

## ON TWO LATTICE POINTS PROBLEMS ABOUT THE PARABOLA

JING-JING HUANG AND HUIXI LI

ABSTRACT. We obtain asymptotic formulae with optimal error terms for the number of lattice points under and near a dilation of the standard parabola, the former improving upon an old result of Popov. These results can be regarded as achieving the square root cancellation in the context of the parabola, whereas its analogues are wide open conjectures for the circle and the hyperbola. We also obtain essentially sharp upper bounds for the latter lattice points problem. Our proofs utilize techniques in Fourier analysis, quadratic Gauss sums and character sums.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The Gauss circle problem is one of the celebrated open questions in number theory, which asks for the best possible error term when approximating the number of lattice points inside a dilating circle centered at the origin with its area. More precisely, it is conjectured that for  $a \geq 1$

$$\sum_{0 \leq x \leq a} \left\lfloor \sqrt{a^2 - x^2} \right\rfloor = \frac{\pi}{4} a^2 + O_\varepsilon \left( a^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} \right). \quad (1)$$

A concomitant conjecture for the hyperbola states that

$$\sum_{x \leq a^2} \left\lfloor \frac{a^2}{x} \right\rfloor = 2a^2 \log a + (2\gamma - 1)a^2 + O_\varepsilon \left( a^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon} \right), \quad (2)$$

which, after normalization, is of course equivalent to the Dirichlet's divisor problem

$$\sum_{n \leq a} d(n) = a \log a + (2\gamma - 1)a + O_\varepsilon(a^{\frac{1}{4} + \varepsilon}).$$

We note that the expressions on the left sides of (1) and (2) represent the number of lattice points in the first quadrant that are under the dilation of the circle  $x^2 + y^2 = 1$  and the hyperbola  $xy = 1$  via the transformation  $(x, y) \rightarrow (\frac{x}{a}, \frac{y}{a})$ , which are  $x^2 + y^2 = a^2$  and  $xy = a^2$ , respectively.

In spite of the very rich literature on the above problems, the conjectures remain well out of reach with the current technology. We only mention that the best known errors for the conjectures (1) and (2) are both  $O(a^{\frac{131}{208}})$ , due to Huxley [9, 10].

As there are only three types of conics, the ellipse, parabola and hyperbola, one may as well ask the same question for the parabola. Indeed, Popov [15] obtained in 1975 the first result in this regard. Note that the dilation of the standard parabola  $y = x^2$  under the transformation  $(x, y) \rightarrow (\frac{x}{a}, \frac{y}{a})$  is  $y = \frac{x^2}{a}$ . Popov's result states that for a large real number  $a$  and a positive integer  $b \ll a$ ,

$$\sum_{x \leq b} \left\lfloor \frac{x^2}{a} \right\rfloor = \sum_{x \leq b} \left( \frac{x^2}{a} - \frac{1}{2} \right) + O \left( a^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{c}{\log \log a}} \right), \quad (3)$$

where  $c$  is a positive constant independent of  $a$ . Clearly the number of lattice points  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  in the region  $0 < x \leq b$ ,  $0 < y \leq \frac{x^2}{a}$  is equal to  $\sum_{x \leq b} \left\lfloor \frac{x^2}{a} \right\rfloor$ .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11J25, Secondary 11P25.

*Key words and phrases.* lattice points, character sums, rational points near the parabola.

The first named author is supported by the UNR VPRI startup grant 1201-121-2479.

As Popov points out, the exponent  $1/2$  in the error term of (3) is best possible in general. Nevertheless, our Theorem 1 shows that (3) is subject to further improvement when  $a$  is an integer.

**Theorem 1.** *For any positive integers  $a, b$  with  $a \geq 3$ , we have*

$$\sum_{x \leq b} \left\lfloor \frac{x^2}{a} \right\rfloor = \sum_{x \leq b} \left( \frac{x^2}{a} - \frac{1}{2} \right) + O \left( \sqrt{a} \log a + ba^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2+o(1)}{\sqrt{\log a \log \log a}}} \right).$$

Note that the error term in Theorem 1 is better than that of Popov's result (3) when  $b \ll a$ .

A problem of the similar flavor is to estimate the number of lattice points close to the parabola. Let

$$A(a, b, \delta) = \sum_{\substack{x \leq b \\ \left\| \frac{x^2}{a} \right\| \leq \delta}} 1.$$

The function  $A(a, b, \delta)$  naturally counts the number of rational points  $(\frac{x}{a}, \frac{y}{a})$  with  $x \leq b$  that are lying close to  $\mathcal{P} : y = x^2, x \in (0, 1]$ , or equivalently the number of lattice points  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  with  $x \leq b$  close to  $a\mathcal{P} : y = \frac{x^2}{a}, x \in [1, a]$ . Furthermore, if  $\delta < 1/a$ , then all such points counted by  $A(a, b, \delta)$  must be forced to lie on the curve, namely  $A(a, b, \delta) = A(a, b, 0)$  for  $\delta \in (0, 1/a)$ . We obtain the following essentially optimal estimate of  $A(a, b, \delta)$ .

**Theorem 2.** *For any positive integers  $a, b$  with  $a \geq 3$  and  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , we have*

$$A(a, b, \delta) = 2\delta b + O \left( \sqrt{a} \log a + ba^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2+o(1)}{\sqrt{\log a \log \log a}}} \right).$$

When  $b \asymp a$ , Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 can be regarded as achieving the square root cancellation for the two lattice points problems on the parabola, which are best possible in general. Nonetheless, if we are only concerned with upper bounds, better results are available, which, in some cases, can even beat the square root cancellation. Denote

$$A(a, \delta) = A(a, a, \delta) = \sum_{\substack{x \leq a \\ \left\| \frac{x^2}{a} \right\| \leq \delta}} 1.$$

The first author recently proved the following result<sup>1</sup>.

**Theorem 3** ([6, Theorem 4]). *Let  $a$  be a positive integer,  $r$  be the largest integer such that  $r^2 | a$  and  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ . Then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have*

$$A(a, \delta) \ll \delta a + \sigma(r) + \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} a^{\frac{11}{16} + \epsilon},$$

where the implied constant only depends on  $\epsilon$ .

Here  $\sigma(r) = \sum_{d|r} d$ . It is well known that  $\sigma(r) \ll r \log \log 3r$ , see [16, §I.5.5 Theorem 5]. Theorem 3 has been used to solve some questions regarding metric Diophantine approximation on the parabola [6]. Assuming lattice points are randomly distributed in the  $\delta$  neighborhood of the dilation  $a\mathcal{P}$ , we expect roughly  $2\delta a$  such points. Also, let  $a = r^2 s$  with  $s$  squarefree, then we observe that there are exactly  $r$  lattice points lying on  $a\mathcal{P}$ , namely  $(rsl, sl^2)$ ,  $l = 1, 2, \dots, r$ . So even with  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , the upper bound in Theorem 3 cannot be less than  $r$  since those  $r$  lattice points on  $a\mathcal{P}$  are always counted by  $A(a, \delta)$  for any  $\delta > 0$ . Naturally, the second term  $\sigma(r)$  accounts for this phenomenon, and indeed it is not very far from  $r$  as noted above. Therefore neither of these two terms can be dispensable, nor can they be improved much. However, the third term is most likely not optimal and should be susceptible to further improvement. It is easily seen that the term  $\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} a^{\frac{11}{16} + \epsilon}$  is less than the heuristic main term  $\delta a$  only when  $\delta \geq a^{-\frac{5}{8} + \epsilon}$ , for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . We are able to improve the bound in Theorem 3 when  $\delta \ll a^{-\frac{5}{8}}$ . In fact, our Theorem 4 below is sharp up to an  $a^\epsilon$  loss in the main term.

<sup>1</sup>The result there has  $r^{1+\epsilon}$  instead of  $\sigma(r)$  as quoted here. But it is straightforward to deduce the latter from the proof of [6].

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $a$  be a positive integer,  $r$  be the largest integer such that  $r^2|a$  and  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ . Then*

$$A(a, \delta) \ll \delta a(\log a)d(a) + \sigma(r).$$

Here  $d(a) = \sum_{d|a} 1$  is the divisor function. It is well known that  $d(a) \leq a^{(1+o(1))\frac{\log 2}{\log \log a}} \ll_\varepsilon a^\varepsilon$ , see [16, §I.5.2 Theorem 2].

It is worth noting that the core of the proofs of Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 utilize estimates of character sums, after applying some elementary Fourier analysis and classical results about Gauss sums. The difference is that Burgess's bound [3] is used for Theorem 3 while the Pólya-Vinogradov inequality (Lemma 3) is used for Theorem 4, when estimating such character sums. Since the latter can be improved under the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, we also have the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.** *Under the assumption of the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis and the same condition of Theorem 4, we have*

$$A(a, \delta) \ll \delta a(\log \log 3a)d(a) + \sigma(r).$$

We only remark in passing that the problem of obtaining upper and lower bounds for the number of lattice/rational points near a manifold has become a very active area of research, see [1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 17] and the references therein for the background and recent progress.

Throughout the paper, we will use the notation  $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$ ,  $\|x\| = \min_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |x - n|$ ,  $\{x\} = x - \lfloor x \rfloor$  and Vinogradov's symbol  $f(x) \ll g(x)$  and Landau's symbol  $f(x) = O(g(x))$  to mean there exists a constant  $C$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq Cg(x)$ .

## 2. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We start by observing that, in view of the orthogonality of additive characters

$$\frac{1}{a} \sum_{h \bmod a} e\left(\frac{hd}{a}\right) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a \mid d, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \leq b} \left\{ \frac{x^2}{a} \right\} &= \sum_{x \leq b} \sum_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq a-1 \\ x^2 \equiv j \bmod a}} \frac{j}{a} \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq j \leq a-1} \sum_{x \leq b} \sum_{h \bmod a} \frac{1}{a} e\left(h \frac{x^2 - j}{a}\right) \frac{j}{a} \\ &= \frac{1}{a^2} \sum_{h \bmod a} \sum_{0 \leq j \leq a-1} j e\left(-\frac{hj}{a}\right) \sum_{x \leq b} e\left(h \frac{x^2}{a}\right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows by an elementary calculation that

$$\sum_{0 \leq j \leq a-1} j e\left(-\frac{hj}{a}\right) = \begin{cases} \frac{-a}{1 - e\left(-\frac{h}{a}\right)}, & h \neq 0, \\ \frac{(a-1)a}{2}, & h = 0. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{x \leq b} \left\{ \frac{x^2}{a} \right\} = \frac{1}{a^2} \frac{(a-1)a}{2} b + \frac{1}{a} \sum_{1 \leq h \leq a-1} \frac{-1}{1 - e\left(-\frac{h}{a}\right)} S(h, a, b), \quad (4)$$

where  $S(h, a, b) = \sum_{x \leq b} e\left(\frac{hx^2}{a}\right)$  is an incomplete Gauss sum.

Note that

$$\left| \frac{1}{1 - e\left(-\frac{h}{a}\right)} \right| = \frac{1}{2 \sin\left(\frac{h}{a}\pi\right)} \leq \frac{1}{4\left\|\frac{h}{a}\right\|}.$$

Now we are poised to estimate the Gauss sum  $S(h, a, b)$ . To that end, we quote the following result of Korolev.

**Lemma 1** ([12, Corollary, Page 53]). *Let  $a, b$  and  $h$  be integers such that  $1 \leq b \leq a$  and  $(a, h) = 1$ . Then*

$$\left| \sum_{x=1}^b e\left(\frac{hx^2}{a}\right) \right| < 3.9071\sqrt{a}.$$

It then follows immediately, by dissecting the range  $[1, b]$  into blocks of length  $a/(a, h)$  if necessary, that

$$\begin{aligned} S(h, a, b) &= \sum_{x \leq b} e\left(\frac{hx^2/(a, h)}{a/(a, h)}\right) \\ &\ll \left(\frac{b}{a/(a, h)} + 1\right) \sqrt{\frac{a}{(a, h)}} \\ &\ll \frac{b}{\sqrt{a}} \sqrt{(a, h)} + \sqrt{\frac{a}{(a, h)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hitherto it remains to estimate the sum

$$\sum_{1 \leq h \leq a-1} \frac{-1}{1 - e(-\frac{h}{a})} S(h, a, b) \ll \sum_{1 \leq h \leq a-1} \frac{1}{\|\frac{h}{a}\|} \left( \frac{b}{\sqrt{a}} \sqrt{(a, h)} + \sqrt{\frac{a}{(a, h)}} \right).$$

We may split the latter sum into two sums  $\sum_{1 \leq h < a/2}$  and  $\sum_{a/2 \leq h < a}$ , and will only treat the first case and note that the second is analogous. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{h < a/2} \frac{1}{\|\frac{h}{a}\|} \left( \frac{b}{\sqrt{a}} \sqrt{(a, h)} + \sqrt{\frac{a}{(a, h)}} \right) \\ &= \sum_{d|a} \sum_{\substack{k < \frac{a}{2d} \\ (k, a/d)=1}} \frac{a}{kd} \left( \frac{b}{\sqrt{a}} \sqrt{d} + \sqrt{\frac{a}{d}} \right) \\ &\ll a \log a \left( \frac{b}{\sqrt{a}} \sum_{d|a} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} + \sqrt{a} \right) \\ &\ll a \left( \sqrt{a} \log a + ba^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2+o(1)}{\sqrt{\log a} \log \log a}} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where in the last line the following bounds

$$\sigma_{-\frac{1}{2}}(a) = \sum_{d|a} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \leq \exp \left( \frac{(2+o(1))\sqrt{\log a}}{\log \log a} \right)$$

and

$$\log a = \exp(\log \log a) \ll \exp \left( o \left( \frac{\sqrt{\log a}}{\log \log a} \right) \right)$$

are used. For the former bound, see [16, §I.5.5 Theorem 5].

Therefore, we obtain from (4) that

$$\sum_{x \leq b} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \left\{ \frac{x^2}{a} \right\} \right) = O \left( \sqrt{a} \log a + ba^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2+o(1)}{\sqrt{\log a} \log \log a}} \right),$$

and Theorem 1 follows immediately on noting that

$$\sum_{x \leq b} \left\lfloor \frac{x^2}{a} \right\rfloor = \sum_{x \leq b} \left( \frac{x^2}{a} - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \sum_{x \leq b} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \left\{ \frac{x^2}{a} \right\} \right).$$

### 3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Let  $J = \lfloor \delta a \rfloor$ . Our goal is to count the number of integers  $x \leq b$  such that  $\left\| \frac{x^2}{a} \right\| \leq \delta$ . Note that  $\left\| \frac{x^2}{a} \right\| \leq \delta$  if and only if there exists an integer  $k$ , such that

$$k - \delta \leq \frac{x^2}{a} \leq k + \delta,$$

i.e.

$$ka - \delta a \leq x^2 \leq ka + \delta a,$$

which happens if and only if  $x^2 \equiv j \pmod{a}$  for some  $|j| \leq J$ . Therefore, by the orthogonality of additive characters, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(a, b, \delta) &= \sum_{\substack{x \leq b \\ \left\| \frac{x^2}{a} \right\| \leq \delta}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{|j| \leq J} \sum_{\substack{x \leq b \\ x^2 \equiv j \pmod{a}}} 1 \\ &= \sum_{|j| \leq J} \sum_{x \leq b} \frac{1}{a} \sum_{h \pmod{a}} e\left(h \frac{x^2 - j}{a}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{a} \sum_{h \pmod{a}} \sum_{|j| \leq J} e\left(-\frac{hj}{a}\right) \sum_{x \leq b} e\left(\frac{hx^2}{a}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The term  $h = 0$  contributes  $(2J + 1)\frac{b}{a}$  in the sum. When  $h \neq 0$ , since

$$\sum_{|j| \leq J} e\left(-\frac{hj}{a}\right) \ll \left\| \frac{h}{a} \right\|^{-1}$$

and

$$\sum_{x \leq b} e\left(\frac{hx^2}{a}\right) \ll \frac{b}{\sqrt{a}} \sqrt{(a, h)} + \sqrt{\frac{a}{(a, h)}},$$

we have by (5)

$$\begin{aligned} A(a, b, \delta) &= (2J + 1)\frac{b}{a} + \sum_{1 \leq h \leq a-1} \frac{1}{a \left\| \frac{h}{a} \right\|} \left( \frac{b}{\sqrt{a}} \sqrt{(a, h)} + \sqrt{\frac{a}{(a, h)}} \right) \\ &= 2\delta b + O\left(\sqrt{a} \log a + ba^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2+o(1)}{\sqrt{\log a} \log \log a}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

## 4. THE PROOFS OF THEOREM 4 AND THEOREM 5

In this session we prove our third main result: Theorem 4 and Theorem 5. First we prove

$$A(a, \delta) \ll \delta a (\log a) d(a) + \sigma(r),$$

where  $r$  is the largest integer such that  $r^2 | a$ .

Let  $H = \lfloor \frac{1}{2\delta} \rfloor$ . Recall that the Fejér kernel, defined as

$$\mathcal{F}_H(t) := \sum_{h=-H}^H \frac{H - |h|}{H^2} e(ht),$$

satisfies  $\mathcal{F}_H(t) \geq \frac{4}{\pi^2}$  when  $\|t\| \leq \delta$  and  $\mathcal{F}_H(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t$ . Noting that

$$A(a, \delta) = \sum_{\substack{x \leq a \\ \left\| \frac{x^2}{a} \right\| \leq \delta}} 1 = \sum_{x \leq a} \mathbf{1}_{[0, \delta]} \left( \left\| \frac{x^2}{a} \right\| \right),$$

where  $\mathbf{1}_{[0, \delta]}$  is the indicator function on  $[0, \delta]$ , we have

$$A(a, \delta) \ll \sum_{x \leq a} \mathcal{F}_H \left( \frac{x^2}{a} \right).$$

Therefore, we only need to estimate the sum  $\sum_{x \leq a} \mathcal{F}_H \left( \frac{x^2}{a} \right)$ .

First we single out the term with  $h = 0$  and obtain

$$\sum_{x \leq a} \mathcal{F}_H \left( \frac{x^2}{a} \right) \ll \delta a + E(a),$$

where

$$E(a) \ll \sum_{h=1}^H \frac{H-h}{H^2} \sum_{x=1}^a e \left( \frac{hx^2}{a} \right).$$

We denote the following complete Gauss sums by

$$S(h, a) := \sum_{x=1}^a e \left( \frac{hx^2}{a} \right).$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(a) &\ll \sum_{h=1}^H \frac{H-h}{H^2} (h, a) S \left( \frac{h}{(h, a)}, \frac{a}{(h, a)} \right) \\ &\ll \sum_{d|a} d \sum_{\substack{h \leq H \\ (h, a) = d}} \frac{H-h}{H^2} S \left( \frac{h}{d}, \frac{a}{d} \right) \\ &\ll \sum_{d|a} d \sum_{\substack{h_1 \leq H/d \\ (h_1, a/d) = 1}} \frac{H-h_1 d}{H^2} S \left( h_1, \frac{a}{d} \right). \end{aligned}$$

For a fixed  $d | a$ , let  $a_1 = a/d$ . To apply partial summation to the inner sum in the last line, we focus on the partial sum

$$S(N) = \sum_{\substack{h_1 \leq N \\ (h_1, a_1) = 1}} S(h_1, a_1).$$

Compared to the incomplete Gauss sums  $S(h, a, b)$  considered in §2, the complete Gauss sums  $S(h, a)$  are very well understood. Actually, we know their exact values.

**Lemma 2** ([11, §3.5]). *Suppose  $(h, a) = 1$ . Then*

$$S(h, a) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{when } a \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \\ \varepsilon_a \left(\frac{h}{a}\right) \sqrt{a}, & \text{when } a \text{ is odd}, \\ (1+i) \varepsilon_h^{-1} \left(\frac{a}{h}\right) \sqrt{a}, & \text{when } h \text{ is odd and } 4|a, \end{cases}$$

where

$$\varepsilon_m = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{when } m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ i, & \text{when } m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases}$$

and  $\left(\frac{*}{*}\right)$  is the Jacobi symbol.

Note that  $\left(\frac{*}{a}\right)$  is a Dirichlet character modulo  $a$  and  $\left(\frac{a}{*}\right)$  is a Dirichlet character of conductor  $a' | 4a$ .

In order to achieve the desired bound, we need to exploit the cancellation arising from the character sum over  $h$ . To that end, we apply the Pólya-Vinogradov inequality.

**Lemma 3** ([14, Theorem 9.18]). *Let  $\chi$  be an non-principal Dirichlet character modulo  $a$ . We have*

$$\left| \sum_{M < n \leq M+N} \chi(n) \right| \leq \sqrt{a} \log a.$$

Next, we prove the following lemma on the partial sum  $S(N)$ .

**Lemma 4.** *We have*

$$S(N) = \sum_{\substack{h_1 \leq N \\ (h_1, a_1)=1}} S(h_1, a_1) \ll \begin{cases} N\sqrt{a_1}, & \text{if } a_1 \text{ is a square,} \\ a_1 \log a_1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* If  $a_1$  is a square, then by Lemma 2, we have

$$S(N) \ll \sum_{h_1 \leq N} \sqrt{a_1} = N\sqrt{a_1}.$$

Next we treat the case when  $a_1$  is not a square. It is readily verified that

$$\varepsilon_m^{-1} = \frac{1-i}{2} \chi_0(m) + \frac{1+i}{2} \chi_1(m),$$

where  $\chi_0$  is the principal character modulo 4, and  $\chi_1$  is the quadratic character modulo 4, i.e.

$$\chi_1(n) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 2|n, \\ 1, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ -1, & \text{if } n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

If  $a_1$  is odd, let  $\chi = \left(\frac{*}{a_1}\right)$ ; if  $a_1$  is even, let  $\chi = \chi_0\left(\frac{a_1}{*}\right)$  or  $\chi = \chi_1\left(\frac{a_1}{*}\right)$ . Note that in any case,  $\chi$  is always a non-principal character of modulus at most  $4a_1$ . Therefore, we may apply the Pólya-Vinogradov inequality (Lemma 3) to the character sum  $\sum_{h_1 \leq N} \chi(h_1)$  after another application of Lemma 2, and obtain

$$S(N) \ll a_1 \log a_1.$$

□

By Lemma 4 and partial summation, we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{h_1 \leq H/d \\ (h_1, a_1)=1}} \frac{H - h_1 d}{H^2} S(h_1, a_1) \ll \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{a_1}}{d}, & \text{if } a_1 \text{ is a square,} \\ \frac{a_1 \log a_1}{H}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore, recalling that  $r$  is the largest integer such that  $r^2 \mid a$  and  $H = \lfloor \frac{1}{2\delta} \rfloor$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(a) &\ll \sum_{\substack{d \mid a \\ a_1 = \frac{a}{d} = \square}} d \frac{\sqrt{a_1}}{d} + \sum_{\substack{d \mid a \\ a_1 = \frac{a}{d} \neq \square}} d \frac{a_1 \log a_1}{H} \\ &\ll \sum_{\substack{a_1 \mid a \\ a_1 = \square}} \sqrt{a_1} + \frac{a}{H} \sum_{\substack{a_1 \mid a \\ a_1 \neq \square}} \log a \\ &\ll \sigma(r) + \delta a (\log a) d(a). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4. Theorem 5 follows from the same line of arguments only with Lemma 3 replaced with Lemma 5.

**Lemma 5** ([13, Theorem 2]). *Let  $\chi$  be a non-principal Dirichlet character modulo  $a$ . Suppose that the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis holds true, then*

$$\left| \sum_{M < n \leq M+N} \chi(n) \right| \leq \sqrt{a} \log \log 3a.$$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Victor Beresnevich. Rational points near manifolds and metric Diophantine approximation. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 175(1):187–235, 2012.
- [2] Victor Beresnevich, Detta Dickinson, and Sanju Velani. Diophantine approximation on planar curves and the distribution of rational points. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 166(2):367–426, 2007. With an Appendix II by R. C. Vaughan.
- [3] D. A. Burgess. On character sums and  $L$ -series. II. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 13:524–536, 1963.
- [4] Jing-Jing Huang. Rational points near planar curves and Diophantine approximation. *Adv. Math.*, 274:490–515, 2015.
- [5] Jing-Jing Huang. The density of rational points near hypersurfaces. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1711.01390, November 2017.
- [6] Jing-Jing Huang. Diophantine approximation on the parabola with non-monotonic approximation functions. *To appear in Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, page arXiv:1802.00525, February 2018.
- [7] Jing-Jing Huang. Integral points close to a space curve. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1809.07796, September 2018.
- [8] Jing-Jing Huang and Jason J. Liu. Simultaneous approximation on affine subspaces. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1811.06531, November 2018.
- [9] M. N. Huxley. Integer points, exponential sums and the Riemann zeta function. In *Number theory for the millennium, II (Urbana, IL, 2000)*, pages 275–290. A K Peters, Natick, MA, 2002.
- [10] M. N. Huxley. Exponential sums and lattice points. III. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 87(3):591–609, 2003.
- [11] Henryk Iwaniec and Emmanuel Kowalski. *Analytic number theory*, volume 53 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [12] M. A. Korolev. On incomplete Gaussian sums. *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, 290(1):52–62, 2015. Published in Russian in Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova 290 (2015), 72–79.
- [13] H. L. Montgomery and R. C. Vaughan. Exponential sums with multiplicative coefficients. *Invent. Math.*, 43(1):69–82, 1977.
- [14] Hugh L. Montgomery and Robert C. Vaughan. *Multiplicative number theory. I. Classical theory*, volume 97 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [15] V. N. Popov. The number of lattice points under a parabola. *Mat. Zametki*, 18(5):699–704, 1975.
- [16] Gérald Tenenbaum. *Introduction to analytic and probabilistic number theory*, volume 163 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, third edition, 2015. Translated from the 2008 French edition by Patrick D. F. Ion.
- [17] R. C. Vaughan and S. Velani. Diophantine approximation on planar curves: the convergence theory. *Invent. Math.*, 166(1):103–124, 2006.

JJH: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO, 1664 N. VIRGINIA ST., RENO, NV 89557

*E-mail address:* jingjingh@unr.edu

HL: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO, 1664 N. VIRGINIA ST., RENO, NV 89557

*E-mail address:* huixil@unr.edu