PRECIPITATION AND FLOODING IN SOUTHERN ARIZONA: THE LEGACY OF TROPICAL STORM HEATHER, OCTOBER, 1977

by

Anthony J. Brazel Department of Geography, Arizona State University Tempe, Arizona 85281


and


Sandra W. Brazel Office of the State Climatologist Tempe, Arizona 85281

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the worst floods in Arizona's history took place in October of 1977 in southern Arizona (Perfrement and Wood, 1978). The Santa Cruz and San Pedro Rivers (see Figure 1), draining to the north from the southern border region of Arizona, produced extensive flooding of many small towns and thousands of acres of valuable agricultural land. The massive flooding followed recordsetting rainfall intensities in southern Arizona during October 3rd through 9th, when tropical storm Heather, situated near Baja California, spread moisture over much of the southwestern U.S. The hardest hit community was Nogales, Arizona, with a staggering total of 8.30 inches of rain from the storm (unofficial reports indicate that 12.0 inches fell in some areas of the city). The very intense rainfall was confined to a small zone near the southeastern Arizona/Mexico region.

The largest city affected by the flood waters wa Tucson, Arizona, which suffered \$3 million damage - mostly to roads and utilities. Mr. Brian M Reich, city of Tucson Floodplain Engineer, wa quoted as saying, "It does not take much imagination to envision how much worse it would have been in Tucson if the storm which produced the flood had been concentrated nearer the cit





rather than in the area of the border with Mexico" (Arizona Water Resources News Bulletin, 1977).

The Santa Cruz River passing by Tucson peaked at 22,000 cubic feet per second (cfs) which was equivalent to a 50-year flood. However, to the south of Tucson, small communities probably would find little solace in Mr. Reich's statements. The flooding was extremely severe along the Santa Cruz and San Pedro Rivers. At Nogales, the Santa Cruz rose 3 feet in 15 minutes during the height of the storm and crested at 4:45 p.m. on the 9th of October. Near Nogales, peak flow was 33,500 cfs - larger than a 100-year flood value for this location. The Santa Cruz stayed in its banks past Tucson, but widened out and flooded Marana at 12:00 a.m. on October 12th. In the meantime, the San Pedro River also flooded; it crested at 8 feet at Winkelman at 10:30 p.m. on October 10th. Discharge could not be measured, since the gage was washed out. The Gila River crested at 10:30 a.m. on October 11th at Kelvin, measuring 37,000 cfs. The San Pedro River acted as a dam and assisted in cresting the Gila. Its usual flow past this point is only 100 cfs! At Kearney, the Gila River rose 25 inches in 12 hours on the 10th.

	TABLE 1	
	Damages Due to Heat	her
	Cost	
Repairs fo county, Tu facilities	\$ 1,300,000	
150 farms	8,800,000	
160 reside	290,000	
3 business	300,000	
	& Electric Co. and acific Transportation	700,000
Road damag	2,300,000	
Public uti	362,000	
Golf cours	300,000	
Water cont	69,500	
Debris cle	69,500	
Agricultur	5,700,000	
Agricultur lettuce, g	al crop (cotton, rains)	2,300,000
Livestock,	No Figure	
Total Dama	\$13,400,000	
rel sta dam mil dam	ernor Bolin requested \$11 ief and Bolin set aside \$ te emergency funds for th age to roads and utilites lion. In Santa Cruz cour age was \$4.7 million757 ps and farmland.	\$300,000 from ne flood. Tucson s was \$2.7 nty and Nogales,




Figure 2. Path of tropical storm Heather, October, 1977. The numbers correspond to the date that Heather was at that position. Solid line represents hurricane stage.

Estimated damage from the storm was \$13.4 million, considerably less than the \$150 million reported from tropical cyclone Kathleen (10-12 September, 1976) which caused heavy damage to the state of California (Fors, 1977). Table 1 shows a listing of damage as reported by the *Arizona Republic*.

This paper presents an overview of events that produced the localized heavy rainfall that affected southeast Arizona.

2. MOVEMENTS OF THE STORM

Figure 2 depicts the position of tropical storm Heather and its classification from October 3rd to the 9th. The storm was spawned near 10°N latitude and 104°W longitude and moved in a curved path west and north toward Baja California. Heather's rate of movement, from its origin to its final resting place, averaged 13.7 knots - some 3.4 knots higher than the median speed reported by Hansen (1972) for a sample of storms during 1965-1971. Maximum movement occurred during the hurricane stage of the storm on the 4th, 5th, and 6th of October - averaging 21.7 knots. However, this storm was much slower moving than tropical storm Kathleen (September, 1976) whose rate of movement set a record with a speed greater than 30 knots (Fors, 1977).

Tropospheric shearing winds and general westerly flow north of 32° N latitude tended to confine northward movement of the storm and caused it to stagnate near the Pacific island of Cedros, some 350 miles south of San Diego, California. Satellite photos (Figures 3, 4, and 5) show the position of the storm on the 6th and 9th of October.



Figure 3. Position of tropical storm Heather at 1815 GMT, Oct. 6, 1977.

As hurricanes go, Heather came relatively late in the eastern Pacific hurricane season (Rosendal, 1963). The probability of a tropical storm reaching within 300 miles of San Diego in October is only once every 25 years (Eidemiller, 1978). Even though this probability is quite low, tropical storms can push as far north as 30^oN latitude at




Figure 4. Dissipation of tropical storm Heather at 1815 GMT, Oct. 9, 1977.

this time of year, if there is an extensive low pressure trough over the western United States. This was the case during this period. In addition, a mid-latitude cyclone developed in the western U.S. (see Figure 5). This system played a significant role in the production of unusually heavy precipitation and also in its distribution over the western U.S.

3. TROPICAL STORM HEATHER PRECIP-ITATION

There is no particularly unusual aspect to the movement of Heather, although it should be footnoted that not many storms are generated at this time of year. Perhaps the most unusual aspect is the unique characteristic of Heather's moisture release. Precipitation from the storm occurred during the period October 3rd to 9th, a 7-day period. October 6th and 9th were selected (Figures 6 and 7) to illustrate an important aspect of the precipitation distribution. Also, Figure 8 shows the storm total precipitation for the western U.S. Many western states received precipitation during this period, but it should be noted that extreme southern Arizona received by far the heaviest amount of precipitation during the storm. Many locations in southern Arizona recorded over 5 inches in a 3-day period (see Table 2).

In order to understand the reasons for this extremely intense rainfall in such a small confined




Figure 5. 1515 GMT, Oct. 9, 1977 photo showing the mid-latitude cyclone with accompanying cold front reaching across southern Arizona.

STORM RAINFALL TOTALS FOR ARIZONA STATIONS (in inches, taken from the 0500 MST data log)

Station	<u>3rd</u>	<u>4th</u>	<u>5th</u>	<u>6th</u>	<u>7th</u>	8th	<u>9th</u>	Total
Alpine	0	.20	т	.35	. 37	М	M	.92
Bisbee	0	0	0	0	2.00	1.52	1.65	5.17
Buckeye	0	0	0	.75	.08	0	0	.83
Canyon de Chelly	.05	0	.15	.35	0	0	0	.55
Coolidge	0	0	0	.31	0	0	0	. 31
Cottonwood	0	0	1.45	.14	0	0	0	1.59
Douglas	0	0	0	.61	1.12	1.28	2.02	5.03
Flagstaff	0	0	.49	.49	0	0	0	.98
Flagstaff Airport	0	0	.73	.2.3	0	0	0	1.01
Ft. Huachuca	Т	0	0	2.05	1.18	1.32	.95	5.50
Gila Bend	0	0	0	.13	.23	0	0	.36
Globe	0	0	Т	1.20	0	0	0	1.20
Grand Canyon	0	0	.16	0	0	0	0	.16
Greer	.41	.16	.01	.67	.35	.02	0	1.62
Hawley Lake	0	.37	.11	2.28	.12	`0	0	2.88
Heber	0	0	.02	1.38	Т	Т	0	1.40
Kingman	0	0	.07	0	0	0	0	.07
Lake Havasu	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	.00
McNary	0	Т	.36	1.64	.03	0	0	2.03
Nogales	Т	0	0	2.20	2.26	2.59	.85	8.30
Organ Pipe Cactus	0	Т	.02	.42	.72	.02	0	1.18
Page	0	0	.03	.03	0	0	0	,06
Parker	0	0	.47	М	0	0	0	.47
Payson	0	0	0	.58	.05	0	0	.63
Phoenix	0	0	.21	.15	0	0	0	.36
Pinetop	0	Т	Т	1.40	.31	0	0	1.71
Prescott Airport	0	0	.97	.40	0	0	0	1.37
Prescott City	0	0	1,25	.10	.0	0	0	1.35
Safford Exp. Sta.	0	0	.01	.64	Т	Т	.06	.71
Sedona	0	0	1.79	.44	0	0	0	2.23
Show Low City	0	0	.67	1.10	0	0	0	1.77
Springerville	Т	Т	Т	.51	М	0	М	.51
Tucson	0	0	0	1.83	. 39	0	.06	2.28
Walnut Canyon	0	0	.88	.87	0	0	0	1.75
Williams	0	0	.83	1.17	0	0	0	2.00
Winslow	0	0	.07	.56	0	0	0	.63
Youngtown	0	0	0	. 36	.06	0	0	.42
Yuma	0	0	T	.24	0	0	0	.24
Blythe, California	0	0	.01	.02	0	0	0	.03
Needles, California	0	0	Т	0	0	0	0	Т
Las Vegas, Nevada	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

T-Trace

M-Missing

5

zone, it is helpful to analyze the stability, precipitable water, and synoptic maps during this time (Figures 9, 10, and 11). The October 6th and 9th stability and precipitable water maps and the October 7th and 8th 0500 MST synoptic maps are shown.




Figure 6. 24-hour precipitation (in inches) ending 0500 MST, Oct. 6, 1977.



Figure 7. 24-hour precipitation (in inches) ending 0500 MST, Oct. 9, 1977.

As seen on the satellite photo of October 6th, the storm was located slightly to the southwest of central Baja with extensive cloud masses developed to the north and east of the storm center. Most of Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico, and Utah, and parts of southern Idaho, Wyoming, and eastern Nevada experienced overcast skies and



Figure 8. Total precipitation (in inches), Oct. 3 - Oct. 9, 1977.

rainfall (see Figure 6). The stability map (Figure 9) illustrates the wide, extensive distribution of moist, unstable air to the north and east of the center of the storm. Precipitable water vapor on the 6th of October (Figure 10) exceeded 1 inch over an extensive region from central California as far east as Mississippi and parallelled the borders of Arizona, New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Arkansas. Precipitation in Arizona at this time, as shown in Figure 12, was widespread, with highest values in the eastern mountains of Arizona and the extreme southeast portion of the state. (Most places in the state did receive some precipitation,)

The storm dissipated on the 9th of October, but intense rainfall was still experienced in an eastwest band from the southeastern Arizona border region across extreme southern New Mexico and into Texas (Figure 7). The most intense rainfall occurred on the Arizona-New Mexico border (see Figure 12). In Figure 9, note the extremely localized region of unstable air across southern Arizona, southwest New Mexico, and northern Sonora, Mexico on October 9th. Coincidentally, there was a localized precipitable water distribution (see Figure 10). The key to understanding this distribution is the shunting effect produced by a mid-latitude cyclone cold frontal passage on the 7th and 8th of October across the southwest desert region (Figure 11). The cold front tended to shear off the northeast injection of moisture from Heather and confined the moisture to the U.S./Mexico border region. Thus, although rainfall from the storm diminished on a regional scale, southern Arizona continued to suffer from the flooding that was produced by heavy rainfall through the 9th of October.