

# Invariance principle for fragmentation processes derived from conditioned stable Galton-Watson trees

Gabriel Berzunza Ojeda\* and Cecilia Holmgren†

October 16, 2020

## Abstract

Aldous, Evans and Pitman (1998) studied the behavior of the fragmentation process derived from deleting the edges of a uniform random tree on  $n$  labelled vertices. In particular, they showed that, after proper rescaling, the above fragmentation process converges as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  to the fragmentation process of the Brownian CRT obtained by cutting-down the Brownian CRT along its skeleton in a Poisson manner. Aldous and Pitman (1998) also showed that this latter is connected to the standard additive coalescent via a deterministic time-change.

In this work, we continue the above investigation and study the fragmentation process obtained by deleting randomly chosen edges from a critical Galton-Watson tree  $\mathbf{t}_n$  conditioned on having  $n$  vertices, whose offspring distribution belongs to the domain of attraction of a stable law of index  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ . Our main results establish that, after rescaling, the fragmentation process of  $\mathbf{t}_n$  converges as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  to the fragmentation process obtained by cutting-down proportional to the length on the skeleton of an  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy tree of index  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ . We further show that the fragmentation process of the  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy tree can be constructed by considering the partitions of the unit interval induced by the normalized  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy excursion with a deterministic drift studied by Miermont (2001), which extends the result of Bertoin (2000) on the fragmentation process of the Brownian CRT. In particular, this implies that the fragmentation of the  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy tree can also be obtained as mixing of time-reversed extremal additive coalescents in analogy with the work of Aldous and Pitman (2000).

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES: Additive coalescent, fragmentation, Galton-Watson trees, spectrally positive stable Lévy processes, stable Lévy tree, Prim's algorithm.

SUBJECT CLASSES: 60J25, 60J90, 60F05, 60G52, 60C05.

## 1 Introduction and main results

Aldous, Evans and Pitman [6, 26, 47] (see also [17, 38] for an alternative approach) consider a fragmentation process of a uniform random tree  $\mathbf{t}_n$  on  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  labelled vertices by deleting the edges of  $\mathbf{t}_n$  one

---

\*DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF LIVERPOOL, UNITED KINGDOM. E-mail: gabriel.berzunza-ojeda@liverpool.ac.uk

†DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UPPSALA UNIVERSITY, SWEDEN. E-mail: cecilia.holmgren@math.uu.se

by one in uniform random order. More precisely, as time passes, the deletion of edges creates more and more subtrees of  $\mathbf{t}_n$  (connected components) such that the evolution of the ranked vector of sizes (number of vertices) of these subtrees (ranked means in decreasing order) evolves as a fragmentation process. It turns out that the asymptotic behavior of this fragmentation process, in reverse time, is related to the so-called *standard additive coalescent* [6, 26]. Moreover, this leads to a continuous representation of the standard additive coalescent in terms of the time-reversal of an analog fragmentation process of the Brownian continuum random tree (Brownian CRT); see [6]. Informally, Evans and Pitman [26, Theorem 2] showed that an additive coalescent is a Feller Markov process with values in the infinite ordered set

$$\mathbb{S} := \left\{ \mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots) : x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq 0 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i < \infty \right\}, \quad (1)$$

endowed with the  $\ell^1$ -norm,  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|$  for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{S}$ , whose evolution is described formally by: given that the current state is  $\mathbf{x}$ , two terms  $x_i$  and  $x_j$ ,  $i < j$ , of  $\mathbf{x}$  are chosen and merged into a single term  $x_i + x_j$  (which implies some reordering of the resulting sequence) at rate equal to  $x_i + x_j$ . A version of this process defined for times describing the whole real axis is called *eternal*. This model is closely related to the so-called Marcus-Lushnikov process [39, 36], and in particular, the version studied in [6] is referred to as the standard additive coalescent.

In this work, we shall extend the investigation, that was begun in [6, 26, 47], to the more general situation where one wants to cut-down critical Galton–Watson trees conditioned on having a fixed number of vertices, but whose offspring distribution belongs to the domain of attraction of a stable law. The tree that was fragmented in [6, 26, 47] is the uniform random tree with  $n$  labelled vertices (also called Cayley tree), or equivalently, a Galton-Watson tree with Poissonian offspring distribution of parameter 1 and conditioned to have  $n$  vertices, where the labels are assigned to the vertices uniformly at random. Thus, Galton-Watson trees conditioned to have  $n$  vertices are a natural generalization.

More precisely, consider a critical offspring distribution  $\mu = (\mu(k), k \geq 0)$ , i.e., a probability distribution on the nonnegative integers satisfying  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k\mu(k) = 1$ . In addition, we always implicitly assume that  $\mu(0) > 0$  and  $\mu(0) + \mu(1) < 1$  to avoid degenerate cases, and that  $\mu$  is aperiodic, which means that the additive subgroup of the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  spanned by  $\{k : \mu(k) \neq 0\}$  is not a proper subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}$  (we introduce this last condition to avoid unnecessary complications, but our results can be extended to the periodic case). We let  $\mathbb{P}_\mu$  denote the distribution of a (plane, rooted) Galton-Watson tree with offspring distribution  $\mu$ , and  $\zeta(\tau)$  be the number of vertices, or size, of a tree  $\tau$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathbb{P}_\mu(\zeta(\tau) = n) > 0$ ,  $\mathbf{t}_n$  will denote a Galton-Watson tree with offspring distribution  $\mu$  conditioned on having  $n$  vertices (or GW-tree for short). The aperiodicity of  $\mu$  guarantees that  $\mathbb{P}_\mu(\zeta(\tau) = n) > 0$  for every  $n$  sufficiently large. Formal definitions will come in Section 4.

Following Aldous, Evans and Pitman [6, 26], we are interested in the evolution of the ranked vector of sizes (in decreasing order) of the subtrees created by deleting randomly chosen edges from a GW-tree  $\mathbf{t}_n$ . Indeed, we will consider a continuous-time version of this cutting-down process. Let  $\mathbf{edge}(\mathbf{t}_n)$  be the set of edges of  $\mathbf{t}_n$  and equip each of the edges of  $\mathbf{t}_n$  with i.i.d. uniform random variables (or weights)

$\mathbf{w} = (w_e : e \in \text{edge}(\mathbf{t}_n))$  on  $[0, 1]$  and independently of the tree  $\mathbf{t}_n$ . For  $u \in [0, 1]$ , we then keep the edges of  $\mathbf{t}_n$  with weight smaller than  $u$  and discard the others. Therefore, one obtains a (fragmentation) forest  $\mathbf{f}_n(u)$  conformed by the connected components (or subtrees of  $\mathbf{t}_n$ ) created by the above procedure; see Figure 3. In particular, the forest  $\mathbf{f}_n(u)$  has the same set of vertices as  $\mathbf{t}_n$  but clearly it has a different set of edges given by  $\text{edge}(\mathbf{f}_n(u)) = \{e \in \text{edge}(\mathbf{t}_n) : w_e \leq u\}$ . Let  $\mathbf{F}_n = (\mathbf{F}_n(u), u \in [0, 1])$  be the process given by

$$\mathbf{F}_n(u) = (F_{n,1}(1-u), F_{n,2}(1-u), \dots), \quad \text{for } u \in [0, 1],$$

the sequence of sizes (number of vertices) of the connected components of the forest  $\mathbf{f}_n(1-u)$ , ranked in decreasing order. We have strategically viewed the sequence of sizes of the components of  $\mathbf{f}_n(1-u)$  as an infinite sequence, by completing with an infinite number of zero terms. Plainly as time passes more and more subtrees are created, and thus, the process  $\mathbf{F}_n$  evolves as a fragmentation process. Note also that  $\mathbf{F}_n(0) = (n, 0, 0, \dots)$  and that  $\mathbf{F}_n(1) = (1, 1, \dots, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$  are infinite sequences where the first  $n$  terms are ones in  $\mathbf{F}_n(1)$ .

Specifically, we are interested in cases when the offspring distribution  $\mu$  is critical and it belongs to domains of attraction of a stable law of index  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ . This latter means that either the variance of  $\mu$  is finite, or  $\mu([k, \infty)) = k^{-\alpha}L(k)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $L : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is a function such that  $L(x) > 0$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$  large enough and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} L(tx)/L(x) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$  (such function is called slowly varying function). In other terms, if  $(Y_i)_{i \geq 1}$  is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with distribution  $\mu$ , then there exists a sequence of positive real numbers  $(B_n)_{n \geq 1}$  such that

$$B_n \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_n - n}{B_n} \xrightarrow{d} Y_\alpha, \quad \text{in distribution as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (2)$$

to a random variable  $Y_\alpha$  with Laplace exponent given by  $\mathbb{E}[\exp(-\lambda Y_\alpha)] = \exp(-\lambda^\alpha)$  whenever  $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ , and  $\mathbb{E}[\exp(-\lambda Y_2)] = \exp(-\lambda^2/2)$  if  $\alpha = 2$ , for every  $\lambda > 0$  ([28, Section XVII.5] guarantees its existence). In the particular case,  $\alpha = 2$ , we have that  $Y_2$  is distributed as a standard gaussian random variable. The factor  $B_n$  is of order  $n^{1/\alpha}$  (more precisely,  $B_n/n^{1/\alpha}$  is a slowly varying function), and one may take  $B_n = \sigma n^{1/2}$  when  $\mu$  has finite variance  $\sigma^2$ .

We henceforth consider that  $\mathbf{t}_n$  is a critical GW-tree whose offspring distribution  $\mu$  belongs to the domain of attraction of a stable law of index  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$  and refer to it as an  $\alpha$ -stable GW-tree, for simplicity. We are then interested in studying the asymptotic behaviour of the fragmentation process  $\mathbf{F}_n$  when  $\mathbf{t}_n$  is an  $\alpha$ -stable GW-tree. In this direction, consider the (rescaled in time and space) fragmentation process  $\mathbf{F}_n^{(\alpha)} = (\mathbf{F}_n^{(\alpha)}(t), t \geq 0)$  given by

$$\mathbf{F}_n^{(\alpha)}(t) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{F}_n\left(\frac{B_n}{n}t\right), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq n/B_n, \quad (3)$$

and  $\mathbf{F}_n^{(\alpha)}(t) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{F}_n(1)$ , for  $t > n/B_n$ , where  $(B_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is the sequence satisfying (2). The process  $\mathbf{F}_n^{(\alpha)}$  takes values on the set  $\mathbb{S}$  defined in (1). Moreover, if  $\mu$  has finite variance  $\sigma^2 = 1$  ( $\alpha = 2$ ), we can take

$B_n = n^{1/2}$ . Then, in this latter case, we have that

$$\mathbf{F}_n^{(2)}(t) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{F}_n \left( \frac{t}{n^{1/2}} \right), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq n^{1/2},$$

and  $\mathbf{F}_n^{(2)}(t) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbf{F}_n(1)$ , for  $t > n^{1/2}$ . In particular, when  $\mu$  is the law of a Poisson random variable of parameter 1 (i.e.,  $\mathbf{t}_n$  is a Cayley tree), the previous process corresponds precisely to Aldous, Evans and Pitman fragmentation process, say  $\mathbf{F}_n^+ = (\mathbf{F}_n^+(t), t \geq 0)$ , of a uniform random tree on  $n$  labeled vertices; see [6, 26, 47, 17].

As we discussed earlier, the fragmentation process  $\mathbf{F}_n^+$  leads to a representation of an additive coalescent by an appropriate time reversal, that is, the exponential time-change  $t \rightarrow e^{-t}$ . Specifically, the process  $(\mathbf{F}_n^+(e^{-t}), t \geq -(1/2) \ln n)$  is an additive coalescent starting at time  $-(1/2) \ln n$  from the state  $(1/n, 1/n, \dots, 1/n, 0, 0, \dots) \in \mathbb{S}$  (or equivalently, from the component sizes in Marcus-Lushnikov model with  $n$  initial masses  $1/n$ ). Evans and Pitman [26] (see also [6, Proposition 2]) showed that this time-reversed version of  $\mathbf{F}_n^+$  converges in distribution to the standard additive coalescent. Moreover, the coalescence-fragmentation relationship (or duality) leads to Aldous and Pitman's construction [6] of the standard additive coalescent by time-reversing a fragmentation process obtained by cutting-down the Brownian CRT along its skeleton at the points of a certain independent Poisson process (this is similar to delete edges in the discrete trees). In particular, the above implies the convergence, in distribution, of the fragmentation process  $\mathbf{F}_n^+$  to the fragmentation process of the Brownian CRT in [6, Theorem 3].

The aim of this paper is to generalize all these previous works and establish a convergence limit result for the fragmentation process  $\mathbf{F}_n^{(\alpha)}$  of an  $\alpha$ -stable GW-tree to the fragmentation process of an  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy tree where cut points fall also along its skeleton. Furthermore, we will identify the exact law of the latter. To state the precise statements (Theorem 1 and Proposition 1), it will be convenient to introduce quickly the already mentioned fragmentation processes and the limiting object, postponing some of the details to Sections 3 and 7.

As shown by Bertoin [10], the fragmentation process of the Brownian CRT in [6] can be constructed by considering the partitions of the unit interval induced by a standard Brownian excursion with drift, where the drift coincides with the time parameter of the fragmentation process. This fragmentation process is sometimes called the *Brownian fragmentation*. In a similar vein, Bertoin [11] has built other fragmentation processes from excursions with drift associated to certain bridges with exchangeable increments. More importantly for us, Miermont [40] studied fragmentation processes that can be derived from Lévy processes with no positive jumps (or equivalently, the negative of spectrally positive Lévy processes) in the same manner as in the case of the Brownian motion in [10]. Specifically, let  $X_\alpha^{\text{exc}} = (X_\alpha^{\text{exc}}(s), s \in [0, 1])$  be the normalized excursion (with unit length) of an  $\alpha$ -stable spectrally positive Lévy process of index  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ ; see Section 3 for a formal definition. In particular,  $X_2^{\text{exc}}$  is the normalized standard Brownian excursion. For every  $t \geq 0$ , define the processes  $Y_\alpha^{(t)} = (Y_\alpha^{(t)}(s), s \in [0, 1])$  and  $I_\alpha^{(t)} = (I_\alpha^{(t)}(s), s \in [0, 1])$  by letting

$$Y_\alpha^{(t)}(s) = X_\alpha^{\text{exc}}(s) - ts \quad \text{and} \quad I_\alpha^{(t)}(s) = \inf_{u \in [0, s]} Y_\alpha^{(t)}(u), \quad \text{for } s \in [0, 1]. \quad (4)$$

For  $t \geq 0$ , we introduce

$$\mathbf{F}^{(\alpha)}(t) = (F_1^{(\alpha)}(t), F_2^{(\alpha)}(t), \dots) \quad (5)$$

as the random element of  $\mathbb{S}$  defined by the ranked sequence (in decreasing order) of the lengths of the intervals components of the complement of the support of the Stieltjes measure  $d(-I_\alpha^{(t)})$ ; note that  $s \mapsto -I_\alpha^{(t)}(s) = \sup_{u \in [0, s]} -Y_\alpha^{(t)}(u)$  is an increasing process. More precisely, the support of  $d(-I_\alpha^{(t)})$  is defined as the set of times when the process  $Y_\alpha^{(t)}$  reaches a new infimum. On the other hand, it can be shown that the support of  $d(-I_\alpha^{(t)})$  coincides with the so-called ladder time set of  $-Y_\alpha^{(t)}$  which is given by the closure of the set of times when  $Y_\alpha^{(t)}$  is equal to its infimum, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{L}^\alpha(t) := \overline{\{s \in [0, 1] : Y_\alpha^{(t)}(s) = I_\alpha^{(t)}(s)\}};$$

see for example [9, Proposition 1, Chapter VI] and the discussion after that. Then  $\mathbf{F}^{(\alpha)}(t)$  is the lengths of the open intervals in the canonical decomposition of  $[0, 1] \setminus \mathcal{L}^\alpha(t)$  arranged in the decreasing order. The intervals components of the complement of the support of the measure  $d(-I_\alpha^{(t)})$  are also called constancy intervals of the process  $-I_\alpha^{(t)}$ , and in fact, those intervals corresponds to excursion intervals of  $Y_\alpha^{(t)}$  above its infimum (or equivalently, excursion intervals of the reflected process  $Y_\alpha^{(t)} - I_\alpha^{(t)}$  above 0). It is well-known that  $\mathcal{L}^\alpha(t)$  is a.s. a random closed set with zero Lebesgue measure which implies that  $\mathbf{F}^{(\alpha)}(t) \in \mathbb{S}_1$  a.s., where  $\mathbb{S}_1 \subset \mathbb{S}$  is the space of the elements of  $\mathbb{S}$  with sum 1; see [9, Corollary 5, Chapter VII].

Observe that for every fixed  $0 \leq t < t'$ , the process  $s \mapsto Y_\alpha^{(t)}(s) - Y_\alpha^{(t')}(s) = (t' - t)s$  is monotone increasing which entails that  $\mathcal{L}^\alpha(t) \subseteq \mathcal{L}^\alpha(t')$ . Then the partition of  $[0, 1]$  induced by  $\mathcal{L}^\alpha(t')$  is finer than that induced by  $\mathcal{L}^\alpha(t)$ . As a consequence, it has been shown by Miermont [40, Proposition 2] (see also [10, Theorem 1] for the case  $\alpha = 2$ ) that the process  $\mathbf{F}^{(\alpha)} = (\mathbf{F}^{(\alpha)}(t), t \geq 0)$  is a fragmentation process issued from  $\mathbf{F}^{(\alpha)}(0) = (1, 0, 0, \dots)$ . A precise description of its transition kernel (specifically, its fragmentation laws) is given in [40, Definition 4]; see Corollary 2 below for some insights. Indeed, the fragmentation process  $\mathbf{F}^{(\alpha)}$  is in general not homogenous in time. From now on, we will refer to  $\mathbf{F}^{(\alpha)}$  as the  $\alpha$ -stable fragmentation of index  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ .

We are now able to state our first main result. Let  $\mathbb{D}(I, \mathbb{M})$  be the space of càdlàg functions from an interval  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  to the separable, complete metric space  $(\mathbb{M}, d)$  equipped with the Skorohod topology; (see e.g. [16, Chapter 3] or [30, Chapter VI] for details on this space).

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathbf{t}_n$  be an  $\alpha$ -stable GW-tree of index  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ . Then, we have the convergence in distribution*

$$(\mathbf{F}_n^{(\alpha)}(t), t \geq 0) \xrightarrow{d} (\mathbf{F}^{(\alpha)}(t), t \geq 0), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{in the space } \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{S}).$$

As we pointed out earlier, for  $\alpha = 2$ ,  $\mathbf{F}^{(2)}$  is exactly the Brownian fragmentation studied by Bertoin [10], that is to say, it corresponds to the fragmentation process derived from the Brownian CRT of Aldous and Pitman [6]; see also [3] for a different representation. In view of this, the second goal of

this paper is to show that indeed the  $\alpha$ -stable fragmentation process  $\mathbf{F}^{(\alpha)}$  is the fragmentation process obtained by cutting-down proportional to the length on the skeleton of the “Lévy generalization” of the Brownian CRT, i.e., the so-called  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy tree of index  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ .

The  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy trees of index  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$  are the continuum random tree analogues ( $\mathbb{R}$ -trees) of (discrete)  $\alpha$ -stable GW-trees. They were introduced in Duquesne and Le Gall [23], and in particular, they also appear as scaling limits of  $\alpha$ -stable GW-trees. In brief, the  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy tree  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha = (\mathcal{T}_\alpha, d_\alpha, \rho_\alpha)$  is a random compact metric space  $(\mathcal{T}_\alpha, d_\alpha)$  with one distinguished element  $\rho \in \mathcal{T}_\alpha$  called the root such that  $(\mathcal{T}_\alpha, d_\alpha)$  is a tree-like space in that for  $v, w \in \mathcal{T}_\alpha$ , there is a unique non-self-crossing path  $[v, w]$  from  $v$  to  $w$  in  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$ , whose length equals  $d(v, w)$ . The leaves  $\text{Lf}(\mathcal{T}_\alpha)$  of  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$  are those points that do not belong to the interior of any path leading from one point to another, and the skeleton of the tree is the set  $\text{Sk}(\mathcal{T}_\alpha) = \mathcal{T}_\alpha \setminus \text{Lf}(\mathcal{T}_\alpha)$  of non-leaf points. The  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy tree  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$  is naturally endowed with a uniform probability measure  $\mu_\alpha$  (*the mass measure*) that is supported on  $\text{Lf}(\mathcal{T}_\alpha)$ , and a unique  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\lambda_\alpha$  (*the length measure*) carried by  $\text{Sk}(\mathcal{T}_\alpha)$  that assigns measure  $d(v, w)$  to the geodesic path between  $v$  and  $w$  in  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$ . Details, properties and further references are given in Section 7.

Following Aldous-Pitman’s fragmentation [6] of the Brownian CRT, the analog of deleting randomly chosen edges in  $\mathbf{t}_n$  is to cut the skeleton of  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$  by a Poisson point process of cuts with intensity  $dt \otimes \lambda_\alpha(dv)$  on  $[0, \infty) \times \mathcal{T}_\alpha$ . For all  $t \geq 0$ , define an equivalence relation  $\sim_t$  on  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$  by saying that  $v \sim_t w$ , for  $v, w \in \mathcal{T}_\alpha$ , if and only if no atom of the Poisson process that has appeared before time  $t$  belongs to the path  $[v, w]$ . These cuts split the  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy tree into a (continuum) forest, that is a countably infinite set of smaller subtrees (connected components) of  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha,1}^{(t)}, \mathcal{T}_{\alpha,2}^{(t)}, \dots$  be the distinct equivalence classes for  $\sim_t$  (connected components of  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$ ), ranked according to the decreasing order of their  $\mu_\alpha$ -masses. The subtrees  $(\mathcal{T}_{\alpha,i}^{(t)}, i \geq 0)$  are nested as  $t$  varies, that is, for every  $0 \leq t < t'$  and  $i \geq 0$ , there exists  $j \geq 1$  such that  $\mathcal{T}_{\alpha,i}^{(t')} \subset \mathcal{T}_{\alpha,j}^{(t)}$ . Let  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{T}_\alpha} = (\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{T}_\alpha}(t), t \geq 0)$  be the process given by

$$\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{T}_\alpha}(t) = (\mu_\alpha(\mathcal{T}_{\alpha,1}^{(t)}), \mu_\alpha(\mathcal{T}_{\alpha,2}^{(t)}), \dots), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{T}_\alpha}(0) = (1, 0, 0, \dots)$ . Indeed,  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{T}_\alpha}$  is a fragmentation process in the sense that  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{T}_\alpha}(t')$  is obtained by splitting at random the elements of  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{T}_\alpha}(t)$ , for  $0 \leq t < t'$ . We call  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{T}_\alpha}$  the fragmentation process of the  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy tree. In particular, the process  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{T}_2}$  is the fragmentation process of the Brownian CRT introduced in [6, Section 2.2]. Note that  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{T}_\alpha}$  takes values in  $\mathbb{S}$ , and that Lemma 6 below shows that  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{T}_\alpha}(t) \in \mathbb{S}_1$  a.s., for every  $t \geq 0$ .

**Proposition 1.** *We have that*

$$(\mathbf{F}^{(\alpha)}(t), t \geq 0) \stackrel{d}{=} (\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{T}_\alpha}(t), t \geq 0),$$

where  $\stackrel{d}{=}$  means equal in distribution (in the sense of finite-dimensional distributions).

Recently, Thévenin [52] has provided a geometric representation of the fragmentation process  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{T}_\alpha}$  by nested laminations, which are compact subsets of the unit disk made of noncrossing chords. Let us briefly recall this construction (referring to [52] for details). Let  $\mathbb{L}^{(\alpha)}$  be the so-called  $\alpha$ -stable

lamination whose “dual” tree is in a certain sense the  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy tree  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$ , and which appears as the limit of certain models of random dissections (which are collections of noncrossing diagonals of a regular polygon). A face in  $\mathbb{L}^{(\alpha)}$  is a connected component of the complement of  $\mathbb{L}^{(\alpha)}$  in the closed unit disk. We recall that for  $v \in \mathcal{T}_\alpha$ , the number of connected components of  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha \setminus \{v\}$  is called the multiplicity of  $v$ . Informally, the faces of  $\mathbb{L}^{(\alpha)}$  are in correspondence with branching points of  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$  (i.e., points with multiplicity strictly larger than 2), and the chords which are not adjacent to any face are in correspondence with the points of  $\text{Sk}(\mathcal{T}_\alpha)$  with multiplicity 2. For  $t \geq 0$ , define a lamination  $\mathbb{L}^{(\alpha)}(t)$  as the subset of  $\mathbb{L}^{(\alpha)}$  obtained by keeping only those chords which correspond to the points of  $\text{Sk}(\mathcal{T}_\alpha)$  where an atom of the Poisson point process of cuts has appeared before time  $t$ . Intuitively, one obtains an increasing lamination-valued process  $(\mathbb{L}^{(\alpha)}(t), t \geq 0)$  by revealing chords of  $\mathbb{L}^{(\alpha)}$  in a Poissonian manner. Define the mass of a face  $C$  in the lamination  $\mathbb{L}^{(\alpha)}$  (or  $\mathbb{L}^{(\alpha)}(t)$ ) as  $1/2\pi$  times the Lebesgue measure of  $\partial C \cap \mathbb{C}_1$ , where  $\mathbb{C}_1$  denotes the unit circle. Then, Theorem 1.1 in [52] shows that

$$(\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{T}_\alpha}(t), t \geq 0) \stackrel{d}{=} (\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{L}^{(\alpha)}(t)), t \geq 0),$$

in the sense of finite-dimensional distributions, where for every  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{L}^{(\alpha)}(t))$  is the sequence of the masses of the faces of  $\mathbb{L}^{(\alpha)}(t)$ , ranked in decreasing order, which is an element of  $\mathbb{S}_1$ . The next result is a straightforward consequence of Proposition 1.

**Corollary 1.** *We have that*

$$(\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{L}^{(\alpha)}(t)), t \geq 0) \stackrel{d}{=} (\mathbf{F}^{(\alpha)}(t), t \geq 0),$$

*in the sense of finite-dimensional distributions.*

Corollary 1 allows us to know the exact distribution of the ranked sequence (in decreasing order) of the masses of the faces of  $\mathbb{L}^{(\alpha)}(t)$ ; see Corollary 2 below. This result may be helpful to deduce some further distributional properties of  $\alpha$ -stable laminations, or of the lamination-valued process  $(\mathbb{L}^{(\alpha)}(t), t \geq 0)$ . Moreover, Th  venin [52] also highlighted a new connection between the process  $(\mathbb{L}^{(\alpha)}(t), t \geq 0)$  and minimal factorizations of the  $n$ -cycle (i.e., factorizations of the permutation  $(12 \cdots n)$  into a product of  $(n - 1)$  transpositions).

Let us now comment on our main results and discuss some further connections with various previous works that lead to some interesting natural questions, referring also to Section 2 for more discussions on related models.

- (a) Theorem 3 in [6] shows that the time-reversed fragmentation process of the Brownian CRT, i.e.  $(\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{T}_2}(e^{-t}), t \in \mathbb{R})$ , is a version of the standard additive coalescent providing an explicit construction of this last process. In general, Miermont [40, Section 6] has shown that the time-reversed  $\alpha$ -stable fragmentation process, i.e.  $(\mathbf{F}^{(\alpha)}(e^{-t}), t \in \mathbb{R})$ , is an eternal additive coalescent as described by Evans and Pitman [26], and more precisely, it is a mixing of so-called *extremal coalescents* of Aldous and Pitman [7] (see also [11]) which exact law is given in [40, Proposition 3]. Therefore,



Theorem 1 shows that such eternal additive coalescent can also be constructed from the  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy tree by Poisson splitting along its skeleton.

- (b) Theorem 1 extends the convergence result of the fragmentation process of a Cayley tree  $\mathbf{F}_n^+$  to the Brownian fragmentation  $\mathbf{F}^{(2)}$  established by Evans and Pitman [26], and alternatively proved also in [6, 17, 38].
- (c) Our results generalize Bertoin's work [10] and complete Miermont's [40] one by identifying the distribution of the  $\alpha$ -stable fragmentation with that of the fragmentation process of the  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy tree. Moreover, the results in [40, Sections 3 and 4] allow us to make the semigroup of  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{T}_\alpha}$  (or equivalently,  $\mathbf{F}^{(\alpha)}$ ) explicit as Bertoin [10] has done for the Brownian fragmentation process. In particular, Bertoin [12] noticed that  $\mathbf{F}^{(2)}$  (or equivalently, the fragmentation process of the Brownian CRT) is a *self-similar fragmentation process* of index  $1/2$ . These processes have been introduced and extensively studied by Bertoin [13]. Informally, the laws of self-similar fragmentation processes are characterized by a triple  $(\beta, c, \nu)$ , where  $\beta$  is the self-similarity index,  $c \geq 0$  is an erosion coefficient and  $\nu$  is a  $\sigma$ -finite dislocation measure on the space  $\mathbb{S}_{\leq 1} \subset \mathbb{S}$  of elements of  $\mathbb{S}$  with sum less or equal to 1. The measure  $\nu$  describes the way sudden dislocations occur. In [12], Bertoin showed that the erosion term of  $\mathbf{F}^{(2)}$  is 0, and that the dislocation measure  $\nu_2$  is characterized by two formulas, for  $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, s_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{S}_{\leq 1}$ ,

$$\nu_2(s_1 \in dx) = (2\pi x^3(1-x)^3)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad x \in [1/2, 1),$$

and  $\nu_2(\{\mathbf{s} \in \mathbb{S}_{\leq 1} : s_1 + s_2 < 1\}) = 0$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{F}^{(2)}$  is a binary fragmentation process. In general, the  $\alpha$ -stable fragmentation process  $\mathbf{F}^{(\alpha)}$  (or equivalently,  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{T}_\alpha}$ ) is a binary fragmentation process. This is a consequence of cutting-down the  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy tree  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$  proportional to the length on its skeleton, and the well-known fact that the points in  $\text{Sk}(\mathcal{T}_\alpha)$  that does not have multiplicity 2 form a countable set where the length measure  $\lambda_\alpha$  is zero (indeed,  $\lambda_\alpha$  is non-atomic); see [24, Theorem 4.6]. However, for  $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ , the process  $\mathbf{F}^{(\alpha)}$  is not a self-similar fragmentation due to the existence of points in  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$  that have infinite multiplicity which is not the case for the Brownian CRT ( $\alpha = 2$ ); see also the explanation in the last paragraph of page 342 in [42].

- (d) For  $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ , Miermont [41, 42] (see also [37]) has shown that different destruction procedures on the  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy tree  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$  yield to self-similar fragmentation processes, either by removing points of infinite multiplicity or by removing points located under a certain height in  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$ . As it has been shown in Theorem 1 and Proposition 1, it is then natural to ask whether one can show that the properly rescaled discrete analogues of such processes in [41, 42] converge to their continuous counterpart. In fact, several authors have considered similar fragmentation processes (including the one studied in this paper) in more general *Lévy trees*; see [1, 54]. This opens the possibility for a further extension beyond  $\alpha$ -stable GW-trees and  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy trees.

The proof of Theorem 1 uses some ideas developed in [17] where only the particular case when  $\mathbf{t}_n$  is a Cayley tree is treated. However, the implementation of these ideas and the technical arguments



differ from that of [17], where the special structure of Cayley trees and the connection with the additive coalescent plays a crucial role. Therefore, several parts of the proof of Theorem 1 require new ideas.

The method to prove Theorem 1 relies on the so-called *Prim's algorithm* [49] to obtain a consistent order on the vertices of the forest created by deleting randomly chosen edges from a GW-tree  $\mathbf{t}_n$ , which we refer to as the *Prim order*. Informally, given  $\mathbf{t}_n$  whose edges are equipped with non-negative and distinct weights, and a starting vertex, say  $v$  of  $\mathbf{t}_n$ , Prim's algorithm explores a connected component from  $v$ , each time visiting a neighbouring vertex which connecting edge possesses the smallest weight; see Section 4. Then every time an edge is removed and a new connected component is created, the Prim order of the vertices in the new forest always remains the same. This will allow us to precisely encode this forest (and in particular, the sizes of connected components) using a discrete analogue of the process  $Y_\alpha^{(t)}$  defined in (4) that we refer to as the *Prim path*. We then show that this (properly rescaled) Prim path indeed converges to its continuous version whenever  $\mathbf{t}_n$  is an  $\alpha$ -stable GW-tree of index  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ . Finally, and inspired by results in [11], we show a general approach for the convergence of fragmentation processes encoded by functions in  $\mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  that might be of independent interest.

We would like to point out some of the key differences with the proof given in [17] for Cayley trees. For example, the convergence of the encoding processes in [17] uses a bound (see in (10) in [17]) that is only known to hold for Cayley trees (or GW-trees where  $\mu$  has some exponential finite moment). In [17], the authors mostly work with convergence of continuous processes. This is no longer possible in our more general framework, since our encoding processes are discontinuous due to the nature of the  $\alpha$ -stable GW-trees. The above makes an important difference at the technical level.

To prove Proposition 1, we extend the proof of Theorem 3 in [6], where only the case of the Brownian CRT ( $\alpha = 2$ ) has been considered; indeed the argument is closer to that of the proof of Proposition 13 in Aldous and Pitman [7]. Informally, we use the convergence of rescaled  $\alpha$ -stable GW-trees to the  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy tree  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$  in order to approximate the fragmentation process of  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$ . As it is pointed out by Aldous and Pitman [6] for the Brownian case, it is an open problem to try to show Proposition 1 directly rather than to use discrete approximations. We would like to remark that the proofs of our main results are quite independent and they can be read separately.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we discuss some further connections with some combinatorial and probabilistic models: additive coalescents, parking schemes and Bernoulli bond-percolation. In Section 3, we recall some facts about stable Lévy processes, bridges and excursions that will be important for our proofs. Section 4 is devoted to the introduction of Galton-Watson trees as well as the formal definition of the exploration process (the Prim path) associated with the fragmentation forest. The asymptotic behavior of the Prim path is studied in Section 4. Finally, the proofs of Theorem 1 and Proposition 1 are given in Section 6 and Section 7, respectively.

## 2 Related models

In this section, we highlight some further connections of the fragmentation process of GW-trees.

**Additive coalescents.** The additive coalescent  $\mathbf{F}_n^+ = (\mathbf{F}_n^+(e^{-t}), t \geq -(1/2)\ln n)$  associated to a Cayley tree possesses a nice combinatorial representation as a process of coalescent forests that is due to Pitman [47] (see also [26, Construction 3]). In this description, one views the additive coalescent as a forest-valued Markov chain where edges are added successively between a uniform random vertex taken in the global Cayley forest and a random root taken among the roots of the trees which do not contain the previously selected vertex. This results in the coagulation of two tree components of the forest. It seems that this point of view works nicely due to the simple nature of the Cayley forests. A similar representation has also been shown by Aldous and Pitman [7] (see also [26, Construction 5]) where the Cayley tree is replaced by so-called *birthday trees* which generalize the former tree in allowing “weights” on the vertices. Indeed, Aldous and Pitman [7, Proposition 13] showed that the fragmentation process associated to these birthday trees converges (after a properly rescaling) to the fragmentation process associated to their continuum counterpart, the *inhomogeneous continuum random trees* (in the sense of finite dimensional-distributions).

In this case, a natural question to ask would be whether one can provide a similar combinatorial interpretation when one replaces the Cayley tree for a more general GW-tree. We have not attempted to give such an interpretation in this work and we do not know whether this is possible. However, the interested reader may want to take a look to the recent work of Marckert and Wang [38]. In [38], the authors provide a modification of Pitman’s representation that induces the same additive coalescent.

**Parking schemes.** Chassaing and Louchard [18, Theorem 1.3] showed that the properly rescaled ranked sequence (in decreasing order) of sizes of the clustering process in a linear/circular parking scheme converges to the Brownian fragmentation process  $\mathbf{F}^{(2)}$ , as the number of parking spaces tends to infinity (in the sense of finite-dimensional distributions). Roughly speaking, the linear parking scheme is the *Knuth’s parking problem* in which single cars arrive at random on a circular parking lot with a finite number of car park spaces. Then each car turns clockwise until it finds a free space to park. In a similar vein, a generalized version of this parking problem in which single cars are replaced by caravans of cars (i.e. several cars may arrive simultaneously at the same car park space) has been studied by Bertoin and Miermont [15]. In Theorem 1 of [15], Bertoin and Miermont relate the asymptotics for the rescaled ranked sizes of car blocks formed by occupied park spaces with the ranked sequence of the lengths of constancy intervals of certain excursion with varying drift as in the definition of the  $\alpha$ -stable fragmentation process (but only for the finite-dimensional distribution). Indeed, if the random number of cars  $\eta$  arriving in the caravan has a finite variance, then the limit is again  $\mathbf{F}^{(2)}$ . If, instead,  $\eta$  is in the domain of attraction of a stable law of index  $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ , then the fragmentation process is defined through a process that the authors call a *standard stable loop*.

The original Knuth’s parking problem (or its generalization) is also related to the problem of hashing with linear probing in computer sciences, i.e., spaces in the parking lots may be thought of as elementary memory spaces that can be used to store elementary data (cars). These type of models also bear some similarities with an aggregating server system studied by Bertoin [11] which once again its asymptotic evolution can be described in terms of the lengths of the intervals of constancy of certain excursion with varying drift constructed from a bridge with exchangeable increments. Indeed, in some cases, one

recovers the Brownian fragmentation process  $\mathbf{F}^{(2)}$ .

The study of the linear/circular parking scheme by Chassaing and Louchard [18] (see also the work of Chassaing and Janson [19]) provides another combinatorial interpretation of the additive coalescent that is connected to Pitman's representation [47]. In fact, this connection is made more precise in [38], where the authors introduced an enriched parking process that encodes Pitman's coalescent forest. On the other hand, the approach via the Prim's algorithm, by Broutin and Marckert [17], establishes yet another connection between the block sizes in the parking scheme and tree sizes of Pitman's coalescent forest. The above suggests that there could be a parking scheme that is related to the fragmentation process of a general GW-tree which might provide a nice connection to the additive coalescent.

**Bernoulli bond-percolation.** Bernoulli bond-percolation on finite connected graphs is perhaps the simplest example of a percolation model. In this model, each edge in the connected graph is removed with probability  $1 - p \in (0, 1)$ , and it is kept with probability  $p$ , independently of the other edges. This induces a partition of the set of vertices of the graph into connected components usually referred to as clusters. It should be intuitively clear that there is a link between Bernoulli bond-percolation on GW-trees and their associated fragmentation processes. More precisely, let  $\mathbf{t}_n$  be a GW-tree. Recall that we equip the edges of  $\mathbf{t}_n$  with i.i.d. uniform random variables (or weights)  $\mathbf{w} = (w_e : e \in \text{edge}(\mathbf{t}_n))$  on  $[0, 1]$  (independently of  $\mathbf{t}_n$ ), and that for  $u \in [0, 1]$  we keep the edges with weight smaller than  $u$ , while we discard the others. For  $u \in [0, 1]$ , this continuous-time cutting-down procedure results in a random forest of connected components  $\mathbf{f}_n(u)$ . For  $u \in [0, 1]$ , the probability that a given edge of  $\mathbf{t}_n$  has not yet been removed at time  $u$  in the above continuous-time destruction procedure of  $\mathbf{t}_n$  is  $u$ . Thus, the configuration of the connected components of  $\mathbf{f}_n(u)$  at time  $u$  is precisely that resulting from Bernoulli bond-percolation on  $\mathbf{t}_n$  with parameter  $u$ .

A natural problem in this setting is then to investigate the asymptotic behavior of the sizes (number of vertices) of the largest clusters for appropriate percolation regimes when the size of  $\mathbf{t}_n$  goes to infinity. In this direction, let  $\mathbf{t}_n$  be an  $\alpha$ -stable GW-tree and let  $(B_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be the sequence of positive real numbers satisfying (2). An application of Theorem 1 shows that for the percolation parameter  $1 - (B_n/n)t$  with a fixed  $t \geq 0$ , the sequence of sizes of the clusters ranked in decreasing order and renormalized by a factor of  $1/n$  (i.e.  $\mathbf{F}_n^{(\alpha)}(t)$ ) converges in distribution as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  to  $\mathbf{F}^{(\alpha)}(t)$ . Indeed, Theorem 2 in [40] allows us to describe explicitly the distribution of  $\mathbf{F}^{(\alpha)} = (\mathbf{F}^{(\alpha)}(t), t \geq 0)$  at fixed times. Let  $(p_s(z), z \in \mathbb{R}, s \geq 0)$  be the family of densities of the distribution of a strictly stable spectrally positive Lévy process with index  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ ; see Section 3.

**Corollary 2.** *For  $t > 0$ , let  $a_1^{(\alpha)}(t) > a_2^{(\alpha)}(t) > \dots$  be the atoms of a Poisson measure on  $(0, \infty)$  with intensity  $\Lambda_\alpha^{(t)}(dz) := z^{-1}p_z(-tz)\mathbb{1}_{\{z>0\}}dz$ , ranked in decreasing order. Then*

$$\mathbf{F}^{(\alpha)}(t) \stackrel{d}{=} \left( (a_1^{(\alpha)}(t), a_2^{(\alpha)}(t), \dots) \mid \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i^{(\alpha)}(t) = 1 \right).$$

Following Bertoin's [14] work about Bernoulli bond-percolation on random trees. The percolation regime  $1 - (B_n/n)t$  on the  $\alpha$ -stable GW-tree  $\mathbf{t}_n$  corresponds to the so-called supercritical regime (i.e.,

with high probability, there exists a giant cluster which size is of order  $n$ ). In particular, Pitman [47] has already shown Corollary 2 for Cayley trees. Furthermore, it has been shown in [6, 10] that the distribution of  $\mathbf{F}^{(2)}(t)$  is equal to that of the ranked jump sizes (in decreasing order) of a stable subordinator of index  $1/2$  over the interval  $[0, t]$ , conditionally on being 1 at time  $t$ . In general, for  $t > 0$ ,  $\Lambda_\alpha^{(t)}(dz) := z^{-1}p_z(-tz)\mathbb{1}_{\{z>0\}}dz$  is the Lévy measure of a not killed pure jump subordinator and  $a_1^{(\alpha)}(t) > a_2^{(\alpha)}(t) > \dots$  is the ranked jump sizes of this subordinator before time  $t$ ; see [40]. We refer to [46] and [48, Section 8.1] for more information about the distribution of the jumps of a subordinator.

### 3 Stable Lévy processes, bridges and excursions

In this section, we recall several results about stable Lévy processes without negative jumps and refer the interesting reader to [9, Chapter VIII] or the work of Chaumont [20] for further details.

**Spectrally positive stable Lévy processes.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be the underlying probability space. A strictly stable spectrally positive Lévy process with index  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$  is a random process  $X_\alpha = (X_\alpha(s), s \geq 0)$  with paths in  $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$ , which has independent and stationary increments, no negative jumps and such that  $\mathbb{E}[\exp(-\lambda X_\alpha(s))] = \exp(cs\lambda^\alpha)$  for every  $s, \lambda \geq 0$ , and some constant  $c > 0$ .

An important feature of  $X_\alpha$  is the so-called scaling property: for every real constant  $k > 0$ , the process  $(k^{-1/\alpha}X_\alpha(ks), s \geq 0)$  has the same distribution as  $X_\alpha$ . Thanks to this scaling property, we can take  $c = 1$  if  $\alpha = (1, 2)$ , and  $c = 1/2$  if  $\alpha = 2$ , without loss of generality for our purpose. In particular, for  $\alpha = 2$ , the process  $X_2$  is the standard Brownian motion on the positive real line. In [50], it is shown that the distribution of  $X_\alpha(s)$  has a density  $(p_s(x), x \in \mathbb{R})$  for every  $s > 0$ , such that  $p_s(x)$  is jointly continuous in  $x$  and  $s$ . Moreover, the scaling property of  $X_\alpha$  implies that  $p_s(x) = s^{-1/\alpha}p_1(xs^{-1/\alpha})$ , for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $s > 0$ . It is also well-known that  $p_1$  is positive and bounded (see e.g. [55]).

**Stable bridge and stable normalized excursion.** The stable Lévy bridge  $X_\alpha^{\text{br}} = (X_\alpha^{\text{br}}(s), s \in [0, 1])$  is a random process with paths in  $\mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  that can informally be defined as the process  $X_\alpha$  conditioned to be at level 0 at time 1. This conditioning can be made rigorous and we refer to [20] for details. Chaumont [20] provided a path-construction for  $X_\alpha^{\text{br}}$ . For  $0 < s < 1$ , the distribution of  $(X_\alpha^{\text{br}}(u), u \in [0, s])$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the law of  $(X_\alpha(u), u \in [0, s])$ . More precisely, for any bounded continuous function  $G$  defined on  $\mathbb{D}([0, s], \mathbb{R})$ , we have that

$$\mathbb{E}[G(X_\alpha^{\text{br}}(u), u \in [0, s])] = \mathbb{E}\left[G(X_\alpha(u), u \in [0, s]) \frac{p_{1-t}(-X_\alpha(u))}{p_1(0)}\right]. \quad (6)$$

It then follows that  $X_\alpha^{\text{br}}$  and the process reversed at 1, i.e.  $(-X_\alpha^{\text{br}}(1-s), s \in [0, 1])$ , has the same distribution.

The normalized excursion  $X_\alpha^{\text{exc}} = (X_\alpha^{\text{exc}}(s), s \in [0, 1])$  of a spectrally positive  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy process with unit lifetime is a random process with paths in  $\mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  that can be thought as the process  $X_\alpha^{\text{br}}$  conditioned to stay nonnegative between times 0 and 1. Let us make this more precise and formally

define the process  $X_\alpha^{\text{exc}}$ . We consider the so-called Vervaat transform (or Vervaat excursion) introduced by Takács [51] and used by Vervaat [53] to change a bridge type function in  $\mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  into an excursion.

More precisely, a bridge is a function  $g \in \mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  such that  $g(0) = g(1) = g(1-) = 0$ . For any  $g \in \mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ , we set  $\bar{\mu}(g) := \inf\{s \in [0, 1] : g(s-) \wedge g(s) = \inf_{u \in [0, 1]} g(u)\}$ , i.e., the smallest location of the infimum of  $g$ . Then, we define the Vervaat transform  $\mathbf{V}$  of a bridge  $g \in \mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  by

$$\mathbf{V}(g)(s) := \begin{cases} g(s + \bar{\mu}(g)) - \inf_{u \in [0, 1]} g(u) & \text{if } s \leq 1 - \bar{\mu}(g), \\ g(s + \bar{\mu}(g) - 1) - \inf_{u \in [0, 1]} g(u) & \text{if } s \geq 1 - \bar{\mu}(g). \end{cases}$$

Clearly,  $\mathbf{V}(g)$  is a path in  $\mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  which only takes nonnegative values and  $\mathbf{V}(g)(0) = \mathbf{V}(g)(1) = 0$ . We will usually refer to the Vervaat transform  $\mathbf{V}(g)$  as the excursion associated to  $g$ .

It is easy to see that the stable bridge  $X_\alpha^{\text{br}}$  satisfies, by time-reversal,  $X_\alpha^{\text{br}}(0) = X_\alpha^{\text{br}}(1) = X_\alpha^{\text{br}}(1-) = 0$ . Moreover, thanks to (6), it is well-known that  $X_\alpha^{\text{br}}$  reaches its infimum at a unique random time that we will denote by  $\bar{\mu}_\alpha := \bar{\mu}(X_\alpha^{\text{br}})$ ; see [20]. Thus, we formally define the normalized excursion of  $X_\alpha$  (with unit length) as the Vervaat transform of the stable bridge  $X_\alpha^{\text{br}}$ , i.e.,  $X_\alpha^{\text{exc}} := \mathbf{V}(X_\alpha^{\text{br}})$ . We refer to the work of Chaumont [20] (see also [9, Chapter VIII]) for other constructions of the process  $X_\alpha^{\text{exc}}$  via path transformations, or alternatively, using arguments from excursion theory of Markov processes. A useful property (see [20, Theorem 4]) that one can deduce from the above construction is that

$$\bar{\mu}_\alpha \text{ and } X_\alpha^{\text{exc}} \text{ are independent and } \bar{\mu}_\alpha \text{ is uniformly distributed on } [0, 1]. \quad (7)$$

## 4 The coding of Galton-Watson trees and their fragmentation

In this section, we formally introduce the family of Galton-Watson trees and explain how they can be coded by different functions, namely the so-called Łukasiewicz path and a similar path derived by the Prim's algorithm. The latter provides an alternative order on the vertices of the tree, which we refer to as the Prim order. Following [17], we show how the Prim's order of the vertices can be used to define a consistent exploration process of the fragmentation forest that stores all the information of the sizes of its connected components. Finally, we prove a distributional property for this exploration process that will be a crucial ingredient to establish Theorem 1.

**Plane trees.** We follow the formalism of Neveu [44]. Let  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$  be the set of positive integers, set  $\mathbb{N}^0 = \{\emptyset\}$  and consider the set of labels  $\mathbb{U} = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{N}^n$ . For  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{U}$ , we denote by  $|u| = n$  the length (or generation, or height) of  $u$ ; if  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_m) \in \mathbb{U}$ , we let  $uv = (u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_m) \in \mathbb{U}$  be the concatenation of  $u$  and  $v$ .

A plane tree is a nonempty, finite subset  $\tau \subset \mathbb{U}$  such that: (i)  $\emptyset \in \tau$ ; (ii) if  $v \in \tau$  and  $v = uj$  for some  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $u \in \tau$ ; (iii) if  $u \in \tau$ , then there exists an integer  $c(u) \geq 0$  such that  $ui \in \tau$  if and only if  $1 \leq i \leq c(u)$ . We will view each vertex  $u$  of a tree  $\tau$  as an individual of a population whose  $\tau$  is the genealogical tree. The vertex  $\emptyset$  is called the root of the tree and for every  $u \in \tau$ ,  $c(u)$  is the number of children of  $u$  (if  $c(u) = 0$ , then  $u$  is called a leaf, otherwise,  $u$  is called an internal vertex). The total

progeny (or size) of  $\tau$  will be denoted by  $\zeta(\tau) = \text{Card}(\tau)$  (i.e., the number of vertices of  $\tau$ ).

We denote by  $\mathbb{T}$  the set of plane trees and for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by  $\mathbb{T}_n$  the set of plane trees with  $n$  vertices, or equivalently  $n - 1$  edges.

**Galton-Watson trees.** Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{Z}_+$  which satisfies  $\mu(0) > 0$ , expectation  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k\mu(k) \leq 1$  and such that  $\mu(0) + \mu(1) < 1$ . The law of a Galton-Watson tree with offspring distribution  $\mu$  is the unique probability measure  $\mathbb{P}_\mu$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (i)  $\mathbb{P}_\mu(c(\emptyset) = k) = \mu(k)$  for every  $k \geq 0$ ;
- (ii) For every  $k \geq 1$  such that  $\mu(k) > 0$ , conditional on the event  $\{c(\emptyset) = k\}$ , the subtrees that stem from the children of the root  $\{u \in \mathbb{U} : 1u \in \tau\}, \dots, \{u \in \mathbb{U} : ku \in \tau\}$  are independent and distributed as  $\mathbb{P}_\mu$ .


Otter [45] shows that the law  $\mathbb{P}_\mu$  is given by the explicit formula  $\mathbb{P}_\mu(\tau) = \prod_{u \in \tau} \mu(c(u))$ . A random tree whose distribution is  $\mathbb{P}_\mu$  will be called (in this section) a Galton-Watson tree with offspring distribution  $\mu$ . We also denote by  $\mathbb{P}_\mu^{(n)}$  the law on  $\mathbb{T}_n$  of a Galton-Watson tree with offspring distribution  $\mu$  conditioned to have  $n$  vertices, providing that this conditioning makes sense.

**Coding planar trees by a discrete paths.** Fix a tree  $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$  and associate to every ordering  $\emptyset = u(0) \prec u(1) \prec \dots \prec u(\zeta(\tau) - 1)$  of the vertices of  $\tau$  a path  $\mathcal{W} = (\mathcal{W}(k), 0 \leq k \leq \zeta(\tau))$ , by letting  $\mathcal{W}(0) = 0$  and for  $0 \leq k \leq \zeta(\tau) - 1$ ,  $\mathcal{W}(k+1) = \mathcal{W}(k) + c(u(k)) - 1$ , where we recall that  $c(u(k))$  denotes the number of children of the vertex  $u(k) \in \tau$ .

Observe that  $\mathcal{W}(k+1) - \mathcal{W}(k) = c(u(k)) - 1 \geq -1$  for every  $0 \leq k \leq \zeta(\tau) - 1$ , with equality if and only if  $u(k)$  is a leaf of  $\tau$ . Note also that  $\mathcal{W}(k) \geq 0$ , for every  $0 \leq k \leq \zeta(\tau) - 1$ , but  $\mathcal{W}(\zeta(\tau)) = -1$ . We shall think of such a path as the step function on  $[0, \zeta(\tau)]$  given  $s \mapsto \mathcal{W}(\lfloor s \rfloor)$ . We will use two different orderings of the vertices of a tree  $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$ :

- (i) **Lexicographical ordering.** Given  $v, w \in \tau$ , we write  $v \prec_{\text{lex}} w$  if there exists  $z \in \tau$  such that  $v = z(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ ,  $w = z(w_1, \dots, w_m)$  and  $v_1 < w_1$ .
- (ii) **Prim ordering.** Let  $\text{edge}(\tau)$  be the set of edges of  $\tau$  and consider a sequence of distinct and positive weights  $\mathbf{w} = (w_e : e \in \text{edge}(\tau))$  (i.e., each edge  $e$  of  $\tau$  is marked with a different and positive weight  $w_e$ ). Given two distinct vertices  $u, v \in \tau$ , we write  $\{u, v\}$  for the edge connecting  $u$  and  $v$  in  $\tau$ . Let us describe the Prim order  $\prec_{\text{prim}}$  of the vertices in  $\tau$ , that is,  $\emptyset = u(0) \prec_{\text{prim}} u(1) \prec_{\text{prim}} \dots \prec_{\text{prim}} u(\zeta(\tau) - 1)$ . We will use the notation  $V_i$  for the set  $\{u(0), \dots, u(i-1)\}$ , for  $0 \leq i \leq \zeta(\tau)$ . First set  $u(0) = \emptyset$  and  $V_0 = \{u(0)\}$ . Suppose that for some  $0 \leq i \leq \zeta(\tau) - 1$ , the vertices  $u(0), \dots, u(i-1)$  have been defined. Consider the weights  $\{w_{\{u,v\}} : u \in V_i, v \notin V_i\}$  of edges between a vertex of  $V_i$  and another outside of  $V_i$ . Since all the weights are distinct, the minimum weight in  $\{w_{\{u,v\}} : u \in V_i, v \notin V_i\}$  is reached at an edge  $\{\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}\}$  where  $\tilde{u} \in V_i$  and  $\tilde{v} \notin V_i$ . Then set  $u(i+1) = \tilde{v}$ . This iterative procedure completely determines the Prim order  $\prec_{\text{prim}}$ .


The procedure just described to obtain the Prim ordering is known as Prim's algorithm (or Prim-Jarník algorithm); see [49]. In fact, this algorithm associates to any properly weighted graph its unique minimum spanning tree. In practice, one can usually consider that  $\mathbf{w}$  is a sequence i.i.d. positive random variables such that they are all distinct a.s. and independent of the tree. See Figure 1 for an illustration of the previous orderings of the vertices in a tree.



**Figure 1:** From left to right, a plane tree with vertices labeled in lexicographical order and a weighted plane tree with vertices labeled in Prim order.

Denote by  $\mathcal{W}^{\text{lex}} = (\mathcal{W}^{\text{lex}}(k), 0 \leq k \leq \zeta(\tau))$  and  $\mathcal{W}^{\text{prim}} = (\mathcal{W}^{\text{prim}}(k), 0 \leq k \leq \zeta(\tau))$  the paths constructed by using respectively the lexicographical and Prim ordering of the vertices of  $\tau$ ; see Figure 2. The path  $\mathcal{W}^{\text{lex}}$  is commonly called Łukasiewicz path of  $\tau$ ; we refer to [35] for more details and properties on the Łukasiewicz path. From now on, we refer to  $\mathcal{W}^{\text{prim}}$  as the Prim path.

Define the probability measure  $\hat{\mu}$  on  $\{-1, 0, 1, \dots\}$  by  $\hat{\mu}(k) = \mu(k+1)$  for every  $k \geq -1$ . Let  $W = (W(k), k \geq 0)$  be a random walk which starts at 0 with jump distribution  $\hat{\mu}$  and define also the time  $\zeta_1 = \inf\{k \geq 0 : W(k) = -1\}$ . In the Prim ordering, consider that the weights  $\mathbf{w}$  is a sequence of i.i.d. positive random variables such that they are distinct a.s. and independent of the tree. The following proposition shows that the Łukasiewicz path and the Prim path associated with a Galton–Watson tree are quite simple objects.



**Figure 2:** In the left, the Łukasiewicz path of the plane tree in Figure 1. In the right, the Prim path of the plane tree in Figure 1




**Proposition 2.** *For every  $* \in \{\text{lex}, \text{prim}\}$ , if we sample a plane tree according to  $\mathbb{P}_\mu$ , then  $\mathcal{W}^*$  is distributed as  $(W(0), W(1), \dots, W(\zeta_1))$ . In particular, the total progeny of the sample plane tree has the same distribution as  $\zeta_1$ .*

*Proof.* The proof for the Łukasiewicz path can be found in [35, Proposition 1.5]. For the Prim path the proof follows from a simple adaptation of that of [35, Proposition 1.5]; see also [17, Lemmas 15 and 16] for an alternative approach.  $\square$

**Fragmentation of a plane tree.** Consider  $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$  and recall that  $\text{edge}(\tau)$  denotes its set of edges. Equip the edges of  $\tau$  with i.i.d. uniform random variables (or weights)  $\mathbf{w} = (w_e : e \in \text{edge}(\tau))$  on  $[0, 1]$  and independently of the tree  $\tau$ . In particular, for a vertex  $v \in \tau$  with  $c(v) \geq 1$  children, we write  $(w_{v,k}, 1 \leq k \leq c(v))$  for the weights of the edges connecting  $v$  with its children. For  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we then keep the edges of  $\tau$  with weight smaller than  $t$  and discard the others. This gives rise to a forest  $\mathbf{f}(t)$  with the same set vertices as  $\tau$  but with set of edges given by  $\text{edge}(\mathbf{f}(t)) = \{e \in \text{edge}(\tau) : w_e \leq t\}$ . Furthermore, each vertex  $v \in \mathbf{f}(t)$  has  $c_t(v) = \sum_{k=1}^{c(v)} \mathbb{1}_{\{w_{v,k} \leq t\}}$  children if  $c(v) \geq 1$ ; otherwise,  $c_t(v) = 0$  whenever  $c(v) = 0$ .

In what follows, we refer to the forest  $\mathbf{f}(t)$  associated to a plane tree  $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$  and uniform weights  $\mathbf{w}$  as *the fragmented forest* at time  $t \in [0, 1]$ , or simply, *fragmentation forest*; see Figure 3. It is important to point out that in this work we restrict ourselves to the case uniform i.i.d. weights, but certainly some of the forthcoming results can be extended easily for more general sequences of weights.



**Figure 3:** A plane tree with uniform random weights in the left side. In the right side, the forest created by keeping the edges with weight at most  $t = .92$ . The vertices are leabled according to the Prim ordering

**Exploration of the fragmentation forest.** For a plane tree  $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$  and sequence of i.i.d. uniform random weights  $\mathbf{w} = (w_e : e \in \text{edge}(\tau))$  on  $[0, 1]$  (also independent of  $\tau$ ), let  $\mathbf{f}(t)$  be the fragmented forest of  $\tau$  at time  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Let us now explain how to explore the subtree components of the forest  $\mathbf{f}(t)$  by using the approach outlined in [17, page 532] which is similar to the one used in [5].

For  $t \in [0, 1]$ , denote by  $\text{Neigh}_t(v) := \{u \in \mathbf{f}(t) : \{u, v\} \in \text{edge}(\mathbf{f}(t))\}$  the set of neighbors of  $v \in \mathbf{f}(t)$ . For a set of vertices  $V$  of  $\mathbf{f}(t)$ , let also  $\text{Neigh}_t(V) := (\bigcup_{v \in V} \text{Neigh}_t(v)) \setminus V$ , the set of neighbors of

vertices in  $V$  but not in  $V$ . We associate to every ordering  $\varnothing = u(0) \prec u(1) \prec \cdots \prec u(\zeta(\tau) - 1)$  of the vertices of the plane tree  $\tau$  the following exploration process of  $\mathbf{f}(t)$  (recall that  $\mathbf{f}(t)$  and  $\tau$  have the same set of vertices). The first visited vertex is  $v_t(0) = u(0)$ . Suppose that we have explored the vertices  $V_k = \{v_t(0), \dots, v_t(k-1)\}$  at some time  $1 \leq k \leq \zeta(\tau)$ . If  $k = \zeta(\tau)$ , we have finished the exploration, and otherwise, one has two possibilities:

- (i) if  $\mathbf{Neigh}_t(V_k) \neq \varnothing$ , then  $v_t(k)$  is the next vertex according to the order  $\prec$  that belongs to  $\mathbf{Neigh}_t(V_k)$ , or
- (ii) if  $\mathbf{Neigh}_t(V_k) = \varnothing$ , then  $v_t(k)$  is the next vertex according to the order  $\prec$  that belongs to  $\tau \setminus V_k$ .

Note that the above exploration process gives a new order to the vertices of the forest  $\mathbf{f}(t)$  (equivalently, to the vertices of the tree  $\tau$ ) that we denote by  $<$ , i.e.  $\varnothing = v_t(0) < v_t(1) < \cdots < v_t(\zeta(\tau) - 1)$ , which clearly depends on  $t \in [0, 1]$  and in the ordering  $\prec$  one has chosen at the beginning. In the following, we only consider the exploration process based on the Prim order  $\prec_{\text{prim}}$  and that we call *Prim exploration*. We also write  $<_{\text{prim}}$  for the corresponding new order given by the exploration.


An important feature of the Prim exploration of  $\mathbf{f}(t)$  is that the Prim ordering  $<_{\text{prim}}$  of its vertices  $\varnothing = v_t(0) <_{\text{prim}} v_t(1) <_{\text{prim}} \cdots <_{\text{prim}} v_t(\zeta(\tau) - 1)$  is preserved for all values of  $t \in [0, 1]$ . More precisely, for  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]$ ,  $v_{t_1}(k) = v_{t_2}(k)$ , for all  $0 \leq k \leq \zeta(\tau) - 1$ , under the Prim ordering; see Figure 3 for an example when  $t_1 = 1$  and  $t_2 = .92$ . This is a consequence of the algorithm to obtain the Prim ordering of the vertices in  $\tau$  which associates to any properly weighted graph its unique minimum spanning tree. On the other hand, this property clearly fails for the lexicographical ordering; we invite the reader to do a picture to convince him/herself. We henceforth write  $\prec_{\text{prim}}$  instead of  $<_{\text{prim}}$  and remove the subindex  $t$  from our notation, i.e., we write  $\varnothing = v(0) \prec_{\text{prim}} v(1) \prec_{\text{prim}} \cdots \prec_{\text{prim}} v(\zeta(\tau) - 1)$  for the vertices of  $\mathbf{f}(t)$  in Prim order, which is the same as the Prim ordering of the vertices of the tree  $\tau$ ,  $\varnothing = u(0) \prec_{\text{prim}} u(1) \prec_{\text{prim}} \cdots \prec_{\text{prim}} u(\zeta(\tau) - 1)$  presented earlier.

Following the presentation of [17, pages 532-533], one can associate to any ordering  $\prec$  of the vertices in  $\tau$  and exploration of  $\mathbf{f}(t)$ , an *exploration path*  $Z_t = (Z_t(k), 0 \leq k \leq \zeta(\tau))$  by letting  $Z_t(0) = 0$ , and for  $0 \leq k \leq \zeta(\tau) - 1$ ,  $Z_t(k+1) = \text{Card}(\mathbf{Neigh}_t(V_k))$ . Furthermore, let  $\mathbf{CC}(\mathbf{F}_t)$  be the set of connected components of  $\mathbf{f}(t)$ . Then [17, Lemma 14] shows that

$$\text{Card}(\{k \in \{1, \dots, \zeta(\tau)\} : Z_t(k) = 0\}) = \text{Card}(\mathbf{CC}(\mathbf{f}(t))),$$

and that the successive sizes of the connected components ordered by the exploration coincide with the distances between successive 0's in the sequence  $Z_t = (Z_t(k), 0 \leq k \leq \zeta(\tau))$ ; see Figure 4.

In this work, and in analogy with the coding paths of  $\tau$  introduced earlier, we will consider a slight modification of the exploration path  $Z_t$  in [17, pages 532-533]. More precisely, define the modified exploration path  $\mathcal{W}_t = (\mathcal{W}_t(k), 0 \leq k \leq \zeta(\tau))$  by letting  $\mathcal{W}_t(0) = 0$ , and for  $0 \leq k \leq \zeta(\tau) - 1$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_t(k+1) = \mathcal{W}_t(k) + c_t(v_t(k)) - 1$ . We shall also think of such a path as the step function on  $[0, \zeta(\tau)]$  given by  $s \mapsto \mathcal{W}_t(\lfloor s \rfloor)$ .



**Figure 4:** In the left side, the forest of Figure 3. In the right side, its exploration path  $Z_t$ . The vertices are labeled according to the Prim ordering.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$  be a plane tree and  $\mathbf{w} = (w_e : e \in \text{edge}(\tau))$  be a sequence of i.i.d. uniform random weights on  $[0, 1]$  which is also independent of  $\tau$ . For any ordering of  $\prec$  of the set of vertices of  $\tau$  and time  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,*


$$\text{Card} \left( \left\{ k \in \{1, \dots, \zeta(\tau)\} : \mathcal{W}_t(k) = \min_{0 \leq m \leq k} \mathcal{W}_t(m) \right\} \right) = \text{Card}(\text{CC}(\mathbf{f}(t))),$$

for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Moreover, the successive sizes of the connected components of  $\mathbf{f}(t)$  ordered by the exploration process coincide with the distances between successive new minimims in the sequence  $(\mathcal{W}_t(k), 0 \leq k \leq \zeta(\tau))$ .

*Proof.* The result is an immediate consequence of the previous discussion.  $\square$

Observe that the sizes of the connected components of  $\mathbf{f}(t)$  coincides with the length of the excursions of the walk  $\mathcal{W}_t$  above its minimum; see Figure 5.

In particular, we will denote by  $\mathcal{W}_t^{\text{prim}} = (\mathcal{W}_t^{\text{prim}}(k), 0 \leq k \leq \zeta(\tau))$  the exploration path constructed by using the Prim ordering. From now on, we will also refer to  $\mathcal{W}_t^{\text{prim}}$  as the Prim path of  $\mathbf{f}(t)$ .



**Figure 5:** In the left side, the forest of Figure 3 with vertices labeled according to the Prime ordering. In the right side, its Prim path  $\mathcal{W}_t^{\text{prim}}$ .

Following Proposition 2, and due to the order preserving property of the prim exploration, the Prim path of the fragmentation forest associated to a Galton-Watson tree with offspring distribution  $\mu$  can also be related to a nice random walk. Recall that  $W = (W(k), k \geq 0)$  denotes a random walk that starts at 0 and has jump distribution  $\hat{\mu}$  on  $\{-1, 0, 1, \dots\}$ . Recall also that we write  $\zeta_1 = \inf\{k \geq 0 : W(k) = -1\}$ . Denote by  $\xi = (\xi(k), k \geq 1)$  the increments of  $W$ , i.e.  $\xi(k) = W(k) - W(k-1)$  for  $k \geq 1$ ; note that the distribution  $\xi(k)$  is given by  $\hat{\mu}$ .

Let  $(U_k(j))_{k,j \geq 1}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. uniform random variables on  $[0, 1]$ . For  $t \in [0, 1]$ , define  $\xi_t = (\xi_t(k), k \geq 1)$  by letting

$$\xi_t(k) = \sum_{j=1}^{\xi(k)+1} \mathbb{1}_{\{U_k(j) \leq t\}}, \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1], \quad k \geq 1,$$

with the convention  $\sum_{j=1}^0 \mathbb{1}_{\{U_k(j) \leq t\}} = 0$ . Hence,  $\xi_0(k) = 0$ ,  $\xi_1(k) = \xi(k) + 1$  and for any  $k \geq 1$ , the mapping  $t \mapsto \xi_t(k)$  is non-decreasing. Define the process  $W_t = (W_t(k), k \geq 0)$  by

$$W_t(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad W_t(k) = \sum_{i=1}^k (\xi_t(i) - 1), \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1], \quad k \geq 1.$$

**Proposition 3.** *Sample a plane tree  $\mathbf{t}$  according to  $\mathbb{P}_\mu$ , i.e., consider a Galton-Watson tree  $\mathbf{t}$  with offspring  $\mu$ . Let  $\mathbf{w} = (w_e : e \in \text{edge}(\mathbf{t}))$  be a sequence of i.i.d. uniform random weights on  $[0, 1]$  which is also independent of  $\mathbf{t}$ . Then, the Prim path  $\mathcal{W}_t^{\text{prim}}$  of the associated fragmentation forest satisfies*

$$(\mathcal{W}_t^{\text{prim}}(0), \mathcal{W}_t^{\text{prim}}(1), \dots, \mathcal{W}_t^{\text{prim}}(\zeta(\mathbf{t})))_{t \in [0, 1]} \stackrel{d}{=} (W_t(0), W_t(1), \dots, W_t(\zeta_1))_{t \in [0, 1]},$$

where  $\stackrel{d}{=}$  means equal in distribution (in the sense of finite-dimensional distributions).

*Proof.* Let  $\tau \in \mathbb{T}$  be a plane tree sampled according to  $\mathbb{P}_\mu$ . We equip its edges with a sequence  $\mathbf{w} = (w_e : e \in \text{edge}(\tau))$  of i.i.d. uniform random variables on  $[0, 1]$  that are also independent of  $\tau$ . We write  $V(0) = \emptyset, V(1), \dots, V(\zeta(\tau) - 1)$  for the vertices of  $\tau$  listed in Prim order. For  $t \in [0, 1]$ , recall that the order of the vertices in the fragmentation forest  $\mathbf{f}(t)$  of  $\tau$  given by the exploration process is always preserved, i.e., it is the same order as in the original tree  $\tau$ . To simplify the notation, for  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $k = 0, \dots, \zeta(\tau) - 1$ , we will write  $c_t(V(k)) = c_t(k)$  for the number of children of the vertex  $V(k)$  in  $\mathbf{f}(t)$ . Recall that  $c_1(V(k)) = c(V(k))$ , and in particular, we will write  $c(k) = c(V(k))$ .

To prove our claim, it is enough to check that

$$(c_t(0), c_t(1), \dots, c_t(\zeta(\tau) - 1))_{t \in [0, 1]} \stackrel{d}{=} (\xi_t(1), \dots, \xi_t(\zeta_1))_{t \in [0, 1]},$$

in the sense of finite-dimensional distributions.

Consider the infinite tree  $\mathbb{U}$  and denote by  $\text{edge}(\mathbb{U})$  its set of edges. Denote by  $\mathbf{Neigh}(v_0) := \{u \in \mathbb{U} : \{u, v_0\} \in \text{edge}(\mathbb{U})\}$  the set of neighbors of  $v_0 \in \mathbb{U}$ . For  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and a set of vertices  $S_r := \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}\}$  of  $\mathbb{U}$ , we also write  $\mathbf{Neigh}(S_r) := (\bigcup_{v \in S_r} \mathbf{Neigh}(v)) \setminus S_r$  for the set of neighbors of vertices in  $S_r$  but not in  $S_r$ . For  $v_0 = \emptyset, v_1 \in \mathbf{Neigh}(v_0), \dots, v_r \in \mathbf{Neigh}(\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}\})$ , define

the event

$$\mathbf{N}(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}) := \{V(0) = v_0, V(1) = v_1, \dots, V(\zeta(\tau) - 1) = v_r\} \cap \{\zeta(\tau) = r\}.$$

Recall that  $c(k) = c_1(k)$ , for  $k = 0, \dots, \zeta(\tau) - 1$ . For  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{r-1} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , we also define the event

$$\mathbf{C}(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}) := \{c(0) = k_0, c(1) = k_1, \dots, c(\zeta(\tau) - 1) = k_r\} \cap \{\zeta(\tau) = r\}.$$

For simplicity, given a measurable set  $A$ , we write  $\mathbb{E}[\cdot; A] = \mathbb{E}[\cdot \mathbb{1}_A]$ , and given a finite collection of measurable sets  $A_1, \dots, A_i$ , we shall write  $\mathbb{E}[\cdot; A_1, \dots, A_i] = \mathbb{E}[\cdot \mathbb{1}_{A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_i}]$ , for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we set  $0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq 1$ , and for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider  $g_0^i, g_1^i, \dots, g_{r-1}^i$  as nonnegative functions on  $\{0, 1, \dots\}$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n g_0^i(c_{t_i}(0)) g_1^i(c_{t_i}(1)) \cdots g_{r-1}^i(c_{t_i}(\zeta(\tau) - 1)); \mathbf{N}(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}), \mathbf{C}(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}), \zeta(\tau) = r \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n g_0^i(c_{t_i}(v_0)) g_1^i(c_{t_i}(v_1)) \cdots g_{r-1}^i(c_{t_i}(v_{r-1})); \mathbf{N}(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}), \mathbf{C}(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}), \zeta(\tau) = r \right]. \end{aligned}$$

For  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $p = 0, \dots, \zeta(\tau) - 1$ , recall that if  $c(p) \geq 1$ , then  $c_t(p) = \sum_{i=1}^{c(p)} \mathbb{1}_{\{w_{V(p), i} \leq t\}}$ . Otherwise,  $c_t(p) = 0$  whenever  $c(p) = 0$ . Then, in the event  $\mathbf{N}(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}) \cap \mathbf{C}(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}) \cap \{\zeta(\tau) = r\}$ , we have that  $c_t(v_p) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_p} \mathbb{1}_{\{w_{v_p, i} \leq t\}}$ , for  $p = 0, \dots, r-1$ ; with the convention that the sum is equal to zero if it is empty. Define the random variables,

$$\kappa_t(k_p) = \sum_{j=1}^{k_p} \mathbb{1}_{\{U_{p+1}(j) \leq t\}},$$

with the convention that the sum is equal to zero whenever is empty. Since the weights  $\mathbf{w}$  are independent of the tree, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n g_0^i(c_{t_i}(0)) g_1^i(c_{t_i}(1)) \cdots g_{r-1}^i(c_{t_i}(\zeta(\tau) - 1)); \mathbf{N}(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}), \mathbf{C}(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}), \zeta(\tau) = r \right] \\ &= \prod_{p=0}^{r-1} \mathbb{E} \left[ g_p^1(\kappa_{t_1}(k_p)) \cdots g_p^n(\kappa_{t_n}(k_p)) \right] \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{N}(v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{r-1}) \cap \mathbf{C}(k_0, k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}) \cap \zeta(\tau) = r). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by summing over all possible,  $k_p$ 's and  $v_p$ 's, Proposition 2 implies that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n g_0^i(c_{t_i}(0)) g_1^i(c_{t_i}(1)) \cdots g_{r-1}^i(c_{t_i}(r-1)); \zeta(\tau) = r \right] = \prod_{k=0}^{r-1} \mathbb{E} \left[ g_k^1(\xi_{t_1}(k+1)) \cdots g_k^n(\xi_{t_n}(k+1)); \zeta_1 = r \right],$$

which concludes our proof.  $\square$

## 5 Convergence of the exploration processes

Recall that  $\mathbb{P}_\mu^{(n)}$  denotes the law of a critical Galton-Watson tree with offspring distribution  $\mu$  conditioned to have  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  vertices. For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , for which  $\mathbb{P}_\mu^{(n)}$  is well-defined, sample a plane tree on  $\mathbb{T}_n$ , say  $\mathbf{t}_n$ , according to  $\mathbb{P}_\mu^{(n)}$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{t}_n$  is a critical Galton-Watson tree conditioned to have  $n$  vertices (or GW-tree for short). Assume through this section that  $\mu$  belongs to the domain of attraction of a stable law of index  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ , and refer to  $\mathbf{t}_n$  as an  $\alpha$ -stable GW-tree. We will always let  $\mathbf{w} = (w_e : e \in \text{edge}(\mathbf{t}_n))$  be a sequence of i.i.d. uniform random weights on  $[0, 1]$  which is also independent of  $\mathbf{t}_n$ .

The asymptotic behavior of large  $\alpha$ -stable GW( $\mu$ )-trees is well understood, in particular through scaling limits of their associated Łukasiewicz paths; see for example [22]. In this section, we first show that the rescaled version of their Prim paths related to the weights  $\mathbf{w}$  has the same asymptotic behavior as their rescaled Łukasiewicz path, which should not come as a surprise due to the result in Proposition 2. Then, we are going to use this as a stepping stone to study the exploration Prim path of the fragmentation forest associated to the weights  $\mathbf{w}$ . As we have seen in Lemma 1, this exploration process provides us with information about the sizes of the subtrees of the fragmentation forest.

For an  $\alpha$ -stable GW( $\mu$ )-tree  $\mathbf{t}_n$ , we write  $\mathcal{W}_n^{\text{lex}} = (\mathcal{W}_n^{\text{lex}}(\lfloor nu \rfloor), u \in [0, 1])$  for its associated (normalized) Łukasiewicz path. We also write  $\mathcal{W}_n^{\text{prim}} = (\mathcal{W}_n^{\text{prim}}(\lfloor nu \rfloor), u \in [0, 1])$  for the (normalized) Prim path of  $\mathbf{t}_n$  with respect to the weights  $\mathbf{w}$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mathbf{t}_n$  be an  $\alpha$ -stable GW-tree of index  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ , and let  $(B_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be the sequence of positive real number satisfying (2). For  $*$   $\in \{\text{lex}, \text{prim}\}$ , we have the convergence in distribution*

$$\left( \frac{1}{B_n} \mathcal{W}_n^*(\lfloor nu \rfloor), u \in [0, 1] \right) \xrightarrow{d} (X_\alpha^{\text{exc}}(u), u \in [0, 1]), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{in the space } \mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}).$$

*Proof.* The proof for the Łukasiewicz path can be found in [22, Theorem 3.1]. For the Prim path the result follows from that of the Łukasiewicz path and Proposition 2.  $\square$

Recall that  $X_\alpha^{\text{exc}} = (X_\alpha^{\text{exc}}(u), u \in [0, 1])$  denotes the normalized excursion of a strictly stable spectrally positive Lévy process of index  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ ; see Section 3. See also [34, Theorem 1.10] for an explicit expression of the sequence  $(B_n)_{n \geq 1}$ .

Let  $\mathbf{t}_n$  be an  $\alpha$ -stable GW-tree of index  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ , where each edge is equipped with i.i.d. uniform random variables  $\mathbf{w} = (w_e : e \in \text{edge}(\mathbf{t}_n))$ . For  $s \in [0, 1]$ , let  $\mathbf{f}_n(s)$  be the fragmentation forest at time  $s$  associated with  $\mathbf{t}_n$  (obtained by retaining edges with weight smaller than  $s$  and by discarding the others). Denote by  $\mathcal{W}_{n,s}^{\text{prim}} = (\mathcal{W}_{n,s}^{\text{prim}}(\lfloor nu \rfloor), u \in [0, 1])$  the (normalized) Prim path of  $\mathbf{f}_n(s)$ . Note that  $\mathcal{W}_{n,1}^{\text{prim}}$  is exactly the (normalized) Prim path of  $\mathbf{t}_n$  associated with the weights  $\mathbf{w}$ .

For fixed  $t \geq 0$ , consider the sequence  $(s_n(t))_{n \geq 1}$  of positive times given by

$$s_n(t) = 1 - \frac{B_n}{n}t,$$

where  $(B_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is as in (2). Define the process  $\mathcal{W}_n^{(t)} = (\mathcal{W}_n^{(t)}(u), u \in [0, 1])$  by letting

$$\mathcal{W}_n^{(t)}(u) = \frac{1}{B_n} \mathcal{W}_{n, s_n(t)}^{\text{prim}}(\lfloor nu \rfloor), \quad \text{for } u \in [0, 1]. \quad (8)$$

Later, in the proof of Theorem 1, we will refer to the process  $\mathcal{W}_n^{(t)}$  as the (normalized and rescaled) Prim path of the fragmentation forest at time  $s_n(t)$ , (i.e.,  $\mathbf{f}(s_n(t))$ ).

We then consider the process  $\mathcal{W}_n = (\mathcal{W}_n^{(t)}, t \geq 0)$ . From the previous section, note that the mapping  $t \mapsto \mathcal{W}_n^{(t)}$  is non-increasing in  $t$  which implies that the process  $\mathcal{W}_n$  has càdlàg paths. Thus, we will view the process  $(t, u) \mapsto \mathcal{W}_n^{(t)}(u)$  as a random variable taking values in the space  $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}))$  of  $\mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ -valued càdlàg functions on  $\mathbb{R}$  equipped with the Skorokhod topology. In other words, for fixed  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_n^{(t)}$  is a random variable in  $\mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ .

We introduce the continuous counterpart of the process  $\mathcal{W}_n$ . For every  $t \geq 0$ , let  $Y_\alpha^{(t)} = (Y_\alpha^{(t)}(u), u \in [0, 1])$  be defined by  $Y_\alpha^{(t)}(u) = X_\alpha^{\text{exc}}(u) - tu$ , for  $u \in [0, 1]$ . Note that for  $t = 0$ ,  $Y_\alpha^{(0)} = X_\alpha^{\text{exc}}$  and we sometimes write  $X_\alpha^{\text{exc}}$  instead of  $Y_\alpha^{(0)}$ , for simplicity. Then, define the process  $Y_\alpha = (Y_\alpha^{(t)}, t \geq 0)$ .

The following theorem is the main result of this section.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\mathbf{t}_n$  be an  $\alpha$ -stable GW-tree of index  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ , and let  $(B_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be the sequence of positive real numbers satisfying (2). Then, we have the convergence in distribution*

$$(\mathcal{W}_n^{(t)}, t \geq 0) \xrightarrow{d} (Y_\alpha^{(t)}, t \geq 0), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{in the space } \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})).$$

Theorem 3 generalizes [17, Theorem 10]. Specifically, in [17], the authors only consider the case when  $\mathbf{t}_n$  is a GW-tree with  $\mu$  being the law of a Poisson random variable of parameter 1 (i.e.,  $\mathbf{t}_n$  is a Cayley tree) while our setting is clearly more general.

As in most proofs for convergence of stochastic processes, the proof of Theorem 3 consists in two steps: convergence of the finite-dimensional distributions and tightness of the sequence of processes  $(\mathcal{W}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ . To accomplish the above, recall the nice and very useful random walk connected to the Prim path of the fragmentation forest of the  $\alpha$ -stable GW-tree  $\mathbf{t}_n$ ; see Proposition 3.

Let  $W = (W(k), k \geq 0)$  be a random walk that starts at 0 and has jump distribution  $\hat{\mu}(\cdot) = \mu(1 + \cdot)$  on  $\{-1, 0, 1, \dots\}$ . Consider the random time  $\zeta_1 = \inf\{k \geq 0 : W(k) = -1\}$  and denote by  $\boldsymbol{\xi} = (\xi(k), k \geq 1)$  the increments of  $W$ . Let  $(U_k(j))_{k, j \geq 1}$  be a sequence of i.i.d. uniform random variables on  $[0, 1]$ . For  $t \in [0, 1]$ , define  $\boldsymbol{\xi}_t = (\xi_t(k), k \geq 1)$  by letting

$$\xi_t(k) = \sum_{j=1}^{\xi(k)+1} \mathbb{1}_{\{U_k(j) \leq t\}}, \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1], \quad k \geq 1,$$

with the convention that the empty sum is equal to zero. Define the process  $W_t = (W_t(k), k \geq 0)$  by

$$W_t(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad W_t(k) = \sum_{i=1}^k (\xi_t(i) - 1), \quad \text{for } t \in [0, 1], \quad k \geq 1.$$



Finally, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t \geq 0$ , consider the process  $W_n^{(t)} = (W_n^{(t)}(u), u \in [0, 1])$  by letting

$$W_n^{(t)}(u) = \frac{1}{B_n} W_{s_n(t)}(\lfloor nu \rfloor), \quad \text{for } u \in [0, 1],$$

and define the process  $W_n = (W_n^{(t)}, t \geq 0)$ .

From Proposition 3, we see that  $W_n$  has the same finite-dimensional distribution as  $W_n$  under the conditional probability distribution  $\mathbb{P}_n(\cdot) := \mathbb{P}(\cdot | \zeta_1 = n)$ . In the following, we will always work with the process  $W_n$  (or  $W_n^{(t)}$ ) under the conditional probability distribution  $\mathbb{P}_n$ , and to keep the notation simple, we will continue to write  $W_n$  (and  $W_n^{(t)}$ ) also for the conditional version.

**Finite-dimensional distributions.** We start with two observations that will be used quite often. Note that Proposition 2 and Theorem 2 imply that

$$(W_n^{(0)}(u), u \in [0, 1]) \xrightarrow{d} (X_\alpha^{\text{exc}}(s), u \in [0, 1]), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{in the space } \mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}). \quad (9)$$

For  $g \in \mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ , we write  $\|g\|_\infty := \sup_{u \in [0, 1]} |g(u)|$ . Since the supremum is a continuous functional on  $\mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  (see e.g. [30, Proposition 2.4 in Chapter VI]; we recall that we are always working with the Skorohod topology), (9) implies that

$$\|W_n^{(0)}\|_\infty \xrightarrow{d} \|X_\alpha^{\text{exc}}\|_\infty, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{in distribution and } \|X_\alpha^{\text{exc}}\|_\infty < \infty \text{ a.s.} \quad (10)$$

We continue with the convergence of the finite-dimensional distributions.

**Lemma 2.** For  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and for any  $u_1, \dots, u_k \in [0, 1]$  and  $t_1, \dots, t_m \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , we have that

$$(W_n^{(t_i)}(u_r) : 0 \leq r \leq k, 0 \leq i \leq m) \xrightarrow{d} (Y_\alpha^{(t_i)}(u_r) : 0 \leq r \leq k, 0 \leq i \leq m), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Proof.* By the Skorohod representation theorem, we can assume that (9) and (10) hold almost surely. For  $u \in [0, 1]$  and  $t \geq 0$ , we have that

$$W_n^{(t)}(u) = \frac{1}{B_n} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nu \rfloor} (\xi_{s_n(t)}(k) - 1) = \frac{1}{B_n} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nu \rfloor} \left( -1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\xi(k)+1} \mathbb{1}_{\{U_k(i) \leq 1 - tB_n/n\}} \right).$$

Note that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nu \rfloor} (\xi(k) + 1) = \lfloor nu \rfloor + B_n W_n^{(0)}(u)$ . Then

$$W_n^{(t)}(u) = S_n^{(t)}(u) - \frac{1}{B_n} \lfloor nu \rfloor + \frac{1}{B_n} \left( 1 - \frac{B_n t}{n} \right) (\lfloor nu \rfloor + B_n W_n^{(0)}(u)), \quad (11)$$

where we set

$$S_n^{(t)}(u) = \frac{1}{B_n} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nu \rfloor} \sum_{i=1}^{\xi(k)+1} \left( \mathbb{1}_{\{U_k(i) \leq 1 - tB_n/n\}} - \left( 1 - \frac{B_n t}{n} \right) \right). \quad (12)$$

For fixed  $t \geq 0$ , the terms in the sum (12) are independent centered random variables whose variance is bounded by  $tB_n/n$ . Moreover, these terms are also independent of  $(\xi(k), 1 \leq k \leq n)$ . Since the number of terms in the sum (12) is bounded by  $n + B_n \|W_n^{(0)}\|_\infty$ , the Chebyshev's inequality together with (10) imply that  $S_n^{(t)}(u) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , in probability; note that one needs first to condition on  $(\xi(k), 1 \leq k \leq n)$ .

For the remaining terms at the right-hand side of (11), we see that (9) implies that

$$-\frac{1}{B_n} \lfloor nu \rfloor + \frac{1}{B_n} \left(1 - \frac{B_n t}{n}\right) (\lfloor nu \rfloor + B_n W_n^{(0)}(u)) \rightarrow X_\alpha^{\text{exc}}(u) - tu, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

almost surely. Finally, we see that for any  $u \in [0, 1]$  and  $t \geq 0$ ,  $W_n^{(t)}(u) \rightarrow Y_\alpha^{(t)}(u)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , in probability, which implies our claim.  $\square$

**Tightness.** Since we are going to work with processes with sample paths in the set  $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}))$  equipped with the Skorokhod topology, it will be convenient to recall some aspects of these spaces of càdlàg functions and refer to [16, Chapter 3] (or [30, Chapter VI]) for details.

Fix a separable, complete metric space  $(\mathbb{M}, d)$ , and for fixed  $a \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , consider the space  $\mathbb{D}([0, a], \mathbb{M})$  of càdlàg functions from  $[0, a]$  to  $\mathbb{M}$ . For  $0 < \delta < 1$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , a sequence  $\Delta_{a,k} = \{0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_k = a\}$  of subdivisions of  $[0, a]$  is called  $\delta$ -sparse if it satisfies  $\min_{1 \leq i \leq k} (t_i - t_{i-1}) > \delta$ . We introduce the so-called modified modulus of continuity in  $\mathbb{D}([0, a], \mathbb{M})$ ,

$$\tilde{\omega}(\delta, a, d; g) := \inf_{\Delta_{a,k}} \max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \sup_{r, r' \in [t_{i-1}, t_i]} d(g(r), g(r')),$$

for  $g = (g(r), r \in [0, a]) \in \mathbb{D}([0, a], \mathbb{M})$ , where the infimum extends over all  $\delta$ -sparse sets  $\Delta_{a,k}$ . Let  $\Theta_a$  denote the class of strictly increasing, continuous mappings of  $[0, a]$  onto itself. If  $\theta \in \Theta_a$ , then  $\theta(0) = 0$  and  $\theta(a) = a$ . For  $\theta \in \Theta_a$ , we put

$$\|\theta\|_a^\circ := \sup_{0 \leq r < r' \leq a} \left| \log \frac{\theta(r') - \theta(r)}{r' - r} \right|,$$

and define the Skorohod metric in  $\mathbb{D}([0, a], \mathbb{M})$  by

$$\text{Sk}_d(g, g') := \inf_{\theta \in \Theta_a} \left\{ \|\theta\|_a^\circ \vee \sup_{0 \leq r \leq a} d(g(r), g'(\theta(r))) \right\}, \text{ for } g, g' \in \mathbb{D}([0, a], \mathbb{M}),$$

where the infimum extends over all  $\theta \in \Theta_a$  such that  $\|\theta\|_a^\circ < \infty$  and  $\sup_{0 \leq r \leq a} d(g(r), g'(\theta(r))) < \infty$ . It is well-known that the metric space  $(\mathbb{D}([0, a], \mathbb{M}), \text{Sk}_d)$  is complete and separable; see [16, Theorem 12.2, Chapter 3]. In particular, if  $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{R}$ , we will consider the separable and complete metric space  $(\mathbb{R}, |\cdot|)$  where  $|\cdot|$  is the Euclidean metric. We then write, for  $g, g' \in \mathbb{D}([0, a], \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\text{Sk}_{|\cdot|}(g, g')$  and  $\tilde{\omega}(\delta, a, \text{Sk}_{|\cdot|}; g)$ .

**Lemma 3.** *For any  $a \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0$ , there exists  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_n(\tilde{\omega}(\delta, a, \text{Sk}_{|\cdot|}; W_n) \geq \varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon'. \quad (13)$$

In particular, the sequence of stochastic processes  $(W_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is tight on  $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}))$ .

As a preparation for the proof of Lemma 3, we need a technical result. For  $a \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $g^{(t)} = (g^{(t)}(u), u \in [0, 1]) \in \mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ , recall that we write  $\|g^{(t)}\|_\infty = \sup_{u \in [0, 1]} |g^{(t)}(u)|$ . Then for  $g = (g^{(t)}, t \in [0, a]) \in \mathbb{D}([0, a], \mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}))$  and  $0 < \delta < 1$ , define the modulus

$$\omega(\delta, a; g) := \sup\{\|g^{(t)} - g^{(t')}\|_\infty : |t - t'| < \delta, 0 \leq t, t' \leq a\}.$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t \geq 0$ , we write  $S_n^{(t)} = (S_n^{(t)}(u), u \in [0, 1])$  for the process given in (12) and define the process  $S_n = (S_n^{(t)}, t \geq 0)$ .

**Lemma 4.** For any  $a \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0$ , there exists  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_n(\omega(\delta, a; S_n) \geq \varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon'.$$

We postpone the proof of Lemma 4 for later and continue with the proof of Lemma 3.

*Proof of Lemma 3.* Suppose that we have proven (13) in Lemma 3. Thanks to the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' > 0$ , one can see that for each  $a \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\tilde{\omega}(\delta, a, \text{Sk}_{|\cdot|}; W_n) \wedge 1] = 0.$$

Therefore, [31, Theorem 16.10, Chapter 16] and Lemma 2 show that the sequence of processes  $(W_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is tight on  $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}))$ . Then it suffices to prove (13) to finish the proof of Lemma 3.

Fix  $a \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , and observe from (11) that for  $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq a$  and  $u \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$W_n^{(t_1)}(u) - W_n^{(t_2)}(u) = S_n^{(t_1)}(u) - S_n^{(t_2)}(u) + \frac{\lfloor nu \rfloor}{n}(t_2 - t_1) + \frac{B_n}{n}(t_2 - t_1)W_n^{(0)}(u).$$

Let  $\text{id}_a$  be the identity map on  $[0, 1]$  and note that  $\text{id}_a \in \Theta_a$  and  $\|\text{id}_a\|^\circ = 0$ . Then the triangle inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sk}_{|\cdot|}(W_n^{(t_1)}, W_n^{(t_2)}) &= \inf_{\theta \in \Theta_a} \left\{ \|\theta\|_a^\circ \vee \sup_{u \in [0, 1]} |W_n^{(t_1)}(u) - W_n^{(t_2)}(\theta(u))| \right\} \\ &\leq \|S_n^{(t_1)} - S_n^{(t_2)}\|_\infty + (t_2 - t_1) + a \frac{B_n}{n} \|W_n^{(0)}\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since for the set  $[0, a]$  and each  $0 < \delta < 1/a$ , we can have a  $\delta$ -sparse set  $\Delta_{a,k}$  satisfying  $\delta < t_i - t_{i-1} \leq a\delta$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , we deduce that

$$\tilde{\omega}(\delta, a, \text{Sk}_{|\cdot|}; W_n) \leq \omega(a\delta, a; S_n) + a\delta + a \frac{B_n}{n} \|W_n^{(0)}\|_\infty, \quad \text{for } 0 < \delta < 1/a.$$

Then, (13) follows from the previous inequality, the convergence in (10) and Lemma 4.  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 4.* For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , we set  $t_0 = 0$  and  $t_r = ra/\lceil B_n \rceil$  for  $r = 1, \dots, \lceil B_n \rceil$ . Define the process  $Z_{r,n} = (Z_{r,n}(u), u \in [0, 1])$  by letting

$$Z_{r,n}(u) := S_n^{(t_r)}(u) - S_n^{(t_{r+1})}(u) = \frac{1}{B_n} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nu \rfloor} \sum_{i=1}^{\xi(k)+1} \left( \mathbb{1}_{\{1 - \frac{(r+1)aB_n}{n\lceil B_n \rceil} < U_k(i) \leq 1 - \frac{raB_n}{n\lceil B_n \rceil}\}} - a \frac{B_n}{\lceil B_n \rceil n} \right),$$

for  $r = 0, 1, \dots, \lceil B_n \rceil - 1$  and  $u \in [0, 1]$ . Recall that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor nu \rfloor} (\xi(k) + 1) = \lfloor nu \rfloor + B_n W_n^{(0)}(u)$ , for  $u \in [0, 1]$ . For fixed  $r = 0, 1, \dots, \lceil B_n \rceil - 1$  and  $t_r \leq t \leq t_{r+1}$ , we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| S_n^{(t_r)}(u) - S_n^{(t)}(u) \right| &\leq \left| S_n^{(t_r)}(u) - S_n^{(t_{r+1})}(u) \right| \vee \left( \frac{a}{\lceil B_n \rceil n} (\lfloor nu \rfloor + B_n W_n^{(0)}(u)) \right) \\ &\leq |Z_{r,n}(s)| + \frac{a}{\lceil B_n \rceil} + \frac{1}{n} \|W_n^{(0)}\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

The triangle inequality together with the previous inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\delta, a; S_n) &\leq 2 \sup\{\|S_n^{(t_r)} - S_n^{(t)}\|_\infty : 0 \leq r \leq \lceil B_n \rceil - 1, t_r \leq t \leq t_{r+1}\} \\ &\leq 2 \sup\{\|Z_{r,n}\|_\infty : 0 \leq r \leq \lceil B_n \rceil - 1\} + \frac{2a}{\lceil B_n \rceil} + \frac{2}{n} \|W_n^{(0)}\|_\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

We shall prove that for each  $r = 0, \dots, \lceil B_n \rceil - 1$  and for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a real constant  $C(a, \varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}_n(\|Z_{r,n}\|_\infty \geq \varepsilon) \leq C(a, \varepsilon) \frac{n - B_n}{B_n^2 n}. \quad (15)$$

Then our claim in Lemma 4 will follow from the convergence in (10), the inequality (14) and the union bound. Let us prove (15). Fix  $r = 0, \dots, \lceil B_n \rceil - 1$  and observe that  $\|Z_{r,n}\|_\infty = \sup_{1 \leq m \leq n} |Z_{r,n}(m/n)|$ .

By Etemadi's inequality, we have that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_n(\|Z_{r,n}\|_\infty \geq \varepsilon) \leq 3 \sup_{1 \leq m \leq n} \mathbb{P}_n(|Z_{r,n}(m/n)| \geq \varepsilon/3) \quad (16)$$

On the one hand, the terms in the sum  $Z_{r,n}(m/n)$  are independent centered random variables with variance bounded by  $a/n$ . On the other hand, these terms are also independent of the random variables  $(\xi(k), 1 \leq k \leq n)$ . Moreover, the number of terms in the sum  $Z_{r,n}(m/n)$  is bounded by  $\sum_{k=1}^n (\xi(k) + 1) = n + B_n W_n^{(0)}(1) = n - B_n$ , under  $\mathbb{P}_n$ . Finally, (15) follows by combining the previous remarks together with (16) and Chebyshev's inequality (note that one needs first to condition on  $(\xi(k), 1 \leq k \leq n)$ ).  $\square$

We have now all the ingredients to prove Theorem 3.

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Since Proposition 3 implies that  $\mathcal{W}_n$  has the same finite-dimensional distribution as  $W_n$ , under  $\mathbb{P}_n$ , Theorem 3 is a consequence of Lemma 2 and Lemma 3.  $\square$

## 6 Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we prove our main result Theorem 1. We start by developing a general approach for the convergence of fragmentation processes encoded by functions in  $\mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ .

Recall that we denote by  $\mathbb{S}$  the space defined in (1) endowed with the  $\ell^1$ -norm. For an increasing function  $h = (h(s), s \in [0, 1]) \in \mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ , we write

$$\mathbf{F}(h) := (F_1(h), F_2(h), \dots) \in \mathbb{S}$$

for the sequence of the lengths of the intervals components of the complement of the support of the Stieltjes measure  $dh$ , arranged in decreasing order; we tacitly understand  $\mathbf{F}(h)$  as an infinite sequence, by completing with an infinite number of zero terms. Let  $\text{Supp}(dh)$  denote the support of  $dh$  and note that  $(0, 1) \setminus \text{Supp}(dh)$  is the union of all open intervals on each of which the function  $h$  is constant.

For any function  $g = (g(s), s \in [0, 1]) \in \mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  such that  $g(0) = 0$ , we consider the function  $\hat{g} = (\hat{g}(s), s \in [0, 1])$  given by

$$\hat{g}(s) := \inf_{u \in [0, s]} g(u), \quad s \in [0, 1].$$

Note that  $-\hat{g}(s) = \sup_{u \in [0, s]} (-g(u))$ , then  $-\hat{g}$  is an increasing function in  $\mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ . In particular, the Stieltjes measure  $d(-\hat{g})$  is well-defined and  $\text{Supp}(d(-\hat{g}))$  is given by the set of points where the function  $g$  reaches a new infimum. We call constancy interval of  $-\hat{g}$  any interval component of  $(0, 1) \setminus \text{Supp}(d(-\hat{g}))$ . Indeed, those constancy intervals corresponds to excursion intervals of  $g$  above its infimum (or equivalently, excursion intervals of the function  $g - \hat{g}$  above 0).

For a function  $g = (g^{(t)}, t \geq 0) \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}))$ , we consider for each  $t \geq 0$ , the function  $g^{(t)} = (g^{(t)}(s), s \in [0, 1]) \in \mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ . Similarly, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we write  $g_n = (g_n^{(t)}, t \geq 0) \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}))$  such that, for each  $t \geq 0$ ,  $g_n^{(t)} = (g_n^{(t)}(s), s \in [0, 1]) \in \mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ . If  $g^{(t)}(0) = 0$  (resp.  $g_n^{(t)}(0) = 0$ ), we define the function  $\hat{g}^{(t)} = (\hat{g}^{(t)}(s), s \in [0, 1])$  (resp.  $\hat{g}_n^{(t)} = (\hat{g}_n^{(t)}(s), s \in [0, 1])$ ) by letting

$$\hat{g}^{(t)}(s) := \inf_{u \in [0, s]} g^{(t)}(u) \quad \left( \text{resp. } \hat{g}_n^{(t)}(s) := \inf_{u \in [0, s]} g_n^{(t)}(u) \right), \quad s \in [0, 1].$$

The following result is the key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1. Recall that  $\mathbb{S}_1 \subset \mathbb{S}$  denotes the space of the elements of  $\mathbb{S}$  with sum 1.

**Lemma 5.** *On some probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , let  $(g_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of random elements of  $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}))$  such that  $g_n^{(t)}(0) = 0$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $t \geq 0$ . Suppose that for any fixed  $0 \leq t_* \leq t^* < \infty$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $K, N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for any  $k \geq K$  and  $n \geq N$*

$$\inf_{t \in [t_*, t^*]} \sum_{i=1}^k F_i(-\hat{g}_n^{(t)}) \geq \sup_{t \in [t_*, t^*]} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^k F_i(-\hat{g}^{(t)}) - \varepsilon, \quad \text{almost surely.} \quad (17)$$

*Assume further that there exists a random element  $g \in \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}))$  such that  $g^{(t)}(0) = 0$ , for*

$t \geq 0$ , and

(i)  $g_n \xrightarrow{d} g$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , in the space  $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}))$ ,

for every fixed  $t \geq 0$ ,

(ii)  $g^{(t)}(s) \wedge g^{(t)}(s-) > \hat{g}^{(t)}(s)$ , for every  $s \in (a, b)$  whenever  $(a, b) \subset [0, 1]$  is an interval of constancy for the function  $-\hat{g}^{(t)}$ .

(iii)  $\mathbf{F}(-\hat{g}^{(t)}) \in \mathbb{S}_1$ ,

where (ii) and (iii) hold almost surely. Then

$$(\mathbf{F}(-\hat{g}_n^{(t)}), t \geq 0) \xrightarrow{d} (\mathbf{F}(-\hat{g}^{(t)}), t \geq 0), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{in the space } \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{S}).$$

*Proof.* By the Skorohod representation theorem, we can work in a probability space where the convergence in (i) together with (ii) and (iii) holds almost surely. Note that (i) implies that for any fixed collection  $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k < \infty$ , with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have that a.s.,

$$(g_n^{(t_1)}, \dots, g_n^{(t_k)}) \rightarrow (g^{(t_1)}, \dots, g^{(t_k)}), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

in  $\mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})^{\otimes k}$  (i.e., the  $k$ -fold space of  $\mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$ ). Then [11, Lemma 4] implies that a.s.,

$$(\mathbf{F}(-\hat{g}_n^{(t_1)}), \dots, \mathbf{F}(-\hat{g}_n^{(t_k)})) \rightarrow (\mathbf{F}(-\hat{g}^{(t_1)}), \dots, \mathbf{F}(-\hat{g}^{(t_k)})), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

in  $\mathbb{S}^{\otimes k}$  (i.e., the  $k$ -fold space of  $\mathbb{S}$  equipped with the  $\ell^1$ -norm). Note that the conditions in [11, Lemma 4] are satisfied by our assumptions (in fact, one has to apply [11, Lemma 4] to  $-g_n$  and  $-g$ ). This shows the convergence of the finite-dimensional distributions of the sequence of processes  $((\mathbf{F}(-\hat{g}_n^{(t)}), t \geq 0))_{n \geq 1}$  to those of the process  $(\mathbf{F}(-\hat{g}^{(t)}), t \geq 0)$ .

To finish with the proof, we need to show that the sequence of processes  $((\mathbf{F}(-\hat{g}_n^{(t)}), t \geq 0))_{n \geq 1}$  is tight in  $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{S})$ . Indeed, it is enough to see that for each  $0 \leq t_\star \leq t^\star < \infty$  the sequence of processes  $((\mathbf{F}(-\hat{g}_n^{(t)}), t \in [t_\star, t^\star]))_{n \geq 1}$  is tight in  $\mathbb{D}([t_\star, t^\star], \mathbb{S})$ . But this follows by showing that the sequence  $((\mathbf{F}(-\hat{g}_n^{(t)}), t \in [t_\star, t^\star]))_{n \geq 1}$  satisfies the conditions (a), (b) and (c) of [17, Lemma 22] with  $p = 1$ . The above would imply that  $((\mathbf{F}(-\hat{g}_n^{(t)}), t \in [t_\star, t^\star]))_{n \geq 1}$  belongs to the relative compact set  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{D}([t_\star, t^\star], \mathbb{S})$  defined in [17, Lemma 22] which shows the tightness we want. To see this, note that for every fixed  $t \in [t_\star, t^\star]$ , one has that  $\|\mathbf{F}(-\hat{g}_n^{(t)})\|_1 \leq 1$  which implies conditions (a) and (b) in [17, Lemma 22]. Condition (c) in [17, Lemma 22] is exactly the condition (17) in our statement.  $\square$

Finally, we are in position to prove our main result Theorem 1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let  $\mathbf{t}_n$  be an  $\alpha$ -stable GW-tree of index  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ . Recall that  $(B_n)_{n \geq 1}$  denotes the sequence of positive real numbers satisfying (2). For  $t \geq 0$ , let  $\mathcal{W}_n^{(t)}$  be the (normalized and rescaled) Prim path defined in (8) of the fragmentation forest at time  $s_n(t) = 1 - (B_n/n)t$ , i.e.  $\mathbf{f}(s_n(t))$ , associated

to  $\mathbf{t}_n$  and the i.i.d. uniform random weights  $\mathbf{w}$ . Define the process  $\mathcal{I}_n^{(t)} = (\mathcal{I}_n^{(t)}(u), u \in [0, 1])$  by letting

$$\mathcal{I}_n^{(t)}(u) = \inf_{s \in [0, u]} \mathcal{W}_n^{(t)}(s), \quad \text{for } s \in [0, 1].$$

Recall that  $\mathbf{F}_n^{(\alpha)} = (\mathbf{F}_n^{(\alpha)}(t), t \geq 0)$  stands for the (rescaled in time and space) fragmentation process of  $\mathbf{t}_n$  defined in (3). Then, from Lemma 1 and the preceding discussion, it is clear that  $\mathbf{F}_n^{(\alpha)}(t) = \mathbf{F}(-\mathcal{I}_n^{(t)})$ , for  $t \geq 0$ . On the other hand, let  $Y_\alpha^{(t)}$  and  $I_\alpha^{(t)}$  the processes defined in (4), and recall that the  $\alpha$ -stable fragmentation of index  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$ ,  $\mathbf{F}^{(\alpha)} = (\mathbf{F}^{(\alpha)}(t), t \geq 0)$ , is given by  $\mathbf{F}^{(\alpha)}(t) = \mathbf{F}(-I_\alpha^{(t)})$ , for  $t \geq 0$ .

Note that for all  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{W}_n^{(t)}(0) = Y_\alpha^{(t)}(0) = 0$ . Then, to prove Theorem 1, one only needs to check that the processes  $\mathcal{W}_n = (\mathcal{W}_n^{(t)}, t \geq 0)$  and  $Y_\alpha = (Y_\alpha^{(t)}, t \geq 0)$  satisfy the conditions of Lemma 5.

We start by verifying that the process  $Y_\alpha$  fulfills (i), (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 5. Note that (i) has been proven in Theorem 3. Recall that  $\bar{\mu}_\alpha$  denotes the a.s. unique location of the infimum of the stable bridge  $X_\alpha^{\text{br}}$  defined in Section 3, and that the normalized stable excursion  $X_\alpha^{\text{exc}}$  is defined as the Vervaat transform of the  $X_\alpha^{\text{br}}$ . The process  $X_\alpha^{\text{br}}$  has exchangeable increments due to the stationary and independent increments of the stable Lévy process  $X_\alpha$ ; see e.g., [31, Chapters 11 and 16]. In particular, the process  $(X_\alpha^{\text{br}}(u) - tu, u \in [0, 1])$  has also exchangeable increments.

We now prove (ii) by contradiction. For  $t \geq 0$ , suppose that (ii) fails for the process  $Y_\alpha^{(t)} = (X_\alpha^{\text{exc}}(u) - tu, u \in [0, 1])$  with positive probability, then by (7) and the fact that  $X_\alpha^{\text{br}}$  can be recovered by splitting  $X_\alpha^{\text{exc}}$  at  $1 - \bar{\mu}_\alpha$ , we could deduce that with positive probability, the process  $(X_\alpha^{\text{br}}(u) - tu, u \in [0, 1])$ , reaches the same local minimum at two distinct locations (or equivalently,  $(tu - X_\alpha^{\text{br}}(u), u \in [0, 1])$ , reaches the same local maximum at two distinct locations). We see from [33, Corollary 1.4] that this is impossible, where the conclusion of [33, Corollary 1.4] follows by combining [33, Theorem 2.3 (a), Theorem 1.3(a) and Lemma 1.2] in that order. Thus, condition (ii) is satisfied.

To prove that  $Y_\alpha^{(t)}$  fulfills condition (iii) for every  $t \geq 0$ , recall that the support of the Stieltjes measure  $d(-I_\alpha^{(t)})$  coincides with the ladder time set  $\mathcal{L}^\alpha(t)$  of  $Y_\alpha^{(t)}$ , which is a random closed set with zero Lebesgue measure. The latter follows from [9, Corollary 5, Chapter VII] but alternatively, it can be deduced from (7) by following the same argument as in [11, Proof of Lemma 7]. Since  $\mathbf{F}(-I_\alpha^{(t)})$  is defined as the ranked sequence of the lengths of the open intervals in the canonical decomposition of  $[0, 1]/\mathcal{L}^\alpha(t)$ , condition (iii) follows.

Finally, we check that the sequence  $(\mathcal{W}_n)_{n \geq 1}$  fulfills (17). Note that, for every  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\|\mathbf{F}(-\mathcal{I}_n^{(t)})\|_1 = 1$ . Fix  $t_\star, t^\star$  such that  $0 \leq t_\star \leq t^\star < \infty$ . For every  $t \in [t_\star, t^\star]$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\|\mathbf{F}(-\mathcal{I}_n^{(t)})\|_1 - \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{F}_i(-\mathcal{I}_n^{(t)}) = \sum_{i>m} \mathbf{F}_i(-\mathcal{I}_n^{(t)})$$

reaches its maximum at  $t = t_\star$ . Then for (17) to be satisfied, it suffices that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{F}_i(-\mathcal{I}_n^{(t_\star)}) \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{F}_i(-\mathcal{I}_n^{(t_\star)}) - \varepsilon = 1 - \varepsilon. \quad (18)$$



This would imply that for any  $t \in [t_\star, t^\star]$ , we have that  $\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{F}_i(-\mathcal{I}_n^{(t)}) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ , which shows that  $(\mathcal{W}_n)_{n \geq 1}$  satisfies (17).

Note that Theorem 3 implies that  $(\mathcal{W}_n^{(t)}, t \in [t_\star, t^\star]) \rightarrow (Y_\alpha^{(t)}, t \in [t_\star, t^\star])$ , in distribution, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , in the space  $\mathbb{D}([t_\star, t^\star], \mathbb{D}([0, 1], \mathbb{R}))$ . By the Skorohod representation theorem, we can and we will work on a probability space on which this convergence holds almost surely. Since we have proven that the process  $Y_\alpha^{(t_\star)}$  fulfills condition (iii) of Lemma 5, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{F}_i(-I_\alpha^{(t_\star)}) \geq 1 - \varepsilon/2$ .

On the other hand, recall that  $Y_\alpha^{(t_\star)}$  fulfills condition (ii) of Lemma 5. Then [11, Lemma 4] implies that a.s.,  $\mathbf{F}(-\mathcal{I}_n^{(t_\star)}) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}(-I_\alpha^{(t_\star)})$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the space  $\mathbb{S}$  with the  $\ell^1$ -norm. Hence, a.s. for all  $n$  large enough,  $\sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{F}_i(-\mathcal{I}_n^{(t_\star)}) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ , which proves (18).  $\square$

## 7 Proof of Proposition 1

This section is devoted to the proof of Proposition 1. The proof follows along the lines of the proof of Proposition 13 in Aldous and Pitman [7] (see also Theorem 3 in [6]). We provide enough details to convince the reader that everything can be carried out as in [7], but also to make this work self contained.

**Compact  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees and compact metric trees.** A metric space  $(\mathcal{T}, d)$  is called an  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree (or real tree) if it is complete, path connected and satisfies the so-called 4-point condition: for all  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 \in \mathcal{T}$ ,

$$d(v_1, v_2) + d(v_3, v_4) \leq \max(d(v_1, v_3) + d(v_2, v_4), d(v_1, v_4) + d(v_2, v_3));$$

see [21] or [25] for background. In this work, from now on, we will only consider compact  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees, i.e., we assume that  $(\mathcal{T}, d)$  is compact. A compact rooted  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree is a triple  $(\mathcal{T}, d, \rho)$  where  $(\mathcal{T}, d)$  is a compact  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree and  $\rho \in \mathcal{T}$  is a distinguished element called the root. In particular, a compact rooted  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree is a compact rooted metric space. We also consider compact rooted measure  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees  $(\mathcal{T}, d, \rho, \mu)$ , i.e., compact rooted  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees  $(\mathcal{T}, d, \rho)$  equipped with a finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $(\mathcal{T}, d)$ . This measure  $\mu$  is sometimes called the mass measure (or sampling measure).

Given a compact rooted  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree  $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{T}, d, \rho)$  (or a compact rooted measure  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree  $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{T}, d, \rho, \mu)$ ), we denote the unique path between two points  $u, v \in \mathcal{T}$  by  $[u, v]$ , and write  $[u, v[ := [u, v] \setminus \{v\}$ . The set of leaves and skeleton of  $\mathcal{T}$  are given by  $\text{Lf}(\mathcal{T}) := \mathcal{T} \setminus \bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{T}} [v, \rho[$  and by  $\text{Sk}(\mathcal{T}) := \mathcal{T} \setminus \text{Lf}(\mathcal{T})$ , respectively. The metric  $d$  in the  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree  $(\mathcal{T}, d)$  induces the so-called length measure  $\lambda_{\mathcal{T}}$ , which is the unique  $\sigma$ -finite measure such that for all  $u, v \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $\lambda_{\mathcal{T}}([u, v]) = d(u, v)$  and  $\lambda_{\mathcal{T}}(\text{Lf}(\mathcal{T})) = 0$  (i.e.,  $\lambda_{\mathcal{T}}$  is supported by  $\text{Sk}(\mathcal{T})$ ); see e.g. [25].

A simple way to construct a compact  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree is from an excursion-type function. Consider the set of continuous excursions on  $[0, 1]$ ,  $\mathbf{Exc} := \{e : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \mid e \text{ is continuous, } e(0) = e(1) = 0\}$ . For each

$e \in \mathbf{Exc}$ , we can associate a compact  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree as follows. Consider the pseudo-distance on  $[0, 1]$ ,

$$d_e(x, y) := e(x) + e(y) - 2 \inf_{z \in [x \wedge y, x \vee y]} e(z), \quad \text{for } x, y \in [0, 1],$$

and define an equivalence relation on  $[0, 1]$  by setting  $x \sim_e y$  if and only if  $d_e(x, y) = 0$ . The image of the projection  $p_e : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] \setminus \sim_e$  endowed with the push forward of  $d_e$  (again denoted  $d_e$ ), i.e.  $(\mathcal{T}_e, d_e, \rho_e) := (p_e([0, 1]), d_e, p_e(0))$ , is a compact rooted  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree; see Evans and Winter [27, Lemma 3.1]. One then endows  $\mathcal{T}_e$  with the probability measure  $\mu_e := (p_e)_* \text{Leb}_{[0,1]}$  given by the push forward of the Lebesgue measure  $\text{Leb}$  on  $[0, 1]$ , and obtain the compact rooted measure  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree  $\mathcal{T}_e = (\mathcal{T}_e, d_e, \rho_e, \mu_e)$  coded by the excursion-type function  $e$ . Denote by **Glue** the resulting “glue” function,  $\mathbf{Glue}(e) := (\mathcal{T}_e, r_e, \rho_e, \mu_e)$ , which sends an excursion to a compact rooted measure  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree.

A compact rooted metric tree is a compact rooted metric space  $(\mathcal{T}, d, \rho)$  which can be embedded isometrically into an  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree such that it contains all its so-called branch points; see [8, Definition 1.1]. For example any (finite) plane tree can be naturally seen as a (compact) rooted metric tree by endowing it with the graph distance between its vertices and consider its root as the distinguished element. Naturally, a compact rooted metric measure tree  $(\mathcal{T}, d, \rho, \mu)$  is only a compact rooted metric tree  $(\mathcal{T}, d, \rho)$  equipped with a finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $(\mathcal{T}, d)$ .

For a compact rooted metric tree  $(\mathcal{T}, d, \rho)$  (or a compact rooted metric measure tree  $(\mathcal{T}, d, \rho, \mu)$ ) and for a sequence of  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  points  $\mathbf{v}_k = (v_1, \dots, v_k) \in \mathcal{T}^k$ , we write  $\llbracket \mathbf{v}_k \rrbracket := \bigcup_{i=1}^k [\rho, v_i]$ . We also use the notation  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}, \mathbf{v}_k)$  for the tree spanned by the root  $\rho$  and the points  $\mathbf{v}_k$ , i.e., the compact rooted metric tree  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}, \mathbf{v}_k) := (\llbracket \mathbf{v}_k \rrbracket, d, \rho)$ , where the distance  $d$  in the right-hand side is tacitly understood to be restricted to the appropriate space. The compact rooted metric tree  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}, \mathbf{v}_k)$  is also sometimes referred as the reduced subtree of  $\mathcal{T}$  by its root and the points  $\mathbf{v}_k$ .

To avoid heavy notation, we will frequently suppress the metric, the root (resp. the measure) from our notation, i.e. we abbreviate  $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{T}, d, \rho)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{T}, d, \rho, \mu)$ ), in a way that should be clear from the context. For every  $a > 0$  and every compact rooted metric tree  $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{T}, d, \rho)$  (resp. compact rooted metric measure tree  $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{T}, d, \rho, \mu)$ ), we denote by  $a \cdot \mathcal{T}$  the space in which the distance is multiplied by  $a$ , that is,  $a \cdot \mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{T}, a \cdot d, \rho)$  (resp.  $a \cdot \mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{T}, a \cdot d, \rho, \mu)$ ).

**The  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy tree.** The  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy tree of index  $\alpha \in (1, 2]$  is the random compact rooted measure  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree coded by the (excursion) height process  $H_\alpha^{\text{exc}} = (H_\alpha^{\text{exc}}(u), u \in [0, 1])$  associated with  $X_\alpha^{\text{exc}}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha = (\mathcal{T}_\alpha, d_\alpha, \rho_\alpha, \mu_\alpha) = \mathbf{Glue}(H_\alpha^{\text{exc}})$ . The so-called (excursion) height process  $H_\alpha^{\text{exc}}$  is continuous and satisfies  $H_\alpha^{\text{exc}}(0) = H_\alpha^{\text{exc}}(1) = 0$  such that  $H_\alpha^{\text{exc}}(u) > 0$  for all  $u \in (0, 1)$ . See [22] for a formal construction and some other properties. In the case  $\alpha = 2$ , it is known that  $H_2^{\text{exc}} = 2X_2^{\text{exc}}$ , where  $X_2^{\text{exc}}$  is the standard normalized Brownian excursion. In particular,  $\mathcal{T}_2 = (\mathcal{T}_2, d_2, \rho_2, \mu_2)$  corresponds precisely to the Brownian continuum random tree (Brownian CRT); see [4].

Alternatively, the  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy tree arises naturally as the scaling limit of large  $\alpha$ -stable GW-trees. More precisely, let  $\mathbf{t}_n$  be an  $\alpha$ -stable GW-tree, and view it as a rooted metric measure tree, i.e. consider  $\mathbf{t}_n = (\mathbf{t}_n, d_n^{\text{gr}}, \rho_n, \mu_n^{\text{nod}})$ , where  $\mathbf{t}_n$  is identified as its set of  $n$  vertices  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ ,  $d_n^{\text{gr}}$  is the graph-distance on  $\mathbf{t}_n$ ,  $\rho_n \in \mathbf{t}_n$  is the root (the initial individual in the population) and  $\mu_n^{\text{nod}} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta_{v_i}$

is the uniform measure on the set of vertices of  $\mathbf{t}_n$ ; here  $\delta_v$  is the Dirac measure in the point  $v \in \mathbf{t}_n$ . To obtain convergence, we need to rescale the distances of  $\mathbf{t}_n$  by multiplying the graph distance by the factor  $B_n/n$ , where  $(B_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is the sequence of positive real number satisfying (2). That is, one considers the rescaled  $\alpha$ -stable GW-tree  $(B_n/n) \cdot \mathbf{t}_n = (\mathbf{t}_n, (B_n/n) \cdot d_n^{\text{gr}}, \rho_n, \mu_n^{\text{nod}})$ . Then it is well-known, by results of Aldous [4] and Duquesne [22], that

$$(\mathbf{t}_n, (B_n/n) \cdot d_n^{\text{gr}}, \rho_n, \mu_n^{\text{nod}}) \xrightarrow{d} (\mathcal{T}_\alpha, d_\alpha, \rho_\alpha, \mu_\alpha), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (19)$$

for the *pointed Gromov-Hausdorff-Prohorov* (pGHP) topology. (see for example [43, Proposition 9], [2, Theorem 2.5] and reference therein for background in the pGHP topology.)

We point out some useful properties of the  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy tree and the rescaled  $\alpha$ -stable GW-tree.

(T1) The mass measure  $\mu_\alpha$  is non-atomic and it is supported on  $\text{Lf}(\mathcal{T}_\alpha)$ , a.s.; see [24, Theorem 4.6].

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $V_1^n, \dots, V_k^n$  be independent random vertices of  $\mathbf{t}_n$  with common distribution  $\mu_n^{\text{nod}}$ , and let  $V_1, \dots, V_k$  be independent random points (leaves) of  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$  with common distribution  $\mu_\alpha$ . Define the vectors  $\mathbf{V}_k^n = (V_1^n, \dots, V_k^n)$  and  $\mathbf{V}_k = (V_1, \dots, V_k)$ .

(T2) For every fixed  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(B_n/n) \cdot \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{t}_n, \mathbf{V}_k^n) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_\alpha, \mathbf{V}_k)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , for the *pointed Gromov-Hausdorff* topology. This follows from (19) together with [43, Proposition 10] and [29, Lemma 35].

Define the empirical (random) measures

$$\mu_{n,k}^{\text{nod}} := \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \delta_{V_i^n} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{\alpha,k} := \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \delta_{V_i}, \quad (20)$$

on the sets  $\{V_1^n, \dots, V_k^n\}$  and  $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$ , respectively.

(T3) The law of large numbers (or the Glivenko-Cantelli Theorem) implies that  $\mu_{n,k}^{\text{nod}} \rightarrow \mu_n^{\text{nod}}$  and  $\mu_{\alpha,k} \rightarrow \mu_\alpha$ , as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , weakly with probability one.

(T4) Theorem 3 in [4] shows that the family of spanned subtrees  $(\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_\alpha, \mathbf{V}_k), k \in \mathbb{N})$  satisfies the so-called leaf-tight property, i.e.  $\inf_{2 \leq i < \infty} d_\alpha(V_1, V_i) = 0$ , almost surely.

**Exchangeable random partitions.** Let  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$  be the set of partitions of the set of positive integers  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ . Lemma 2.6 in [13] shows that  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$  can be endowed with an ultra-metric  $d_{\mathcal{P}_\infty}$  such that  $(\mathcal{P}_\infty, d_{\mathcal{P}_\infty})$  is compact. A partition  $\Pi \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$  is a countable collection  $\Pi = (\Pi(i), i \in \mathbb{N})$  of pairwise disjoint subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  (also called blocks) such that  $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Pi(i) = \mathbb{N}$ . For example, an equivalence relation  $\sim$  on the set  $\mathbb{N}$  can be identified with a partition of  $\mathbb{N}$  into equivalence classes. In particular, a random equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{N}$  can be identified with a random partition of the set  $\mathbb{N}$ . An exchangeable random partition  $\Pi$  is a  $\mathcal{P}_\infty$ -valued random variable whose restriction  $\Pi_k = \Pi|_{[k]}$  to the set  $[k] := \{1, \dots, k\}$  has an invariant distribution under the action of permutations of  $[k]$ , for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Following Kingman's theory [32], we recall some useful properties of exchangeable random partitions. For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and a partition  $\Pi \in \mathcal{P}_\infty$ , let  $\Pi_k = (\Pi_k(i), i \in \mathbb{N})$  be the restriction of  $\Pi$  to  $[k]$ , and let  $\#\Pi_k^\downarrow = (\#\Pi_k^\downarrow(i), i \in \mathbb{N})$  be the decreasing rearrangement of the block sizes (number of elements) of  $\Pi_k$  such that  $\#\Pi_k^\downarrow(i) = 0$  whenever  $\Pi_k$  has fewer than  $i$  blocks. Let  $\mathbb{S}_{\leq 1} \subset \mathbb{S}$  be the space of the elements of  $\mathbb{S}$  with sum less than or equal to 1. Recall also that  $\mathbb{S}_1 \subset \mathbb{S}$  denotes the space of the elements of  $\mathbb{S}$  with sum 1.

(P1) Let  $\Pi$  be an exchangeable random partition. Theorem 2.1 in [13] and the Fatou's lemma show that the asymptotic ranked frequencies (in decreasing order)

$$|\Pi(i)|^\downarrow := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\#\Pi_k^\downarrow(i)}{k}, \quad \text{for } i \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ exist a.s. and } (|\Pi(i)|^\downarrow, i \in \mathbb{N}) \in \mathbb{S}_{\leq 1}.$$

(P2)  $(|\Pi(i)|^\downarrow, i \in \mathbb{N}) \in \mathbb{S}_1$  a.s. if and only if  $\{1\}$  is not a class (i.e., the singleton  $\{1\}$  is not a block) of  $\Pi$  a.s.; see [13, Proposition 2.8].

(P3) For each  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ , let  $\Pi^{(n)}$  be an exchangeable random partition, and write  $(|\Pi^{(n)}(i)|^\downarrow, i \in \mathbb{N})$  for the sequence of asymptotic ranked frequencies of its blocks in decreasing order. Then Proposition 2.9 in [13] implies that

$$\Pi^{(n)}|_{[k]} \xrightarrow{d} \Pi^{(\infty)}|_{[k]}, \quad \text{for each } k \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ in the space } (\mathcal{P}_\infty, d_{\mathcal{P}_\infty})$$

if and only if

$$(|\Pi^{(n)}(i)|^\downarrow, i \in \mathbb{N}) \xrightarrow{d} (|\Pi^{(\infty)}(i)|^\downarrow, i \in \mathbb{N}), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ in the space } \mathbb{S}_{\leq 1}, \quad (21)$$

where  $\mathbb{S}_{\leq 1}$  is given the topology of pointwise convergence (or equivalently, the uniform distance in [13, Proposition 2.1] which makes  $\mathbb{S}_{\leq 1}$  compact).

**Corollary 3.** *Suppose that the convergence (21) holds and that  $(|\Pi^{(\infty)}(i)|^\downarrow, i \in \mathbb{N}) \in \mathbb{S}$  almost surely. Then, we have that*

$$(|\Pi^{(n)}(i)|^\downarrow, i \in \mathbb{N}) \xrightarrow{d} (|\Pi^{(\infty)}(i)|^\downarrow, i \in \mathbb{N}), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ in the space } (\mathbb{S}_1, \ell_1).$$

*Proof.* The proof follows exactly as in the proof of the second part of Lemma 4 in [11] (i.e., a simple application of Fatou's lemma and Scheffé's lemma).  $\square$

**Fragmentation processes.** Following ideas of Aldous and Pitman [7], the framework of exchangeable random partitions provides a different interpretation for the fragmentation processes associated to  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy trees and  $\alpha$ -stable GW-trees.

Consider an  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy tree  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha = (\mathcal{T}_\alpha, d_\alpha, \rho_\alpha, \mu_\alpha)$  together with a Poisson point process of cuts on its skeleton with intensity  $dt \otimes \lambda_\alpha(dv)$  on  $[0, \infty) \times \mathcal{T}_\alpha$ , where  $\lambda_\alpha$  is the length measure associated

to the  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$ . Recall that for all  $t \geq 0$  we defined an equivalence relation  $\sim_t$  on  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$  by saying that  $v \sim_t w$ , for  $v, w \in \mathcal{T}_\alpha$ , if and only if no atom of the Poisson process that has appeared before time  $t$  belongs to the path  $[v, w]$ .

We use the above to define a random equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let  $V_1, V_2, \dots$  be a sequence of independent random points of  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$  with common distribution  $\mu_\alpha$ . For  $t \geq 0$  and  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we say  $i \sim_{\alpha,t} j$  if and only if  $V_i \sim_t V_j$ . In particular, we let  $\Pi_\alpha^{(t)} = (\Pi_\alpha^{(t)}(i), i \in \mathbb{N})$  be the random partition of  $\mathbb{N}$  induced by the equivalence classes of the equivalence relation  $\sim_{\alpha,t}$  on  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Lemma 6.** *For every  $t \geq 0$ , the random partition  $\Pi_\alpha^{(t)} = (\Pi_\alpha^{(t)}(i), i \in \mathbb{N})$  is exchangeable. In particular, the partition  $\Pi_\alpha^{(t)}$  is proper a.s., i.e., the asymptotic ranked frequencies  $(|\Pi_\alpha^{(t)}(i)|^\downarrow, i \in \mathbb{N})$  (in decreasing order) of  $\Pi_\alpha^{(t)}$  belongs to  $\mathbb{S}_1$  almost surely.*

*Proof.* For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , recall the notation  $\mathbf{V}_k = (V_1, \dots, V_k)$ . Then, the first claim follows from the fact that for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  the distribution of the reduced subtree  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_\alpha, \mathbf{V}_k)$  of  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$  is invariant under any permutation of the points (leaves)  $V_1, \dots, V_k$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_\alpha, \mathbf{V}_k)$  has the so-called leaf-exchangeable distribution. To prove the second part, note that the probability that  $1 \sim_{\alpha,t} j$  is  $\exp(-td_\alpha(V_1, V_j))$ , for  $j \geq 2$ . Then the property **(T4)** implies that  $\{1\}$  is not a class a.s., and our claim follows from the property **(P2)**.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.** *For every  $t \geq 0$ , we have that  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{T}_\alpha}(t) = (|\Pi_\alpha^{(t)}(1)|^\downarrow, |\Pi_\alpha^{(t)}(2)|^\downarrow, \dots)$  almost surely.*

*Proof.* For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\Pi_{\alpha,k}^{(t)} = (\Pi_{\alpha,k}^{(t)}(i), i \in \mathbb{N})$  be the restriction of  $\Pi_\alpha^{(t)}$  to  $[k]$ , and let  $\#\Pi_{\alpha,k}^{(t),\downarrow} = (\#\Pi_{\alpha,k}^{(t),\downarrow}(i), i \in \mathbb{N})$  be the decreasing rearrangement of the block sizes of  $\Pi_{\alpha,k}^{(t)}$  such that  $\#\Pi_{\alpha,k}^{(t),\downarrow}(i) = 0$  whenever  $\Pi_{\alpha,k}^{(t)}$  has fewer than  $i$  blocks. Let  $A_{\alpha,1}^{(t)}, A_{\alpha,3}^{(t)}, \dots$  be the distinct equivalence classes for  $\sim_t$ , i.e. the connected components of  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$ . Then, the vector

$$(\#\Pi_{\alpha,k}^{(t),\downarrow}(1), \#\Pi_{\alpha,k}^{(t),\downarrow}(2), \dots)$$

is equal to the ranked vector

$$(\mu_{\alpha,k}(A_{\alpha,1}^{(t)}), \mu_{\alpha,k}(A_{\alpha,2}^{(t)}), \dots)$$

in decreasing order, where  $\mu_{\alpha,k}$  is the empirical measure on the set  $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$  defined in (20). Then our claim is a consequence of the properties **(T3)** and **(P1)**.  $\square$

Consider now the (rescaled)  $\alpha$ -stable GW-tree  $(B_n/n) \cdot \mathbf{t}_n = (\mathbf{t}_n, (B_n/n) \cdot d_n^{\text{gr}}, \rho_n, \mu_n^{\text{nod}})$ , where  $(B_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is the sequence of positive real numbers satisfying (2). For  $t \geq 0$ , recall that the fragmentation forest at time  $s_n(t) = 1 - (B_n/n)t$ , that is  $\mathbf{f}_n(s_n(t))$ , is obtained by keeping those edges in  $\mathbf{t}_n$  with uniform weight smaller than  $s_n(t)$ .

As for the fragmentation process of the  $\alpha$ -stable Lévy tree, we can define a random equivalence relation on  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let  $V_1^n, V_2^n, \dots$  be a sequence of independent random vertices of  $\mathbf{t}_n$  with common distribution  $\mu_n^{\text{nod}}$ . For  $t \geq 0$  and  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ , we say  $i \sim_{n,t} j$  if and only if there is no cut edge on the path

from  $V_i^n$  to  $V_j^n$  before time  $s_n(t)$ . In particular, we let  $\Pi_n^{(t)} = (\Pi_n^{(t)}(i), i \in \mathbb{N})$  be the random partition of  $\mathbb{N}$  induced by the equivalence classes of the equivalence relation  $\sim_{n,t}$  on  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Lemma 7.** *For every  $t \geq 0$ , the random partition  $\Pi_n^{(t)} = (\Pi_n^{(t)}(i), i \in \mathbb{N})$  is exchangeable. In particular,  $\mathbf{F}_n^{(\alpha)}(t) = (|\Pi_n^{(t)}(1)|^\downarrow, |\Pi_n^{(t)}(2)|^\downarrow, \dots)$  almost surely, where  $(|\Pi_n^{(t)}(i)|^\downarrow, i \in \mathbb{N})$  are the asymptotic ranked frequencies of  $\Pi_n^{(t)}$  in decreasing order.*

*Proof.* This follows along the lines of the proofs of Lemma 6 and Corollary 4 □

Now we are able to prove Proposition 1.

*Proof of Proposition 1.* Let  $\mathbf{t}_n$  be an  $\alpha$ -stable GW-tree, and for every fixed  $t \geq 0$ , view the (time-rescaled) continuous cutting-down procedure of  $\mathbf{t}_n$  as a (rescaled) Bernoulli process of cuts on its set of edges, that is, every edge of  $\mathbf{t}_n$  is cut at time  $t$  with probability  $(B_n/n)t$ . Then, at time  $t \geq 0$ , the sequence of sizes of the connected components of  $\mathbf{t}_n$  in decreasing order and renormalized by a factor  $1/n$  is given by  $\mathbf{F}_n^{(\alpha)}(t)$ . For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  fixed, it should be clear that the property **(T2)** implies that, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , the above (rescaled) Bernoulli process of cuts on  $\mathbf{t}_n$  (viewed as a rooted metric measure tree) up to time  $t$  and restricted to  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{t}_n, \mathbf{V}_k^n)$  converges (in distribution) to the Poisson point process of cuts on the skeleton of  $\mathcal{T}_\alpha$  with intensity  $ds \otimes \lambda_\alpha(dv)$  restricted to  $[0, t] \times \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{T}_\alpha, \mathbf{V}_k)$ . In fact, this convergence holds jointly with that in **(T2)**. For every  $t \geq 0$ , it follows that

$$\Pi_n^{(t)}|_{[k]} \xrightarrow{d} \Pi_\alpha^{(t)}|_{[k]}, \quad \text{for each } k \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ in the space } (\mathcal{P}_\infty, d_{\mathcal{P}_\infty}).$$

Property **(P3)**, Lemma 6, Corollary 4 and Lemma 7 imply that

$$\mathbf{F}_n^{(\alpha)}(t) \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{T}_\alpha}(t), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ in the space } \mathbb{S}_{\leq 1},$$

where  $\mathbb{S}_{\leq 1}$  is given the topology of pointwise convergence. Since Lemma 6 also shows that  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{T}_\alpha}(t) \in \mathbb{S}_1$  a.s., Corollary 3 entails that the above convergence holds in  $(\mathbb{S}, \ell^1)$ . This shows the convergence of the one-dimensional distribution of the (rescaled) fragmentation process  $\mathbf{F}_n^{(\alpha)}$  to  $\mathbf{F}_{\mathcal{T}_\alpha}$ . In general, the same argument can be used to obtain the convergence of the finite-dimensional distributions thanks to the convergence of the (rescaled) Bernoulli process of cuts to the Poisson point process of cuts. Finally, Proposition 1 follows from Theorem 1. □

**Acknowledgements.** This work is supported by the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation, a grant from the Swedish Research Council and The Swedish Foundations' starting grant from Ragnar Söderbergs Foundation.

## References

- [1] R. Abraham and J.-F. Delmas, *Fragmentation associated with Lévy processes using snake*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **141** (2008), no. 1-2, 113–154. MR 2372967

- [2] R. Abraham, J.-F. Delmas, and P. Hoscheit, *A note on the Gromov-Hausdorff-Prokhorov distance between (locally) compact metric measure spaces*, Electron. J. Probab. **18** (2013), no. 14, 21. MR 3035742
- [3] R. Abraham and L. Serlet, *Poisson snake and fragmentation*, Electron. J. Probab. **7** (2002), no. 17, 15. MR 1943890
- [4] D. Aldous, *The continuum random tree iii*, Ann. Probab. **21** (1993), no. 1, 248–289.
- [5] D. Aldous, *Brownian excursions, critical random graphs and the multiplicative coalescent*, Ann. Probab. **25** (1997), no. 2, 812–854. MR 1434128
- [6] D. Aldous and J. Pitman, *The standard additive coalescent*, Ann. Probab. **26** (1998), no. 4, 1703–1726. MR 1675063
- [7] D. Aldous and J. Pitman, *Inhomogeneous continuum random trees and the entrance boundary of the additive coalescent*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **118** (2000), no. 4, 455–482. MR 1808372
- [8] S. Athreya, W. Löhr, and A. Winter, *Invariance principle for variable speed random walks on trees*, Ann. Probab. **45** (2017), no. 2, 625–667. MR 3630284
- [9] J. Bertoin, *Lévy processes*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 121, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996. MR 1406564
- [10] J. Bertoin, *A fragmentation process connected to Brownian motion*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **117** (2000), no. 2, 289–301. MR 1771665
- [11] J. Bertoin, *Eternal additive coalescents and certain bridges with exchangeable increments*, Ann. Probab. **29** (2001), no. 1, 344–360. MR 1825153
- [12] J. Bertoin, *Self-similar fragmentations*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. **38** (2002), no. 3, 319–340. MR 1899456
- [13] J. Bertoin, *Random fragmentation and coagulation processes*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 102, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. MR 2253162
- [14] J. Bertoin, *Almost giant clusters for percolation on large trees with logarithmic heights*, J. Appl. Probab. **50** (2013), no. 3, 603–611. MR 3102504
- [15] J. Bertoin and G. Miermont, *Asymptotics in Knuth’s parking problem for caravans*, Random Structures Algorithms **29** (2006), no. 1, 38–55. MR 2238028
- [16] P. Billingsley, *Convergence of probability measures*, second ed., Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics: Probability and Statistics, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1999, A Wiley-Interscience Publication. MR 1700749



- [17] N. Broutin and J.-F. Marckert, *A new encoding of coalescent processes: applications to the additive and multiplicative cases*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **166** (2016), no. 1-2, 515–552. MR 3547745
- [18] P. Chassaing and G. Louchard, *Phase transition for parking blocks, Brownian excursion and coalescence*, Random Structures Algorithms **21** (2002), no. 1, 76–119. MR 1913079
- [19] P. Chassaing and S. Janson, *A Vervaat-like path transformation for the reflected Brownian bridge conditioned on its local time at 0*, Ann. Probab. **29** (2001), no. 4, 1755–1779. MR 1880241
- [20] L. Chaumont, *Excursion normalisée, méandre et pont pour les processus de Lévy stables*, Bull. Sci. Math. **121** (1997), no. 5, 377–403. MR 1465814
- [21] A. Dress, V. Moulton, and W. Terhalle, *T-theory: An overview*, European Journal of Combinatorics **17** (1996), no. 2-3, 161–175.
- [22] T. Duquesne, *A limit theorem for the contour process of conditioned Galton-Watson trees*, Ann. Probab. **31** (2003), no. 2, 996–1027. MR 1964956
- [23] T. Duquesne and J.-F. Le Gall, *Random trees, Lévy processes and spatial branching processes*, Astérisque (2002), no. 281, vi+147. MR 1954248
- [24] T. Duquesne and J.-F. Le Gall, *Probabilistic and fractal aspects of Lévy trees*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **131** (2005), no. 4, 553–603. MR 2147221
- [25] S. N. Evans, *Probability and real trees*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1920, Springer, Berlin, 2008, Lectures from the 35th Summer School on Probability Theory held in Saint-Flour, July 6–23, 2005. MR 2351587
- [26] S. N. Evans and J. Pitman, *Construction of Markovian coalescents*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. **34** (1998), no. 3, 339–383. MR 1625867
- [27] S. N. Evans and A. Winter, *Subtree prune and regraft: a reversible real tree-valued Markov process*, Ann. Probab. **34** (2006), no. 3, 918–961. MR 2243874
- [28] W. Feller, *An introduction to probability theory and its applications. Vol. II*, Second edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York-London-Sydney, 1971. MR 0270403
- [29] B. Haas and G. Miermont, *Scaling limits of Markov branching trees with applications to Galton-Watson and random unordered trees*, Ann. Probab. **40** (2012), no. 6, 2589–2666. MR 3050512
- [30] J. Jacod and A. N. Shiryaev, *Limit theorems for stochastic processes*, second ed., Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 288, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. MR 1943877
- [31] O. Kallenberg, *Foundations of modern probability*, second ed., Probability and its Applications (New York), Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002. MR 1876169

- [32] J. F. C. Kingman, *The representation of partition structures*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **18** (1978), no. 2, 374–380. MR 509954
- [33] F. B. Knight, *The uniform law for exchangeable and Lévy process bridges*, no. 236, 1996, Hommage à P. A. Meyer et J. Neveu, pp. 171–188. MR 1417982
- [34] I. Kortchemski, *Invariance principles for Galton-Watson trees conditioned on the number of leaves*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **122** (2012), no. 9, 3126–3172. MR 2946438
- [35] J.-F. Le Gall, *Random trees and applications*, Probab. Surv. **2** (2005), 245–311. MR 2203728
- [36] A. A. Lushnikov, *Some new aspects of coagulation theory*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Fiz. Atmosfer. I Okeana **14** (1978), no. 10, 738–743.
- [37] P. Marchal, *A note on the fragmentation of a stable tree*, Fifth Colloquium on Mathematics and Computer Science, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. Proc., AI, Assoc. Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci., Nancy, 2008, pp. 489–499. MR 2508809
- [38] J.-F. Marckert and M. Wang, *A new combinatorial representation of the additive coalescent*, Random Structures Algorithms **54** (2019), no. 2, 340–370. MR 3912100
- [39] A. H. Marcus, *Stochastic coalescence*, Technometrics **10** (1968), no. 1, 133–143.
- [40] G. Miermont, *Ordered additive coalescent and fragmentations associated to Levy processes with no positive jumps*, Electron. J. Probab. **6** (2001), no. 14, 33. MR 1844511
- [41] G. Miermont, *Self-similar fragmentations derived from the stable tree. I. Splitting at heights*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **127** (2003), no. 3, 423–454. MR 2018924
- [42] G. Miermont, *Self-similar fragmentations derived from the stable tree. II. Splitting at nodes*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **131** (2005), no. 3, 341–375. MR 2123249
- [43] G. Miermont, *Tessellations of random maps of arbitrary genus*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **42** (2009), no. 5, 725–781. MR 2571957
- [44] J. Neveu, *Arbres et processus de Galton-Watson*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist. **22** (1986), no. 2, 199–207. MR 850756
- [45] R. Otter, *The multiplicative process*, Ann. Math. Statistics **20** (1949), 206–224. MR 30716
- [46] M. Perman, J. Pitman, and M. Yor, *Size-biased sampling of Poisson point processes and excursions*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **92** (1992), no. 1, 21–39. MR 1156448
- [47] J. Pitman, *Coalescent random forests*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **85** (1999), no. 2, 165–193. MR 1673928
- [48] J. Pitman and M. Yor, *The two-parameter Poisson-Dirichlet distribution derived from a stable subordinator*, Ann. Probab. **25** (1997), no. 2, 855–900. MR 1434129

- [49] R. C. Prim, *Shortest connection networks and some generalizations*, The Bell System Technical Journal **36** (1957), no. 6, 1389–1401.
- [50] A. V. Skorohod, *Asymptotic formulas for stable distribution laws*, Select. Transl. Math. Statist. and Probability, Vol. 1, Inst. Math. Statist. and Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1961, pp. 157–161. MR 0116373
- [51] L. Takács, *On combinatorial methods in the theory of stochastic processes*, Proc. Fifth Berkeley Sympos. Math. Statist. and Probability (Berkeley, Calif., 1965/66), Univ. California Press, Berkeley, Calif., 1967, pp. Vol. II: Contributions to Probability Theory, Part 1, pp. 431–447. MR 0214129
- [52] P. Thévenin, *A geometric representation of fragmentation processes on stable trees*, 2019.
- [53] W. Vervaat, *A relation between Brownian bridge and Brownian excursion*, Ann. Probab. **7** (1979), no. 1, 143–149. MR 515820
- [54] G. Voisin, *Dislocation measure of the fragmentation of a general Lévy tree*, ESAIM Probab. Stat. **15** (2011), 372–389. MR 2870521
- [55] V. M. Zolotarev, *One-dimensional stable distributions*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 65, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986, Translated from the Russian by H. H. McFaden, Translation edited by Ben Silver. MR 854867