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# UNF Poll Finds Biden Leading in Florida Presidential Race 

Florida Voters Feel COVID Restrictions Lifted Too Soon
The Public Opinion Research Lab (PORL) at the University of North Florida released a new poll comprised of likely Florida voters showing former Vice President Joe Biden as the frontrunner in the ongoing presidential race. Results also reveal a majority of Florida voters believe state officials have eased off social distancing restrictions too quickly.

Of the voters surveyed, $51 \%$ indicated they intended to vote for Biden, versus $45 \%$ who stated they would vote for Trump. One percent of respondents said they would vote for someone else, while 3\% are still unsure. When asked whether they agree that November's election results will be fair and trustworthy, $72 \%$ said they somewhat or strongly agreed, while $28 \%$ said they disagree. Voters seem to be split along party lines on this issue, with $86 \%$ of Democrats agreeing that the results will be fair, compared to just $58 \%$ of Republicans. Respondents were also asked about the most recent presidential debate on September 29, to which only $27 \%$ responded that it was very or somewhat influential in their vote decision in the coming election, with $73 \%$ indicating it was not influential at all. A notable $42 \%$ of respondents indicated they plan on voting by mail, with early and election day in-person voting at just 29\%, each.
"This vast majority of responses from this survey were collected on the two days immediately following the debate and do not account for voter concerns following the President's recent COVID-19 diagnosis," commented Dr. Binder, director of the Public Opinion Research Lab, "This large six point gap between the candidates is likely attributed to the immediate aftermath of the debate. However, this is Florida, and I expect the election results to be very close once all the votes are counted."

Regarding the nomination of Amy Coney Barrett to replace Supreme Court Associate Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg, respondents were asked what scenario they would like to see in the coming months. Fifty-two percent stated they would like the candidate who wins the November election to nominate a justice after being sworn in in January, 42\% said they would like to see the Senate confirm Barrett before the election, and $5 \%$ said they would prefer the President to nominate a justice after the election, but before the inauguration of the president elect.
"The fact that a Supreme Court Justice appointment became open mere weeks before the election, and that it isn't the lead story, just goes to show how crazy 2020 is," Binder said. "Amy Coney Barrett's nomination combined with the concern about the integrity of the election in general, highlights the important political role that the courts play in this country."

In addition to vote intention, respondents were asked about their approval of President Trump, along with Governor Ron DeSantis, and Senators Rick Scott and Marco Rubio. Trump received $46 \%$ approval, with $53 \%$ disapproval. Forty-seven percent of respondents approve of DeSantis's performance, and 51\% disapprove. Scott garnered 45\% approval, with 53\% disapproval, and Rubio had $46 \%$ approval and $51 \%$ disapproval. Among Hispanic voters, the Cuban-American Senator Marco Rubio garnered just 41\% approval and 55\% disapproval.
"All of the Republican leaders in the state are underwater in their approval ratings," Binder noted, "Perhaps due to voter's concerns about their connections to Trump."

Respondents were also asked how they would vote on the six state constitutional amendments on the Florida ballot. Regarding Amendment One, dealing with the citizenship requirement to vote in the state of Florida, $78 \%$ said they would vote to change the verbiage from "all United States Citizens," to "only United States Citizens who are at least eighteen years of age, a permanent resident of Florida, and registered to vote, as provided by law, shall be qualified to vote in a Florida election." Amendment Two, which would raise Florida's minimum wage, garnered $60 \%$ support, with $37 \%$ saying they would vote no. Respondents also expressed support of Amendment Three, regarding changing to an open primary election system in the state of Florida, with $58 \%$ saying they would vote in favor of the measure, $36 \%$ against, while $6 \%$ are unsure. Forty-one percent of respondents said they would vote in favor of Amendment Four, requiring proposed amendments to the state constitution be voted on twice before taking effect, while $52 \%$ would vote no. Seven percent are unsure how they will vote.

Respondents overwhelmingly supported Amendments Five and Six, both dealing with expanding homestead tax exemptions. Amendment Five, which extends the period during which benefits can be transferred from one homestead to another, garnered 68\% support, with $26 \%$ opposed. An impressive $88 \%$ of respondents said they would vote for Amendment Six, which extends homestead exemptions to spouses of deceased veterans with combat-related disabilities (please see survey results for full text of the amendments).
"One thing to keep in mind about ballot measures is they almost always poll much better than the final vote," said Binder. "Florida has a $60 \%$ threshold required for passage and I would expect Amendments 2, 3 and 4 to have some trouble getting over that bar."

Respondents were also asked about the coronavirus pandemic as it relates to the economy, at both the federal and state levels. Regarding the federal government's response, $61 \%$ said they are not doing enough to support the economy during the pandemic, $9 \%$ said they are doing too much, and $29 \%$ said the federal government is doing the right amount. The state government had similar responses, with $59 \%$ saying they are not doing enough, $6 \%$ saying too much, and $34 \%$ the right amount. When asked about the easing of social distancing restrictions, $52 \%$ of respondents said the state government is moving too quickly, with $16 \%$ saying too slowly, and 32\% about right.

When asked which impacts of the coronavirus pandemic they were most concerned about, $57 \%$ said the public health impacts, while $43 \%$ said the economic impacts. These findings differ from April of this year, when the PORL conducted its Statewide COVID-19 Survey, revealing 67\% of respondents were more concerned about public health, versus 31\% concerned about the economy. Most Florida Likely Voters believe that face masks help slow the spread of coronavirus, at $82 \%$.
"Even though Florida has ended most COVID-19 restrictions, voters are still concerned about the virus," Binder noted. "The past week has done nothing to ease concerns as the virus has swept through the President's inner circle."

Finally, respondents were asked several questions about racial equality and immigration. When asked if they believe that Black people and white people receive equal treatment by the police, $56 \%$ said they strongly or somewhat disagree. When asked the same question about police treatment of Hispanic people and white people, $53 \%$ disagreed. A majority of those surveyed (53\%) believe police-involved deaths of Black people are signs of a broad problem of systemic racism, rather than isolated incidents (46\%).

Regarding immigration, $22 \%$ said they would only vote for a candidate who shared their views on immigration, while $72 \%$ said it was just one of many factors to consider. Only 6\% said that it was not a major issue. Immigration appeared to be slightly more important for Hispanic respondents, with $25 \%$ of those surveyed saying they would only vote for a candidate who shared their views, versus $23 \%$ of white respondents, and just $9 \%$ of Black respondents. Lastly, respondents were asked whether they support or oppose certain proposed immigration policies. Of the total sample, 49\% support building a wall along the U.S. and Mexico border, $51 \%$ opposed; 79\% support allowing undocumented immigrants the opportunity to become citizens, with $21 \%$ opposed; and $54 \%$ support closing federal immigration detention centers, with $44 \%$ opposed. Among Hispanic respondents, the proposals received $41 \%, 81 \%$, and $55 \%$ support, respectively.
"Considering Florida's diverse population and high number of Hispanic voters, it's no wonder issues of race and social justice are taking the forefront and will likely influence folks at the ballot box in November," Binder said. "As a final note, this is 2020, and though many polls have showed a pretty stable race (unlike 2016) there is still four weeks until this election ends and a lot can happen."

For details about the methodology of the survey and additional crosstabs by partisanship, age, race, sex, and education, visit the Recent Polls section of the PORL website.

## Survey Results

As you may know, there is a presidential election coming up on November 3rd. How likely are you to vote in the upcoming election?

| Answer Choices | Florida Voters <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{3 , 2 0 7}$ | Hispanic Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{7 7 9}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| You will definitely vote | $88 \%$ | $87 \%$ |$|$| $4 \%$ |
| :--- |
| You will probably vote |
| You will probably not vote |
| You will definitely not vote |

If you do vote, what method do you plan to use to vote in the upcoming November elections?

| Answer Choices | Florida Likely Voters <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 , 7 9 9}$ | Hispanic Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{6 9 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Vote in-person on election day | $\mathbf{2 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ |
| Vote early in-person | $29 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Vote by mail | $42 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| Don't Know/Refusal | $<1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |

If the presidential election were held today and the candidates were Donald Trump for the Republican Party and Joe Biden for the Democratic Party, who would you vote for?

| Answer Choices | Florida Likely <br> Voters <br> $\mathbf{n = 3 , 1 3 4}$ | Hispanic <br> Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n = 7 4 4}$ | Voted for <br> Trump in 2016 <br> $\mathbf{n = 1 , 1 6 6}$ | Voted for <br> Clinton in 2016 <br> $\mathbf{n = 1 , 4 9 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Donald Trump, REP | $45 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Joe Biden, DEM | $51 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $93 \%$ |
| Someone Else | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Don't Know/Refusal | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ |


| Answer Choices | Florida Likely Voters February <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{6 9 6}$ | Florida Likely Voters October <br> $\mathbf{n = 3 , 1 3 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Donald Trump, REP | $45 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| Joe Biden, DEM | $45 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| Someone Else | - | $1 \%$ |
| Don't Know/Refusal | $10 \%$ | $3 \%$ |

[^0]Do you agree or disagree that the results of the November presidential election will be fair and trustworthy?

| Answer <br> Choices | Florida Likely <br> Voters <br> $\mathbf{n = 3 , 1 4 2}$ | Hispanic <br> Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n = 7 4 5}$ | Republican <br> Likely Voters <br> $\mathbf{n = 1 , 1 2 8}$ | Democrat Likely <br> Voters <br> $\mathbf{n = 1 , 3 9 7}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly <br> Agree | $34 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| Somewhat <br> Agree | $38 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| Somewhat <br> Disagree | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Strongly <br> Disagree | $10 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Don't <br> Know/Refusal | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $<1 \%$ |

How influential was the most recent presidential debate in your decision on who to vote for in the upcoming presidential election?

| Answer Choices | Florida Likely Voters <br> $\mathbf{n = 3 , 1 4 2}$ | Hispanic Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{7 4 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Very Influential | $16 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Somewhat Influential | $11 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Not Influential | $73 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| Don't Know/Refusal | $<1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |

If the November 2020 general election were held today, and the following measures were on the ballot, how would you vote:

No. 1 Constitutional Amendment Article VI, Section 2
Citizenship Requirement to Vote in Florida Elections
This amendment provides that only United States Citizens who are at least eighteen years of age, a permanent resident of Florida, and registered to vote, as provided by law, shall be qualified to vote in a Florida election.

Because the proposed amendment is not expected to result in any changes to the voter registration process in Florida, it will have no impact on state or local government costs or revenue. Further, it will have no effect on the state's economy.

| Answer Choices | Florida Likely Voters <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{3 , 0 9 1}$ | Hispanic Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{7 2 7}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | $78 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| No | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Don't Know/Refusal | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |

## No. 2 Constitutional Amendment Article X, Section 24

## Raising Florida's Minimum Wage

Raises minimum wage to $\$ 10.00$ per hour effective October 30th, 2021. Each October 30th thereafter, minimum wage shall increase by $\$ 1.00$ per hour until the minimum wage reaches $\$ 15.00$ per hour on October 30th, 2026. From that point forward, future minimum wage increases shall revert to being adjusted annually for inflation starting October 30th, 2027.

State and local government costs will increase to comply with the new minimum wage levels. Additional annual wage costs will be approximately $\$ 16$ million in 2022, increasing to about $\$ 540$ million in 2027 and thereafter. Government actions to mitigate these costs are unlikely to produce material savings. Other government costs and revenue impacts, both positive and negative, are not quantifiable.

THIS PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT IS ESTIMATED TO HAVE A NET NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE STATE BUDGET. THIS IMPACT MAY RESULT IN HIGHER TAXES OR A LOSS OF GOVERNMENT SERVICES IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN A BALANCED STATE BUDGET AS REQUIRED BY THE CONSTITUTION.

| Answer Choices | Florida Likely Voters <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{3 , 0 5 5}$ | Hispanic Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{7 1 1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | $60 \%$ | $70 \%$ |
| No | $37 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Don't Know/Refusal | $3 \%$ | $1 \%$ |

## No. 3 Constitutional Amendment Article VI, Section 5

## All Voters Vote in Primary Elections for State Legislature, Governor, and Cabinet

Allows all registered voters to vote in primaries for state legislature, governor, and cabinet regardless of political party affiliation. All candidates for an office, including party nominated candidates, appear on the same primary ballot. Two highest vote getters advance to general election. If only two candidates qualify, no primary is held and winner is determined in general election. Candidate's party affiliation may appear on ballot as provided by law. Effective January 1, 2024.

It is probable that the proposed amendment will result in additional local government costs to conduct elections in Florida. The Financial Impact Estimating Conference projects that the combined costs across counties will range from $\$ 5.2$ million to $\$ 5.8$ million for each of the first three election cycles occurring in even-numbered years after the amendment's effective date, with the costs for each of the intervening years dropping to less than $\$ 450,000$. With respect to state costs for oversight, the additional costs for administering elections are expected to be minimal. Further, there are no revenues linked to voting in Florida. Since there is no impact on state costs or revenues, there will be no impact on the state's budget. While the proposed amendment will result in an increase in local expenditures, this change is expected to be below the threshold that would produce a statewide economic impact.

| Answer Choices | Florida Likely Voters <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 , 9 9 4}$ | Hispanic Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{6 8 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | $58 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
| No | $36 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Don't Know/Refusal | $6 \%$ | $7 \%$ |

## No. 4 Constitutional Amendment Article XI, Sections 5 and 7

## Voter Approval of Constitutional Amendments

Requires all proposed amendments or revisions to the state constitution to be approved by the voters in two elections, instead of one, in order to take effect. The proposal applies the current thresholds for passage to each of the two elections.

It is probable that the proposed amendment will result in additional state and local government costs to conduct elections in Florida. Overall, these costs will vary from election cycle to election cycle depending on the unique circumstances of each ballot and cannot be estimated at this time. The key factors determining cost include the number of amendments appearing for the second time on each ballot and the length of those amendments. Since the maximum state cost is likely less than $\$ 1$ million per cycle but the impact cannot be discretely quantified, the change to the state's budget is unknown. Similarly, the economic impact cannot be modelled, although the spending increase is expected to be below the threshold that would produce a statewide economic impact. Because there are no revenues linked to voting in Florida, there will be no impact on government taxes or fees.

THE FINANCIAL IMPACT OF THIS AMENDMENT CANNOT BE DETERMINED DUE TO AMBIGUITIES AND UNCERTAINTIES SURROUNDING THE AMENDMENT'S IMPACT.

| Answer Choices | Florida Likely Voters <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 , 9 4 3}$ | Hispanic Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{6 6 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | $41 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| No | $52 \%$ | $47 \%$ |
| Don't Know/Refusal | $7 \%$ | $8 \%$ |

No. 5 Constitutional Amendment Article VII, Section 4 and Article XII

## Limitations on Homestead Property Tax Assessments; increased portability period to transfer accrued benefit

Proposing an amendment to the State Constitution, effective January 1, 2021, to increase, from 2 years to 3 years, the period of time during which accrued Save-Our-Homes benefits may be transferred from a prior homestead to a new homestead.

| Answer Choices | Florida Likely Voters <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 , 9 2 8}$ | Hispanic Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{6 5 7}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | $67 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| No | $26 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| Don't Know/Refusal | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ |

## No. 6 Constitutional Amendment Article VII, Section 6 and Article XII

## Ad Valorem Tax Discount for Spouses of Certain Deceased Veterans Who Had Permanent, Combat-Related Disabilities

Provides that the homestead property tax discount for certain veterans with permanent combatrelated disabilities carries over to such veteran's surviving spouse who holds legal or beneficial title to, and who permanently resides on, the homestead property, until he or she remarries or sells or otherwise disposes of the property. The discount may be transferred to a new homestead property of the surviving spouse under certain conditions. The amendment takes effect January 1, 2021.

| Answer Choices | Florida Likely Voters <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 , 9 1 3}$ | Hispanic Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{6 4 6}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | $88 \%$ | $89 \%$ |
| No | $8 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Don't Know/Refusal | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ |

Below is a list of people, please select whether you approve or disapprove of the job each are doing.

President Donald Trump:

| Answer Choices | Florida Likely Voters <br> $\mathbf{n = 2 , 9 0 2}$ | Hispanic Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n = 6 4 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly Approve | $37 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| Somewhat Approve | $9 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Somewhat Disapprove | $3 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Strongly Disapprove | $50 \%$ | $53 \%$ |
| Don't Know/Refusal | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |

Senator Rick Scott:

| Answer Choices | Florida Likely Voters <br> $\mathbf{n = 2 , 9 0 2}$ | Hispanic Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n = 6 4 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly Approve | $24 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Somewhat Approve | $21 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| Somewhat Disapprove | $13 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Strongly Disapprove | $40 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| Don't Know/Refusal | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ |

## Senator Marco Rubio:

| Answer Choices | Florida Likely Voters <br> $\mathbf{n = 2 , 9 0 2}$ | Hispanic Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n = 6 4 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly Approve | $22 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Somewhat Approve | $24 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Somewhat Disapprove | $16 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Strongly Disapprove | $35 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| Don't Know/Refusal | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ |

Governor Ron DeSantis:

| Answer Choices | Florida Likely Voters <br> $\mathbf{n = 2 , 9 0 2}$ | Hispanic Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n = 6 4 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly Approve | $34 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| Somewhat Approve | $13 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Somewhat Disapprove | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Strongly Disapprove | $43 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Don't Know/Refusal | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ |

As you may have heard, President Trump has nominated Amy Coney Barrett to replace Ruth Bader Ginsburg on the Supreme Court. Which of the following scenarios would you like to see happen?

| Answer Choices | Florida Likely Voters $\mathrm{n}=2,897$ | Hispanic Oversample $\mathrm{n}=639$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The Senate confirms Amy Coney Barrett prior to the election on Nov. $3^{\text {rd }}$ | 42\% | 36\% |
| The Senate confirms Amy Coney Barrett after the election on November 3 ${ }^{\text {rd }}$, but before the new Senate and President are sworn in in January | 5\% | 4\% |
| The person that wins the presidential election in November should nominate a justice and the newly elected Senate should confirm that candidate in January or February | 52\% | 59\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | 1\% | 1\% |

Do you think the federal government is doing too much to support the economy during the pandemic, not doing enough to support the economy during the pandemic, or is doing about the right amount?

| Answer Choices | Florida Likely Voters <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 , 8 9 0}$ | Hispanic Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{6 3 6}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Too Much | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Not Enough | $61 \%$ | $66 \%$ |
| About Right | $29 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
| Don't Know/Refusal | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |

Do you think the Florida state government is doing too much to support the economy during the pandemic, not doing enough to support the economy during the pandemic, or is doing about the right amount?

| Answer Choices | Florida Likely Voters <br> $\mathbf{n = 2 , 8 9 0}$ | Hispanic Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{6 3 6}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Too Much | $6 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Not Enough | $59 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| About Right | $34 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Don't Know/Refusal | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |

Do you think Florida is moving too slowly or too quickly to ease social distancing restrictions and reopen, or is it about right?

| Answer Choices | Florida Likely Voters <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 , 8 8 4}$ | Hispanic Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{6 3 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Too Quickly | $52 \%$ | $55 \%$ |
| Too Slowly | $16 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| About Right | $32 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| Don't Know/Refusal | $<1 \%$ | $<1 \%$ |

What is a bigger concern for you, the public health impacts or the economic impacts of the coronavirus pandemic?

| Answer Choices | Florida Likely <br> Voters <br> April $^{3}$ <br> $\mathbf{n = 3 , 2 4 4}$ | Florida Likely <br> Voters <br> October <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 , 8 8 4}$ | Hispanic <br> Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n}=635$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public health impacts | $67 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $61 \%$ |
| Economic impacts | $31 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| Don't Know/Refusal | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |

Do you agree or disagree that face masks work to slow the spread of the novel coronavirus?

| Answer Choices | Florida Likely Voters <br> $\mathbf{n = 2 , 8 8 4}$ | Hispanic Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n = 6 3 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly Agree | $63 \%$ | $68 \%$ |
| Somewhat Agree | $19 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Somewhat Disagree | $9 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Strongly Disagree | $10 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Don't Know/Refusal | $<1 \%$ | $<1 \%$ |

[^1]Do you agree or disagree that black people and white people receive equal treatment from the police?

| Answer Choices | Florida Likely Voters <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 , 8 8 2}$ | Hispanic Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n = 6 3 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly Agree | $24 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| Somewhat Agree | $19 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Somewhat Disagree | $14 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Strongly Disagree | $42 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| Don't Know/Refusal | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |

Do you agree or disagree that Hispanic people and white people receive equal treatment from the police?

| Answer Choices | Florida Likely Voters <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 , 8 8 2}$ | Hispanic Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{6 3 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly Agree | $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 \%}$ |
| Somewhat Agree | $22 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| Somewhat Disagree | $22 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Strongly Disagree | $31 \%$ | $38 \%$ |
| Don't Know/Refusal | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |

Do you think the deaths of black people during encounters with the police are isolated incidents or signs of a broader problem of systemic racism?

| Answer Choices | Florida Likely Voters <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{2 , 8 7 7}$ | Hispanic Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n = 6 3 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Isolated incidents | $46 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| Signs of a broader problem <br> of systemic racism | $53 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| Don't Know/Refusal | $1 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 \%}$ |

Thinking about how the immigration issue might affect your vote for major offices, would you -

| Answer Choices | Florida Likely <br> Voters <br> $\mathbf{n = 2 , 8 7 7}$ | Hispanic <br> Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n = 6 3 2}$ | Born in the <br> U.S. <br> $\mathbf{n = 2 5 7}$ | Born outside <br> the U.S. <br> $\mathbf{n = 3 7 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Only vote for a candidate who <br> shares your views on <br> immigration | $22 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| Consider a candidate's position <br> on immigration as just one of <br> many important factors | $72 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $67 \%$ |
| Not see immigration as a major <br> issue | $6 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Don't Know/Refusal | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | - | $1 \%$ |

Do you support or oppose each of the following proposals:
Building a physical wall along the entire U.S.-Mexico border

| Answer Choices | Florida Likely <br> Voters <br> $\mathbf{n = 2 , 8 7 5}$ | Hispanic <br> Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n = 6 3 2}$ | Born in the <br> U.S. <br> $\mathbf{n = 2 5 7}$ | Born outside <br> the U.S. <br> $\mathbf{n = 3 7 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly Support | $38 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| Somewhat Support | $11 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| Somewhat Support | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Strongly Support | $42 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| Don't Know/Refusal | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | - | $2 \%$ |

Allowing undocumented immigrants living in the U.S. the chance to become U.S. citizens if they meet certain requirements over a period of time

| Answer Choices | Florida Likely <br> Voters <br> $\mathbf{n = 2 , 8 7 5}$ | Hispanic <br> Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n = 6 3 2}$ | Born in the <br> U.S. <br> $\mathbf{n = 2 5 7}$ | Born outside <br> the U.S. <br> $\mathbf{n = 3 7 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly Support | $52 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $53 \%$ |
| Somewhat Support | $26 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Somewhat Support | $9 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Strongly Support | $12 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $14 \%$ |
| Don't Know/Refusal | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | - | $1.5 \%$ |

Closing federal immigration detention centers

| Answer Choices | Florida Likely <br> Voters <br> $\mathbf{n = 2 , 8 7 5}$ | Hispanic <br> Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n = 6 3 2}$ | Born in the <br> U.S. <br> $\mathbf{n = 2 5 7}$ | Born outside <br> the U.S. <br> $\mathbf{n = 3 7 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly Support | $34 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| Somewhat Support | $20 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
| Somewhat Support | $18 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Strongly Support | $26 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| Don't Know/Refusal | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ |

## Survey Demographics

What is the highest grade in school or year of college you have completed?

| Answer Choices | Florida Likely Voters <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{3 , 1 4 2}$ | Hispanic Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{7 4 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Less than High School Degree | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| High School Graduate | $13 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| Some College | $57 \%$ | $54 \%$ |
| College Graduate | $18 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Postgraduate | $14 \%$ | $13 \%$ |

Thinking back to the 2016 Presidential Election, who did you vote for?

| Answer Choices | Florida Likely Voters <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{3 , 1 1 1}$ | Hispanic Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{7 3 8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Hillary Clinton | $42 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| Donald Trump | $42 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| Someone Else | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Didn't vote | $12 \%$ | $17 \%$ |


| Age | Florida Likely Voters <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{3 , 1 4 2}$ | Hispanic Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{7 4 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $18-24$ | $8 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| $25-34$ | $16 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| $35-44$ | $15 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| $45-54$ | $15 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| $55-64$ | $18 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| 65 and older | $29 \%$ | $20 \%$ |


| Race | Florida Likely Voters <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{3 , 1 4 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| White (Not Hispanic) | $63 \%$ |
| Black (Not Hispanic) | $14 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $16 \%$ |
| Other | $8 \%$ |


| Hispanic [Only asked of Hispanic voters] | Hispanic Likely Voters <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{7 4 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Colombian | $3 \%$ |
| Cuban | $6 \%$ |
| Mexican | $\mathbf{2 9 \%}$ |
| Puerto Rican | $5 \%$ |
| Venezuelan | $19 \%$ |
| Something Else | $33 \%$ |
| Don't Know/Refusal | $3 \%$ |


| Born in the U.S. | Hispanic Likely Voters <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{7 4 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Yes | $50 \%$ |
| No | $49 \%$ |
| Don't Know/Refusal | $1 \%$ |


| Sex | Florida Likely Voters <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{3 , 1 4 2}$ | Hispanic Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{7 4 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Male | $47 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| Female | $53 \%$ | $54 \%$ |


| Survey Language | Florida Likely Voters <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{3 , 1 4 2}$ | Hispanic Oversample <br> $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{7 4 5}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| English | $98 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| Spanish | $2 \%$ | $14 \%$ |

## Methodology

The UNF Fall Statewide Poll was conducted and sponsored by the Public Opinion Research Lab at the University of North Florida from Thursday, October 1 through Saturday, October 4, 2020. The survey was administered through email via Qualtrics, an online survey platform. The sample frame was comprised of 3,142 registered likely voters in Florida, 18 years of age or older. Likely voters were determined through a self-identified likelihood to vote, and $81 \%$ of respondents voted in the 2018 Florida General election. The email addresses used for this survey were sourced from the Florida's Division of Elections October 2020 update and selected through the use of probability sampling among registered voters in the Florida voter file. Respondents who indicated that they would "definitely vote," or "already voted" were included in the sample. An oversample of Hispanic voters across Florida were also included.

The margin of sampling error for the total sample is +/-1.8 percentage points. Data were weighted by partisan registration, age, race, sex, education, and geography. Education weights were created from the Census' 2019 American Community Survey (ACS) estimate for the percent of college-educated individuals in the state of Florida, approximately $29 \%$. Partisan registration, sex, race, and age weights were created from the October update of the Florida voter file to match the active registered voters in Florida. These demographic characteristics were pulled from the voter file list. Voters were also weighted by education and 2016 vote choice.

To ensure a representative sample of registered voters, the 10 Florida designated market areas were stratified. Quotas were placed on each of these stratified areas to ensure a proportionate number of completed surveys from across the state. Due to the unique population of Miami-Dade County, it was separated to create 11 strata from the 10 designated media markets.

All weighted demographic variables were applied using the SPSS version 26 rake weighting function. There were no statistical adjustments made due to design effects. This study had a $1.3 \%$ response rate. This survey was directed by Dr. Michael Binder, UNF associate professor of political science.

The PORL is a full-service survey research facility that provides tailored research to fulfill each client's individual needs from political economic, social, and cultural projects. The PORL opened in 2001 and is an independent, non-partisan center, a charter member of the American Association for Public Opinion Research (AAPOR) Transparency Initiative and a member of the Association of Academic Survey Research Organizations (AASRO). As members of AAPOR, the PORL's goal is to support sound and ethical practices in the conduct of survey and public opinion research. For more information about methodology, contact Dr. Michael Binder at porl@unf.edu or at (904) 620-2784.

## About University of North Florida

UNF is a nationally ranked university in Jacksonville, Florida, nestled on a beautiful 1,300-acre nature preserve, featuring six colleges of distinction as well as nationally recognized flagship programs, with 60 bachelor degree programs and over 75 areas of concentration. UNF offers a unique student-centered academic experience that is creating the next generation of thinkers, leaders and problem solvers. More information at www.unf.edu.

## Media Contact:

Amanda Ennis
Media Relations Coordinator
(904) 620-2192

## Methodology Results Contact

Dr. Michael Binder
Public Opinion Research Lab Director
(904) 620-2784

| As you may know, there is a presidential election coming up on November 3rd. How likely are you to vote in the upcoming election... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{n}=3,207$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race |  |  |  | Party Affiliation |  |  | Sex |  | Bachelor's Degree |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| You will definitely vote | 88\% | 89\% | 89\% | 89\% | 84\% | 91\% | 86\% | 87\% | 88\% | 89\% | 90\% | 88\% | 90\% | 88\% | 89\% | 86\% | 92\% | 87\% |
| You will probably vote | 2\% | 2\% | - | 4\% | - | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 6\% | 3\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | <1\% |
| You will probably not vote | 1\% | <1\% | - | 2\% | 4\% | <1\% | <1\% | 2\% | <1\% | 1\% | <1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | - | <1\% | <1\% |
| You will definitely not vote | <1\% | <1\% | 1\% | 1\% | - | - | <1\% | 1\% | <1\% | <1\% | <1\% | <1\% | - | 1\% | 1\% | - | - | - |
| Already voted by mail | 9\% | 10\% | 11\% | 4\% | 12\% | 7\% | 13\% | 7\% | 10\% | 8\% | 9\% | 9\% | 4\% | 6\% | 9\% | 13\% | 7\% | 13\% |


| If you do vote, what method do you plan to use to vote in the upcoming November elections? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| n=2,799 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race |  |  |  | Party Affiliation |  |  | Sex |  | Bachelor's Degree |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| Vote in-person on election day | 29\% | 30\% | 17\% | 30\% | 38\% | 44\% | 12\% | 30\% | 31\% | 28\% | 25\% | 31\% | 25\% | 31\% | 30\% | 39\% | 27\% | 24\% |
| Vote early in-person | 29\% | 28\% | 40\% | 24\% | 27\% | 26\% | 32\% | 29\% | 29\% | 30\% | 32\% | 28\% | 29\% | 26\% | 35\% | 30\% | 25\% | 31\% |
| Vote by mail | 42\% | 41\% | 43\% | 44\% | 35\% | 29\% | 55\% | 41\% | 41\% | 42\% | 43\% | 41\% | 44\% | 43\% | 35\% | 32\% | 49\% | 45\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | <1\% | <1\% | - | 2\% | - | 1\% | <1\% | - | <1\% | 1\% | - | 1\% | 3\% | - | - | - | - | <1\% |

If the presidential election were held today and the candidates were Donald Trump for the Republican Party and Joe Biden for the Democratic Party, who would you vote for?

| If the presidential election were held today and the candidates were Donald Trump for the Republican Party and Joe Biden for the Democratic Party, who would you vote for?$n=3,134$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Race |  |  |  | Party Affiliation |  |  | Sex |  | Bachelor's Degree |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | $65+$ |
| Donald Trump, REP | 45\% | 54\% | 7\% | 47\% | 44\% | 90\% | 1\% | 42\% | 51\% | 39\% | 39\% | 48\% | 23\% | 42\% | 36\% | 48\% | 56\% | 50\% |
| Joe Biden, DEM | 51\% | 43\% | 93\% | 47\% | 46\% | 7\% | 97\% | 50\% | 45\% | 56\% | 57\% | 48\% | 69\% | 53\% | 61\% | 49\% | 39\% | 47\% |
| Someone Else | 1\% | 1\% | - | 3\% | - | <1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 2\% | 1\% | <1\% | <1\% | <1\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | 3\% | 3\% | - | 4\% | 10\% | 3\% | 1\% | 5\% | 3\% | 4\% | 3\% | 3\% | 5\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 4\% | 3\% |

Do you agree or disagree that the results of the November presidential election will be fair and trustworthy?

| Do you agree or disagree that the results of the November presidential election will be fair and trustworthy?$n=3,142$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Race |  |  |  | Party Affiliation |  |  | Sex |  | Bachelor's Degree |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| Strongly Agree | 34\% | 31\% | 44\% | 37\% | 36\% | 17\% | 51\% | 36\% | 40\% | 29\% | 41\% | 31\% | 14\% | 42\% | 36\% | 39\% | 30\% | 34\% |
| Somewhat Agree | 38\% | 38\% | 41\% | 34\% | 36\% | 41\% | 35\% | 36\% | 34\% | 41\% | 37\% | 38\% | 60\% | 29\% | 37\% | 39\% | 37\% | 35\% |
| Somewhat Disagree | 18\% | 19\% | 12\% | 21\% | 12\% | 25\% | 8\% | 20\% | 17\% | 19\% | 14\% | 19\% | 25\% | 20\% | 15\% | 10\% | 20\% | 19\% |
| Strongly Disagree | 10\% | 12\% | 3\% | 7\% | 16\% | 16\% | 6\% | 8\% | 9\% | 12\% | 7\% | 12\% | 1\% | 9\% | 12\% | 12\% | 11\% | 11\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | 1\% | 1\% | - | <1\% | - | 1\% | <1\% | 1\% | 1\% | <1\% | 1\% | 1\% | - | - | 1\% | <1\% | 1\% | 1\% |


| How influential was the most recent presidential debate in your decision on who to vote for in the upcoming presidential election? $n=3,142$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race |  |  |  | Party Affiliation |  |  | Sex |  | Bachelor's Degree |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| Very influential | 16\% | 11\% | 22\% | 27\% | 16\% | 12\% | 21\% | 14\% | 13\% | 18\% | 13\% | 17\% | 18\% | 13\% | 15\% | 16\% | 16\% | 17\% |
| Somewhat influential | 11\% | 10\% | 9\% | 12\% | 24\% | 13\% | 10\% | 12\% | 14\% | 9\% | 10\% | 12\% | 17\% | 13\% | 10\% | 10\% | 9\% | 11\% |
| Not influential | 73\% | 79\% | 69\% | 60\% | 60\% | 76\% | 70\% | 74\% | 73\% | 73\% | 77\% | 71\% | 66\% | 74\% | 75\% | 74\% | 74\% | 72\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | <1\% | <1\% | <1\% | 1\% | - | <1\% | <1\% | <1\% | <1\% | <1\% | <1\% | <1\% | - | - | 1\% | - | <1\% | <1\% |


| Thinking back to the presidential election in 2016, who did you vote for?$n=3,134$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Race |  |  |  | Party Affiliation |  |  | Sex |  | Bachelor's Degree |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| Hillary Clinton | 42\% | 34\% | 79\% | 42\% | 34\% | 6\% | 78\% | 42\% | 35\% | 47\% | 53\% | 36\% | 33\% | 48\% | 51\% | 47\% | 30\% | 40\% |
| Donald Trump | 42\% | 53\% | 5\% | 36\% | 37\% | 83\% | 4\% | 36\% | 48\% | 37\% | 36\% | 45\% | 13\% | 28\% | 30\% | 46\% | 57\% | 54\% |
| Someone else | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% | 5\% | 1\% | 2\% | 8\% | 4\% | 2\% | 4\% | 3\% | <1\% | 9\% | 4\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| Didn't vote | 12\% | 9\% | 12\% | 20\% | 19\% | 8\% | 14\% | 14\% | 12\% | 12\% | 5\% | 15\% | 53\% | 15\% | 13\% | 4\% | 9\% | 3\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 4\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | - | 2\% | <1\% | 3\% | 2\% |

If the November 2020 general election were held today, and the following measures were on the ballot, how would you vote:

## No. 1 Constitutional Amendment Article VI, Section 2

## Citizenship Requirement to Vote in Florida Elections

This amendment provides that only United States Citizens who are at least eighteen years of age, a permanent resident of Florida, and registered to vote, as provided by law, shall be qualified to vote in a Florida election. Because the proposed amendment is not expected to result in any changes to the voter registration process in Florida, it will have no impact on state or local government costs or revenue. Further, it will have no effect on the state's economy.

| $\mathrm{n}=3,091$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Race |  |  |  | Party Affiliation |  |  | Sex |  | Bachelor's Degree |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| Yes | 78\% | 79\% | 68\% | 82\% | 82\% | 94\% | 60\% | 82\% | 77\% | 79\% | 71\% | 81\% | 72\% | 71\% | 70\% | 84\% | 81\% | 83\% |
| No | 18\% | 18\% | 31\% | 17\% | 18\% | 4\% | 38\% | 16\% | 21\% | 18\% | 27\% | 17\% | 27\% | 29\% | 27\% | 15\% | 14\% | 15\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | 2\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 3\% | 1\% | 2\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | <1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 1\% | 6\% | 2\% |

If the November 2020 general election were held today, and the following measures were on the ballot, how would you vote:
No. 2 Constitutional Amendment Article X, Section 24
Raising Florida's Minimum Wage
Raises minimum wage to $\$ 10.00$ per hour effective September 30th, 2021. Each September 30th thereafter, minimum wage shall increase by $\$ 1.00$ per hour until the minimum wage reaches $\$ 15.00$ per hour on September 30 th, 2026 . From that point forward, future minimum wage increases shall revert to being adjusted annually for inflation starting September 30th, 2027.
State and local government costs will increase to comply with the new minimum wage levels. Additional annual wage costs will be approximately $\$ 16$ million in 2022 , increasing to about $\$ 540$ million in 2027 and thereafter. Government actions to mitigate these costs are unlikely to produce material savings. Other government costs and revenue impacts, both positive and negative, are not quantifiable.
THIS PROPOSED CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT IS ESTIMATED TO HAVE A NET NEGATIVE IMPACT ON THE STATE BUDGET. THIS IMPACT MAY RESULT IN HIGHER TAXES OR A LOSS OF GOVERNMENT SERVICES IN ORDER TO MAINTAIN A BALANCED STATE BUDGET AS REQUIRED BY THE CONSTITUTION.

| $\mathrm{n}=3,055$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Race |  |  |  | Party Affiliation |  |  | Sex |  | Bachelor's Degree |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| Yes | 60\% | 53\% | 85\% | 65\% | 60\% | 32\% | 90\% | 60\% | 57\% | 63\% | 64\% | 59\% | 69\% | 65\% | 62\% | 61\% | 55\% | 56\% |
| No | 37\% | 43\% | 15\% | 34\% | 40\% | 64\% | 9\% | 37\% | 42\% | 31\% | 34\% | 38\% | 31\% | 32\% | 36\% | 37\% | 38\% | 42\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | 3\% | 4\% | - | 1\% | 1\% | 4\% | 1\% | 3\% | 1\% | 4\% | 2\% | 3\% | 1\% | 4\% | 2\% | 2\% | 7\% | 1\% |

## If the November 2020 general election were held today, and the following measures were on the ballot, how would you vote:

## . 3 Constitutional Amendment Article VI, Section 5

## All Voters Vote in Primary Elections for State Legislature, Governor, and Cabine

Allows all registered voters to vote in primaries for state legislature, governor, and cabinet regardless of political party affiliation. All candidates for an office, including party nominated candidates, appear on the same primary ballot. Two highest vote getters advance to general election. If only two candidates qualify, no primary is held and winner is determined in general election. Candidate's party affiliation may appear on ballot as provided by law. Effective January 1,2024 .
It is probable that the proposed amendment will result in additional local government costs to conduct elections in Florida. The Financial Impact Estimating Conference projects that the combined costs across counties will range from \$5.2 million to $\$ 5.8$ million for each of the first three election cycles occurring in even-numbered years after the amendment's effective date, with the costs for each of the intervening years dropping to less than $\$ 450,000$. With respect to state costs for oversight the additional costs for administering elections are expected to be minimal. Further, there are no revenues linked to voting in Florida. Since there is no impact on state costs or revenues, there will be no impact on the state's budget. While the proposed amendment will result in an increase in local expenditures, this change is expected to be below the threshold that would produce a statewide economic impact.

| $\mathrm{n}=2,994$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Race |  |  |  | Party Affiliation |  |  | Sex |  | Bachelor's Degree |  | Age | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 58\% | 52\% | 77\% | 68\% | 54\% | 48\% | 61\% | 72\% | 53\% | 63\% | 55\% | 60\% | 75\% | 57\% | 63\% | 67\% | 52\% | 58\% |
| No | 35\% | 41\% | 18\% | 25\% | 41\% | 45\% | 32\% | 24\% | 42\% | 30\% | 40\% | 34\% | 14\% | 37\% | 33\% | 28\% | 39\% | 36\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | 6\% | 7\% | 5\% | 7\% | 4\% | 7\% | 7\% | 5\% | 5\% | 8\% | 5\% | 7\% | 11\% | 6\% | 4\% | 5\% | 9\% | 6\% |

If the November 2020 general election were held today, and the following measures were on the ballot, how would you vote:
No. 4 Constitutional Amendment Article XI, Sections 5 and 7

## Voter Approval of Constitutional Amendments

Requires all proposed amendments or revisions to the state constitution to be approved by the voters in two elections, instead of one, in order to take effect. The proposal applies the current thresholds for passage to each of the two elections It is probable that the proposed amendment will result in additional state and local government costs to conduct elections in Florida. Overall, these costs will vary from election cycle to election cycle depending on the unique circumstances of each ballot and cannot be estimated at this time. The key factors determining cost include the number of amendments appearing for the second time on each ballot and the length of those amendments. Since the maximum state cost is likely less than $\$ 1$ million per cycle but the impact cannot be discretely quantified, the change to the state's budget is unknown. Similarly, the economic impact cannot be modelled, although the spending increase is expected to be below the threshold that would roduce a statewide economic impact. Because there are no revenues linked to voting in Florida there will be no impact on government taxes or fees.
THE FINANCIAL IMPACT OF THIS AMENDMENT CANNOT BE DETERMINED DUE TO AMBIGUITIES AND UNCERTAINTIES SURROUNDING THE AMENDMENT'S IMPACT.

|  |  | Race |  |  |  | Party Affiliation |  |  | Sex |  | Bachelor's Degree |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| Yes | 41\% | 37\% | 51\% | 47\% | 40\% | 45\% | 39\% | 36\% | 41\% | 40\% | 36\% | 43\% | 45\% | 35\% | 39\% | 48\% | 47\% | 36\% |
| No | 52\% | 55\% | 44\% | 46\% | 55\% | 47\% | 54\% | 58\% | 54\% | 50\% | 58\% | 49\% | 46\% | 61\% | 57\% | 47\% | 40\% | 46\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | 7\% | 8\% | 6\% | 7\% | 5\% | 8\% | 7\% | 6\% | 5\% | 9\% | 6\% | 8\% | 9\% | 4\% | 4\% | 5\% | 13\% | 8\% |

If the November 2020 general election were held today, and the following measures were on the ballot, how would you vote:

## No. 5 Constitutional Amendment Article VII, Section 4 and Article XII

Limitations on Homestead Property Tax Assessments; increased portability period to transfer accrued benefit
roposing an amendment to the State Constitution, effective January 1, 2021, to increase, from 2 years to 3 years, the period of time during which accrued Save-Our-Homes benefits may be transferred from a prior homestead to a new homestead.

| $\mathrm{n}=2,928$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Race |  |  |  | Party Affiliation |  |  | Sex |  | Bachelor's Degree |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| Yes | 67\% | 68\% | 57\% | 71\% | 68\% | 65\% | 67\% | 69\% | 65\% | 69\% | 67\% | 67\% | 76\% | 64\% | 72\% | 65\% | 65\% | 66\% |
| No | 26\% | 25\% | 33\% | 21\% | 24\% | 27\% | 24\% | 26\% | 31\% | 21\% | 27\% | 25\% | 9\% | 33\% | 24\% | 32\% | 21\% | 27\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | 8\% | 7\% | 9\% | 7\% | 8\% | 8\% | 9\% | 5\% | 5\% | 10\% | 6\% | 8\% | 15\% | 4\% | 5\% | 4\% | 14\% | 7\% |

If the November 2020 general election were held today, and the following measures were on the ballot, how would you vote: No. 6 Constitutional Amendment Article VII, Section 6 and Article XII

> Ad Valorem Tax Discount for Spouses of Certain Deceased Veterans Who Had Permanent, Combat-Related Disabilities

Provides that the homestead property tax discount for certain veterans with permanent combat-related disabilities carries over to such veteran's surviving spouse who holds legal or beneficial title to, and who permanently resides on, the homestead property, until he or she remarries or sells or otherwise disposes of the property. The discount may be transferred to a new homestead property of the surviving spouse under certain conditions. The amendment takes effect January 1 ,

| $\mathrm{n}=2,913$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Race |  |  |  | Party Affiliation |  |  | Sex |  | Bachelor's Degree |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| Yes | 89\% | 88\% | 96\% | 90\% | 79\% | 91\% | 86\% | 89\% | 87\% | 89\% | 87\% | 89\% | 83\% | 88\% | 88\% | 94\% | 84\% | 90\% |
| No | 8\% | 9\% | 1\% | 5\% | 19\% | 5\% | 11\% | 8\% | 11\% | 5\% | 10\% | 7\% | 12\% | 9\% | 7\% | 4\% | 9\% | 8\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 5\% | 2\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 2\% | 5\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 2\% | 8\% | 2\% |


| Below is a list of people, please select whether you approve or disapprove of the job each are doing: President Donald Trump$n=2,902$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Race |  |  |  | Party Affiliation |  |  | Sex |  | Bachelor's Degree |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| Strongly approve | 37\% | 43\% | 7\% | 37\% | 44\% | 77\% | 1\% | 30\% | 43\% | 32\% | 30\% | 40\% | 11\% | 29\% | 30\% | 40\% | 45\% | 46\% |
| Somewhat approve | 9\% | 11\% | 1\% | 8\% | 7\% | 13\% | 1\% | 14\% | 10\% | 8\% | 8\% | 9\% | 7\% | 8\% | 7\% | 12\% | 11\% | 7\% |
| Somewhat disapprove | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 7\% | 7\% | 2\% | 2\% | 8\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 13\% | 4\% | 3\% | 1\% | 2\% | 3\% |
| Strongly disapprove | 50\% | 43\% | 89\% | 48\% | 42\% | 3\% | 96\% | 48\% | 44\% | 56\% | 57\% | 47\% | 69\% | 60\% | 61\% | 47\% | 41\% | 43\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | - | 1\% | <1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | - | - | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% |

Below is a list of people, please select whether you approve or disapprove of the job each are doing:
Senator Rick Scott

| $n=2,902$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Race |  |  |  | Party Affiliation |  |  | Sex |  | Bachelor's Degree |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| Strongly approve | 24\% | 28\% | 4\% | 25\% | 24\% | 47\% | 1\% | 22\% | 31\% | 17\% | 21\% | 25\% | 8\% | 20\% | 13\% | 17\% | 30\% | 35\% |
| Somewhat approve | 21\% | 24\% | 2\% | 21\% | 27\% | 38\% | 4\% | 21\% | 19\% | 22\% | 17\% | 23\% | 17\% | 16\% | 19\% | 28\% | 21\% | 21\% |
| Somewhat disapprove | 13\% | 11\% | 21\% | 17\% | 16\% | 7\% | 18\% | 18\% | 10\% | 17\% | 16\% | 12\% | 26\% | 21\% | 13\% | 10\% | 13\% | 9\% |
| Strongly disapprove | 40\% | 36\% | 70\% | 34\% | 25\% | 7\% | 75\% | 36\% | 39\% | 40\% | 45\% | 37\% | 47\% | 42\% | 54\% | 42\% | 31\% | 33\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 8\% | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 1\% | 4\% | 2\% | 3\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 6\% | 2\% |

elow is a list of people, please select whether you approve or disapprove of the job each are doin
Senator Marco Rubio

| Senator Marco Rubio$n=2,902$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Race |  |  |  | Party Affiliation |  |  | Sex |  | Bachelor's Degree |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| Strongly approve | 22\% | 25\% | 4\% | 30\% | 21\% | 47\% | 1\% | 20\% | 29\% | 16\% | 19\% | 23\% | 9\% | 20\% | 12\% | 19\% | 28\% | 30\% |
| Somewhat approve | 24\% | 30\% | 6\% | 17\% | 26\% | 41\% | 7\% | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | 21\% | 26\% | 15\% | 21\% | 23\% | 31\% | 22\% | 27\% |
| Somewhat disapprove | 16\% | 16\% | 21\% | 13\% | 10\% | 7\% | 20\% | 26\% | 13\% | 19\% | 17\% | 16\% | 24\% | 24\% | 7\% | 17\% | 20\% | 12\% |
| Strongly disapprove | 35\% | 28\% | 67\% | 38\% | 31\% | 5\% | 71\% | 28\% | 33\% | 37\% | 42\% | 32\% | 51\% | 34\% | 57\% | 31\% | 27\% | 29\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 12\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 4\% | 2\% | 3\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |


| Below is a list of people, please select whether you approve or disapprove of the job each are doing: Governor Ron DeSantis$n=2,902$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Race |  |  |  | Party Affiliation |  |  | Sex |  | Bachelor's Degree |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| Strongly approve | 34\% | 42\% | 4\% | 32\% | 26\% | 70\% | 2\% | 29\% | 42\% | 27\% | 29\% | 37\% | 10\% | 26\% | 27\% | 34\% | 42\% | 43\% |
| Somewhat approve | 13\% | 13\% | 9\% | 12\% | 21\% | 17\% | 6\% | 18\% | 13\% | 13\% | 13\% | 13\% | 10\% | 19\% | 11\% | 18\% | 13\% | 9\% |
| Somewhat disapprove | 8\% | 9\% | 5\% | 7\% | 9\% | 5\% | 9\% | 12\% | 6\% | 10\% | 9\% | 8\% | 5\% | 4\% | 6\% | 6\% | 9\% | 12\% |
| Strongly disapprove | 43\% | 35\% | 82\% | 47\% | 37\% | 7\% | 82\% | 40\% | 38\% | 48\% | 49\% | 41\% | 73\% | 50\% | 56\% | 40\% | 32\% | 34\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 8\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | <1\% | 2\% | 2\% | <1\% | <1\% | 2\% | 3\% | 1\% |

As you may have heard, President Trump has nominated Amy Coney Barrett to replace Ruth Bader Ginsburg on the Supreme Court. Which of the following scenarios would you like to see happen?

| $\mathrm{n}=2,897$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Race |  |  |  | Party Affiliation |  |  | Sex |  | Bachelor's Degree |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| Senate confirms Amy Coney Barrett prior to the election on Nov. 3rd. | 42\% | 51\% | 8\% | 42\% | 42\% | 87\% | 2\% | $34 \%$ | 48\% | 37\% | 36\% | 45\% | 19\% | 30\% | 33\% | 47\% | 53\% | 51\% |
| The Senate confirms Amy Coney Barrett after the election on November 3rd, but before the new Senate and President are sworn in in January. | 5\% | 6\% | 1\% | 3\% | 5\% | 6\% | 3\% | 9\% | 7\% | 3\% | 4\% | 6\% | 6\% | 7\% | 6\% | 5\% | 4\% | 5\% |
| The person that wins the presidential election in November should nominate a justice and the newly elected Senate should confirm that candidate in | 52\% | 43\% | 92\% | 54\% | 52\% | 7\% | 96\% | 56\% | 45\% | 58\% | 59\% | 49\% | 75\% | 62\% | 61\% | 49\% | 42\% | 44\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | 1\% | 1\% | - | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | <1\% | 1\% | <1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | - | 1\% | - | <1\% | 2\% | <1\% |

Do you think the federal government is doing too much to support the economy during the pandemic, not doing enough to support the economy during the pandemic, or is doing about the right amount?

| $\mathrm{n}=2,890$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Race |  |  |  | Party Affiliation |  |  | Sex |  | Bachelor's Degree |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| Too much | 9\% | 10\% | <1\% | 10\% | 22\% | 16\% | 5\% | 6\% | 7\% | 11\% | 7\% | 10\% | 10\% | 6\% | 3\% | 8\% | 14\% | 9\% |
| Not enough | 61\% | 55\% | 93\% | 65\% | 43\% | 31\% | 89\% | 66\% | 62\% | 59\% | 63\% | 60\% | 71\% | 71\% | 73\% | 61\% | 50\% | 61\% |
| About right | 29\% | 34\% | 6\% | 25\% | 35\% | 53\% | 6\% | 27\% | 30\% | 29\% | 30\% | 29\% | 20\% | 23\% | 24\% | 31\% | 36\% | 29\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | - | 1\% | 1\% | <1\% | 1\% | 1\% |

Do you think the Florida state government is doing too much to support the economy during the pandemic, not doing enough to support the economy during the pandemic, or is doing about the right amount?

|  |  | Race |  |  |  | Party Affiliation |  |  | Sex |  | Bachelor's Degree |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| Too much | 6\% | 5\% | 2\% | 6\% | 15\% | 6\% | 6\% | 4\% | 5\% | 6\% | 5\% | 6\% | 10\% | 8\% | 4\% | 4\% | 6\% | 5\% |
| Not enough | 59\% | 51\% | 94\% | 65\% | 45\% | 30\% | 88\% | 61\% | 62\% | 56\% | 64\% | 57\% | 68\% | 66\% | 67\% | 67\% | 51\% | 50\% |
| About right | 34\% | 42\% | 3\% | 28\% | 39\% | 63\% | 6\% | 33\% | 32\% | 37\% | 30\% | 36\% | 22\% | 27\% | 29\% | 29\% | 42\% | 42\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | 1\% | 2\% | - | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | <1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | - | - | 1\% | - | 2\% | 3\% |


| Do you think Florida is moving too slowly or too quickly to ease social distancing restrictions and reopen, or is it about right? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race |  |  |  | Party Affiliation |  |  | Sex |  | Bachelor's Degree |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| Too quickly | 52\% | 47\% | 80\% | 53\% | 47\% | 16\% | 88\% | 55\% | 47\% | 57\% | 59\% | 49\% | 72\% | 63\% | 59\% | 52\% | 41\% | 45\% |
| Too slowly | 15\% | 16\% | 8\% | 18\% | 21\% | 26\% | 5\% | 15\% | 19\% | 13\% | 16\% | 16\% | 12\% | 13\% | 21\% | 17\% | 18\% | 12\% |
| About right | 32\% | 37\% | 12\% | 29\% | 32\% | 58\% | 8\% | 30\% | 35\% | 30\% | 32\% | 35\% | 17\% | 24\% | 20\% | 31\% | 41\% | 43\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | <1\% | <1\% | - | <1\% | - | <1\% | - | <1\% | <1\% | <1\% | <1\% | <1\% | - | - | - | - | <1\% | <1\% |

What is a bigger concern for you, the public health impacts or the economic impacts of the coronavirus pandemic?

|  |  | Race |  |  |  | Party Affiliation |  |  | Sex |  | Bachelor's Degree |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| Public health impacts | 57\% | 50\% | 89\% | 54\% | 57\% | 21\% | 90\% | 62\% | 52\% | 61\% | 61\% | 54\% | 72\% | 57\% | 62\% | 60\% | 45\% | 54\% |
| Economic impacts | 43\% | 49\% | 11\% | 45\% | 43\% | 78\% | 9\% | 37\% | 47\% | 38\% | 37\% | 45\% | 28\% | 43\% | 38\% | 38\% | 53\% | 45\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | <1\% | 6\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | - | - | - | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% |

Do you agree or disagree that face masks work to slow the spread of the novel coronavirus?

| Do you agree or disagree that face masks work to slow the spread of the novel coronavirus?$n=2,884$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Race |  |  |  | Party Affiliation |  |  | Sex |  | Bachelor's Degree |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| Strongly agree | 63\% | 58\% | 83\% | 64\% | 65\% | 30\% | 92\% | 71\% | 59\% | 66\% | 69\% | 60\% | 75\% | 73\% | 62\% | 59\% | 54\% | 62\% |
| Somewhat agree | 19\% | 20\% | 13\% | 21\% | 10\% | 33\% | 6\% | 14\% | 20\% | 17\% | 15\% | 20\% | 22\% | 18\% | 10\% | 20\% | 21\% | 21\% |
| Somewhat disagree | 9\% | 11\% | 1\% | 4\% | 14\% | 19\% | 1\% | 6\% | 11\% | 7\% | 6\% | 10\% | 1\% | 1\% | 12\% | 8\% | 11\% | 12\% |
| Strongly disagree | 10\% | 11\% | 4\% | 10\% | 11\% | 18\% | 1\% | 9\% | 11\% | 9\% | 10\% | 10\% | 2\% | 9\% | 16\% | 11\% | 14\% | 6\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | <1\% | 1\% | - | <1\% | - | 1\% | - | <1\% | <1\% | 1\% | <1\% | <1\% | - | - | - | 2\% | 1\% | <1\% |

Do you agree or disagree that black people and white people receive equal treatment from the police?

| $\mathrm{n}=2,882$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Race |  |  |  | Party Affiliation |  |  | Sex |  | Bachelor's Degree |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| Strongly agree | 24\% | 27\% | 4\% | 31\% | 27\% | 44\% | 5\% | 21\% | 26\% | 22\% | 18\% | 27\% | 9\% | 22\% | 25\% | 26\% | 30\% | 24\% |
| Somewhat agree | 18\% | 22\% | 3\% | 13\% | 29\% | 32\% | 3\% | 20\% | 23\% | 15\% | 16\% | 20\% | 12\% | 12\% | 11\% | 16\% | 22\% | 26\% |
| Somewhat disagree | 14\% | 17\% | 6\% | 12\% | 12\% | 14\% | 11\% | 20\% | 15\% | 14\% | 16\% | 13\% | 11\% | 10\% | 11\% | 11\% | 14\% | 20\% |
| Strongly disagree | 43\% | 34\% | 88\% | 43\% | 31\% | 8\% | 80\% | 38\% | 36\% | 48\% | 50\% | 39\% | 66\% | 57\% | 52\% | 45\% | 32\% | 29\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | 1\% | 1\% | - | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | <1\% | <1\% | 2\% | <1\% | 1\% | 2\% | - | <1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% |

Do you agree or disagree that Hispanic people and white people receive equal treatment from the police?

|  |  | Race |  |  |  | Party Affiliation |  |  | Sex |  | Bachelor's Degree |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| Strongly agree | 25\% | 27\% | 4\% | 31\% | 30\% | 45\% | 5\% | 22\% | 27\% | 23\% | 19\% | 27\% | 9\% | 23\% | 24\% | 27\% | 32\% | 25\% |
| Somewhat agree | 22\% | 27\% | 3\% | 14\% | 28\% | 36\% | 6\% | 26\% | 26\% | 18\% | 19\% | 23\% | 16\% | 14\% | 12\% | 17\% | 27\% | 32\% |
| Somewhat disagree | 22\% | 20\% | 33\% | 20\% | 16\% | 15\% | 27\% | 24\% | 19\% | 24\% | 23\% | 21\% | 25\% | 26\% | 14\% | 25\% | 20\% | 22\% |
| Strongly disagree | 31\% | 25\% | 60\% | 35\% | 25\% | 3\% | 62\% | 27\% | 28\% | 34\% | 38\% | 28\% | 49\% | 37\% | 49\% | 30\% | 20\% | 21\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | 1\% | 1\% | - | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | <1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | - | $<1 \%$ | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% |


| Do you think the deaths of black people during encounters with the police are isolated incidents or signs of a broader problem of systemic racism? $n=2,877$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Race |  |  |  | Party Affiliation |  |  | Sex |  | Bachelor's Degree |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| Isolated incidents | 46\% | 54\% | 6\% | 44\% | 52\% | 86\% | 6\% | 42\% | 53\% | 39\% | 38\% | 49\% | 20\% | 34\% | 37\% | 48\% | 57\% | 54\% |
| Signs of a broader problem of systemic racism | 53\% | 45\% | 94\% | 55\% | 48\% | 12\% | 94\% | 57\% | 47\% | 60\% | 61\% | 50\% | 79\% | 66\% | 62\% | 50\% | 41\% | 45\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | 1\% | 1\% | - | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | $<1 \%$ | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | - | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% |

Thinking about how the immigration issue might affect your vote for major offices, would you...

| n=2,877 <br> Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| Only vote for a candidate who shares your views on immigration | 22\% | 23\% | 9\% | 25\% | 24\% | 33\% | 13\% | 16\% | 23\% | 21\% | 18\% | 23\% | 14\% | 19\% | 22\% | 16\% | 27\% | 25\% |
| Consider a candidate's position on immigration as just one of many important factors | 72\% | 72\% | 77\% | 68\% | 66\% | 63\% | 78\% | 75\% | 69\% | 74\% | 74\% | 71\% | 85\% | 72\% | 68\% | 73\% | 68\% | 71\% |
| Not see immigration as a major issue | 6\% | 4\% | 14\% | 6\% | 11\% | 4\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 5\% | 8\% | 5\% | - | 8\% | 9\% | 11\% | 5\% | 4\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | 1\% | 1\% | - | 1\% | - | <1\% | 1\% | 1\% | <1\% | 1\% | <1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | <1\% | - | <1\% | <1\% |


| Do you support or oppose each of the following proposals: Building a physical wall along the entire U.S.-Mexico border$\mathrm{n}=2,875$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Race |  |  |  | Party Affiliation |  |  | Sex |  | Bachelor's Degree |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| Strongly support | 38\% | 44\% | 5\% | 34\% | 48\% | 78\% | 2\% | 29\% | 44\% | 32\% | 28\% | 42\% | 11\% | 26\% | 26\% | 43\% | 51\% | 45\% |
| Somewhat support | 11\% | 12\% | 6\% | 12\% | 11\% | 14\% | 6\% | 16\% | 12\% | 11\% | 12\% | 11\% | 14\% | 11\% | 11\% | 12\% | 9\% | 12\% |
| Somewhat oppose | 9\% | 6\% | 20\% | 9\% | 11\% | 4\% | 12\% | 13\% | 9\% | 9\% | 11\% | 8\% | 6\% | 9\% | 8\% | 15\% | 10\% | 7\% |
| Strongly oppose | 42\% | 37\% | 68\% | 43\% | 29\% | 5\% | 80\% | 41\% | 35\% | 47\% | 49\% | 38\% | 68\% | 54\% | 54\% | 31\% | 28\% | 36\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | 1\% | <1\% | 1\% | 1\% | - | <1\% | 1\% | 1\% | <1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | - | <1\% | - | 1\% | 1\% |


| Do you support or oppose each of the following proposals: <br> Allowing undocumented immigrants living in the U.S. the chance to become U.S. citizens if they meet certain requirements over a period of time $n=2,875$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Race |  |  |  | Party Affiliation |  |  | Sex |  | Bachelor's Degree |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| Strongly support | 52\% | 49\% | 70\% | 54\% | 44\% | 30\% | 77\% | 48\% | 46\% | 58\% | 55\% | 51\% | 74\% | 57\% | 59\% | 60\% | 45\% | 41\% |
| Somewhat support | 27\% | 29\% | 19\% | 25\% | 28\% | 32\% | 16\% | 34\% | 30\% | 23\% | 26\% | 27\% | 18\% | 20\% | 22\% | 26\% | 33\% | 31\% |
| Somewhat oppose | 9\% | 10\% | 9\% | 4\% | 5\% | 15\% | 4\% | 7\% | 10\% | 8\% | 11\% | 8\% | 4\% | 10\% | 5\% | 9\% | 8\% | 13\% |
| Strongly oppose | 12\% | 12\% | 3\% | 16\% | 22\% | 23\% | 2\% | 9\% | 13\% | 10\% | 8\% | 13\% | 3\% | 13\% | 14\% | 6\% | 14\% | 14\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | 1\% | 1\% | <1\% | 1\% | - | <1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | - | 1\% | - | <1\% | 1\% |


| Do you support or oppose each of the following proposals: Closing federal immigration detention centers$n=2,875$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Race |  |  |  | Party Affiliation |  |  | Sex |  | Bachelor's Degree |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | White | Black | Hispanic | Other | R | D | NPA | M | F | Yes | No | 18-24 | 25-34 | 35-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65+ |
| Strongly support | 34\% | 29\% | 60\% | 37\% | 28\% | 6\% | 67\% | 27\% | 29\% | 39\% | 37\% | 33\% | 64\% | 38\% | 44\% | 31\% | 25\% | 27\% |
| Somewhat support | 20\% | 22\% | 17\% | 14\% | 24\% | 13\% | 21\% | 31\% | 18\% | 22\% | 20\% | 20\% | 13\% | 24\% | 22\% | 19\% | 19\% | 21\% |
| Somewhat oppose | 18\% | 18\% | 17\% | 18\% | 21\% | 28\% | 9\% | 17\% | 19\% | 17\% | 18\% | 18\% | 15\% | 13\% | 11\% | 24\% | 24\% | 18\% |
| Strongly oppose | 26\% | 29\% | 6\% | 29\% | 27\% | 50\% | 3\% | 22\% | 32\% | 20\% | 23\% | 27\% | 6\% | 25\% | 22\% | 25\% | 31\% | 31\% |
| Don't Know/Refusal | 2\% | 2\% | <1\% | 2\% | - | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | - | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 3\% |


| Sample Characteristics | N $=3,142$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Total Sample |  |
|  | $39 \%$ |
| Party Registration | $38 \%$ |
| Republican | $22 \%$ |
| Democrat |  |
| No Party Affiliation | $98 \%$ |
|  | $2 \%$ |
| Interview Language |  |
| English | $8 \%$ |
| Spanish | $16 \%$ |
|  | $15 \%$ |
| Age | $15 \%$ |
| $18-25$ | $18 \%$ |
| $25-34$ | $29 \%$ |
| $35-44$ |  |
| $45-54$ | $63 \%$ |
| $55-64$ | $14 \%$ |
| $65+$ | $16 \%$ |
|  | $8 \%$ |
| Race |  |
| White |  |
| Black | $7 \%$ |
| Hispanic | $26 \%$ |
| Other | $6 \%$ |
| Hispanic Origin* | $23 \%$ |
| Colombian | $6 \%$ |
| Cuban | $31 \%$ |
| Mexican |  |
| Puerto Rican | $70 \%$ |
| Venezuelan | $30 \%$ |
| Something Else |  |
|  | $57 \%$ |
| Education (Bachelor's Degree) | $52 \%$ |
| No College |  |
| College Graduate |  |
| Born* |  |
| Inside the US |  |
| In another country |  |
|  |  |
| Sex |  |
| Male |  |
| Female |  |
|  |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Data from PORL's Spring Statewide Survey, conducted in February 2020.
    ${ }^{2}$ "Someone Else" was not offered as an option in February survey

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ Data from the PORL COVID-19 survey conducted in April 2020.

