcal{N}_{AE'}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(|\mathcal{P}_1'| \right). \quad (9)$$

From the quantity of (8) trivially follows, that if $\mathcal{C}^{E \rightarrow E'}$ is equal to a complex conjugation \mathcal{C} , then $\Delta = 0$; for this case the performance of the code is equivalent to the codes that of degradable channels.

For a conjugate degradable channel with conjugate degrading map $\mathcal{C}^{E \rightarrow E'}$ it results in a new “good” set for a both degradable and conjugate degradable channel, denoted by $S_{in}^{conj,degr}$, and defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{in}^{conj,degr} &= S_{in}^{degr} \cup \mathcal{P}_1' \\ &= \left(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta) \cap \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{phase}, \beta) \right)^{conj,degr}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

with

$$|S_{in}^{conj,degr}| = |S_{in}^{degr}| + \Delta = m + \Delta, \quad (11)$$

where $m < m + \Delta$, and $|S_{in}^{conj,degr}| + |S_{bad}| = n = 2^k$, and k is the level of the polar structure [3]. In comparison to a degradable channel, for a both degradable and conjugate degradable channel, the density matrices of the frozen bits $\varsigma_{A_1}, \dots, \varsigma_{A_{n-l}}$ will be selected from the sets

$\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}_1'$ and $\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}_2'$, instead of \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_2 . The bad set for a degradable and conjugate degradable channel is defined as in the case of degradable channels $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta) \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N}_{phase}, \beta)$. Set \mathcal{B} will not be used as frozen bits, since the channel is degradable.

Theorem 2. (On the rates of polar coding for degradable and degradable and conjugate channels). *For the non-empty set \mathcal{P}_1' , $|\mathcal{P}_1'| > 0$, the R_Q rate of quantum communication for a both degradable and conjugate degradable channel with set $S_{in}^{conj,degr}$ is higher than the rate of quantum communication that can be obtained for a degradable channel with S_{in}^{degr} .*

Proof. The defined sets \mathcal{P}_1' and \mathcal{P}_2' for a both degradable and conjugate degradable channel are also disjoint [7-8,12], thus

$$|\mathcal{P}_1' \cup \mathcal{P}_2'| = |\mathcal{P}_1'| + |\mathcal{P}_2'|, \quad (12)$$

and since the elements of set \mathcal{P}_2 are not transmitted over the channel (Bob synthesizes \mathcal{P}_2 from the corresponding subset $\Omega_{\mathcal{P}_1}$ of \mathcal{P}_1 by using Hadamard operations in the decoding process):

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(|\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}_2'| \right) = 0 \quad (13)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\mathcal{P}_2'| = 0, \quad (14)$$

along with relations $|\left(\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}_2' \right) \cap \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta)| = 0$, $|\mathcal{P}_2' \cap \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta)| = 0$, $|\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta) \cap \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta)| = 0$, which follows from the fact that $\mathcal{P}_2' \subseteq \mathcal{P}_2$ and

$$\left(\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}_2' \right) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta), \quad (15)$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta) = [n] \setminus \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta) \quad (16)$$

and

$$\left| \left(\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}_2' \right) \cap \left(S_{in}^{conj,degr} \cup \mathcal{B} \right) \right| = 0, \quad (17)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_1' \cup \mathcal{P}_2' \subseteq \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta), \quad (18)$$

and

$$\left(\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}_1' \right) \cup \left(\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}_2' \right) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta), \quad (19)$$

see (13) and (14). For a conjugate degradable channel $\mathcal{P}_1' \subseteq \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta)$ and $\left(\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}_1' \right) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta)$, which demonstrates that the defined codewords sets \mathcal{P}_1' , \mathcal{P}_2' , $\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}_1'$ and $\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}_2'$ are pairwise disjoint, where

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left| \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta) \right| + \\ &\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left| [n] \setminus \left(\left(\mathcal{P}_1' \cup \mathcal{P}_2' \right) \cup \left(\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}_1' \right) \cup \left(\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}_2' \right) \right) \right| = 1, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta) \setminus \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta) \cap \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{phs}, \beta) \right| + \left| \left(\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}_2' \right) \right| \\ &+ \left| [n] \setminus \left(\left(\mathcal{P}_1' \cup \mathcal{P}_2' \right) \cup \left(\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}_1' \right) \cup \left(\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}_2' \right) \right) \right| \leq n \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

with

$$([n] \setminus (\mathcal{P}_1')) \subseteq (\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta) \cap \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{phase}, \beta))^{conj.degr} \cup ((\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}_1')). \quad (22)$$

Using the codeword construction defined for the conjugate degradable channel, the $R_Q(\mathcal{N})$ rate can be achieved over the channel \mathcal{N}_{AB} can be expressed as:

$$\begin{aligned} R_Q(\mathcal{N}) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(|S_{in}^{degr} \cup \mathcal{P}_1' \cup \mathcal{P}_2'| \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(|\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta) \cap \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{phs}, \beta)|^{degr} \cup \mathcal{P}_1' \cup \mathcal{P}_2' \right). \end{aligned}$$

Assuming $\beta < 0.5$, the following relation holds for the Holevo information of channel \mathcal{N}_{AB} and $\mathcal{N}_{AE'}$ of \mathcal{N} :

$$\chi(\mathcal{N}_{AB}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta) \cup \mathcal{P}_2'|, \quad (23)$$

$$\chi(\mathcal{N}_{AE}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} (|\mathcal{P}_1| + |\mathcal{P}_2|) \quad (24)$$

$$\chi(\mathcal{N}_{AE'}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} (|\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}_1'| + |\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}_2'|), \quad (25)$$

where $\chi(\mathcal{N}_{AB})$, $\chi(\mathcal{N}_{AE})$ and $\chi(\mathcal{N}_{AE'})$ are the Holevo information of the channels \mathcal{N}_{AB} , \mathcal{N}_{AE} and $\mathcal{N}_{AE'}$ of \mathcal{N} . Combing this result with (13) and (14), one will get

$$\chi(\mathcal{N}_{AE'}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} (|\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}_1'|) \quad (26)$$

and the rate of quantum communication is (*Note: no maximization and regularization needed in the first line of (27), since in terms of polar coding the quantum capacity is symmetric and it is additive for any degradable channel [1,5-6,8]*)

$$\begin{aligned} R_Q(\mathcal{N}) &= \chi(\mathcal{N}_{AB}) - \chi(\mathcal{N}_{AE'}) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} (|\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta)| - |\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}_1'|). \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

The result obtained in (27) can be rewritten as follows:

$$R_Q(\mathcal{N}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} (|S_{in}^{degr}| + |\mathcal{P}_1'|) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} (|S_{in}^{conj.degr}|). \quad (28)$$

According to the polar coding scheme, for the F fidelity parameter of set $\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}_1'$ transmitted over the synthesized channels \mathcal{N}_i :

$$\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}_1' = \left\{ i \in n : F(\mathcal{N}_i) < \frac{1}{n} 2^{-n^\beta} \right\}, \quad (29)$$

holds, where $\beta < 0.5$, and for $S_{in}^{conj.degr} \cup (\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}_2')$:

$$S_{in}^{conj.degr} \cup (\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}_2') = \left\{ i \in n : F(\mathcal{N}_i) \geq \frac{1}{n} 2^{-n^\beta} \right\}. \quad (30)$$

From the polar encoding scheme, it follows that

$$F(S_{in}^{conj.degr} \cup (\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}_2')) \leq \frac{1}{n} 2^{-n^\beta}, \quad (31)$$

and for the fidelity parameters of \mathcal{P}_1 :

$$F((\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}_1')) \geq 1 - \frac{1}{n} 2 \cdot 2^{-n^\beta}. \quad (32)$$

If (31) and (32) hold, then $(\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}_1') \cap \mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset$. Using the defined sets it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta) \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N}_{phase}, \beta)) \subseteq \\ &(S_{in}^{conj.degr} \cup (\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta) \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N}_{phase}, \beta))) = \emptyset. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

After some steps of reordering, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} &((\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}_1')) \cap (\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta) \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N}_{phase}, \beta)) \subseteq \\ &((\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}_1')) \cap \left(S_{in}^{conj.degr} \cup \left(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta) \cap \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{N}_{phase}, \beta) \right) \right) = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

From relations (29)-(32) we get:

$$(\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}_1') \cap (S_{in}^{conj.degr} \cup (\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}_2')) = \emptyset. \quad (34)$$

Since channel $\mathcal{N}_{AE'}$ is degraded [8],

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\mathcal{B}| = 0. \quad (35)$$

These results also mean that the codeword sets S_{in}^{degr} , $S_{in}^{conj.degr}$, $\mathcal{P}_1' \subseteq \mathcal{P}_1$, $\mathcal{P}_2' \subseteq \mathcal{P}_2$ and \mathcal{B} are disjoint sets with relation

$$\begin{aligned} &|S_{in}^{degr} \cup \mathcal{P}_1' \cup \mathcal{P}_2' \cup (\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}_1') \cup (\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}_2')| \\ &= |S_{in}^{conj.degr} \cup (\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}_1') \cup (\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}_2')| \\ &= |S_{in}^{conj.degr} \cup (\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}_1')|, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

hence for a conjugate degradable channel \mathcal{N} we get:

$$R_Q(\mathcal{N}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} (|S_{in}^{degr} \cup \mathcal{P}_1'|) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} (|S_{in}^{conj.degr}|).$$

It can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} R_Q(\mathcal{N}) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} (|S_{in}^{degr}| + |\mathcal{P}_1'|) = \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} (|\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta)| - |\mathcal{P}_1 \setminus \mathcal{P}_1'|) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} (|S_{in}^{conj.degr}|). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

The available codewords for quantum communication over a degradable and conjugate degradable channel \mathcal{N}_{AB} will be

$$|S_{in}^{conj.degr}| = |S_{in}^{degr}| + |\mathcal{P}_1'|, \quad (38)$$

which concludes our proof. These results show that for the non-empty set \mathcal{P}_1' , the results on rate of quantum communication $R_Q(\mathcal{N})$ for a degradable and conjugate degradable channel \mathcal{N} exceed the result obtained for a degradable channel with $|S_{in}^{degr}|$. *These results conclude the proof of Theorem 2.* ■

Summary of results. Since for a degradable channel \mathcal{N} , only set $S_{in}^{degr} = (\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta) \cap \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{phs}, \beta))^{degr}$ can be used for quantum communication, it will result in quantum data rate

$$\begin{aligned} R_Q(\mathcal{N}) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(|S_{in}^{degr}| \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left| \left(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta) \cap \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{phase}, \beta) \right)^{degr} \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

The codewords that can transmit amplitude information are depicted by $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta)$. The set that can transmit phase is denoted by $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{phs}, \beta)$. For a degradable channel \mathcal{N} only the set $|S_{in}^{degr}| = m$ can be used for quantum communication. For a degradable channel \mathcal{N} , valuable information can be leaked only from the set \mathcal{P}_1 [7-8] which results in $(S_{in}^{degr} \cup \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2) \setminus (\mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2)$, where $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\mathcal{P}_2| = 0$, i.e., $(S_{in}^{degr} \cup \mathcal{P}_1) \setminus (\mathcal{P}_1)$. The set \mathcal{P}_1 represents the information of channel \mathcal{N}_{AE} , between Alice and the environment. For these sets $R_Q(\mathcal{N}_{AE}) > 0$ and $R_Q(\mathcal{N}_{AB}) = 0$. As we have found, *this is not the case*, if the degradable channel is also conjugate degradable. While for a degradable channel, only the set S_{in}^{degr} can be used for quantum communication, the situation will change for a conjugate degradable channel, since this property causes changes in the information of complementary channel. In this case, the achievable codeword set for quantum communication is

$$\begin{aligned} S_{in}^{conj.degr} &= S_{in}^{degr} \cup \mathcal{P}_1' \cup \mathcal{P}_2' \\ &= (\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta) \cap \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{phs}, \beta))^{degr} \cup \mathcal{P}_1' \cup \mathcal{P}_2' \\ &= (\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{amp}, \beta) \cap \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{N}_{phs}, \beta))^{conj.degr}, \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where $\mathcal{P}_1' \subseteq \mathcal{P}_1$, $\mathcal{P}_2' \subseteq \mathcal{P}_2$ can be used for quantum communication, which results in quantum data rate

$$\begin{aligned} R_Q(\mathcal{N}) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(|S_{in}^{degr} \cup \mathcal{P}_1'| \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left(|S_{in}^{conj.degr}| \right), \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

since for a degradable channel $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\mathcal{P}_2| = 0$, i.e.,

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}_2'| = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\mathcal{P}_2'| = 0$ for a conjugate

degradable one. While for a degradable channel \mathcal{N} only the set $|S_{in}^{degr}| = m$ can be used for quantum communication, for a both degradable and conjugate degradable channel the extended set $S_{in}^{conj.degr} = S_{in}^{degr} \cup \mathcal{P}_1' \cup \mathcal{P}_2'$

with $|S_{in}^{conj.degr}| = |S_{in}^{degr}| + \Delta = m + \Delta$ will be available for quantum communication, where $\mathcal{P}_1' \subseteq \mathcal{P}_1$, $\mathcal{P}_2' \subseteq \mathcal{P}_2$,

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\mathcal{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{P}_2'| = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\mathcal{P}_2'| = 0$. The ex-

tended set will result in higher quantum communication rates in comparison to a degradable one. Since the channel \mathcal{N} is degradable and also conjugate degradable, the amount of information in the degraded environment state E' outputted by channel $\mathcal{N}_{AE'} = \mathcal{N}_{AE} \circ \mathcal{C}^{E \rightarrow E'}$ is less than in the environment state E , outputted by channel \mathcal{N}_{AE} . This property results in better quantum communication rates in comparison to a degradable channel that has no the conjugate degradability property.

Acknowledgements

The results discussed above are supported by the grant TAMOP-4.2.2.B-10/1--2010-0009 and COST Action MP1006.

References

- [1] K. Bradler, N. Dutil, P. Hayden, A. Muhammad, Conjugate degradability and the quantum capacity of cloning channels,

- [2] S. Imre, L. Gyongyosi: *Advanced Quantum Communications - An Engineering Approach*, Publisher: Wiley-IEEE Press (New Jersey, USA), John Wiley & Sons, Inc., The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers. (2012)
- [3] Hanzo, L.; Haas, H.; Imre, S.; O'Brien, D.; Rupp, M.; Gyongyosi, L.: Wireless Myths, Realities, and Futures: From 3G/4G to Optical and Quantum Wireless, *Proceedings of the IEEE*, Volume: 100, Issue: *Special Centennial Issue*, pp. 1853-1888.
- [4] E. Arikan. Channel polarization: A method for constructing capacity achieving codes for symmetric binary-input memoryless channels. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 55(7):3051–3073, July 2009. arXiv:0807.3917.
- [5] K. Bradler, P. Hayden, D. Touchette, and M. M. Wilde, Trade-off capacities of the quantum Hadamard channels, arXiv:1001.1732v2, (2010).
- [6] M. M. Wilde and S. Guha. Polar codes for classical-quantum channels. arXiv:1109.2591v1 [quant-ph], September 2011.
- [7] J. M. Renes, F. Dupuis, and R. Renner, Efficient Quantum Polar Coding, arXiv:1109.3195v1 [quant-ph] 14 Sep 2011
- [8] M. M. Wilde, J. Renes, “Quantum polar codes for arbitrary channels”, arXiv:1201.2906v1 [quant-ph] 13 Jan 2012.
- [9] S. Lloyd, “Capacity of the noisy quantum channel,” *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 55, pp. 1613–1622, (1997)
- [10] P. Shor, “The quantum channel capacity and coherent information.” lecture notes, MSRI Workshop on Quantum Computation (2002).
- [11] I. Devetak, “The private classical capacity and quantum capacity of a quantum channel,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 51, pp. 44–55, quant-ph/0304127, (2005).
- [12] M. M. Wilde, J. Renes, “Polar codes for private classical communication”, arXiv:1203.5794.

Supplemental Information

Performance of the Coding Scheme. To study the performance of the polar coding scheme over a degradable and conjugate degradable channel, we will use the $1 \rightarrow N$ cloning channel [1,8], where $\mathcal{C}^{E \rightarrow E'}$ is equal to a complex conjugation \mathcal{C} , and $\Delta = 0$. The p_{BER} values are calculated by the F_i fidelity parameters of the resulting 2^k logical $1 \rightarrow N$ cloning channels $\sum_{i=1}^{2^k} \mathcal{N}_i$ in the polarized k -level channel structure, assuming input block code length $n = 2^k$. The $F(\mathcal{N}_i)$ fidelity of the i -th logical $1 \rightarrow N$ cloning channel \mathcal{N}_i is $F(\mathcal{N}_i) \rightarrow 0$, if it belongs to the “good” logical channel set and

$F(\mathcal{N}_i) \rightarrow 1$ if it belongs to the “bad” (“bad” set: cannot transmit amplitude and phase simultaneously) logical channel set [3,7-8,12]. The lower bound of p_{BER} is given as $p_{BER} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{2^k} F(\mathcal{N}_i)^2} \right)$, where $F(\mathcal{N}_i)$ is the fidelity of the i -th logical $1 \rightarrow N$ cloning channel \mathcal{N}_i . Using a threshold parameter $0 < \eta < 1$ on the $F(\mathcal{N}_i)$ fidelities of the 2^k logical $1 \rightarrow N$ cloning channels, the set of analyzed channels can be restricted to the set $\mathcal{A}(\eta) \equiv \{i : F(\mathcal{N}_i) \leq \eta\}$. Set $\mathcal{A}(\eta)$ will contain only those $1 \rightarrow N$ cloning channels for which $F(\mathcal{N}_i) \leq \eta$. Using the restricted set $\mathcal{A}(\eta)$ of channels, the upper bound on p_{BER} can be evaluated as $p_{BER} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \sqrt{\sum_{\mathcal{A}(\eta)} F(\mathcal{N}_i)^2} \right)$, where $F(\mathcal{N}_i)$ is the fidelity of the i -th $1 \rightarrow N$ cloning channel \mathcal{N}_i from set $\mathcal{A}(\eta)$. An equality on the p_{BER} block error probability also follows from Arikan’s results [3], the encoded state ρ_{u_i} in the given sequence $\rho_{u_1}^{n_k-1} \rho_{u_{n_k}}$, by using projectors $\Lambda_{(i)}^{F^{2^k}} : \Lambda_{(1),u_1}^{F^{2^k}}, \dots, \Lambda_{(2^k),u_1^{2^k-1}u_{2^k}}^{F^{2^k}}$, the equality follows for the block error probability p_{BER} :

$$p_{BER} = \sum_{u_1^{i-1}} \frac{1}{2^{i-1}} \sum_{u_i} \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left(\left(I - \Lambda_{(i),u_1^{i-1}u_i}^{F^{2^k}} \right) \sum_{u_{i+1}^{2^k}} \frac{1}{2^{2^k-1}} \rho_{u_{2^k}} \right),$$

where I is the identity operator.

The upper bounds on block error probabilities for different values of k for a cloning channel $1 \rightarrow N$ for $N = 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 12$ and 24 , assuming maximally entangled inputs, are depicted in Fig. S.1. The channel exploits the conjugate degradability property which makes possible to use high $R_Q(\mathcal{N})$ rates at low encoding complexities and moderate block error probabilities. Assuming low BERs, $p_{BER} \leq 10^{-4}$ for a practical communication system, the rate of quantum communication $R_Q(\mathcal{N})$ of a $1 \rightarrow N$ cloning channel \mathcal{N} can be nearly reached for moderate encoding complexity $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ with $n = 2^{10}$, and $k = 10$.




FIG. S.1. Block error probabilities and rate of quantum communication of a $1 \rightarrow N$ cloning channel for $N = 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 12$ and 24 , assuming maximally entangled input. The number of channel uses were chosen to $n = 2^5, 2^{10}, 2^{15}$ and 2^{20} . The x -axis represents the $R_Q(N)$ rate of quantum communication measured in qubits per channel use. The y -axis depicts the block error probabilities. Next to each dashed curve, we also show the N to which the corresponding block error probability holds. Assuming low BER with $p_{BER} \leq 10^{-4}$ and $k = 10$, high performance code can be generated with low time complexity $\mathcal{O}(2^{10} \log 2^{10})$.

If capacity-achieving code needed, then for $k \geq 20$ the rate $R_Q(\mathcal{N})$ will be near to its quantum capacity, i.e., $R_Q(\mathcal{N}) \approx Q(\mathcal{N})$. Below this level of k , only lower performances can be achieved by the polar encoding scheme, i.e., $R_Q(\mathcal{N}) < Q(\mathcal{N})$, which makes no possible to use an $1 \rightarrow N$ cloning channel for quantum communication in a regime near to its quantum capacity $Q(\mathcal{N})$. For moderate k values, the encoding can be done in time $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$. As we have found, for low encoding time complexity $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ with $n = 2^{10}$, and $k=10$, high rates can be achieved with $p_{BER} \leq 10^{-4}$,

which makes possible to use the code with high efficiency over a both degradable and conjugate degradable channel.