

LJMU Research Online

Busia, L, Denice, AR, Aureli, F and Schaffner, CM

Homosexual Behavior Between Male Spider Monkeys (Ateles geoffroyi).

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/id/eprint/8340/

Article

Citation (please note it is advisable to refer to the publisher's version if you intend to cite from this work)

Busia, L, Denice, AR, Aureli, F and Schaffner, CM (2018) Homosexual Behavior Between Male Spider Monkeys (Ateles geoffroyi). Archives of Sexual Behaviour. ISSN 1573-2800

LJMU has developed LJMU Research Online for users to access the research output of the University more effectively. Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Users may download and/or print one copy of any article(s) in LJMU Research Online to facilitate their private study or for non-commercial research. You may not engage in further distribution of the material or use it for any profit-making activities or any commercial gain.

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of the record. Please see the repository URL above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information please contact researchonline@ljmu.ac.uk

http://researchonline.ljmu.ac.uk/

1	Homosexual Behavior Between Male Spider Monkeys (Ateles geoffroyi)		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			

22 Abstract

Homosexual behavior is defined as genital contact or genital manipulation between same-sex individuals. In nonhuman primates it may regulate social relationships by serving as a means of reconciliation, tension alleviation, or alliance formation. Grappling is a rare and complex behavior, which most frequently occurs between same-sex individuals of the genus Ateles and can include mutual manipulation of the genitalia. Here we report three cases of penileanal intromission during grappling between wild male spider monkeys living in the natural protected area of Otoch Ma'ax Yetel Kooh, Mexico. In all the observed cases the same adult male was the actor. To our knowledge, this is the first report of penile-anal intromission between males in any New World primate species. Key words: Ateles, homosexual behavior, mounting, grappling

A -

47 Introduction

Homosexual behavior, defined as genital contact or genital manipulation between same-sex
individuals, has been described in mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, fishes, insects, and
other invertebrates (Bagemihl, 1999; Sommer & Vasey, 2006). Homosexual behavior has
also been documented in many primate species (Vasey, 2017). It has been interpreted as
facilitating alliance formation (e.g., Clay & de Waal, 2015), reconciliation (e.g., Hohmann &
Fruth, 2000), dominance signaling (e.g., Vasey & Sommer, 2006) and tension regulation
(e.g., Clay & de Waal, 2015).

55 Spider monkeys are New World primate species for which homosexual behavior has not been previously reported. Like chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes) and bonobos (Pan 56 57 paniscus), spider monkeys live in multi-male, multi-female communities characterized by a 58 high degree of fission-fusion dynamics (Aureli et al., 2008). Thus, individuals are almost 59 always in subgroups comprised of only a subset of community members, and subgroups change composition frequently throughout the day due to fissions and fusions with other 60 61 community members. This characteristic of their social system creates opportunities for 62 individuals to isolate themselves from other community members.

63 Grappling is a complex and relatively rare social interaction of spider monkeys that usually occurs out of view of other community members and may involve face greeting, face 64 65 touching, prolonged mutual embrace, prehensile tail intertwining and mutual or unidirectional 66 manipulation of genitalia with mouth, hands or feet (Eisenberg & Kuehn, 1966; Schaffner, Slater, & Aureli, 2012). Participating individuals typically make an ook-ook vocalization 67 68 (Eisenberg & Kuehn, 1966). Grappling can occur in male-male, female-female and male-69 female dyads, but it is most frequently observed between males (Eisenberg & Kuehn, 1966). To date, grappling has been reported between males of different age classes (Schaffner et al., 70 71 2012). Even though grappling was not initially described as homosexual behavior by

72	Eisenberg and Kuehn (1966), it meets the criteria for homosexual behavior when genital
73	manipulation occurs between same-sex partners. Here we report three cases of anal-genital
74	contact with intromission by one adult male spider monkey (TU) with three different adult
75	male partners (JN, BO, EG) during grappling.
76	

77 Method

78 Study Site and Subjects

The observations reported here were made in the natural protected area of Otoch Ma'ax Yetel
Kooh, Yucatan Peninsula, Mexico (20°38' N, 87°38' W), adjacent to the village of Punta

81 Laguna. The natural protected area measures 5367 ha and includes a mosaic of old-growth,

82 semi-evergreen medium forest, with trees up to 25 m in height, and 30–50 year-old

83 successional forest (Ramos-Fernandez & Ayala-Orozco, 2003).

84 Subjects were members of a well-habituated, individually recognized community of spider monkeys (Ateles geoffroyi), which has been studied continuously since 1997. During 85 86 the study period, the community consisted of 37-41 individuals, including 18 adults (12 87 females and 6 males), 4-6 subadults (3-5 females and 1 male), 5 juveniles (3 females and 2 88 males), and 10-12 infants (5 females, 5-7 males). Before 2014, 3 of the 6 adult males were seen in the community range only rarely, whereas during 2014 they were regularly present 89 90 within the community range. We therefore considered two male cohorts: the peripheral 91 cohort, which included BO and the other 2 adult males rarely seen before 2014, and the long-92 term resident cohort, composed of the 3 other adult males (TU, JN and EG).

93

94 **Procedure**

Each day we recorded the identity of every member of the subgroup we initiallyencountered and all changes in subgroup membership due to fission and fusion events. An

97 individual was considered part of the followed subgroup if it was <30 m from another
98 subgroup member (Ramos-Fernandez, 2005). We recorded fission events when one or more
99 individuals were not seen within 30 m of a subgroup member for 30 min. We recorded fusion
100 events when one or more individuals came within 30 m from any member of the followed
101 subgroup (Rebecchini, Schaffner, & Aureli, 2011).

Social interactions such as grappling, copulations, sexual solicitations, pectoral sniff,
embrace, arm-wrapping, grooming, and grooming solicitations (see Table 1 for descriptions)
were recorded *ad libitum* (Altmann, 1974) by the first and the second authors as part of a
study involving 1800 hours of fieldwork spread across 300 days between October 16, 2012
and December 11, 2014 (high interobserver reliability: Pearson coefficient >0.9).
Observations were made from no closer than 10 m with 8x40 binoculars. We recorded the

108 observations with a digital audio recorder and later transcribed the details into computer files.109

110 **Results**

111 Case 1

112 On April 15, 2014 just before 07:00 hrs, the first and the second authors and two local field assistants were following a subgroup consisting of an adult female with a dependent male 113 114 infant of approximately 2 years of age. At 07:25 two of the long-term resident adult males, TU and JN, joined the female. JN fissioned after approximately 13 min. TU and the female 115 116 remained together as they foraged and rested from 07:50 until 08:43. During this period, the 117 adult female approached and solicited copulation from TU by presenting her anogenital region to him, four times, as a type of proceptive behavior. After the first solicitation, TU 118 119 displayed a fully erect penis and started to copulate with the female. After several thrusts and 120 about 10-15 s of intromission, TU separated from the female and left her proximity. No 121 evidence of ejaculation was observed. Each of the female's subsequent solicitations resulted

in TU leaving her proximity, without an observable penile erection and without attempting
further intromission. At 08:43, TU and the female with her infant began to travel in the same
direction.

Several minutes after the female's "whinny" greeting vocalization and TU's loud call, 125 JN re-joined the subgroup. For about 30 min JN, TU, and the female foraged for fruit and 126 127 rested, then they started traveling. At 09:25, the two males fissioned when they moved in a different direction from the female, who did not follow them but emitted frequent contact 128 calls and scanned the surrounding forest. At 09:40, TU approached JN and gave him a 129 130 pectoral sniff. They stayed in contact with each other and did not respond to the contact calls 131 of the female (followed by the second author), who was now about 120 m away. At 09:43, 132 TU put his cheek and mouth close to JN's face and they remained sitting in contact. At 09:54, 133 JN successfully solicited grooming from TU and at 09:56 grappling behavior started. TU had 134 a penile erection from the beginning, while touching JN's anogenital region with his tail. At 135 10:07, TU inserted his erect penis into JN's anus twice, with thrusting movement. The two 136 intromissions were short and occurred one after the other. During both intromissions, the two males were sitting in a ventroventral position while TU clutched JN's tail with his hands. JN 137 138 made high-pitched vocalizations and twisted a few times in a possible attempt to separate from TU, but TU maintained a firm grip on JN's hindquarters and tail. Eventually, JN 139 140 separated from TU and pressed his anogenital region against a branch while TU tried to 141 gently put him back into the previous position. After several minutes, JN shifted his position and TU resumed thrusting while pressed against JN. Although the first author's view of TU 142 143 and JN's genital regions was slightly obscured, thrusting and TU and JN's ventroventral 144 position were still in clear view and intromission likely re-occurred. In both intromission occurrences, no evidence of ejaculation was observed. At 10:30 the males ceased grappling 145 146 behavior and began to travel together.

147

149 On July 14, 2014, the first and the second authors, and two local field assistants were 150 following a subgroup consisting of four adult females and their dependent offspring. At 14:51 a subgroup fusion took place with an aggressive interaction between two or more previously 151 152 unseen individuals and at least one of the four adult females in the followed subgroup. The 153 long-term resident adult males TU, EG, the long-term resident subadult male MS, and the 154 peripheral adult male BO had all joined the followed subgroup. Following the conflict, BO 155 moved to within sight of TU, who made high-pitched vocalizations, which increased in volume as EG passed by. At 15:03, BO approached TU and the two embraced. TU stopped 156 157 vocalizing and began to forage. At 15:08, while sitting in proximity to TU, BO vocalized 158 sharply and bared his teeth at the second author. BO and TU then exchanged another 159 embrace. At 15:20, BO and TU exchanged another embrace, and then started to move out of 160 sight of the other members of the subgroup. At 15:21, BO softly vocalized and then 161 approached TU; the two males exchanged a very long embrace with their prehensile tails 162 intertwined. Suddenly, BO turned and presented his hindquarters and anogenital region to 163 TU. TU wrapped his legs around BO's hips and began to thrust. Although their genitalia were 164 out of the researchers' view, TU's genitals were likely in contact with BO's anal region given 165 their relative position. TU thrusted his hips forward repeatedly for several seconds. During 166 the likely intromission, BO did not vocalize and looked in the direction of the researchers, away from TU. When they separated, less than 30 sec after the first thrust, TU had a penile 167 erection while BO did not. No evidence of ejaculation was observed. As they separated, TU 168 169 made a high-pitched vocalization and both males stared in the direction of the adult male EG 170 whom had just moved into the researchers' field of view seconds earlier. BO growled and 171 stared at EG, whereas TU moved away and down from EG while making high-pitched

vocalizations. No physical interaction was seen between BO and EG, and no further contact
between TU and BO was observed. By 15:25, all subgroup members resumed foraging and
TU fissioned from the subgroup around 16:00.

175

176 Case 3

177 On December 01, 2014, at 12:14, high-pitched vocalizations were heard from the forest just behind the field house. When encountered, EG and TU were hanging in contact with each 178 179 other and started to stare nervously at the observers. No other monkeys were within view. EG 180 was in front of TU with TU's arm on his back. TU stopped staring at the observers and began to touch and sniff EG's hindquarters and anogenital region. At 12:15 EG and TU moved to a 181 182 wide branch and began to grapple. At 12:16 TU touched the base of EG's tail with his foot, 183 first on the dorsal side and then underneath near EG's genitals. EG and TU lay on their sides, 184 face-to-face, and each put one arm around the other's shoulders. TU then slightly shifted and 185 inserted its erect penis into EG's anus, while in a ventroventral position. TU thrust his hips 186 forward several times, and both males continued making the same high-pitched vocalizations with increasing intensity. The duration of the intromission was approximately 15 seconds. No 187 188 evidence of ejaculation was observed. The behavior was suddenly interrupted when EG looked further into the forest, made a sharp and harsh vocalization, and separated from TU. 189 190 Soon afterwards, males MS and JN moved rapidly toward TU and EG. JN joined with TU 191 and together they arm-wrapped and growled while looking at EG. EG made high-pitched 192 vocalizations and stared back at JN and TU from across a gap in the canopy. At 12:21, all the 193 males stopped vocalizing, sat and vigorously scratched themselves, which is a behavior 194 indicative of anxiety in several primate species (Coleman & Pierre, 2014). No further 195 interaction was observed.

196

197 Discussion

Our observations reveal that homosexual penile-anal intromission occurs among wild male spider monkeys, a finding that to our knowledge has not been reported in any New World primate. Although the small number of observed cases (N = 3) does not allow for an in-depth analysis, our observations might be elucidated in light of two of the sociosexual explanations of animal homosexual behavior: strengthening social relationships (Bagemihl, 1999) and tension regulation (Clay & de Waal, 2015).

204 Males involved in Case 1 (TU and JN) were regularly observed in the same subgroup 205 and frequently interacted affiliatively during the whole study period. This suggests that the 206 observed homosexual interaction was a means to strengthen their long-term social 207 relationship, as proposed in other species resulting in higher likelihood of alliance formation 208 (e.g., olive baboons, Papio cynocephalus anubis, Smuts & Watanabe, 1990; bonobos, Idani, 209 1991). Strengthening relationships among the long-term resident males was particularly 210 important during the observation period, as the three peripheral adult males associated 211 regularly with community females in a manner indistinguishable from the long-term resident 212 males. The peripheral males represented a potential threat to long-term resident males as male 213 group takeover has been documented previously in this species of spider monkey (Aureli, Di 214 Fiore, Murillo-Chacon, Kawamura, & Schaffner, 2013).

Case 2 (involving males TU and BO) was observed following a subgroup fusion
characterized by a brief intragroup aggression during which peripheral male BO and the two
long-term resident males TU and EG were present. Thus, the subsequent homosexual
behavior after the aggression event might support the tension-regulation hypothesis.
Similarly, homosexual contact is used by bonobos to reduce tension during or after conflicts
(e.g., Clay & de Waal, 2015).

221 A peculiar finding of our study was that the males in Cases 1 and 3 assumed a ventroventral position during intromission. All descriptions of spider monkey heterosexual 222 223 copulation report dorsalventral positioning between participants (Gibson, 2010) as we 224 observed in Case 2. In addition to never being reported during sexual intromissions in the genus Ateles, ventroventral positioning during sexual interactions has been reported only in a 225 226 handful of nonhuman primate species (Japanese macaques, Leca, Gunst, & Vasey, 2014; bonobos, Kano, 1980; mountain gorillas, Gorilla gorilla beringei, Yamagiwa, 1987; white-227 228 handed gibbons, Hylobates lar, Edwards & Todd, 1991; orangutans, Pongo pygmeus, 229 Schiirmann, 1982). From an evolutionary perspective, ventroventral positioning during sexual interactions is likely facilitated by the anatomical specialization of the shoulder for the 230 231 suspensory patterns of brachiation (Dixson, 2009), which is one of spider monkeys' most 232 typical locomotion patterns (Youlatos, 2008).

233 The homosexual interactions we observed occurred in the absence of other 234 community members. This is in accordance with reports of both heterosexual copulations 235 (Gibson, 2010) and same-sex grappling (Schaffner et al., 2012), which are almost always performed in secret. Indeed, in Cases 2 and 3, intromission was interrupted when the 236 participants likely perceived the arrival of conspecifics. Case 1 also had an element of 237 secrecy as neither participant responded to the contact calls of the nearby female, suggesting 238 239 an unwillingness to be located. Contrary to heterosexual copulation, in which most 240 intromissions last 14-17 minutes (Gibson, 2010), the observed male-male intromissions lasted less than 30 seconds. The participants were of the same age class (fully-grown adults, 10-14 241 years old during the study period) and the oldest of the grappling partners (TU) seems to have 242 243 initiated at least two of the three observed intromissions. These observations complement previous reports of younger males initiating grappling toward older males in the same 244

community (Schaffner et al., 2012) and contribute to the understanding of male-male socialinteractions.

247	There are limitations to the conclusions we can make. These are the first observations
248	of penile-anal intromission despite continuous monitoring on this monkey population since
249	1997. Our observations highlight the benefits of conducting long-term field investigations on
250	habituated primate groups (Kappeler & Watts 2012), including the observation of rare but
251	significant events (e.g., within-community killing: Valero et al. 2006; infanticide: Alvarez et
252	al. 2014; incursions into neighboring territory: Aureli, Schaffner, Verpooten, Slater, &
253	Ramos-Fernandez, 2006) and concealed behaviors in Ateles (i.e. copulation, grappling:
254	Schaffner et al. 2012).
255	
256	Funding: This study was funded by Consejo Nacional por la Ciencia y la Tecnologia
257	(CONACyT) with a PhD fellowship (CVU n° 490429) and with equipment
258	(n°I0101/152/2014 C-133/2014).
259	
260	
261	
262	
263	
264	
265	
266	
267	
268	
269	

S	7	Λ
Ζ	1	υ

271 References

- Altmann, J. (1974). Observational studies of behavior: sampling methods. *Behaviour*, 49,
 273 227-267.
- Alvarez, S., Di Fiore, A., Champion, J., Pavelka, M. S., Páez, J., & Link, A. (2014). Maledirected infanticide in spider monkeys (Ateles spp.). *Primates*, *56*, 173-181.
- Aureli, F., Schaffner, C. M, Boesch, C, Bearder, S. K, Call, J., Chapman, ... van Schaik C. P.
 (2008). Fission-fusion dynamics: New research frameworks. *Current Anthropology*,
 49, 627-654.
- Aureli, F., Di Fiore, A., Murillo-Chacon, E., Kawamura, S., & Schaffner, C.M. (2013). Male
 philopatry in spider monkeys revisited. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, *152*, 86-95.
- Aureli, F., Schaffner, C. M., Verpooten, J., Slater, K., & Ramos-Fernandez, G.
- 283 (2006).Raiding parties of male spider monkeys: insights into human warfare?
 284 *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, *131*, 486-497.
- 285 Bagemihl, B. (1999) Biological exuberance: animal homosexuality and natural
- 286 diversity. New York, NY: St. Martin.
- Clay, Z., & de Waal, F. B. M. (2015). Sex and strife: post-conflict sexual contacts in
 bonobos. *Behavior*, *152*, 313-334.
- 289 Coleman, K., & Pierre, P. J. (2014). Assessing anxiety in nonhuman primates. *Ilar*
- 290 *Journal*, *55*, 333-346.
- Dixson, A. F. (2009). Sexual selection and the origins of human mating systems. New
 York, NY: Oxford University Press.
- Edwards, A. M. A., & Todd, J. D. (1991). Homosexual behaviour in wild white-handed
- gibbons (Hylobates lar). *Primates*, *32*, 231-236.

- Eisenberg, J. F., & Kuehn, R. E. (1966). The behavior of *Ateles geoffroyi* and related
 species. *Smithsonian Miscellaneous Collection*, *151*, 1-63.
- Gibson, K. N. (2010). Male mating tactics in spider monkeys: Sneaking to compete.
 American Journal of Primatology, 72, 794-804.
- Hohmann, G., & Fruth, B. (2000). Use and function of genital contacts among female
 bonobos. *Animal Behaviour*, 60, 107-120.
- Idani, G. I. (1991). Social relationships between immigrant and resident bonobo (*Pan paniscus*) females at Wamba. *Folia Primatologica*, 57, 83-95.
- 303 Kano, T. (1980). Social behavior of wild pygmy chimpanzees (*Pan paniscus*) of Wamba: A
 304 preliminary report. *Journal of Human Evolution*, *9*, 243-260.
- 305 Kappeler, P. M., & Watts, D. P. (2012). Long-term field studies of primates.
- 306 Berlin: Springer Science & Business Media.
- 307 Leca, J. B., Gunst, N., & Vasey, P. L. (2014). Male homosexual behavior in a free-ranging
- all-male group of Japanese macaques at Minoo, Japan. *Archives of sexual behavior*, *43*, 853-861.
- 310 Ramos-Fernandez, G. (2005). Vocal communication in a fission-fusion society: do
- 311 spider monkeys stay in touch with close associates? *International Journal of*
- 312 *Primatology*, 26, 1077-1092.
- 313 Ramos-Fernández, G., & Ayala-Orozco, B. (2003). Population size and habitat use of
- 314 spider monkeys at Punta Laguna, Mexico. In L. K. Marsch, & C. A. Chapman (Eds.)
- 315 Primates in Fragments (pp. 191-209). New York, NY: Springer US.
- 316 Rebecchini, L., Schaffner, C. M., & Aureli, F. (2011). Risk is a component of social
- 317 relationships in spider monkeys. *Ethology*, *117*, 691-699.
- 318 Schaffner, C. M., Slater, K. Y., & Aureli, F. (2012). Age related variation in male-male

- 319 relationships in wild spider monkeys (*Ateles geoffroyi yucatanensis*). *Primates*, *53*,
 320 49-56.
- 321 Schiirmann, C. (1982). Mating behaviour of wild orang utans. In L. E. M. de Boer
- 322 (Ed.) The orang utan: Its biology and conservation. (pp. 269-298). The Hague,
 323 Netherlands: Springer.
- 324 Smuts, B. B., & Watanabe, J. M. (1990). Social relationships and ritualized greetings in
 325 adult male baboons (*Papio cynocephalus anubis*). *International Journal of*326 *Primatology*, 11, 147-172.
- 327 Sommer, V., & Vasey, P. L. (2006) Homosexual behavior in animals, an evolutionary
 328 perspective. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- 329 Valero, A., Schaffner, C. M., Vick, L. G., Aureli, F., & Ramos-Fernandez, G. (2006).
- 330 Intragroup lethal aggression in wild spider monkeys. *American Journal of*331 *Primatology*, 68, 732-737.
- 332 Vasey, P. L. (2017). Homosexual behavior. In A. Fuentes (Ed.) The International
- 333 Encyclopedia of Primatology. Oxford, UK: Wiley-Blackwell. Doi:
- **334** 10.1002/9781119179313.wbprim0180
- 335 Vasey, P. L., & Sommer, V. (2006). Homosexual behaviour in animals: topics, hypotheses
- and researche trajectories. In S. Sommer, & P. L. Vasey (Eds.). Homosexual
- behaviour in animals: An evolutionary perspective. (pp. 3-42). New York, NY:
- 338 Cambridge University Press.
- Yamagiwa, J. (1987) Intra- and inter-group interactions of all-male group of Virunga
 mountain gorillas (*Gorilla gorilla beringei*). *Primates*, 28, 1-30.
- 341 Youlatos, D. (2008). Locomotion and positional behavior of spider monkeys. In C. J.
- 342 Campbell (Ed.) Spider Monkeys: behavior, ecology, and evolution of the genus
- 343 Ateles. (pp. 185-219). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.