```
STATE OF INDIANA )
                ) SS:
       COUNTY OF LAKE)
        SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION
            SITTING AT CROWN POINT, INDIANA
       STATE OF INDIANA,
                            )
           Plaintiff; )
                   )CAUSE NOS. 45G01-0505-MR-00004
                          45G01-0505-MR-00005
       -V-
                         45G01-0505-MR-00006
       EUGENE VICTOR BRITT, )
                                     45G01-0505-MR-00007
                         45G01-0505-MR-00008
           Defendant.
                             45G01-0505-MR-00009
                         45G01-0505-FA-00025
```

BE IT REMEMBERED that heretofore, pursuant to agreement as to time and place and pursuant to the Statutes of the State of Indiana, the above cause came on for Daubert Hearing on the 18th day of September, 2006, before the Honorable Salvador Vasquez, Judge, Room 1, at Superior Court of Lake County, Criminal Division, 2293 North Main Street, Crown Point, Indiana.

#### APPEARANCES:

MR. JOHN BURKE DEPUTY PROSECUTING ATTORNEY

On behalf of the State;

MR. GOJKO KASICH MR. JOHN MAKSIMOVICH LAKE COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDERS

On behalf of the Defendant.

1	[ALL PARTIES BEING PRESENT, THE FOLLOWING ]
2	[PROCEEDINGS ARE HELD IN OPEN COURT ON ]
3	[SEPTEMBER 19, 2006. ]
4	THE COURT: All right. We're on the record
5	in the case of Britt, and we'll show for the
6	record all the parties are present, including
7	Mr. Britt and his counsel, Mr. Maksimovich,
8	Mr. Kasich. Mr. Burke representing the state.
9	We're here for a final hearing on the Daubert
10	matter, Daubert hearing. I also want to take up
11	this morning the defendant's request for
12	certification of interlocutory order.
13	Specifically, you want to appeal the motion to
14	suppress issue on an interlocutory basis. I
15	reviewed your motion, Mr. Kasich, and presumably
16	at this point I should say, Mr. Kasich and
17	Mr. Maksimovich, and I presume at this point,
18	Mr. Burke, you have had a chance to review it as
19	well.

20	MR. BURKE: I have.
21	THE COURT: Are you taking a position as to
22	the interlocutory appeal request, Mr. Burke?
23	MR. BURKE: Your Honor, I the Court has
24	made a ruling as I understand it and on the motion
25	to suppress and reject the confession, and I don't
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION
	2
1	know at this point that the defense has a right to
2	take an interlocutory appeal. I guess it's up to
3	the Court as to whether or not they're going to
4	the trial court, your Honor, as to whether or not
5	you're going to allow them to take an
6	interlocutory appeal on that ruling.
7	THE COURT: Do you want to be heard any
8	further, or do you want to rest on your motion,
9	Mr. Kasich?
10	MR. KASICH: Rest on our motion, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Your request is denied.
12	Mr. Burke Mr. Britt is currently serving a
13	sentence out of Porter County, having pled guilty

to a significant charge where he received life

15	without parole. Not that that is a significant or
16	the predominant reason why your request is denied.
17	What I believe in view of the issues raised at the
18	suppression hearing, I do not believe that there
19	is such a significant issue that I believe that we
20	should stop these proceedings, proceedings that
21	have been pending for more than five years.
22	Six years, quite frankly, as we await word from a
23	Court of Appeals as to whether they should even
24	grant the request. I believe that it's it's a
25	much it is more significant that we continue
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

1	what we're doing here. I believe that the issues
2	can be taken adequately on appeal should the
3	defendant be found guilty at trial. I believe
4	that his substantial rights are preserved by way
5	of the motion and the the motion to suppress
6	and the arguments and evidence heard during the
7	course of the hearings on the motion to suppress
8	as well. It is certainly my intent to go forward
9	and if necessary proceed to trial next month.

- That brings our attention to our Daubert hearing.
- 11 Presumably at this point, Mr. Kasich, you have
- evidence or witnesses to present?
- 13 MR. KASICH: Yes, your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: You ready to proceed then?
- MR. KASICH: Yes. Dr. Denis Keyes.
- 16 THE COURT: Very good.
- 17 [WITNESS SUMMONED.]
- DR. DENIS WILLIAM KEYES,
- 19 having been first duly sworn, was examined and
- 20 testified as follows:
- 21 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 22 BY MR. KASICH:
- 23 Q Sir, could you please state your name for the
- 24 record.
- 25 A My name is Denis William Keyes.

- 1 Q Dr. Keyes, could you tell us a little bit about
- where you're from?
- 3 A Originally, I'm from Dayton, Ohio, born and
- 4 raised. I went to Cincinnati for five years where

- 5 I taught and then I went to Oxford for another
- 6 eight years, Oxford, Ohio, lived one more year in
- 7 Cincinnati and moved to New Mexico, was there for
- 8 three years and now I live in Charleston, South
- 9 Carolina. This is my 16th year there.
- 10 Q And what do you do in Charleston, South Carolina?
- 11 A I'm an associate professor of special education at
- the College of Charleston.
- 13 Q Is there a reason that you ended up at Charleston?
- 14 A Have you ever been to Charleston? It's a great
- city. It's a beautiful city.
- 16 Q But how did you get from New Mexico to Charleston?
- 17 A When I finished -- when I was finishing my Ph.D.
- at the University of New Mexico, there was a job
- opening at the College of Charleston where they
- were looking for someone who had a background in
- 21 psychology and special education/mental
- retardation. And so I applied and they offered me
- 23 the job before I left my interview.
- 24 Q Sir, obviously you are here today to speak about
- 25 mental retardation; is that correct?

- 1 A That is correct.
- 2 Q Could you tell the Court about your experience
- 3 with the subject of mental retardation?
- 4 A In 19 -- in 19 -- let me see. 74, I was living in
- 5 south -- in south -- south California, southern
- 6 California with my sister and I couldn't find a
- 7 job anywhere because there were no jobs to be had
- 8 at the time. So I applied as an -- as an
- 9 assistant, a teaching assistant at a school for
- 10 children with mental retardation, primarily
- four-to seven-year-olds and I taught that for
- about -- actually about six months and went back
- to Ohio when a family emergency occurred and I had
- to go back. So I took care of my family for about
- three months. And then after that, I went back to
- working with kids with severe and profound mental
- 17 retardation at the Montgomery County Board of
- Mental Retardation in Dayton. I was there for a
- 19 year and a half and then decided I couldn't live
- on \$3,400 a year and got my bachelor's degree from
- 21 the Ohio University in special education in 1979.
- I taught in Cincinnati. Actually, I first taught

- in Marietta, Ohio, for \$8,100 a year and then I
- went to Cincinnati, Ohio, and taught there for
- 25 five years for -- in a school for children with

- moderate, severe and profound mental retardation.
   And actually, it wasn't just children. It was up
- 3 to 22. Then I went from there to Oxford, Ohio, to
- 4 Miami University and did my first master's in
- 5 special education/mental retardation. My second
- 6 master's was in school psychology. My specialist,
- 7 educational specialist was in school psychology.
- 8 And at that point, I had substitute -- substituted
- 9 in classes for kids with mental retardation for
- another two years and then I became a school
- psychologist, did a year of that and my internship
- in Cincinnati and then I went to the University of
- New Mexico and did my Ph.D. in special
- education/mental retardation, and I have been a
- professor of special education now for 16 years.
- 16 Q During your time at Charleston during your last
- 17 16 years, what exposure, if any, have you had with

18	mentally retarded adults in general and mentally
19	retarded adults in correctional facilities?
20	A When I was doing my Ph.D., I worked for a woman
21	who well, with a woman. She was my
22	dissertation director and the chair of my program.
23	And her name is Ruth Luckasson, L-u-c-k-a-s-s-o-n.
24	And Ruth is the director of the Terminology and
25	Classification Manual for the American Association
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

1	on Mental Retardation. And she asked me I
2	actually was working with her on separate cases
3	dealing with people on death row because Doctor -
4	Professor Luckasson is also a JD, lawyer. We were
5	at the time working on the Johnny Paul Penry case
6	that went before the Supreme Court in 1988.
7	Actually '89. Excuse me. January 11th, 1989, and
8	I did that for I worked with her for the
9	three years that I was at the University of New
10	Mexico, and then when I came to College of
11	Charleston, I continued to work on issues,
12	specifically the death penalty and mental

- retardation, and I have done considerable research
- in that area.
- 15 Q How many cases would you say overall have you been
- involved in related to the issue of mental
- 17 retardation vis-a-vis an incarcerated adult?
- 18 A Overall?
- 19 O Overall.
- 20 A I have been contacted probably about 120 times.
- 21 Q And of those 120 times, do you know how many times
- you've testified?
- 23 A I have testified about 35 times total.
- 24 Q In court?
- 25 A Uh-huh. Yes.

- 1 Q And that's in mental retardation proceedings, I
- 2 believe, and post-conviction proceedings also; is
- 3 that correct?
- 4 A Yes, that's correct.
- 5 Q Of the times you did not testify, are there
- 6 reasons you didn't testify?
- 7 A Well, sometimes the cases were settled and I

8	didn't	have to	testify.	These	were all	criminal
---	--------	---------	----------	-------	----------	----------

- 9 cases. I only testified in one civil case and
- that was a case of a man who was wrongfully
- 11 convicted. And I had been on his panel -- I had
- been on his team during the proceedings to get him
- a pardon which was achieved. The -- the cases
- that I didn't testify in for reasons of concern
- were that I did not feel that there was enough
- evidence or background to suggest that the person
- was mentally retarded.
- 18 Q Can you give us an assessment, perhaps,
- 19 percentage-wise or otherwise, of how often have
- you turned down cases to testify or cases to
- 21 participate in because the individual wasn't
- 22 mentally retarded versus how many cases you have
- 23 testified where someone's mentally retarded?
- 24 A Just about 50 percent.
- 25 Q And, of course, you're being paid to be here

- 1 today?
- 2 A Correct.

- 3 Q Do you recall how it came to be that you were
- 4 brought into this case?
- 5 A I've done some work in Indiana prior to this. I
- 6 presented at the Indiana Public Defender's Office
- 7 on a couple of occasions to -- training basically
- 8 on what mental retardation is and how it affects
- 9 people in the criminal justice system and some of
- the basics of how we as professionals in mental
- 11 retardation view Atkins versus Virginia. I was
- 12 contacted by a woman named Paula Sikes (phonetic)
- who asked me if I would be interested in doing
- that, and I said sure. And apparently you
- 15 contacted Paula when you got this case and she
- told you about me.
- 17 Q Okay.
- 18 A And the rest is history.
- 19 Q Did I extract any promises from you before you got
- involved in this case regarding a result?
- 21 A No.
- 22 Q Have you made any promises to us regarding any
- 23 results?
- 24 A No.
- MR. KASICH: If I can approach, your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Yes.
2	MR. KASICH: The witness, I mean.
3	[DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 1 IS MARKED.]
4	BY MR. KASICH:
5	Q Sir, I'd like to hand you what's been marked for
6	identification purposes only for purposes of this
7	hearing Defendant's Exhibit Number 1. Can you
8	identify what that document is.
9	A It appears to be a recent copy of my curriculum
10	vitae.
11	Q This will detail and review probably in more
12	detail than we've already gone into what your
13	background is; is that correct?
14	A That's correct.
15	MR. KASICH: Move to admit into evidence,
16	your Honor, Defendant's Exhibit Number 1.
17	THE COURT: Any objection?
18	MR. BURKE: State has no objection.
19	THE COURT: Show it admitted for purposes of
20	this hearing.

- 21 [DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 1 IS ADMITTED.]
- 22 BY MR. KASICH:
- 23 Q Did you indicate how many years of experience you
- had dealing with mentally retarded individuals in
- 25 the classroom?

- 1 A I was a classroom teacher for five years. I have
- 2 been a school psychologist as a full-time school
- 3 psych, I was a school psych for a year when I
- 4 started my Ph.D.; and as a teacher assistant when
- 5 I first started in the field, I was a teacher
- 6 assistant for two years.
- 7 Q Are you certified by any state or professional
- 8 association?
- 9 A Yes, I am.
- 10 Q And what are those certifications or --
- 11 A State of Ohio certification as a school
- psychologist and as a special educator, special ed
- teacher. Certified as an educational
- diagnostician by the State of New Mexico,
- certified as a special ed teacher and as a -- no,

- wait a minute. I don't think I did get my special
- ed certification in South Carolina. I'm certified
- as a school psychologist in South Carolina.
- 19 Q Are you certified by any national organizations?
- 20 A Yes, I am, by the National Association of School
- 21 Psychologists.
- 22 Q Do you have any -- do you know what the AAMR is or
- what was formally called the AAMR?
- 24 A Yeah, the American Association on Mental
- 25 Retardation, yes.

- 1 Q And what are they called, now?
- 2 A The American Association on Intellectual
- 3 Disabilities. Intellectual and Developmental
- 4 Disabilities, excuse me. They just changed it
- 5 last summer.
- 6 Q Do you have any affiliation with that
- 7 organization?
- 8 A I'm a fellow of the American Association.
- 9 Q And have you worked with them?
- 10 A Many times.

- 11 Q And what have you done with them?
- 12 A I have been on ad hoc committees. I've done
- research -- I'm currently a consulting editor on
- the Journal of Mental Retardation which is the --
- one of the two major publications that AAMR puts
- 16 out.
- 17 Q Is there any significance of being certified as a
- nationally certified school psychologist by the
- 19 National Association of School Psychologists?
- 20 A I took a test and passed it and that I adhere to
- 21 the rules and regulations of the -- of the -- oh,
- dear. This thing went dead.
- 23 Q Just move the mouse and --
- 24 A There we go.
- 25 Q Other than mental retardation, do you have any

- 1 other interests or expertises, areas of expertise?
- 2 A Primarily college education, I do a lot of work
- 3 with my students. I research an inclusion of
- 4 children with mental retardation into the regular
- 5 classrooms, some of the pluses and minuses of

- 6 that. I have been involved in several legal cases
- 7 that dealt with people with mental retardation who
- 8 are involved in the criminal justice system in one
- 9 situation or another and I -- I do a lot of pro
- bono work in that.
- 11 Q Do you have any other areas that you research in,
- do research in?
- 13 A Primarily assessment in mental retardation and
- criminal justice and inclusion.
- 15 Q And have you been associated with any other
- 16 colleges or universities other than the ones you
- have already given us?
- 18 A Ohio University, Miami of Ohio, University of New
- Mexico and College of Charleston are the primary
- schools that I have been involved with.
- 21 Q And you've taught courses there; is that correct?
- 22 A That's true.
- 23 Q Courses in?
- 24 A At the last -- at the latter three. Miami U, UNM
- and College of Charleston.

- 1 Q Have you had any -- have you authored any academic
- 2 or professional or scholarly papers of any kind?
- 3 A Yes, I have.
- 4 Q And what are those?
- 5 A Primarily those are papers about mental
- 6 retardation and the death penalty and mental
- 7 retardation and inclusion in the classroom.
- 8 Q And, in fact, one of your works was cited in
- 9 Atkins?
- 10 A Yes. Atkins versus Virginia, I'm cited an article
- I -- that I was coauthor on, lead author on in
- 12 1997.
- 13 Q Have you presented any paper that you have written
- to any academic, professional or scholarly
- organizations?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q Which ones?
- 18 A National Association of School Psychologists,
- 19 American Association on Mental Retardation,
- 20 American Psychological Association, The
- 21 Association for Severely Handicapped which we're
- 22 not supposed to call it anymore. It's called

- 23 TASH, T-A-S-H, and Council for Exceptional
- 24 Children, CEC, and several others. I don't
- 25 remember all of them. I did -- I have done a lot

- 1 of presentations for public defenders and I have
- done a couple -- one presentation for Prosecutors'
- 3 Association.
- 4 Q And where was that?
- 5 A The Prosecutors' Association?
- 6 Q Yes.
- 7 A Florida. It was a great offer.
- 8 Q I'm sorry?
- 9 A It was a great offer, Florida.
- 10 Q Did you recently address the legislatures?
- 11 A I -- I just -- a group of public defenders on
- behalf of the Florida state legislature.
- 13 Q What was the purpose of that?
- 14 A To train them about the definition of mental
- retardation and adaptive skills.
- 16 Q Are they contemplating new legislation there?
- 17 A To my knowledge, the current statute is being

- questioned, but I'm not sure. I don't know that I
- would say they are contemplating new legislation.
- 20 Q Other than Atkins, have your works been cited in
- 21 professional, academic or scholarly publications?
- 22 A Several texts, several scholarly publications and
- journals. To my knowledge, Atkins is the only
- legal case that cited one of my articles, but I
- don't know.

- 1 Q And have they been published in professional
- 2 journals?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q What are those?
- 5 A The Mental Retardation Journal which is, like I
- 6 said, the AAMR publication, practice-oriented
- 7 publication, and I have also published in
- 8 Champion. I have published in Mental and
- 9 Physical -- Mental and Physical Disability Law
- Reporter. Several others. They're in my vitae.
- I don't have it with me right now.
- 12 Q Are you a member of any professional societies or

- organizations?
- 14 A Yes, I am.
- 15 Q And what are those?
- 16 A The American Association on Mental Retardation,
- 17 the American Psychological Association, but I
- think I'm lapsed right now. I need to rejoin.
- 19 The Council for Exceptional Children and National
- 20 Association of School Psychologists.
- 21 Q Have you received any professional honors or
- awards or any recognition in your field?
- 23 A Yes, I have been honored by the Council -- the --
- the Board of Disabilities and Special Need in the
- 25 State of South Carolina and the County of

- 1 Charleston as the Breaker of Barriers Award which
- was very nice. And then I also won another award
- also from -- from them. I can't remember what it
- 4 was called, though.
- 5 Q Anything from the -- Was it Advocate of the Year?
- 6 A Advocate of the Year for them. That's right.
- 7 That's what it was.

- 8 Q Anything from the AAMR?
- 9 A That was actually from the -- the Advocate of the
- 10 Year award was from the Advocacy and Coalition
- 11 Partnership down in Charleston.
- 12 Q Could you tell us some of the cases that you had
- testified in recently, where they were?
- 14 A Oh. I have testified in Missouri, Florida. I
- testified couple years ago in Indiana. Twice, in
- fact. Arizona. Let's see. South Carolina
- several years ago. Texas. I have testified in
- 18 federal and state court.
- 19 Q Didn't you also have the kind of neat experience
- of being at the Supreme Court when Penry was
- 21 argued?
- 22 A I was -- I was involved in the writing of the
- brief, the amicus brief and went to the Court's
- hearing on that, yes, but I didn't testify.
- 25 Q Now, sir, is there any difference --

- 1 MR. KASICH: Well, first of all, I would like
- 2 to move to have the Court recognize Mr. Keyes as

- 3 an expert in the field of mental retardation.
- 4 THE COURT: You may continue, Mr. Kasich.
- 5 BY MR. KASICH:
- 6 Q Is there a difference between what a clinical
- 7 psychologist does and educational psychologist?
- 8 A Well, yeah. A clinical psychologist goes into
- 9 more depth in the area of mental illness than an
- 10 educational psychologist would. An educational
- psychologist can define that a child or a young
- adult is emotionally disabled but doesn't
- typically say well, he has paranoid schizophrenia,
- et cetera, et cetera. It usually is this is a
- child who has emotional disabilities and qualifies
- under the law for assistance in schools. People
- who are mentally retarded, learning disabled and
- emotionally disabled in schools, invariably school
- 19 psychologists and educational psychologists are
- the people who originate that diagnosis.
- 21 Q Would you in your opinion consider that there's
- 22 kind of something called a specialty relating to
- 23 mental retardation?
- 24 A Well, I think that psychologists are not always --
- clinical psychologists are not always

1	well-trained and not that they're not
2	well-trained, they're just not always given a good
3	deal of training specifically in the area of
4	mental retardation.
5	Q What is mental retardation?
6	A Well, I have a slide show that I would like to
7	refer to. Is that all right?
8	Q Do you believe it would aid the Court in perhaps
9	understanding your testimony better?
10	A I hope so.
11	THE COURT: You may.
12	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
13	BY THE WITNESS:
14	A Over the years, your Honor, the American
15	Association on Mental Retardation has been
16	acknowledged experts in the field of mental
17	retardation as the oldest organization in the
18	country that is specifically devoted to one
19	disability; that being mental retardation. The
20	definition of mental retardation has been evolving

21	since the first one that I know about was Edgar
22	Doll's diagnosis of mental retardation in 1939.
23	He was the first one who brought out the
24	three-prong idea; that being that there are three
25	parts to the definition. That definition has
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION
	20
1	evolved for years and currently the definition

that the 2002 Terminology and Classification 2 Manual states is this one right here which talks 3 4 about significant limitations in both intellectual 5 functioning and adaptive behavior and also 6 originates prior to age 18. There are five assumptions specific to the 7 definition of mental retardation and must be 8 considered prior to any kind of diagnosis. These 9 10 are the assumptions. I draw the attention of the 11 Court to the third diagnosis -- the third 12 assumption which is that an individual does have weaknesses. There's no question people with 13 14 mental retardation have significant weaknesses in 15 most areas, but they also do coexist with

16	strengths and that the the diagnostician must
17	consider those strengths in determining whether or
18	not a person is mentally retarded. One one of
19	the things that's most important to remember about
20	that is that people who are not mentally retarded
21	and don't know anything about it are inclined to
22	think that people with mental retardation are
23	incapable of doing anything. They always think
24	that the people with mental retardation are those
25	cute little kids with Down's Syndrome and crusty
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

1	noses, and that is not the case. That people
2	with with mental retardation can look just like
3	you and I.
4	The rest of these assumptions specifically
5	refer to the fact there has to be a fair and
6	unbiased assessment and that it has to consider
7	cultural and linguistic factors. The three-prong
8	definition specifically states that the
9	intelligence level has to be two standard
10	deviations below the mean; that being on an

11	individualized test of intelligence. In this
12	country, the basic individualized tests of
13	intelligence are the Wechsler Adult Intelligence
14	Scale or the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for
15	Children which is in the fourth edition. The
16	Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale is in the third
17	edition. The next one is the Stanford-Binet which
18	is in its fifth edition and the next one is a
19	thing called the Reynolds, R-e-y-n-o-l-d-s,
20	Intellectual Assessment System which is a
21	relatively new and very, very brief test. It
22	takes about 25 minutes to give it.
23	The standard deviation has to be considered
24	within the range of the standard error of measure
25	which is to say that no score is a true score. We
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION
	22

- 1 assume logistically that a person's true score
- 2 falls somewhere plus or minus the standard error
- of measure in any particular test, and each test 3
- has its own standard error of measure. In the 4
- 5 full scale IQ, in the full scale IQ and the

6	composite score, the rough standard error of
7	measure is five points plus or minus the score
8	that's achieved.
9	The adaptive skill deficits have to be
10	considered within the social and cultural norms of
11	the age and cultural group. Peer group, rather.
12	And have to be considered on a conceptual,
13	practical and social basis. Any particular
14	diagnosis of mental retardation, you have to look
15	at the fact that some people have strengths in
16	getting along with other people and yet don't
17	understand the practicalities of life and the
18	conceptualizations of life at an adult level or
19	even on a child's level. The general rule
20	in working with children is that their adaptive
21	skills have to be roughly half their age up until
22	age 12 and then it's typically considered anything
23	below 70. The age of onset in the State of
24	Indiana is considered 22 which is, like I said,
25	Indiana law. The American Association on Mental
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

- 1 Retardation is a little more strict than that at
- 2 18, but they do consider the gestation period as
- 3 part of the possible time of diagnostics.
- 4 Q Before we go into this.
- 5 A Sorry.
- 6 Q That's all right. That's all right. Just to make
- 7 sure. Now, you have given the mental retardation
- 8 explanation you have given now. You have given,
- 9 of course, psychoeducational evaluations and
- 10 examinations and provided as you mentioned expert
- 11 testimony in state and federal courts; is that
- 12 correct?
- 13 A That's correct.
- 14 Q And you have been qualified to give expert
- testimony and opinions; is that correct?
- 16 A That's correct.
- 17 Q Now, getting to the area of having defined what
- mental retardation is, where do we go from there?
- 19 How do we make a determination of mental retard --
- whether or not someone is mentally retarded?
- 21 A Well, originally, it usually is in the schools
- that someone says this child is having great
- 23 difficulty in the classroom. He is not

- functioning at the same level as his peers. And
- when that happens -- now, it's a lot different

1	than it used to be. Now, they have what's called
2	a prereferral intervention team which is
3	appropriately named PIT in which case the
4	teachers, both regular and special as well as the
5	school psychologist and usually administrators and
6	sometimes even parents, sit down and talk about
7	some of the things that are problematic and they
8	also talk about what's being done in the classroom
9	to try to help this particular child. This is in
10	an effort to try and keep so many children from
11	being diagnosed as children with special needs.
12	We have an enormous number of children in this
13	country who are diagnosed as special needs,
14	particularly learning disability and also
15	emotionally disabled. The diagnostic principles
16	of mental retardation are so strict typically that
17	we are usually considered underdiagnosed, that
18	being a thing that I'll explain later when we talk

- about the normal curve. Does that explain your
- 20 question?
- 21 Q Well, I think I was trying to segway you into the
- 22 next slide.
- 23 A Oh, okay. Well, in that sense, the clinical
- judgment of the person who is the diagnostician,
- 25 that being either school psychologist or clinical

- 1 psychologist, typically looks at the intelligence
- 2 that is usually determined by a test. In fact, it
- 3 has to be determined by a test. The adaptive
- 4 skills which according to the AAMR also has to be
- 5 determined by a test and the date of onset or the
- 6 age of onset of the individual. That is
- 7 required -- that requires a certain amount of
- 8 clinical judgment.
- 9 Q And what are the components of that clinical
- 10 judgment?
- 11 A Well, clinical judgment as noted on the -- over on
- the slide show simply says that it's rooted in a
- high level of clinical expertise and experience

14	that emerges	from the data	i, and the	components
----	--------------	---------------	------------	------------

- specifically are training in mental retardation,
- primarily taking courses about what mental
- 17 retardation is and how it's diagnosed, direct
- experience with people who have mental
- retardation, familiarity with the individual being
- diagnosed and his or her environment and also
- 21 extensive relevant assessment gathering.
- 22 Q And those components come right from AAMR; is that
- 23 correct?
- 24 A That is correct.
- 25 Q What isn't clinical judgment?

- 1 A Clinical judgment is not a justification to do
- 2 abbreviated evaluations, and that is one of the
- 3 biggest scourges that I can think of. I don't
- 4 understand why it's considered okay to give an
- 5 abbreviated battery of tests to determine whether
- or not a person has a disability that is going to
- 7 affect the rest of their lives. It is not an
- 8 option for stereotype and prejudices. Clearly,

9	there are people who are often overdiagnosed as
10	mentally retarded such as people of color and
11	people of different races. People of different
12	cultural backgrounds as well. It's not a
13	substitute for it's not okay to say this is a
14	person with mental retardation because I don't
15	have time to test and make sure that this is a
16	person with mental retardation. You have to do
17	the entire battery. And it's not an excuse for
18	incomplete or missing data in the assessment. If
19	you don't have the data, you've got to find it.
20	Q So how would you say an evaluation should be done?
21	A Well, an evaluation has to start with an
22	intelligence test. If you when you get the
23	permission to do the evaluation on the individual,
24	you decide which test you're going to use based
25	upon several factors. First of all, has the
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

- 1 person been given the test before. Second, what
- 2 tests will give you the information that will give
- 3 you accurate diagnostic information and the best

4	for that person's particular disability. For		
5	example, if you're looking at learning disability		
6	as a possible diagnosis, you can give the RIAS		
7	because it will give you a good quick indicator of		
8	the person's overall intelligence. It's not an		
9	abbreviated test. It's just a short test. That's		
10	enough for that particular issue because we're		
11	looking at the possibility of a learning		
12	disability as being high or normal intelligence		
13	versus low achievement functioning in reading and		
14	writing and those things. With mental retardation		
15	since it is an overall category that is has		
16	potential to affect the rest of that person's		
17	life, you give one of the two major tests		
18	typically, and that would be either the		
19	Stanford-Binet or the Wechsler Intelligence Scale.		
20	That's the first part.		
21	Q Is that part of what you would call a		
22	psychoeducational assessment?		
23	A It is.		
24	Q Now, is it necessary for you in doing the		
25	psychoeducational assessment and I guess you're		
CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION			

- a psychoeducational specialist; is that correct?
- 2 A That's correct.
- 3 Q Okay. Is it necessary for you to actually meet
- 4 and observe the person when you're conducting
- 5 these examinations?
- 6 A It is.
- 7 Q And why is that?
- 8 A Because it is such a serious diagnosis. It is
- 9 such an important diagnosis that if you are
- flippant about making a diagnosis or you can say,
- 11 you know, I've looked at all this data, I've
- looked at all the testing that's been done. I
- feel that it's safe to say this person's mentally
- retarded based upon other people's ideas. I don't
- think that's appropriate behavior for such an
- 16 important diagnosis.
- 17 Q And can you reliably perform a thorough
- psychoeducational evaluation without meeting and
- 19 observing?
- 20 A No, you cannot.
- 21 Q And are you familiar with the diagnostic standards

- 22 to conduct a professionally adequate
- 23 psychoeducational evaluation?
- 24 A Yes, I am.
- 25 Q And what are those?

- 1 A Again, we go back to the achievement -- the
- 2 intellectual tests, the adaptive skill tests, I
- 3 include an achievement test because achievement
- 4 school record, basic reading, writing, arithmetic
- 5 skills are considered part of the functional
- 6 adaptive skills, and so I include that as well and
- 7 also checking the records for age of onset
- 8 information.
- 9 Q We've talked -- we've talked briefly about IQ
- testing. What does IQ testing tell you? I mean,
- 11 how do you measure it, and, what, do you use a
- scale of some kind or --
- 13 A Well, the Wechsler scale is the one that's most
- common. And as you see on the overhead, the
- verbal IQ scale is considered to be the better
- indicator of intelligence because when you give

17	the test, there are six subtests in each, the
18	verbal and the performance. That's in the new
19	one. The new one which is now almost 10 years
20	old.
21	Kaufman in his work in 1984 found that the
22	Wechsler verbal scale was considered a better
23	indicator than the performance scale primarily
24	based upon factor analysis work that was done on
25	the different subtests. What finally came to pass
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION
	30

1	was that there were four subtests in the verbal
2	scale that were considered to be high loaders on
3	"g" which is the theory that the test itself is
4	based upon. All tests are based upon theory, and
5	this particular one is called the "g" factor
6	theory. "G" is considered the general factor of
7	intelligence, and through factor analysis they
8	have determined that information, vocabulary,
9	comprehension and similarities are all high
10	loaders on "g", that they are all good indicators
11	of intelligence versus the other two which is

12	arithmetic and	digit span,	both of	f which are

- considered -- well, one's considered a medium
- loader on "g" and the other is a low loader on
- 15 "g".
- 16 Q And ultimately when you get a number for this IQ,
- it means something?
- 18 A Yes.
- 19 Q Okay. And how do we come up with this concept of
- 20 IQ historically?
- 21 A Well, historically, the first test was the -- the
- 22 Stanford -- excuse me, the Binet-Simon Scale in
- France in 1905, and that was done giving
- individuals questions that they had to answer.
- Usually they were questions that were verbal

- 1 oriented and didn't ask the person to do much more
- 2 than maybe draw a square or write their name or
- 3 something to that effect. And the idea of -- the
- 4 minister of education went to a guy named Alfred
- 5 Binet and said come up with a test that will
- 6 legally keep kids who are stupid from going to

/	school, and that's what he did. And he came up
8	with what's called the Binet-Simon Scale, and the
9	idea was that each one of those questions was
10	worth so many months of intelligence and he came
11	with up with a total number of questions that the
12	person got correct. You'd multiply that those
13	questions by the number of months that was
14	variable for each one and you put that down as the
15	person's mental age and then you divided that by
16	the number of months that the person has as their
17	chronological age. The formula was mental age
18	divided by chronological age times one hundred
19	equals IQ. And the reason it was called an
20	intelligence quotient is because there is a
21	division problem in there, and the answer to a
22	division problem is called the quotient. I'm
23	sorry. I am sorry. The answer to a division
24	problem. Okay. That was called the mental age
25	concept. That changed in the late '40s with a man
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

1 by the name of David Wechsler, W-e-c-h-s-l-e-r,

- and David Wechsler came up with the
- Wechsler-Bellview Scale. He was a doctor at
- 4 Bellview Hospital in New York, and he came up with
- 5 this scale that instead of looking at a mental age
- 6 concept, he decided that he would test a whole lot
- 7 of people that represented a good bit of the
- 8 population and he would deviate that -- that IQ
- 9 from how much deviation there was from what
- 10 considered -- what was considered to be normal,
- and that was the beginning of what we now call the
- deviation IQ.
- 13 Q And it's also called the Wechsler Adult
- 14 Intelligence Scale?
- 15 A Well, that was -- the Wechsler-Bellview Scale was
- the first one. Then the Wechsler Intelligence
- 17 Scale and then the Wechsler Adult Intelligence
- 18 Scale came from that.
- 19 Q Okay. And is that your representation of that
- 20 Bell curve?
- 21 A The Bell curve was brought into play in that
- specific testing situation. When they were
- developing the test, they took a stratified
- sample, and it's gotten better over the years,

1	include people of color. They didn't include
2	people with low intelligence. They included
3	geniuses and they included average Joes off the
4	street. And the original Bellview scale I think
5	only had like 800 people in its sample which is a
6	pretty small sample. The current one has about
7	5,000 people, and it has to include people of
8	color; it has to include people of different
9	cultural background; it includes educational
10	background; it includes demographic background
11	for, say, income and professions. It includes
12	51 percent women, 49 percent men because there are
13	more women in the population at large. And what
14	they do is they take the scores of these people
15	they put in the sample and they force them into
16	the normal curve by doing statistical devices that
17	are designed to give us a regular population
18	picture so that overall we're looking at
19	approximately 2.27 percent of the population

20	falling below the second standard of deviation
21	which is 70, and 2.27 percent of the population
22	falling above the 130 mark. Geniuses actually
23	doesn't start until 140 supposedly, but you've got
24	two-thirds of population, 68 percent falling one
25	standard deviation below to above the mean.
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION
	34

1	That's the vast majority of the population. Then
2	you've got actually 13.59 percent of the
3	population that falls from 70 to 85. 2.27 percent
4	of the population falls between below 70 and above
5	130. That's theoretically. Okay. You also
6	notice that the the delineation here goes from
7	70 to 55 because the standard deviation is 15
8	points on both now the Wechsler and the Binet.
9	I'm sorry. B-i-n-e-t. On both the Stanford-Binet
10	and Wechsler, the standard deviation is 15 points.
11	It used to be 16 on the Binet. It's changed with
12	the new fifth edition. 70 to 55, 15 points,
13	approximately 2.27 percent of the population fall
14	below that, but two percent falls here, so it's

15 actually less than half a percent falls below	$\mathcal{S}$
--	---------------

- 16 It's a very small population. And, of course, the
- next one down would be 40, the next 25 and the
- next one 10. But the way that it typically has
- been historically 70 to 75, considering the
- standard error of measure, would be mildly
- retarded. 55 to 40 would be moderately or
- trainably mentally retarded. 40 to 25 would be
- severe and 25 and below would be profound.
- 24 Q These tests that you're talking about that are
- used to try to give you an IQ number, are these --

- 1 would you consider these subjective tests or
- 2 objective tests?
- 3 A They -- the people who design them and the people
- 4 who give them strive very hard to make them as
- 5 objective as possible.
- 6 Q Are there any subjective components to them
- 7 however?
- 8 A There are some in clinical interpretations, but
- 9 the manuals of both the Binet and the Wechsler are

10		very, very stringent about what you are allowed to
11		do and what you're not allowed to do.
12	Q	Now, does it indicate on that scale or can you
13		tell us, is there any information regarding either
14		more males or females that are considered to be
15		mentally retarded?
16	A	More males by far are considered to be mentally
17		retarded.
18	Q	Any idea what causes mental retardation?
19	A	There are many possible causative factors. The
20		causative factors are broken into three parts;
21		prenatal, perinatal and postnatal. The prenatal
22		causes are very often syndromatic; for example, if
23		the mother was an alcoholic during pregnancy,
24		Fetal Alcohol Syndrome can cause mental
25		retardation. There are also genetic factors such
		CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

- as Down's Syndrome. There are numerous of those,
- 2 more than I can possibly tell you. Down's
- 3 Syndrome is the most common genetic factor that
- 4 causes mental retardation, however. The more

5	severe and profound levels of mental retardation
6	are caused by genetic factors. We have determined
7	that approximately 70 percent of people with
8	severe and profound mental retardation are caused
9	by genetic factors such as chromosomal
10	abnormalities.
11	Q Do you and other psychologists for that matter
12	rely on textbooks or authoritative treatises in
13	helping diagnose these mental conditions and
14	specifically those with MR?
15	A Yes.
16	Q And what are those?
17	A There are two. Primarily the American Association
18	on Mental Retardation's "Terminology and
19	Classification Manual" which is in the 10th
20	edition right now, the 2002 definition. And the
21	other is the American Psychological or excuse
22	me, the "American Psychiatric Association's
23	Diagnostic and Statistical Manual," fourth
24	edition, better known as DSM-IV.
25	Q Is there one that's more universally accepted than
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

- 1 the other in using it to diagnose?
- 2 A That's hard to say. Depending upon the use and
- 3 the purpose, probably DSM. The American
- 4 Association on Mental Retardation, however, has
- 5 been acknowledged by the American Psychiatric
- 6 Association as the experts in mental retardation.
- 7 Q And you had kind of a chance to look at some of
- 8 the Indiana case law and what have you. What does
- 9 that tell you?
- 10 A Well, there still is some confusion and some
- 11 questions regarding what is mental retardation in
- many areas, specifically what constitutes low
- adaptive functioning to the extent that one could
- be diagnosed as mentally retarded and what
- 15 constitutes intellectual functions and what tests
- really prove mental retardation in both intellect
- and adaptive skills.
- 18 Q Maybe I missed it. I was looking for something.
- Did you happen to tell the Court what you estimate
- to be the prevalence of mental retardation in
- 21 American today?
- 22 A It's actually estimated just about one percent.

- 23 It's lower than the theoretical basis would make
- you think, and that's true both in schools and
- after.

- Q Now, I would imagine there's a difference between
   mental retardation and something called low
- 3 intellectual function?
- 4 A Yes, there is.
- 5 Q And what is that difference?
- 6 A A person can be low intellectual functioning to
- 7 the extent that they could have an IQ, say, of 60
- 8 or 65 which is significantly low but still not be
- 9 mentally retarded because of their ability to
- adapt to their environment and their situation.
- 11 I've known several situations where one of the
- reasons I would not diagnose was that the person
- was adaptive, that the person did adapt to their
- environment and adapted adequately to the point
- where they didn't need to be diagnosed as mentally
- 16 retarded.
- 17 Q Can you tell us what, if any, difference there

18 would be between a person that is has men
--

- retardation and one that doesn't have mental
- 20 retardation?
- 21 A Well, if you looked at it like -- an analogy, you
- can say a genius was a Rolls-Royce and a normal
- person, regular functioning person would be a Ford
- and a low intellectual person, say, between 75 and
- 25 85 might be a Yugo, a person with mental

- 1 retardation would be sort of like a skateboard.
- 2 It's -- it's significantly severe.
- 3 Q Can you tell if someone is mentally retarded
- 4 simply by looking at him or her?
- 5 A Never.
- 6 Q All right. Now, you talked about IQ testing as
- 7 far as giving a level of intelligence?
- 8 A Uh-huh.
- 9 Q Okay. How is a person assessed for mental
- 10 retardation aside from -- or in addition to IQ
- 11 testing?
- 12 A The second prong of the -- of the definition is

13	the adaptive skills functioning of the individual,
14	and that is done in several ways. First and
15	foremost, looking at the record, what does the
16	child do, what do we know that the child has done
17	in the past, that the individual has done in the
18	past. We would look to see if that person has
19	difficulty with getting along with other people.
20	Does that person have difficulty in getting along
21	on their own. Is that person capable of
22	interacting and behaving like other people the
23	same age or in the same cultural group. For
24	example, we used to have a thing that was called
25	the six-hour retarded child, and, in fact, it
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

still exists. These are children who are often

people of color who are considered to be retarded

at school, but when they get home, they're just

like everybody else. And that's because they

adapt very well to their own environment. And

with that -- when that happens, that's one of the

reasons why in the last -- or in the '92 and the

8	'02 definitions, AAMR has those assumptions that	
9	say you've got to consider what's going on at home	
10	before you can say that a person's retarded.	
11	Q What's the state of the art in this type of	
12	testing? How well normed or conceived are these	
13	tests for adaptive behavior and for IQ, for	
14	malingering?	
15	A I firmly believe that adaptive behavior scales are	
16	getting better. I don't think there's any one	
17	that's really wonderful yet. I in my testing, I	
18	like to use a thing that's called the Independent	
19	Living Scale, and the Independent Living Scale is	
20	a test that asks the person how well they are able	
21	to deal with money, how well they're able to	
22	deal and he actually has to show me in my	
23	testing how well he is able to determine change	
24	for a specific amount. For example, I give them	

\$10 and he has to come back with \$6.75 in change

41

- on something that costs \$3.25, et cetera. It asks
- 2 them to look up a number in a phone book. It asks

- 3 them to show how they would dial that number. It
- 4 asks them many things about how well they would
- 5 take care of their health if they were sick, what
- 6 kinds of numbers they were looking at if they had
- 7 to take their temperature with a thermometer.
- 8 It's a very practical test of adaptability and
- 9 adaptive skills whether or not that person really
- knows what they're doing personally, and that's
- one of the reasons why I like to give it. It's
- 12 from 1996.
- 13 Q Are you also involved in developing new tests?
- 14 A I have been asked to be involved in a couple of
- different testing situations. With my current
- level of work, developing a test is such an
- enormous amount of work. I don't have time to do
- a whole lot of it. I am, however, trying to come
- up with some techniques of looking at what kinds
- of behaviors we see -- in specifically in adults
- on death row with mental retardation. That's one
- of my projects.
- 23 Q I think we've talked about how or have we talked
- about how mental retardation is diagnosed?
- 25 A Well, like I said, I don't think we finally

1	finished it. Once you finish the IQ test, you go
2	from there to the adaptive skills testing. And in
3	schools and actually afterwards, when you are
4	talking to the individual, you certainly can do a
5	self-report scale on certain tests. The Vineland,
6	V-i-n-e-l-a-n-d, which is one of the older tests.
7	I talked earlier about Edgar Doll, D-o-l-l. He
8	developed the Vineland at the Vineland School or
9	helped to develop the Vineland test at the
10	Vineland School in New Jersey. What we have now,
11	what we're looking at now more is tests such as
12	the Scale of Independent Behavior and the Adaptive
13	Behavior Assessment Scale Systems, rather,
14	which is in its second edition. The Scale of
15	Independent Behavior is in a revised edition as
16	well. Those are two tests that allow the person
17	to do a self-report and then you also have to get
18	other respondents to give you information about
19	that individual as much as possible. Plus, you
20	have to look at the record. The big issue there

- is whether or not two or more areas of adaptive
- skills are significantly low enough to consider it
- to be retarded, and the only way that that would
- work is if the person has an IQ under 75.
- 25 Q So I think you mentioned that there's three

- 1 conditions, then; is that correct?
- 2 A Correct.
- 3 Q And that's intellectual deficit in developmental
- 4 years, inability to function adequately in social,
- 5 occupational environments that requires some sort
- of independent and self-sufficient living and the
- 7 75 or less IQ; is that correct?
- 8 A And it has to be before the age of 18.
- 9 Q Okay.
- 10 A Or in State of Indiana, 22.
- 11 Q Developmental years?
- 12 A Right.
- 13 Q Has there ever been a rigid cutoff at 70?
- 14 A Well, you know, this is one of the problems
- between APA and AAMR or AAIDD. One of the

16	problems is that American Association on Mental
17	Retardation has always said that it is appropriate
18	to consider the standard error of measure which is
19	that plus or minus five points. Yes, two standard
20	deviations below the mean is important, but
21	because we can't be absolutely certain of the true
22	score of that individual, we have to consider what
23	the band of confidence is. That band of
24	confidence is typically considered plus or minus
25	five points so that a person can be considered
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

1	mentally retarded if they have an IQ between 70
2	and 75. That could be mental retardation,
3	depending upon the level of adaptive skills. AAMR
4	has typically said that a person's adaptive skill
5	level can be as much if not more important than
6	their intellectual level simply because that is
7	what sets them apart from other people most often.
8	Their adaptability or their ability to act like
9	others. The American Psychiatric Association in
10	DSM-IV also notices that the the plus or minus

1	1	. 1	, 1 1		C		•	•	
1	1	the	standard	error	$\alpha$ t	measure	10	important	nc
1	1	uic	standard	CIIOI	$\mathbf{o}_{\mathbf{I}}$	measure	10	mportani	,, IIC

- question. But at the same time, they have also
- tried to be a little bit more rigid in their
- diagnostic procedures. So sometimes they allow
- it; sometimes they don't, depending upon who is
- the clinician giving the test and interpreting.
- 17 Q When you're looking at these things, do you look
- at one score, or do you consider all the IQ
- scores?
- 20 A Oh, you have to consider everything that's ever
- been done with the individual, but some -- some
- IQs certain carry more weight than others.
- 23 Q Would one score, say, above 75 mean a person
- 24 doesn't have mental retardation?
- 25 A No, not necessarily.

- 1 Q What does it mean?
- 2 A It means that that one person got a score of --
- 3 above 75 by a clinician who may or may not have
- 4 been able to do the testing properly, who may or
- 5 may not have scored it correctly, who may or may

6	not be familiar with the test enough to make the
7	correct number or may have given a test that was
8	less than an appropriate test such as a lot of
9	schools give achievement tests like the
10	Metropolitan Achievement, Stanford Achievement,
11	California Achievement tests, Iowa Tests of Basic
12	Skills, et cetera, et cetera. A lot of schools
13	give these things, and these are just achievement
14	tests. These are tests of reading, reading
15	comprehension, word recognition, arithmetic, total
16	arithmetic, conceptual arithmetic, writing skills,
17	et cetera, et cetera. Grammar. All of these
18	tests are important tests for how a child
19	functions in school, yes, but some of them offer
20	what's called an IQ. They call it an IQ based
21	upon the scores that these people get on their raw
22	score of individual subtests, and what they've
23	done is they've taken the raw score that they get
24	from those subtests and they compare them to the
25	raw scores of people that they have IQs on. This
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

- 1 is a comparative analysis, and what they do is
- what's called predictive validity. We predict
- 3 this person has an IQ based upon his achievement
- 4 scale. That is not an intelligence test. You
- 5 cannot call it an IQ, and very often school
- 6 districts report these things as IQs and they're
- 7 not.
- 8 Q Now, if someone scores -- are you familiar with
- 9 situations where someone might score a little
- higher on their IQ tests because they've been
- 11 medicated in any way?
- 12 A If a person has a possible mental illness and
- mental retardation which is considered to be dual
- diagnosis and they are on, say, a psychotropic
- drug that helps them to create a better niche with
- reality, then it can, in fact, bring up their IQ
- somewhat, yes.
- 18 Q Could you name some of those common psychotropic
- 19 drugs?
- 20 A Thorazine, Risperdal, Risperidone. Let's see.
- 21 Haldol. Sinequan. That's about all I can think
- of right offhand.
- 23 Q Do you know if Mr. Britt's on any of these

- 24 medications?
- 25 A I believe he has been on those medications, some

47

1		of them.
2	Q	Now, is there a way that an individual can
3		practice so they can raise their IQ score?
4	A	Well, if they've been given considerable exposure
5		to the test over the years, it's possible you can
6		have what's called a practice effect. For
7		example, if you give the test on Monday and you
8		give the test again three weeks later, then
9		there's definitely a likely practice effect.
10	Q	What about an IQ increasing over time from, say,
11		at early onset developmental years, be it 18 or
12		22, until later on in life when they're in their
13		40s or 50s?
14	A	An IQ typically doesn't change more than 10 to 15
15		points in a person's entire life.
16	Q	And when you have that 10- to 15-point change, is
17		part of the reason for that change perhaps the

Flynn effect in testing?

19 A The Flynn effect was so named based upon a r	man b	y
---	-------	---

- 20 the name of James Flynn, Jim Flynn, who lives in
- New Zealand who is in his mid 70s now. He's a
- really lovely man. He came out with this very
- clear problem that IQ tests tend to increase in
- 24 number over time that the person -- we used to say
- 25 that there was a regression to the mean and now it

- 1 appears that it's -- instead of regression to the
- 2 mean, the numbers of the overall IQs go up as the
- 3 test gets older. And the -- the general number
- 4 that Jim came up with is about a third to a
- 5 quarter -- quarter to a third of a point each year
- 6 so that a person's IQ can go up as much as five
- 7 points in 10 years, roughly.
- 8 Q And is that perhaps some sort of reflection of
- 9 society as a whole getting, quote, smarter?
- 10 A Not necessarily. It may be just that the person's
- becoming -- the people are becoming more familiar
- with the kinds of things that are included in
- intelligence tests, naturally. I don't -- I don't

14	necessarily think given the last 20 years of my
15	experience in life that anybody's getting smarter,
16	but I do think that there are issues that people
17	do become more aware of over time that are
18	included in intelligence tests such as words, for
19	example, in the vocabulary tests.
20	Q When you talked about an increase in IQ over
21	years, a person persons, the people who have
22	that increase in their IQ, is it something that
23	just happens to them, or is there something that
24	has to aid them to get to the point where their IQ
25	has increased?
	CUDICTINE M ODD CCD OFFICIAL COURT DEPORTED

49

8

1	A We don't see so much increasing in IQ. We see
2	more decreasing in IQ, but it's possible that a
3	person will do better on a test on a separate date
4	based upon their health, their physical situation,
5	their comfort in the situation, nervousness,
6	medications, et cetera. All of these things can
7	affect a person's functioning over time. The

Flynn effect is what most people use to explain

- 9 why people have higher IQs 10 years down the road.
- 10 Q All right. Perhaps I didn't ask it correctly or
- clarify it well enough. Is it possible that there
- are people who if they're given the right
- circumstances, given the right environment, given
- an environment when they're being cared for and
- 15 nurtured?
- 16 THE COURT: Wait up. You know what. What
- are you doing, Mr. Britt? You're really causing a
- distraction.
- 19 THE DEFENDANT: Oh, I didn't know.
- THE COURT: Are you eating candy?
- THE DEFENDANT: Yes. My sugar be low.
- THE COURT: And that's fine, but don't chew
- 23 it, you know.
- 24 THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
- 25 THE COURT: You know, you can suck on your

- 1 candy, and that's fine.
- 2 MR. KASICH: I think it's the wrapper.
- 3 THE COURT: No, you were biting into it, and

- 4 I hear you bite into your candy. Just do me the
- favor, if you will, show some respect to the
- 6 witness and certainly to me who is hearing this
- 7 hearing.
- 8 THE DEFENDANT: See, I don't understand
- 9 what's going on.
- THE COURT: Well, it helps -- it helps me to
- understand when you just don't chew your candy up.
- Don't play with your wrapper.
- THE DEFENDANT: I'm not playing.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay. So just don't chew your
- candy, okay.
- 16 THE DEFENDANT: I understand, but I just
- don't understand what -- what's going on.
- THE COURT: That's why you have your
- 19 attorneys to help you understand. Thank you.
- Mr. Kasich.
- 21 BY MR. KASICH:
- 22 Q Doctor, I was talking about situations that I have
- had other witnesses testify to and that I'm
- familiar with. Are those situations that you're
- familiar with where someone's placed in a

- 1 nurturing type of environment or something like
- 2 that, their IQ could feasibly increase?

- 3 A There's no question that stability in a person's
- 4 life can improve their performance on life in
- 5 general as well as intelligence tests.
- 6 Q Okay. What about being in custody, incarcerated
- 7 in a typical U.S. penal institution, is that the
- 8 type of increase in stability that would cause an
- 9 increase in IQ?
- 10 A Well, it could, yes, but it's been my experience
- that what incarceration does is it increases their
- 12 adaptive skills, typically.
- 13 Q And, of course, most people in prison would be
- over the age of 18?
- 15 A Yes. Yes, sir.
- 16 Q Now, with this increase in adaptive skills or this
- increase in IQ, can a person with a diagnosis of
- mental retardation recover from mental
- 19 retardation?
- 20 A Well, it has been my experience that on occasion
- somebody who is able to learn adaptive skills can

22	appear to be functioning within a more normal
23	range and would possibly be considered not
24	retarded. That's possible, and it has happened.
25	In fact, I've had students who were in my in my
	CHRISTINE MORR CSR OFFICIAL COURT REPOI

1	program who were considered mentally retarded in
2	school but when they got out of school, they
3	were they were gainfully employed, managed to
4	live on their own with very little help from their
5	family or just a little bit of help from their
6	family; and because of that, they often have lost
7	their Supplemental Security Income, SSI, because
8	of the fact that they were making more money than
9	they were allowed to and in those circumstances,
10	they would not be considered mentally retarded
11	after having graduated the program. It is the
12	unusual case. Most people with mental retardation
13	are considered retarded for life.
14	Q Do these people with mental retardation that we're
15	talking about, the most people, do they have
16	certain behaviors and characteristics that are

17	common?
18	A Yes, they do.
19	Q What are those?
20	A Mental people with mental retardation, the
21	behaviors that you see most often are they
22	perseverate in making mistakes, they do the same
23	thing again and again and again even
24	though it doesn't work for them. They will have
25	difficulty with short-term memory. That's the
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1	biggest one of the biggest issues with mental
2	retardation is they do not remember things from
3	minute to minute, hour to hour, and that's both
4	visual information and auditory information. They
5	just don't remember well. You give for
6	example, if I were to say to you a phone number,
7	you'd remember it. If I were to say to a person
8	with mental retardation a phone number, they more
9	than likely would not remember it. They also have
10	difficulty with abstractions. They don't
11	understand very abstract scales such as skills

12	such as higher functioning math. They can do
13	multiplication, addition, subtraction, they can do
14	those things, but they have difficulty with
15	understanding fractions, decimals. It's very
16	common to have problems in those areas because
17	they're a little bit more abstract. They do not
18	generalize well. They don't go from one situation
19	into another situation and understand exactly how
20	to behave in both situations even though there may
21	be similarities. The best example I can give you
22	is I took my students to lunch every day in our
23	school, and we sat down, the first thing we did
24	was take those little pathetic napkins, the paper
25	ones that you can't even blow your nose on and put
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

- 1 them over our laps, right. We did this every day
- 2 for a solid year, and the next -- at the end of
- 3 the year, we went to a really nice restaurant
- 4 which we made enough money that we could afford to
- 5 take them to a really good restaurant, took them
- 6 to a place called the Brookwood Pottery in

7	Cincinnati and we sat down and on the table were
8	these designed napkins that looked like swans,
9	right. And the students, my students all sat
10	there going wow, look at the artwork, you know.
11	They didn't realize it was a napkin, and when I
12	went, you know, uh-hum, like that and put it on my
13	lap, they were oh, you know. It was like the
14	light went on. And that's that's the kind of
15	transfer of skills or generalization of skills
16	that they just didn't get. And that's pretty
17	common for people with mental retardation. They
18	have difficulty with fitting in. They don't fit
19	in well in situations where they don't know how to
20	act and sometimes they will they will behave in
21	a very bizarre manner in an effort to try to make
22	friends. And it's also very common for them to
23	act like they know what's going on when they
24	really don't have a clue what's going on, and
25	that's called the cloak of confidence. And then
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

1 they also tend to acquiesce in situations where

- 2 they are frightened. If they don't know what to
- do, they will go along with what's told to them,
- 4 especially if there's a situation of authority.
- 5 Q Let's shift back to intelligence testing, testing
- 6 in schools. Were you able to review some of the
- 7 scores that Mr. Britt had in school?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q And what did you find?
- 10 A Well, if I can use this again. Why didn't it do
- it. There we go. If you look here, these were
- the dates of testing and the tests that were used
- specifically on Eugene when he was in elementary
- school and middle school, what's now called junior
- high -- or what was now called middle school but
- then called junior high. At nine years,
- two months, chronological age, he was given the
- Metropolitan Achievement Test and again the next
- year, and you'll notice that he was nine is
- 20 roughly third grade; 10 is roughly fourth grade or
- fourth or fifth depending on the age of the
- individual, and word knowledge did not go up at
- all in that year. Word discrimination went up a
- year, three, which is pretty good, so that means

#### 25 that his ability to decipher words phonetically

# CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

1	was improving and his reading level did go up
2	almost a full year which is a pretty impressive
3	change. Then you got the '69 October,
4	chronological age 11, almost 12 years old. He was
5	given the Lorge-Thorndike which is a group IQ
6	test, not a very good test, not one that you could
7	use to diagnose mental retardation, but you're
8	still looking at stanines. Stanines are the
9	normal curve broken into groups of nine, your
10	Honor. Roughly the percentage in each group is
11	about the same, and the lowest stanine would be in
12	the very bottom part, and the highest stanine
13	which would be nine which would be in the higher,
14	and the middle would be in the fourth and fifth
15	stanine. Do you understand? So that's what
16	you're looking at here is that his verbal score
17	was in one stanine which is the very lowest you
18	could get and his nonverbal score was three which
19	is just slightly above. That would be roughly

- 20 maybe the seventh or eighth percentile, tenth
- 21 percentile tops. Less than tenth actually.
- 22 Q When he's given that test at that time, is that --
- is he being compared to the people of his age --
- 24 A Yes.
- 25 Q -- or is he being compared to the people in his

- 1 grade?
- 2 A In his grade typically is what -- the way that the
- 3 Lorge-Thorndike is organized.
- 4 Q So if he's older than people in his grade?
- 5 A He should have done better.
- 6 Q Okay.
- 7 A Right.
- 8 Q Even better than that?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q All right.
- 11 A Then the final one, he was I believe in fifth
- grade when he took the October '71 Iowa Test of
- 13 Basic Skills. 13 years, 11 months, almost
- 14 14 years old, and that would be the normal age for

- an eighth- or ninth-grader. The -- the vocabulary
- is 2.8. GE stands for grade equivalent, I'm
- sorry. 2.8 in vocabulary. 3.9 in reading comp.
- Arithmetic concepts, 4. Arithmetic, arithmetic,
- those are all 4.4. Okay. So we're looking at
- somebody who was supposedly -- should have been
- 21 functioning at the eighth grade level or ninth
- grade level and was actually functioning in the
- second, third and fourth grade level.
- 24 Q Now, you've, of course, been in contact with Don
- 25 Levy?

- 1 A Yes, sir.
- 2 Q And this is about all that's been found regarding
- 3 Eugene's school records; is that correct?
- 4 A School scores, yes, that's correct.
- 5 Q And there were some IQ scores, however, that you
- 6 were able to look at; is that correct?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Okay. How many were there?
- 9 A Two that I found specifically.

10	Q	Okay.
11	A	One was the Revised Beta from 1979 which I believe
12		was given by the Department of Corrections.
13		Department of Corrections around the country
14		typically use this as a kind of a screener to
15		determine whether or not a person might have a
16		mental disability. Unfortunate thing about the
17		Revised Beta is it's a terrible test. It's got
18		It's primarily a verbal test, so people who have

19 verbal problems typically score lower. People who

20 have nonverbal problems typically might score

21 higher. This score, particularly 60, puts the

22 individual roughly at the first percentile of the

23 population. It's not a test that I would use to

24 diagnose mental retardation. Like I said, I would

25 use it as a screener, and if I see somebody with a

#### CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

- 1 60 or even up to 70 IQ on the Revised Beta, it
- 2 should be a red flag that says, you know, this
- 3 person might have a mental disability, let's go a
- 4 little further. To my knowledge, I don't think

- 5 anything more was done by the DOC. The 70 was
- 6 given by a Ms. Nancy Ayres who was working under
- 7 the auspices of Dr. Raymond Horn, and that was
- 8 given in 2004.
- 9 Q You have a 69 in parentheses there?
- 10 A That is correct.
- 11 Q What does that mean?
- 12 A Well, I went back and looked at the data, and I
- was concerned that there were a couple of points
- that I thought probably were -- I wouldn't say
- misscored, but something wasn't quite right. When
- I looked at the numbers, I didn't think they made
- sense. So I went back and rescored it, and
- instead of having a 70 IQ -- she had 71 -- let me
- 19 go. She had a verbal of 71, performance of 75 and
- a full scale of 70. I went back and checked the
- data using the manual and came up with a verbal of
- 69, a performance of 75 and a full scale of 69.
- 23 Q Okay. We'll come back to the rescoring a little
- 24 bit later.
- 25 A All right.

- 1 Q Now, you did get a chance, of course, to review
- 2 Dr. Horn's report?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q Both his -- actually his deposition, his
- 5 preliminary written report, his report after the
- 6 examination and the actual raw tests itself and
- 7 his in-court testimony; is that correct?
- 8 A That is correct.
- 9 Q What did you glean from that or what struck you
- when you reviewed all of those items?
- 11 A If you look specifically at the data that
- Mr. Britt produced during the testing, Dr. Horn
- was concerned that there was a great amount of
- inconsistency in his responses. In his testimony
- he stated, quote: I do not think that Mr. Britt
- 16 consistently performed below his ability, but the
- inconsistency in performance was substantial
- enough to render an overall conclusion about the
- validity of all of the tests' data much more
- difficult to make. What he's saying there is that
- 21 Mr. Britt missed easy things and got more
- 22 difficult things correct. This is a wide amount

- of variance. And that's true. He did get that.
- But it's not because he wasn't trying. In working
- with mental -- adults with mental retardation, one

61

1	of the things we find is that they have years of
2	experience with a lack of intelligence. Their
3	memory is typically defective and not only in
4	short-term but also in long-term. So what we see
5	here is that a person with mental retardation can
6	get easy things correct or easy things wrong and
7	can also get easy harder things correct and
8	harder things wrong so that you end up sometimes
9	going a lot further in the testing because they'll
10	miss three and then get one right and then they'll
11	miss two more and then they'll get another one
12	right, and this is exactly the kind of profile
13	that I saw when I reviewed Ms. Ayres' testing of
14	Mr. Britt.
15	Q Did you test Mr. Britt's IQ?
16	A No, I did not.

17

Q And why is that?

- 18 A It wasn't necessary. There were enough -- there
- were enough IQ tests that were already done on
- 20 him. I didn't think it was absolutely necessary
- 21 to come up with a different one.
- 22 Q And, of course, you had the actual -- all the
- 23 tests that Dr. Horn had performed in December of
- 24 2004; is that correct?
- 25 A That is correct.

- 1 Q You had Ms. Ayres' transcript of her -- of her
- 2 deposition?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q And all of the other things regarding Dr. Horn?
- 5 A That is correct.
- 6 Q And you felt that that was enough?
- 7 A There was enough IQ information there. Plus,
- 8 it -- there was one potential error in the testing
- 9 that was of some significance in -- hold on just a
- minute. Let me see if I can find that.
- 11 Naturally. At the very bottom. Okay.
- In the IQ testing, the very first subtest

13	that is given is the picture completion. And if
14	you look at this data, you'll see that he gets the
15	first eight correct and then he misses two and
16	then he gets three correct and then he misses two
17	more and then he gets another one correct and then
18	he misses two more. Then he gets another one
19	correct. Then he misses two more. Then he gets
20	another one correct. Your Honor, that's a
21	textbook description of an adult with mental
22	retardation. That's what it looks like. Then
23	you've got the next one, the vocabulary subtest.
24	Now, the vocabulary subtest is a very important
25	subtest. It's a high loader on "g". It's a good
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

63

1

medium loader on "g". It's not that great of
indicator. But you've got two, two, okay. He
misses the first one which is winter. He only
gets a partial score on that. By rules of the
test, you have to go back and give the first --

indicator of intelligence whereas this is only a

7 two more and back or three, possibly three more,

8	until he gets two in a row fully correct which is
9	two points each. So he gets that and the next
10	in the first Number 2 and Number 3. Then
11	Miss Ayres continues from Number 5 which is
12	correct. She he says he doesn't know what
13	assemble means so she gives him a zero there.
14	That's appropriate. Then you turn to the next
15	page and there are five in a row incorrect. The
16	ceiling which is the top item you are to give and
17	then you switch to a different subtest because
18	that person has maxed out on that test, the
19	ceiling is six incorrect items in a row.
20	Ms. Ayres appears to have counted these five and
21	continued to test. Now, the possibility is that
22	she was doing what's called testing the limits.
23	That's accepted practice but not during the
24	process of the test. You go back and do testing
25	of the limits after you complete the test. Here
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

- 1 it doesn't say whether or not she stopped the test
- 2 at that point and went on. I suspect that she

- 3 might not have stopped the test correctly because
- 4 if you look at the bottom, she's crossed out the
- 5 raw score and put the correct raw score which is
- 6 11. She had 16 which means that she included all
- 7 these here. But in doing so, she has given me an
- 8 opportunity to point out to the Court zeros, all
- 9 these zeroes and then he gets a perfect score on
- Number 13. Then he gets a partial score on Number
- 11 15. He gets another zero. He gets a perfect
- score on Number 17. He gets a zero on Number 18
- and he gets a perfect score on Number 19. And
- then he gets six in a row incorrect where she
- finally stops the test. Now, whether or not it
- has any bearing on the IQ or any of that, not
- terribly important because she did use the correct
- score. But it did break standardization if she
- didn't stop and continue the test where she should
- 20 have.
- That's not a big deal. My concern is this is
- 22 what an MR profile very often looks like, too. He
- got scores correct after the ceiling. Now --
- 24 MR. KASICH: If I may, your Honor, I would
- 25 just like to approach. For some reason and maybe

65

1	I'm just I have the original transcript of
2	Dr. Horn's testimony. Does the Court want it for
3	its file? Normally I don't have original
4	transcripts of court proceedings. They're usually
5	in the Court file.
6	THE COURT: I don't have the entire file here
7	in front of me. I'm not sure whether we already
8	have those, but we'll show them admitted.
9	MR. KASICH: All right.
10	THE COURT: For purposes of today's hearing.
11	BY MR. KASICH:
12	Q Sorry, Doctor.
13	A That's all right. I can talk forever. I promise
14	I won't.
15	Q You were saying.
16	A If you look at similarities which is the next high
17	loader on "g". In fact, similarities is
18	considered the best indicator of intelligence on
19	the test. You have first item, the very first

item given which by the way she's quite right.

- 21 She did have to go back and give them, but she
- gave the fork and spoon and he got a zero on that.
- Then he gets correct scores on Numbers 2, 3, 4 and
- 5. Then he gets a correct score on Number 6,
- Number 7 and then he gets an incorrect --

- 1 partially correct score on Number 8 and then two
- 2 more perfect scores and then two more partial
- 3 scores and then four zeroes. Again, a little
- 4 bit -- not quite as convincing, but a mental
- 5 retardation profile there, too.
- 6 Skip ahead to Matrix Reasoning. Keep in
- 7 mind, your Honor, that these tests are ordered
- 8 easy to hard, easy questions to hard questions.
- 9 He gets the first four correct, misses two, gets
- another one correct, misses one, gets another one
- 11 correct and misses four to the ceiling, okay.
- 12 Another MR profile.
- Then Information. Information is considered
- a high loader on "g", too. By the way, Matrix
- Reasoning is considered one of the two good

16	indicators of intelligence on the performance side
17	of the test, not verbal. Information, he gets two
18	in a row correct, Number 4 and Number 5. Then he
19	misses 6 and 7 and 8 and 9. Then he gets 10
20	correct, misses 11, gets 12 correct, misses 13,
21	gets 14 correct, which which this is a real
22	interesting question. Who discovered who
23	gave who developed the theory of relativity.
24	And he said Einstein, okay. Now, people with
25	mental retardation might know that. That's a
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

1	possibility. It's a tough question, but it's
2	possible that person might know that, and it can
3	come from watching television. It it certainly
4	didn't come from school prior to age 19, I would
5	think. And then he misses five in a row and gets
6	another one correct, Number 20. Then he gets
7	then he maxes out on the ceiling. But this kind
8	of Aces and Spaces which is what I call it, Aces
9	and Spaces means that they get little bits correct
10	and then they miss some and then they're correct

11	and then	they n	niss them	and the	testing i	s replete
----	----------	--------	-----------	---------	-----------	-----------

- with this kind of thing.
- 13 Q I think you indicated that shows you what?
- 14 A That suggests to me that this is an adult with
- mental retardation. At least intellectual
- retardation, I should say.
- 17 Q Okay. We've pointed out and we discussed in the
- last couple days since you came this Saturday,
- Dr. Horn's concerns about the math problems. Do
- you want to address that at this point in time?
- 21 A Well, the math problems -- the math test that he
- gave is the -- the part two of a test that's
- called the Wide Range Achievement Test or better
- known as the WRAT which is a very good description
- in my opinion. The WRAT is also a screener. It

- 1 is not considered a good indicator for -- for
- 2 example, it's not the kind of test you would use
- 3 to define a learning disability. It's a screening
- 4 test. If you were trying to determine whether or
- 5 not a child had a specific disability, you

6	wouldn't give the WRAT. You would give the
7	Wilcock-Johnson or the Test of Educational
8	Achievement, Kaufman. There are lots of different
9	tests you would give, but you wouldn't give the
10	WRAT. The WRAT is a screener and the WRAT is the
11	test that teachers will sometimes give to
12	determine what's called error pattern analysis.
13	You look for a pattern to the error to help teach
14	the child. And it's a good it's a good test
15	for that, I would say. I actually have used it
16	for that when I was teaching.
17	All right. The Wide Range Achievement is
18	broken into three parts; the reading, the math and
19	the the spelling. And simple spelling test
20	with X number of words and you have to get 10
21	words in a row incorrect before you can call it
22	quits and move to the next one. The WRAT spelling
23	is good for item analysis. You can actually look
24	and see what the problems that the child is
25	making, and it's a good test for that. The math
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

- 1 has been argued for years. This test has been
- 2 around and around about whether or not the math is
- a good test. Personally, I don't think it is a
- 4 good test, and the reason I don't give this test
- 5 is because two things; one, it has been suggested
- 6 that this test is not good for people of color
- 7 that has been tested and it does turn out that
- 8 people of color do typically score lower on it;
- 9 and, two, it goes from simple math to very
- difficult math in lightning speed. If you were
- going to use this test as a screener, there are
- better math tests that you can come up with. If
- you were going to use this test as an identifier,
- there are lots of better tests that you could come
- up with. But to say that because of this math
- test this man is not mentally retarded seems to me
- a little bit too convenient. I would not use this
- 18 test to determine that.
- 19 Q Well, can the test help you to determine effort?
- 20 A It might. It could, yeah. It could tell you
- 21 consistency of effort, but another thing you can
- see in the Wide Range Achievement Test that he
- gives -- gave, rather. In the spelling, for

- example, he gets the first six correct, then he
- 25 misses one. Then he gets the eighth correct and

- 1 misses another one. Gets two more correct, misses
- 2 three. Gets another one correct, misses one.
- 3 Gets the next one correct, misses the next one.
- 4 Gets the next one correct, misses the next one.
- 5 Misses the next one after that and gets the next
- one correct and then finally hits the ceiling.
- 7 Again, your Honor, Aces and Spaces. Then you go
- 8 to the --
- 9 Q Well, wait.
- 10 A -- to the math.
- 11 Q You jumped off to the Aces and Spaces, but I mean,
- more specifically --
- 13 THE COURT: Wait up. Mr. Kasich, I'm sorry.
- Wait up. Mr. Britt gives my bailiff a note that
- says he needs his medication.
- THE DEFENDANT: Yeah, yeah, my knees and my
- 17 hip.
- THE COURT: Do you have it with you?

19 THE DEFENDANT: No, no, they got it. They 20 didn't give it to me. I came here instead. 21 THE COURT: Do you know anything about 22 this --23 MR. KASICH: No, your Honor. 24 THE COURT: -- medication issue? 25 THE DEFENDANT: They know about it. CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION 71 1 MR. KASICH: I knew he was on medication. I 2 didn't know -- Were you supposed to take it this 3 morning? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. They brought me here. 5 THE COURT: Did you even take it today at all? 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: They didn't give it to me. 8 You know, they didn't pass it out yet. They brought me here. 9 10 THE COURT: What time do they usually pass it 11 out?

THE DEFENDANT: Supposed to be -- you know,

12

13

in the morning.

- 14 THE COURT: What time did they bring you here
- today? Do you know?
- MR. BAILIFF: 8:00. He was here on the first
- 17 shift.
- THE COURT: Let's go about 15 more minutes.
- 19 That will take us to about quarter 'til. At that
- 20 point, we'll get you your medication during the
- 21 lunch break.
- THE DEFENDANT: All right.
- THE COURT: All right.
- 24 THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
- THE COURT: We'll just break early for lunch.

72

#### 1 BY MR. KASICH:

- 2 Q I'm sorry, Doctor. When you indicated the Aces
- and Spaces as a kind of conclusion on this, you're
- 4 talking about what, because of the -- some are
- 5 wrong and some are right and that means again?
- 6 A It's the same thing. It's an MR profile. It's
- 7 what you expect to see in an adult with mental
- 8 retardation.

- 9 Q And if it was somebody extending less than premium
- 10 effort, what would you see?
- 11 A You would see -- oh, actually, in people who are
- trying to malinger, very often you see people who
- miss all of them. That's what they think mentally
- retarded people would do.
- 15 Q All right.
- 16 A And the math that you asked me about earlier.
- 17 Q Yes.
- 18 A The kinds of errors that you see here, and, again,
- 19 you've got it all over the -- the numbers are all
- 20 over the place. He gets four in a row correct,
- 21 misses one, gets another one correct, misses two,
- et cetera, et cetera. And it goes like that all
- 23 the way through.
- 24 Q Well, I think one of the more glaring ones in the
- 25 math that Dr. Horn pointed to was the 3X4=13?

- 1 A Uh-huh.
- 2 Q And his concern, of course, was that that was not
- 3 extending effort?

- 4 A Again, he gets 6X7 correct, okay. That -- 3X4, he
- 5 could have missed it for any number of reasons. I
- 6 don't -- I don't necessarily think he was
- 7 malingering if that's what Dr. Horn is suggesting.
- 8 Carelessness is very common.
- 9 Q You talked about there being a profile for mental
- retardation; is that correct?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q Is there a malingering profile?
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q And, again, I imagine that you said this is a
- mental retardation profile. What's your opinion
- as to whether it comes anywhere close to
- 17 malingering profile?
- 18 A I don't see a malingering profile in what I've
- reviewed, and I met with Mr. Britt for six hours
- in June and I did not feel that he was malingering
- 21 when he was with me.
- 22 Q Okay. You were here -- let's segway to that
- briefly. You were here June 2nd, 3rd and 4th; is
- 24 that correct? June 4th through 6th? 4th through
- 25 7th?

- 1 A 4th, 5th and 7th -- 6th and 7th, yeah. I was here
- 2 four days.
- 3 Q And you just alluded to meeting with Mr. Britt?
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 Q How many days?
- 6 A Two days. Almost all day, both days.
- 7 Q All right. How many total hours would you say
- 8 that you spent with Eugene Britt?
- 9 A I think I spent three or four hours with him the
- first day and six hours the second day. Roughly
- 11 10 -- nine, 10 hours. I'm not entirely sure of
- that, though.
- 13 Q Now, when you were talking to him and reviewing
- with him, I believe you then also considered
- something called the risk factors for mental
- 16 retardation?
- 17 A Yes. If you look on the overhead. The risk
- factors for mental retardation are also broken
- into prenatal, perinatal and postnatal most like
- 20 the causative factors of mental retardation.
- These are all possible reasons that people can

- become mental retarded. Biomedical, social,
- behavioral and educational. They have to do with
- family situations, poverty, medical situations,
- 25 neglect, various different areas of factors in

- people's lives that can possibly lead to mental
- 2 retardation.
- When we sat down and looked at Eugene Britt's
- 4 life, we also looked at what might be considered
- 5 risk factors for him. Known risk factors here are
- 6 noted in yellow and the red is the suspected or
- 7 possibly inferred risk factors for people for
- 8 such -- for Eugene. When you see something like
- 9 that, it does jump out at you.
- 10 Q Coming up with this particular table, I think you
- relied upon a review of records provided to you,
- the prior testimony provided to you by Dr. Horn,
- 13 Dr. Koonz (phonetic), I think Parker and Sena
- 14 also?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q I think you also talked to some people in addition

	17	and I believe	we have ]	I know i	I have it l	nere
--	----	---------------	-----------	----------	-------------	------

- somewhere, if you recall, off the top of your
- 19 head. That's why we did it.
- 20 A Well, I talked to several people who had been
- 21 teachers. I attempted to contact more. I
- contacted his sister, Brenda Britt, and had a
- conversation with her, two conversations with her;
- one face-to-face at her house in Gary and the
- other was on the phone. I did as much as I could

- 1 to get as much information as I could. My last
- 2 conversation was last night at 9:00 o'clock.
- 3 MR. KASICH: If I can approach, your Honor.
- 4 THE COURT: Yes.
- 5 [DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 2 IS MARKED.]
- 6 BY MR. KASICH:
- 7 Q I'd like to hand you what's been marked for
- 8 identification purposes only for the purposes of
- 9 this hearing as Defendant's Exhibit Number 2. Can
- 10 you identify what that is?
- 11 A It appears to be the list of people that I

- attempted to contact and did contact some of them.
- 13 MR. KASICH: Move to admit that into
- 14 evidence, your Honor.
- 15 THE COURT: Any objection?
- 16 MR. BURKE: No.
- 17 THE COURT: Okay. We'll show it admitted.
- 18 [DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 2 IS ADMITTED.]
- 19 BY MR. KASICH:
- 20 Q And those -- everything we just talked about then
- 21 helped you formulate that table; is that correct?
- 22 A It helped me, yes.
- 23 Q Okay. And what was the significance of this table
- 24 again?
- 25 A Again, you're looking at the possible risk factors

- in Eugene Britt's life that might have led to his
- 2 retardation.
- 3 Q Now, you talked somewhat about the IQ component in
- 4 developing an opinion relating to whether or not
- 5 an individual is mentally retarded?
- 6 A Yes.

7	O	And I believe with your you've already loo	kec
,	~	Time I concio with jour jou to unough 100	1100

- 8 at the Bell curve with the two IQ test results,
- 9 the one with, of course, Dr. Horn being given by
- Nancy Ayres being 70 and your parentheses, your
- recalculation of it being 69, all right?
- 12 A Uh-huh. Yes.
- 13 Q And now then we go to another area that we have
- to -- that you have to weigh and it's called
- adaptive behavior; is that correct?
- 16 A Correct.
- 17 Q And what do you consider when you're talking about
- adaptive behaviors?
- 19 A You look at the individual's ability to function
- within the range of their own age group and their
- own peer group and their own cultural group on
- various different levels. For example,
- practically, socially and conceptually. Does this
- person have an understanding that would be
- considered, quote, unquote, normal of how they fit

78

1 into society, how they fit into individual groups,

- 2 how they fit into their family, how they fit into
- a job situation. Does this person have the kind
- 4 of skills that allow that person to function
- 5 within normal society.
- 6 Q And I believe those are part of the
- 7 considerations, those are the representative
- 8 skills?
- 9 A In the 2002 definition of mental retardation, the
- 10 American Association on Mental Retardation
- produced a list of representative skills that were
- related to adaptive behavior. These were the
- representative skills.
- 14 Q Now, is there some sort of way to measure these --
- these adaptive behaviors? Are there any scales or
- anything like that?
- 17 A There are many scales, yes.
- 18 Q Okay. Which do you look at?
- 19 A I use the independent living scale which is the
- one that I told you about earlier asking the
- 21 person to do various different tasks. I also use
- the Scale of Independent Behavior Revised which
- was the better known as the SIB-R, and it is a
- self-report scale as well as getting information

from the family members, teachers, et cetera.

# CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

1	Q	When you spoke to Mr. Britt for those six, seven,
2		eight hours, whatever they were over two days,
3		what type of things did you ask him? What
4		information did you seek to obtain from him? What
5		test modes or what test protocols did you use?
6	A	I used the Scale of Independent Behavior. I used
7		the Independent Living Scale and I discussed his
8		background, his family, his work his
9		occupational background, his history, school, how
10		he felt about school, how he felt about his
11		family, work. We did not discuss the situation of
12		the crimes at all and basically information that
13		would help me understand his adaptive functioning
14		and his intellectual functioning.
15	Q	Why did you not talk about the crimes?
16	A	It's not relevant to a diagnosis of mental
17		retardation in many ways. Whether or not a person
18		is criminally responsible for behavior that they
19		have done is determined by the courts, not by a

- 20 psychologist.
- 21 Q And in talking to him and in talking to his
- sister, Brenda -- and by the way, can you tell us
- a little bit about Brenda about where she lives
- and what she does as far as you can recall from
- 25 meeting with her?

- 1 A To my knowledge, she works in a nursing home and
- 2 she is living in Gary -- in a house that was not
- 3 very well kept and is clearly impoverished.
- 4 Q And did she seem like she wanted to do everything
- 5 she could to help her brother, Eugene?
- 6 A She tried. She tried as best she could. I talked
- 7 to her yesterday on the phone and she did not --
- 8 she could not give me any assistance, not much
- 9 assistance. On some simple questions that I
- wanted to put her, she was concerned that she
- would get dragged into this.
- 12 Q When you say get dragged into that, she made it
- quite clear, did she not, that she did not want to
- come to court?

- 15 A Yes, she did.
- 16 Q Now, I believe you talked to her about other
- family members also in doing your workup?
- 18 A Yes, I did.
- 19 Q What did you learn about other family members?
- 20 A Well, Mr. Britt has a brother who is mentally
- retarded or had a brother who was mentally
- retarded, Michael. He was in a class of a person
- that I talked to on the phone actually, a
- Mrs. Exum, who talked to me about the family and
- 25 the situation. She -- Brenda told me that her

- 1 parents did the best they could under the
- 2 circumstances she felt and that there was violence
- 3 in the house, that there was alcohol in the house.
- 4 There were situations that were unpleasant, that
- 5 one of her sisters is -- will not speak to anybody
- 6 in the family for any reason despite the fact that
- 7 she was a drug addict and in the process of her
- 8 drug addiction she left her child alone in a cold
- 9 apartment to the extent that the child's legs were

- removed because of neglect.
- 11 Q Is that Darlwin?
- 12 A Yes, that's Darlwin.
- 13 Q Okay.
- 14 A He also has an older brother who has been
- incarcerated most of his life.
- 16 Q The brother that she identified to you as being
- mentally retarded, how did she know he was
- mentally retarded?
- 19 A Because he was in special education.
- 20 Q Anything else she told you about his mental
- 21 retardation?
- 22 A Said that he was not able to do anything for
- 23 himself.
- 24 Q All right.
- 25 A But she also stated the same thing about Eugene,

- 1 that he couldn't do things for himself either.
- 2 Q And she gave you several examples; is that
- 3 correct?
- 4 A She did. Yes.

- 5 Q And we tried to find Michael, did we not?
- 6 A Yes, we did.
- 7 Q We were kind of unsuccessful doing that?
- 8 A That's right.
- 9 Q Now --
- 10 A He hangs out -- supposedly he hangs out near the
- 11 corner that they grew up on, and I think it's 17th
- and Jefferson in Gary.
- 13 Q And I think you were driving around Gary, and
- that's really not a corner where some of us want
- to go ahead and just hang out and wait for someone
- to show up; is that correct?
- 17 A That's correct. It's right in front of a liquor
- store. He apparently is very much addicted to
- 19 liquor.
- 20 Q Based upon that, I think you also -- did you also
- 21 have a chance to read some witness statements from
- former employers or from individuals who he worked
- with?
- 24 A Yes, I did.
- 25 Q Okay. And did that also give you some information

- 1 regarding these adaptive skills?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And what were you able to go ahead and come up
- 4 with as far as the independent living scale?
- 5 A The Independent Living Scale in testing him, it
- 6 suggested that he was insufficiently prepared to
- 7 be independent in his own life. He's not able to
- 8 care for money. He's not able to budget. He's
- 9 not able -- his memory is not very good needless
- to say. He's unable to manage a household, to
- shop. He's unable to take care of his own health
- and safety. In -- independently I should say.
- His social adjustment was negligible and his
- ability to problem-solve is also negligible. He's
- in the lowest percent of the population.
- 16 Q And is that one there performance information?
- 17 A That's how well -- it's overall looking at some of
- the skills. In fact, problem-solving and
- 19 performance are both based upon how he does on the
- individual items of the first five scales.
- 21 Q All right. So then you came up with the Scale of
- 22 Independent Behavior for Mr. Britt?

- 23 A Why he.
- 24 Q What does that tell us?
- 25 A Well, his motor skills are just below average.

84

His motor skills except for the fact that he's in a wheelchair. His ability excuse me. His ability to use his hands and his upper body seem to be unaffected. His age equivalent on that would be approximately 10 years, six months, but his social interaction, communication skills, personal living skills and community living skills are all very low, in the seven- and eight-year-old area. Finally, the broad independence, roughly eight years, four months.  Q Can you tell us what those numbers are since we're not going to have we're making a record. A 79 was the standard score for motor skills, 44 for social interaction and communication, 30 for personal living skills, 34 for community living skills and 40 for broad independence.		
ability to use his hands and his upper body seem to be unaffected. His age equivalent on that would be approximately 10 years, six months, but his social interaction, communication skills, personal living skills and community living skills are all very low, in the seven- and eight-year-old area. Finally, the broad independence, roughly eight years, four months.  Can you tell us what those numbers are since we're not going to have we're making a record.  A 79 was the standard score for motor skills, 44 for social interaction and communication, 30 for personal living skills, 34 for community living	1	His motor skills except for the fact that he's in
to be unaffected. His age equivalent on that would be approximately 10 years, six months, but his social interaction, communication skills, personal living skills and community living skills are all very low, in the seven- and eight-year-old area. Finally, the broad independence, roughly eight years, four months.  Can you tell us what those numbers are since we're not going to have we're making a record.  A 79 was the standard score for motor skills, 44 for social interaction and communication, 30 for personal living skills, 34 for community living	2	a wheelchair. His ability excuse me. His
would be approximately 10 years, six months, but his social interaction, communication skills, personal living skills and community living skills are all very low, in the seven- and eight-year-old area. Finally, the broad independence, roughly eight years, four months.  Can you tell us what those numbers are since we're not going to have we're making a record.  A 79 was the standard score for motor skills, 44 for social interaction and communication, 30 for personal living skills, 34 for community living	3	ability to use his hands and his upper body seem
his social interaction, communication skills, personal living skills and community living skills are all very low, in the seven- and eight-year-old area. Finally, the broad independence, roughly eight years, four months.  Can you tell us what those numbers are since we're not going to have we're making a record.  A 79 was the standard score for motor skills, 44 for social interaction and communication, 30 for personal living skills, 34 for community living	4	to be unaffected. His age equivalent on that
personal living skills and community living skills are all very low, in the seven- and eight-year-old area. Finally, the broad independence, roughly eight years, four months.  Can you tell us what those numbers are since we're not going to have we're making a record.  A 79 was the standard score for motor skills, 44 for social interaction and communication, 30 for personal living skills, 34 for community living	5	would be approximately 10 years, six months, but
are all very low, in the seven- and eight-year-old area. Finally, the broad independence, roughly eight years, four months.  Can you tell us what those numbers are since we're not going to have we're making a record.  A 79 was the standard score for motor skills, 44 for social interaction and communication, 30 for personal living skills, 34 for community living	6	his social interaction, communication skills,
area. Finally, the broad independence, roughly eight years, four months.  Can you tell us what those numbers are since we're not going to have we're making a record.  A 79 was the standard score for motor skills, 44 for social interaction and communication, 30 for personal living skills, 34 for community living	7	personal living skills and community living skills
eight years, four months.  11 Q Can you tell us what those numbers are since 12 we're not going to have we're making a record. 13 A 79 was the standard score for motor skills, 44 for 14 social interaction and communication, 30 for 15 personal living skills, 34 for community living	8	are all very low, in the seven- and eight-year-old
11 Q Can you tell us what those numbers are since 12 we're not going to have we're making a record. 13 A 79 was the standard score for motor skills, 44 for 14 social interaction and communication, 30 for 15 personal living skills, 34 for community living	9	area. Finally, the broad independence, roughly
we're not going to have we're making a record.  A 79 was the standard score for motor skills, 44 for social interaction and communication, 30 for personal living skills, 34 for community living	10	eight years, four months.
13 A 79 was the standard score for motor skills, 44 for social interaction and communication, 30 for personal living skills, 34 for community living	11	Q Can you tell us what those numbers are since
social interaction and communication, 30 for personal living skills, 34 for community living	12	we're not going to have we're making a record.
personal living skills, 34 for community living	13	A 79 was the standard score for motor skills, 44 for
	14	social interaction and communication, 30 for
skills and 40 for broad independence.	15	personal living skills, 34 for community living
	16	skills and 40 for broad independence.

Your Honor, it's important to realize that

	18	what we're	looking	at here	is b	ased u	pon the	same
--	----	------------	---------	---------	------	--------	---------	------

- scale and same calibration as the IQ. Mean of
- 20 100, standard deviation of 15. So that you're
- 21 looking at somebody who's dull/normal in motor
- skills but severely impaired in all areas of
- adaptive skills.
- 24 Q And the age equivalence then, for example, for the
- social interaction was seven-five?

- 1 A Seven years, five months; seven years, one month;
- eight years, five months; and eight years,
- 3 four months.
- 4 Q Those are personal living skills, community living
- 5 skills and broad independence respectively; is
- 6 that correct?
- 7 A That is correct.
- 8 Q How did you come up with the social interaction
- 9 and communication skills of 44?
- 10 A That was based upon primary information from
- 11 Mr. Britt himself. This was pretty much
- self-report.

13	Q	Same thing v	with what	specific in	nformation	do

- 14 you rely upon that takes you to that score as
- opposed to a score of 79?
- 16 A Well, you look to see how the person is rated and
- 17 you also by working with that individual, you can
- tell certain things that the person can do,
- certain things they can't do. The self-report
- scale, primarily you ask the person individual
- 21 questions and the person tells you whether or not
- they can do it, they don't do it, they could do it
- but not very well but they do it most of the time,
- various different levels.
- 25 Q Okay. Now, is that a subjective score or

- 1 objective score based upon an actual test that you
- 2 give?
- 3 A That's more subjective because it's subjective to
- 4 his own opinion. But at the same time, the
- 5 clinician while doing that has to note that
- 6 certain behaviors that are tested in that test
- 7 also give you the opportunity to say well, that's

- 8 not right.
- 9 Q Is that -- when you come up with those, do you use
- a written form of any kind to aid you?
- 11 A There is a format, yes, and then you get what's
- called a raw score and then you transform those
- raw scores based on the individual test itself.
- 14 Q Is that --
- MR. BURKE: I'm sorry to interrupt. Can we
- take just about five minutes?
- 17 THE COURT: We're ready to break for lunch.
- I mean just in about five more minutes anyway.
- 19 Can you wait?
- MR. BURKE: I just need to go to the
- 21 bathroom.
- THE COURT: Why don't you go and come and
- 23 right back.
- MR. BURKE: That would be fine.
- THE COURT: That's fine.

- 1 [BRIEF RECESS TAKEN.]
- 2 MR. BURKE: Thank you, your Honor.

- THE COURT: You're welcome, Mr. Burke.
- 4 [DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS 3-4 ARE MARKED.]
- 5 BY MR. KASICH:
- 6 Q I would like to hand you what have been marked for
- 7 purposes of this hearing as Defendant's Exhibits 3
- 8 and 4. Could you identify those documents,
- 9 please.
- 10 A They appear to be the protocols that I used in
- working with Mr. Britt.
- 12 Q Okay. What's three?
- 13 A Defendant's Exhibit 3 is the Independent Living
- Scale protocol, and Defendant's Exhibit 4 is the
- 15 Scale of Independent Behavior protocol.
- 16 Q I don't mean to be too anal, but when you say they
- appear to be, can you take a look at them and
- verify that they are, indeed, the one that you
- 19 used.
- 20 A They are.
- 21 MR. KASICH: I would like to move to admit
- into evidence, your Honor, Defendant's Exhibits 3
- and 4 to show -- to go ahead and illustrate what
- 24 the doctor was doing when he was examining for the
- 25 Independent Living Scales.

1	THE COURT: Mr. Burke, any objection?
2	MR. BURKE: I'm assuming these are the two
3	things that you set on my desk.
4	MR. KASICH: Yes.
5	MR. BURKE: State has no objection.
6	THE COURT: Very good. Three and four
7	admitted without objection for purposes of today's
8	hearing.
9	[DEFENDANT'S EXHIBITS 3-4 ARE ADMITTED.]
10	BY MR. KASICH:
11	Q Now, did you use both of those to come up with
12	these numbers or one of those more exclusively?
13	A The Independent Living Scale, I got these numbers
14	for. The Scale of Independent Behavior, I got
15	these numbers for.
16	Q Can we go back to the other one then. What
17	numbers are we talking about, the standard scores?
18	A The standard score is 20 in that area. That would
19	be profound disability.
20	Q Are those Mr. Britt's numbers?

- 21 A They are.
- 22 Q And what would be an average score?
- 23 A A hundred.
- 24 Q So he has profound disabilities in all of those
- areas?

- 1 A In each of those areas, correct.
- 2 THE COURT: This is self-report also,
- 3 correct?
- 4 THE WITNESS: No. This is actually a
- 5 subjective test that he does the information for
- 6 me. For example, I asked him to look up a phone
- 7 number in a phone book. He was unable to do it.
- 8 I asked him to figure out a budget. He couldn't
- 9 do it. I asked him to write a check or money
- order. He couldn't do it. There are various
- different parts of it that are difficult.
- 12 BY MR. KASICH:
- 13 Q But I believe what the judge has asked is the
- source of this information is Mr. Britt?
- 15 A Yes, he actually worked with me on this.

16 Q Okay. And do is the information you glean	6	O	Okay.	And do	is	the i	nform	ation	you	glean	e
--	---	---	-------	--------	----	-------	-------	-------	-----	-------	---

- from Brenda Britt or from other individuals that
- you've spoken to, is that included in this?
- 19 A No, it's not, nor in this which would be the
- 20 independent -- Scale of Independent Behavior.
- 21 Q And what else did you go ahead and use as far as
- what you considered when you were --
- MR. KASICH: May I approach.
- 24 BY THE WITNESS:
- 25 A I asked Brenda Britt to please fill out a Scale of

- 1 Independent Behavior form. She started to. She
- 2 was unable to complete it; and because of that, I
- 3 was unable to determine scores for her. But the
- 4 data that she gave which I believe she was very
- 5 careful about being as accurate as she could, the
- 6 data that she gave supported the possible --
- 7 possibility of mental retardation as a definition.
- 8 As I diagnosis, rather.
- 9 [DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 5 IS MARKED.]
- 10 BY MR. KASICH:

- 11 Q I'd like to hand you what's been marked for
- identification purposes only as Defendant's
- Exhibit Number 5. Can you identify that set of
- 14 documents?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 Q What is that?
- 17 A This is the -- the protocol that I gave to Brenda
- Britt asking her to give me some information
- regarding her brother's functioning.
- 20 Q Okay.
- MR. KASICH: Move to admit Defendant's
- Exhibit Number 5.
- THE COURT: Mr. Burke.
- MR. BURKE: Is this what we're talking about?
- 25 I just --

- 1 MR. KASICH: The one that says Brenda Britt
- 2 on top. I just put --
- 3 MR. BURKE: This?
- 4 MR. KASICH: Yes.
- 5 MR. BURKE: No objection.

- THE COURT: All right. Admitted.
   [DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 5 IS ADMITTED.]
- 8 BY MR. KASICH:
- 9 Q Now, in doing the adaptive behavior, adaptive
- skills review and the consideration in mental
- retardation cases, is this case typical or unusual
- in the amount of information that you were able to
- go ahead and obtain?
- 14 A Every case varies. Depending upon how much
- school records -- how many school records are
- still available, how many family members are
- available to talk to, how many teachers are
- available to talk to, school psychologists,
- principals, it varies from case to case. I would
- say that this one is a little bit less than
- 21 average because so many of the records have
- been -- do not exist. The school records were
- very, very sketchy. The -- because of Mr. Britt's
- age, a lot of the teachers are no longer with us
- and a lot of them -- most of them, in fact almost

- all of them, have retired. Some remembered
- 2 Eugene. Some don't. It was -- it was difficult
- 3 to get accurate information.
- 4 Q Now, is there any kind of minimum requirement as
- far as what sources you have to tap into or you
- 6 should tap into, the amount of information you
- 7 should have before you are able to give a
- 8 professional opinion as it relates to adaptive
- 9 behavior?
- 10 A Well, there is a minimum requirement in some ways,
- and that would be that the AAMR strictly says you
- have to do some kind of a valid instrument to
- determine mental retardation adaptive skills.
- 14 The -- the basis for this is to say if you use
- just your own covering of the information or your
- interview with the individual, it -- it's too
- subjective, so you want to get something more
- subjective -- more objective. And that's why I
- 19 like to use the Independent Living Scale because
- it does tend to be more objective.
- 21 Q Now, in this particular case then, do you believe
- you had enough information?
- 23 A I think that I did as well as could be expected

- under the circumstances with the people who are
- dead, parents are both dead, siblings are dead and

1		siblings won't speak to us. I did I would say
2		I had enough to make a reasonably good diagnosis,
3		yes, within a psychological certainty.
4	Q	Okay. Now, when you're making the diagnosis in
5		this particular case, what do you think is
6		makes gives you that ability to be able to make
7		a diagnosis to a reasonable degree of certainty?
8	A	Well, I'm been in the field of mental
9		retardation for 32 years. I have been involved in
10		death penalty cases all over the country. I am
11		reasonably well I'm familiar with the
12		diagnostic procedures and the diagnostic
13		necessities, and I felt that given all of the data
14		and Mr. Britt's performance and the consistency or
15		as Dr. Horn said inconsistency of his performance
16		strongly supported a definition and diagnosis.
17	Q	Now, you, of course, are familiar with the Atkins
18		opinion?

- 19 A Reasonably so, yes, sir.
- 20 Q You certainly read the footnote where your work is
- 21 referred to; is that correct?
- 22 A My five minutes of fame, yes.
- 23 Q But you read Atkins, and does that give you -- did
- that give you an understanding of what the Supreme
- 25 Court from the United States is looking for when

- 1 they're asking someone like you to come to court
- 2 to testify regarding mental retardation?
- 3 A The Supreme Court of the United States took the
- 4 American Association on Mental Retardation and the
- 5 Diagnostic Statistical Manual, fourth edition,
- 6 their definitions and more or less applied them
- 7 pretty much verbatim to what would be expected,
- 8 and those are the same diagnostic standards that I
- 9 have always used in my work.
- 10 Q Now, you read Dr. Horn's deposition, his report
- and the transcript, and he went through a list of
- the adaptive behaviors, his analysis of adaptive
- behaviors, his opinion on where those are or what

14	they mean, and he came to a conclusion relating to	
15	those adaptive behaviors, right?	
16	A Yes, he did.	
17	Q Okay. And my difficulty in this area has been	
18	since the very beginning of the issue of mental	
19	retardation is what is a an adaptive behavior	
20	or and what isn't and what level of functioning	
21	are you supposed to have or should have before	
22	it's something that's considered to contribute	
23	towards diagnosis of mental retardation or	
24	something that's considered not to go ahead and	
25	contribute toward defining a mental retardation?	

1	A	Well, there's difficulty in that issue for another
2		reason, and that is because people who are
3		mentally ill and mentally retarded will often
4		have have behaviors that are sometimes bizarre
5		sometimes are totally nonproductive. They are
6		oppositional and defiant. They are dealing with a
7		reality that none of the rest of us see. Those
8		situations when that occurs make it very difficult

9	for the clinician to specifically zero in on the
10	kinds of behaviors that would suggest either
11	mental illness or mental retardation, and what you
12	have to do in those circumstances is to get a
13	broader view of the information that's out there
14	by doing a lot of interviewing and testing and
15	review of the records that's perhaps more than you
16	might do in other situations.
17	Q For example, in Dr. Horn's testimony, in his
18	report, he points to Mr. Britt's time of being
19	homeless as something that you know, I naively
20	thought would indicate perhaps is a skill or lack
21	of a skill that makes him perhaps mentally
22	retarded or contributes towards that diagnosis not
23	being a psychologist, but Dr. Horn surprised
24	certainly me when he indicated that being homeless
25	actually shows a high degree of adaptive skill
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

- 1 because you can forge for food or what have you.
- What are your thoughts on that particular
- 3 component that he looked at?

4	Α	. ]	would	l disagree	with	him res	pectfully	. Му	/ in
---	---	-----	-------	------------	------	---------	-----------	------	------

- 5 my experience with people who are homeless which
- 6 is limited, I would say that it is a maladaptive
- 7 behavior for the most part. And because it's a
- 8 maladaptive behavior, homelessness cannot be
- 9 considered adaptive. There are ways to avoid
- 10 homelessness. I mean, there are lots of different
- options to, for example, live in a mission. In
- 12 Charleston, we have two missions, and I've done
- work with both. It's simply there are lots of
- opportunities to avoid homelessness or to lessen
- it, and I don't see that as an adaptive skill at
- 16 all.
- 17 Q I think some of the court of opinions I have read
- and I think we talked about. If someone had a
- driver's license, would that in and of itself be
- an adaptive skill?
- 21 A Having a license?
- 22 Q Yes.
- 23 A No, I don't think that's necessarily an adaptive
- skill, but it is a -- it suggests that the person
- has some adaptability. But whether or not it

- 1 itself is a skill is questionable. I had lots of
- 2 students who are mildly -- mostly mildly retarded
- 3 who have attained their driver's license.
- 4 Q Dr. Horn as you might recall in his report I
- 5 believe indicated that he had kind of mixed
- 6 thoughts on whether or not Mr. Britt ever drove.
- 7 His recollection in his report I think was that
- 8 Mr. Britt -- or he had indicated that Mr. Britt --
- 9 either got it from Mr. Britt or somewhere that
- Mr. Britt had got a learner's permit. I believe I
- advised you the record in this case reflects that
- we received a certified document from the Indiana
- Bureau of Motor Vehicles filed with this court way
- back when Mr. Heilbronner testified,
- Dr. Heilbronner, and had indicated per the BMV
- that no one named Eugene Britt with that Social
- 17 Security number ever even applied for a driver's
- 18 license?
- 19 A That is correct. I reviewed that.
- 20 Q Okay. So when you reviewed that, that played a
- 21 part in your determination; is that correct?

- 22 A Certainly.
- 23 Q And lacking ever pulling or asking for a driver's
- 24 license?
- 25 A Correct.

- 1 Q There's also an indication in Mister -- Dr.
- 2 Horn's, I'm sorry, report and his testimony that
- 3 him going ahead and living with a woman in the
- 4 neighborhood for a period, later perhaps living in
- 5 independently and being able to cook a hot dog and
- 6 eggs, that that showed -- and that he paid others
- 7 to cook for him suggested quote, the capacity to
- 8 seek out solutions to possible limitations to his
- 9 own skills, and Dr. Horn believed that suggested a
- 10 capacity for independence and consequently he
- 11 considered that as an adaptive type of skill. Do
- 12 you agree with that?
- 13 A It shows some level of adaptability but certainly
- 14 not normal level of adaptability.
- 15 Q Okay. Now, other things he considered was when
- Mr. Britt, Eugene was incarcerated, he would ask

-	17	for medical	care and	I think one -	one time h
	I /	Tor medical	care, and	i unink one -	- one ume n

- had an open sore on his leg and he repeatedly
- asked for medical care. Did you see those things
- 20 in reports?
- 21 A Yes, I did.
- 22 Q Okay. I believe Dr. Horn indicated that this
- showed to him that he initiated and followed up on
- 24 medical treatments and this suggests
- age-appropriate concern and management of health

- 1 issues. Do you agree with that?
- 2 A Virtually every one of my cases on death row has
- done the same things, and if I take a case on
- 4 death row, it's a mentally retarded case. So I
- 5 would disagree with that. I don't think that
- 6 seeking medical assistance necessarily puts you
- 7 over the top of intelligence or adaptability.
- 8 Q And here he also notes that it was important to
- 9 him that Mr. Britt asked -- requested and
- independently took a shower and brushed his teeth.
- Is that to you an indication of some sort of level

12	of adaptive behavior that would take him out of
13	the realm of mental retardation?
14	A I had students who were severely retarded who took
15	showers and brushed their teeth on their own. No,
16	that does not meet the standard.
17	THE COURT: We're going to break. It's time.
18	All right. We're going to take about let's
19	take the full hour. Please try to be back here
20	about 10 minutes until 1:00. It's 12:00 o'clock
21	now to start at approximately 1:00. I do not want
22	to go any longer than that, so time yourselves
23	accordingly. During this break, you will receive
24	the medication that you need. I made I had my
25	bailiffs call the jail, and they're going to bring
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION
	100
1	that to you. All right.
2	[PROCEEDINGS RECESSED FOR LUNCH & CONT'D.]
3	THE COURT: We're back on the record in the
4	case of Britt. We'll show that all parties are
5	present. Mr. Kasich, you were continuing your

questioning.

- 7 MR. KASICH: Yes, your Honor.
- 8 BY MR. KASICH:
- 9 Q Doctor, we were talking about the adaptive skill
- measure and what you looked at and what you found
- 11 for the most part. Go down a couple slides to the
- 12 Pruitt entry. There. The last couple of days,
- you and I and Mr. Maksimovich have kind of gone
- over Atkins and Pruitt versus State and we've
- tried to get a little bit of a flavor for what the
- 16 State of Indiana law is these days; is that
- 17 correct?
- 18 A That is correct.
- 19 Q Do you have a handle on it on what you believe the
- 20 Indiana law is?
- 21 A The statute, I think I have an understanding of
- what they want in the statute, but the definition
- as they described it in Pruitt is a little
- 24 unfathomable.
- 25 Q Because it starts out saying -- obviously the

101

1 Indiana statute requires a defendant to show

- 2 significant impairment of adaptive behavior?
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q It says in Rogers, this court held that Indiana's
- 5 adaptive functioning prong is much more general
- 6 and open-ended than the DSM-IV adaptive behavior
- 7 prong requiring a showing of substantial
- 8 impairment of adaptive behavior without specifying
- 9 any particular skills. So your understanding I
- believe and most everyone's understanding is
- 11 Atkins discussed defining the adaptive -- or
- relating to the adaptive behavior in terms of the
- DSM-IV and in terms of AAMR?
- 14 A That is correct.
- 15 Q DSM-IV, we have I believe -- how many areas did we
- look at?
- 17 A Ten years.
- 18 Q I think if we go back up a couple slides. Okay.
- 19 AAMR, the old standard also had 10 areas, but they
- 20 named them a little bit differently and that's why
- we have a slash there; is that correct?
- 22 A The '92 definition did cover those 10 areas, yes.
- 23 Q Okay. Looking at those 10 areas under DSM-IV,
- version 2004, and we might as well I guess mesh

1	areas is it your understanding based upon your
2	experience and research and knowledge and
3	everything, how many of those areas does an
4	individual have to be deficient in before they
5	fail the adaptive skill prong and they are deemed
6	mentally retarded?
7	A Two.
8	Q Now, that's another thing I'm a little fuzzy on is
9	does Indiana require that you if you fail or
10	pass or however you want to look at it, depending
11	on your framework or your perspective, you have to
12	fail a certain IQ number and adaptive skills
13	before you're mentally retarded?
14	A The statute does not specify either, to my
15	knowledge.
16	Q Okay. And when you look at Pruitt, if you recall,
17	they seem to put a lot of weight on adaptive
18	skills?
19	A That's correct.

- 20 Q Okay. Of these 10 areas that we're looking at
- 21 regarding DSM-IV and AAMR 1992 version, how many
- of those in your professional opinion based upon
- your five degrees, based upon your 32 years of
- 24 experience, based upon all of your work and your
- 25 review of cases and individuals, how many of those

- is Mr. Britt deficient in? Let's go through one
- 2 by one. Is he deficient in communication?
- 3 A Yes, he is.
- 4 Q Okay. And why do you find that? Why do you feel
- 5 that?
- 6 A He has a limited ability to understand what's
- 7 coming to him, more limited than what comes out.
- 8 In other words, his receptive language is more
- 9 impaired than his expressive language.
- 10 Q Okay. And what about self-care?
- 11 A I would say that he has a moderate ability in
- that. He does not know how to care for his
- health, but -- and that is part of self-care, but
- I have never noticed him being, you know, filthy,

- dirty or smelly, so I would say that's a mild --
- 16 Q And, of course, your situation is you saw him
- two days?
- 18 A Yes, I saw him two days, and I saw him in a
- situation that he was incarcerated. Now, other
- 20 people that I have talked to said that, you know,
- 21 he was like -- one of his teachers, for example,
- adolescent teachers said, you know, he was like
- every adolescent boy. Days he smelled; some days
- 24 he didn't.
- 25 Q Home living?

- 1 A I do not believe he's capable of taking care of
- 2 himself in the home, no, or taking care of a home.
- 3 Q So when you say that, do you mean he falls below
- 4 the level?
- 5 A He has a substantial disability in that area.
- 6 Q Okay. Social skills, social interpersonal skills?
- 7 A Probably the most severely impaired area. He
- 8 is -- he is extremely impaired as far as social
- 9 abilities.

- 10 Q Community use, use of community resources?
- 11 A I don't believe he's capable of adequate use of
- community resources. Even for a person with mild
- mental retardation, he has great difficulty in
- 14 that area.
- 15 Q I think one of the areas to look at there is the
- use of Gary resources perhaps in the way of
- 17 transportation?
- 18 A That is correct.
- 19 Q Okay. And what do you know about how he moved
- from one place to another?
- 21 A When he was working for Hardee's, he would ride
- 22 his bicycle for several miles in whatever weather
- happened to be and also even at night without a
- light on his bike; and under those circumstances,
- 25 he could have been killed many times and very

- 1 nearly was once.
- 2 Q Okay.
- 3 A And he also didn't take the time or didn't
- 4 understand how to use public transport in those

- 5 situations.
- 6 Q Do you recall reviewing a written statement from a
- 7 person who was his manager at Hardee's?
- 8 A Ms. Hubbard, yes.
- 9 Q Okay. Did she make any mention of his bicycle?
- 10 A Yes, she did.
- 11 Q Was anything significant that you gleaned from
- 12 that?
- 13 A Well, that he would ride his bicycle home in the
- dark and that he also like I said was hit by a car
- one time when he was on his bicycle, and the other
- thing was is he was -- even if he knew he was
- late, he would ride his bicycle rather than take
- public transport.
- 19 Q Do you recall anything about police involvement?
- 20 A Oh. I'm sorry. I can't. I don't remember right
- 21 offhand.
- 22 Q Okay.
- 23 A I'm sorry.
- 24 Q Would it refresh your recollection if it was
- something about police involvement stopping him on

- a bicycle, when he was riding the bicycle?
- 2 A I'm sorry. I don't remember. I apologize.
- 3 Q That's all right.
- 4 A I have been trying to cram all this stuff in my
- 5 head.
- 6 Q That's all right. And we're talking about
- 7 self-direction. What are your thoughts on
- 8 self-direction?
- 9 A Many people with mental retardation are capable of
- basic self-direction; getting a job, holding a
- job, having goals in their lives, having
- independence as a major goal. I -- I think that
- anybody who has spent any time with Eugene Britt
- knows that self-direction is an area that he is
- significantly impaired in. He doesn't understand
- what he's doing with his life.
- 17 Q Health and safety?
- 18 A Absolutely not. I don't think he has any
- capabilities of taking care of his health other
- 20 than asking for his medication which he did this
- 21 morning, which I think is indicative -- what
- reason I say that it's important is that he is in

23	a highly s	tructured	environment.	He is	used	to	a

- routine. One of the things that we know about
- people with mental retardation is that they

1	respond exceedingly well to a routine whereas
2	people who are normal don't like routine; they
3	like a change of pace once in a while. People who
4	are retarded are more capable of understanding a
5	routine. And because of that, he knew that he was
6	supposed to have his medication and asked for it.
7	Had he been in a highly structured environment in
8	the outside, I think he probably would have been
9	able to take care of himself much better, but I
10	don't think anybody ever taught him how.
11	Q Functional academics, functional academic skills,
12	we had a look at some of his scores in school?
13	A His scores in school were poor. Probably I think
14	a big part of that was that his environment when
15	he was young was exceedingly topsy-turvy. He
16	didn't know from day to day, I don't know that
17	they had an understand a comfort about whether

18	or not there was going to be violence in the home,
19	whether or not the family was going to stick
20	together. The amount of interaction he had with
21	his teachers was probably not very positive for
22	him, and children as we know are not always fond
23	of school, and children with disabilities are
24	usually not fond of school because it it throws
25	their disability back in their face again and
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION
	108
1	again. What I know from experience with people on
2	death row is after they get incarcerated, they
3	start to learn to read, they start to learn to
4	write, and it's not at all uncommon for people who
5	did not graduate from high school to get their
6	GEDs in prison even if they are mildly
7	handicapped.
8	Q Was there anything else that indicated to you that
9	he had problems with functional academics,

12 A Well, he -- Dr. Horn in his investigation gave a

functional academic skills besides those school

10

11

tests?

13	test of functional academics such as the WRAT
14	which is his scores in that were, you know,
15	within the fifth grade range, so he's not exactly
16	up to par on those either, but it's not unusual,
17	really it's not terribly unusual for a person
18	who's mentally retarded to be able to recognize
19	words which is the only thing that the WRAT tests
20	in reading. It does not have a comprehension
21	subtest.
22	Q And a few of those words if you recall we looked
23	at, a few of those words that seem to be a little
24	bit more difficult, do you know possibly why he

109

25

1 A Over the years of experience and he has some good

might have been able to read those words?

- deciphering skills. He can decipher words that he
- 3 sees, even two-, three-syllable words.
- 4 Q For example, the word "sanctuary"?
- 5 A Sanctuary was one. That was actually from -- that
- 6 was -- that was not a word that was in the WRAT.
- 7 That was in the -- that was in the Wechsler, and

8	that's in vocabulary. He didn't have to read
9	that. The word is presented to him, but the
10	examiner also says the word.
11	Q Leisure, what about the leisure category?
12	A This is a category that's been receiving a lot of
13	press lately. One of the things we know about
14	people with mental retardation is that leisure
15	skills are something that they lack, and that's
16	because a lot of times people who lived in
17	institutions when they were younger or people who
18	lived in group homes, they don't get leisure
19	skills because it's expensive. It costs money,
20	and sometimes people won't pay for it. The state
21	won't pay for it. And we have found in the recent
22	past in research that if people have good leisure
23	opportunities and, you know, are directed towards
24	those opportunities, it can make a difference. I
25	don't think that anyone who knows Eugene Britt
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

- 1 knows that his leisure skills were based on things
- 2 that were not always appropriate.

- 3 Q Work. Work is one of those areas?
- 4 A He was capable of keeping a job for a while. He
- 5 had the job at Hardee's for approximately one year
- 6 according to Ms. Hubbard. And during that time,
- 7 he maintained a level of performance that enabled
- 8 him to keep his job; however, she did point out
- 9 that he was chronically late, that he was -- he
- fooled around a lot at work and got into arguments
- with some of the other workers and at one time was
- accused of acting sexually inappropriate with one
- of the females.
- 14 Q Now, we also then have a new AAMR scale, I guess
- you call, for adaptive skills?
- 16 A That's correct.
- 17 Q And what are those?
- 18 A Well, it's -- it still considers these areas to be
- 19 extremely important, but it also looks at a more
- 20 general category of functioning and that -- those
- are three different areas; those being conceptual,
- 22 practical and social ability. In other words, how
- well they adapt in practical situations, social
- situations and how well they conceptualize their
- own behavior.

1	Q	Forgive me, Doctor. Coming back to this for just
2		a moment. How many of those areas could you point
3		to again specifically that Mr. Britt falls below
4		the level to which it would be two or more of
5		those areas or if you fall below that level you
6		are considered to be mentally retarded?
7	A	I would consider at least seven to be of concern.
8	Q	Could you point them out again specifically?
9	A	Communication, home living, social skills,
10		community use, self-direction, health/safety,
11		leisure and functional academics.
12	Q	I'm sorry.
13	A	That's eight actually come to think of it. But
14		functional academics at this time is questionable
15		because he has been improving in those areas.
16	Q	Going back to AAMR 2004, what
17	A	2002.
18	Q	2002. In each of those three areas, are there
19		subparts, or does just each area encompass
20		something well, tell us what each area

- 21 encompasses just briefly.
- 22 A You mean the three areas?
- 23 Q Three.
- 24 A Practical knowledge would be things like can he
- keep a job, can he behave appropriately in

112

1	different situations, and that goes along with
2	conceptual. Can he can he understand the
3	difference between the way to behave in church
4	versus the way to behave in society, out in a
5	restaurant. For some of for the low
6	functioning people with mental retardation, for
7	example, it is socially and conceptually
8	inappropriate for a person to run up and hug
9	somebody they've never met before, and that does
10	happen and in very inappropriate situations.
11	Practically, I think Mr. Britt is extremely
12	impaired. He doesn't understand the practicality
13	of keeping a job and behaving in a certain way
14	professionally so that he holds onto that job and

doesn't get in trouble. He also doesn't

16	understand how to take care of himself as far as
17	his health and safety, and that's a very important
18	practical skill.
19	Conceptually, I think he has some conceptual
20	skills. He's able to conceptualize the situation.
21	For example, he knows he's in trouble. And I
22	think he probably has an understanding what this
23	hearing is basically about.
24	Socially, however, as I said before, was the
25	area that he is so badly impaired in. His social
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION
	113
1	skills are abysmal. He does not understand how to

- 2 behave in society.
- 3 Q How many of those areas, those three I guess core
- 4 areas do you need to be deficient in to meet the
- 5 qualification or be considered mentally retarded?
- 6 A Two.
- 7 Q And how many of those areas is Mr. Britt deficient
- 8 in sufficiently to where he meets that definition?
- 9 A I would say practical and social, two.
- 10 Q If we can go back to that page on Pruitt and

11	towards the bottom, the Court said: Its current
12	definition calls for significant limitations in
13	adaptive behavior as expressed in conceptual,
14	social and practical adaptive skills. Although
15	the Indiana statutory definition is somewhat
16	different from the DSM-IV, it is very similar to
17	the revised AAMR definition and therefore within
18	the range of permissible standards. Under the
19	Eighth Amendment, we conclude the Indiana statute
20	does not impose a standard incompatible with the
21	Eighth Amendment as explained in Atkins, rather
22	it's within the flexibility allowed by the
23	consensus found in Atkins. I'm not sure what that
24	means, and the Court has to go ahead and make that
25	determination ultimately, but I believe, correct
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

- 1 me if I'm wrong, in your opinion, under both
- 2 DSM-IV and under the old and revised AAMR
- definitions, what does Mr. Britt fall under?
- 4 A In my professional opinion, I believe that Eugene
- 5 Britt is mentally retarded.

6	Q Okay. Now the next slide?
7	A Down or up?
8	Q Court further then said: In sum, we find the
9	Indiana statute to meet Atkins requirements, but
10	we do not interpret it to vary from the clinical
11	standards to the extent that it embraces only
12	those in the bottom 10 to 25 percent of those
13	meeting the clinical standards. We, like the
14	Supreme Court in Atkins, leave refinement of that
15	standard for another day. For purposes of this
16	case, it is sufficient to note the clinical
17	definitions provide a safe harbor. Although
18	variation is permissible, it cannot go to the
19	point of excluding a majority of those who fit
20	clinical definitions.
21	All right. Again, you have five degrees.
22	You have been doing this for 32 years, I believe
23	you testified, all right. Now, based upon your
24	clinical experience, I think one of your very
25	first slides discussed clinical judgment is a
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

- 1 special type of judgment rooted in a high level of
- 2 clinical expertise and experience. It emerges
- 3 directly from extensive data?
- 4 A Correct.
- 5 Q You have, have you not, had exposure to extensive
- 6 data?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Do you feel confident, do you feel -- how
- 9 confident do you feel that you -- that your
- findings and that your conclusion that Mr. Britt
- is mentally retarded is correct?
- 12 A Mr. Kasich, if I didn't feel that he was mentally
- retarded, I would not be here. I am very
- confident that Eugene Victor Britt has met the
- standard for a diagnosis.
- 16 Q Since 2002 obviously since Atkins, we've had this
- situation now where it is unconstitutional to
- execute someone being mentally retarded, and I
- think one of the areas we're looking at here is,
- and correct me if you haven't found this, if I'm
- wrong, is it's the issue of having people -- and
- just because you're mentally retarded doesn't mean
- you're absolutely dumb as a box of rocks; is that

- 24 correct?
- 25 A That is correct.

- 1 Q So people have some idea of what they're facing
- and realizing perhaps that if they test high,
- 3 they're going to go ahead and they could be
- 4 executed possibly?
- 5 A Correct.
- 6 Q So we're giving these tests now like, for example,
- 7 let's point to the TOMM test, and the TOMM -- it's
- 8 a memory test, is it not?
- 9 A It is actually -- it stands for the Test of Memory
- 10 Malingering.
- 11 Q And that test, do you know -- I think you
- indicated to me yesterday that you met the
- gentleman who -- or have spoken at least to the
- gentleman who created the TOMM?
- 15 A Dr. Tom Biaz.
- 16 Q And that test, do you know how it was normed?
- 17 A It was normed on a standard population of
- 18 Canadians primarily, and it did not include people

- with mental retardation.
- 20 Q So in your professional opinion, does the TOMM
- 21 test mean anything? Does it have any relevance
- whatsoever in testing someone who is facing
- 23 execution when they're being tested for IQ?
- 24 A Well, I wouldn't say it has no relevance. Every
- bit of data that you can gather has relevance. In

- 1 the situation of giving it to a person with mental
- 2 retardation, it depends upon that individual's
- 3 ability to remember things. For example, as I
- 4 said before, people with mental retardation
- 5 typically have very poor short-term memory skills.
- 6 Some people have better auditory memories. Some
- 7 people have better visual memories. That's true
- 8 for everyone. But memory is not considered a very
- 9 good indicator of intelligence. Specifically, the
- lowest -- one of the lowest loaders in "g" on the
- Wechsler is the digit span test which is an
- auditory skill, a memory skill. The TOMM does
- give you some data that can be useful, but it

14	doesn't necessarily suggest that a person with
15	mental retardation upon whom that test was not
16	normed, that a person with mental retardation
17	could specifically do poorly on the test because
18	they were trying to malinger. The concept behind
19	malingering is to fake bad so that there is some
20	kind of a secondary gain according to DSM. In his
21	situation, the TOMM that was administered to
22	Mr. Britt, he did not do well on and he did very
23	poorly on it, but I've seen other people with
24	mental retardation do just as well, and I've seen
25	other people with mental retardation who did much
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

- 1 better.
- 2 Q Perhaps I phrased it incorrectly. What about
- 3 validity? Do you use the term validity in
- 4 testing?
- 5 A Yes.
- 6 Q What validity, if any, does the TOMM have in this
- 7 context when you're testing someone for IQ when
- 8 they're facing execution?

- A If you're testing for malingering of an IQ? 10 Q Yes. 11 A It would have -- every bit of data is important. 12 I would say that the TOMM itself is not the best 13 indicator of whether or not the person's giving a 14 good effort. 15 Q I think you might recall that Mr. Britt was also 16 given the Seashore Rhythm Test? A I'm not familiar with that test. 17 18 Q All right. 19 A That's a neuropsych test. 20 What about the Ray (phonetic) Test? 21 A The Ray-15, yes, I know that one. 22 What does that test? 23 A Memory and whether or not you are really giving an 24 effort. 25 Q And do you know what the results of the Ray Test CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION 119
- 1 were for Mr. Britt?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And do you believe that that test is reflective in

- 4 any way on the issue of malingering?
- 5 A Again, it's a test of memory, and memory for
- 6 people with mental retardation is almost always a
- 7 problem. So I'm not sure it's a good indicator of
- 8 whether or not he was giving his full effort. I
- 9 think there are better ways to tell on whether or
- 10 not he was actually making effort.
- 11 Q And he gave I believe this was the RENT Test?
- 12 A I'm sorry?
- 13 Q The R-E-N-T?
- 14 A I don't know that one either.
- 15 Q Now, what about the WMT, that was the --
- 16 A Wechsler -- WMT?
- 17 Q Yes.
- 18 A Or WMS?
- 19 Q WMT. Do you recall seeing that?
- 20 A I'm not sure. Hold on just a second. Let me take
- 21 a look.
- 22 Q I believe it's the very last one. The Word Memory
- 23 Test?
- 24 A Oh, Word Memory Test. Okay, yes. I know what
- you're talking about.

1	$\cap$	What	ic	that	test?
1	v	willat	18	mai	iesi:

- 2 A Again, it's a test of whether or not the person
- 3 can remember categories of words and put them
- 4 into -- commit them to memory for later
- 5 regurgitation.
- 6 Q All right. And you saw this test and the results
- 7 of that, of this test?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q And does this test have any validity as far as
- 10 malingering?
- 11 A Same situation. It -- it has validity only in as
- much as it's a test of the person's memory and
- doesn't necessarily suggest that he's malingering,
- even if he doesn't do well. If he had done -- let
- me put it this way. If he had done well on all of
- them, that would have indicated he definitely was
- not malingering. If he did poorly on all of them,
- that may indicate that he is malingering, but it
- also may indicate that his memory which we know is
- impaired because of his disability is also a
- 21 problem. Ergo, you can't say for sure that he was

- 22 malingering based upon the results of those tests.
- 23 Q I think you indicated there was better ways to
- 24 determine?
- 25 A Yes.

- 1 Q And what would be one of those ways?
- 2 A All you have to do is look at the IQ test, the --
- 3 the IQ test that he gave that Dr. Horn -- or
- 4 excuse me, that Ms. Ayres gave clearly indicates
- 5 in his results that he has wide variance in each
- one of the subtests that I noted and, in fact, in
- 7 most of the subtests that are in there. That wide
- 8 variance is a stronger indicator of his ability
- 9 and his disability than any of the malingering
- tests that were given to my way of thinking.
- 11 Q If you can go back to the characteristics of
- people with --
- 13 A That one?
- 14 Q All right. This is again another slide you put
- 15 together?
- 16 A Yes.

- 17 Q These are the characteristics of people with
- mental retardation?
- 19 A Yeah, I should give credit. This came from a --
- 20 part of it came from a book by Jim Patton and Mary
- 21 Birdie Smith and Richard Ittenbach some years
- back.
- 23 Q And does Mr. Britt have any of these
- 24 characteristics?
- 25 A Yes. He has most of them, in fact.

- 1 Q Could you detail for us the ones that he does
- 2 have?
- 3 A I believe short-term memory is significantly
- 4 impaired. He is male. I believe he has poor --
- 5 almost no ability for abstract thought on a grand
- 6 scale. His concentration and focusing is not
- 7 anywhere near normal, but it's better than some of
- 8 the other skills he's got. His judgment skills
- 9 are abysmal. His -- I would say that he does tend
- to acquiesce. In my introduction to him, I told
- 11 him that if I did not feel he was giving his best

12	effort in our work together that I would walk out
13	the door and that he could, you know, take his
14	chances on what else came down the pike. And I
15	had to make that very clear to him on two
16	occasions, and both times he agreed to do his best
17	work, and I believe I did get more or less his
18	best work. I really do believe I did. His
19	acquiescence ability, he will give in to authority
20	in situations where he's afraid, and that's true
21	for most people with mental retardation. His
22	transference and generalization skills I believe
23	are not very good, in fact, within the range of
24	mental retardation. He is impulsive. He will do
25	things without thinking about it. He
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

1	perserverates on behavior that are behaviors
2	that are unsuccessful, and for the most part, I
3	would say his coping skills are low average to low
4	and his planning skills appear to be low average
5	as well, recalling once again that mental
6	retardation can have areas of strengths as well as

7		1	C*		
/	(	ŀе	Ť1	് 1	t

- 8 Q And, again, you reviewed Mr. Britt's school
- 9 records?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Was there any evidence in the records that
- Mr. Britt was given a thorough psychoeducational
- evaluation for possible special educational
- placement during his school years?
- 15 A I saw no evidence that he was ever given a proper
- psychoeducational evaluation which I found to be
- hard to believe because he -- he had low grades
- even as a small child and I think that's -- that's
- a significant concern because when you see
- somebody who is in first, second, third grade who
- 21 is getting Cs and Ds, something's not right, but
- yet they did not give him a full-scale psych
- workup.
- 24 Q Is a diagnosis of mental retardation appropriate
- 25 when an individual who scores low on an IQ test

124

demonstrates a high ability to function in social

- 2 and occupational environments which require some
- 3 independent self-sufficient life skills?
- 4 A No, because it would not be -- it would not meet
- 5 two -- it would not meet one of the three prongs.
- 6 Q Okay. Is a diagnosis of mental retardation
- 7 appropriate for an individual who scores high on
- 8 an IQ but demonstrates little, if any, ability in
- 9 social and occupational environments which
- requires some independent self-sufficient life
- 11 skills?
- 12 A No. Again, it does not meet one of the prongs,
- and I think the weird thing is that most of us
- know people like this. These are the people that,
- you know, still live with their parents after
- 16 40 years. These are the people that, you know,
- are -- got through high school, maybe even got
- through college, maybe they have a job but they
- can't live independently and they cannot cook
- their own food, and we all knew kids like that,
- 21 that had problems but they weren't retarded
- because they did have the intellect, they just
- didn't have the adaptability.
- Q Now, Mr. Britt's case, does he meet -- you know,

#### and it doesn't appear that Indiana has an IQ prong

# CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

125

1		in the statute and it doesn't certainly appear
2		that it has it in the case law; is that correct?
3	A	Not to my knowledge.
4	Q	But having asked that, the IQ tests that you have
5		reviewed, the IQ tests that you have examined,
6		would they be consistent with mental retardation?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	And we've already gone over the adaptive skills,
9		and we've gone over your clinical experience.
10		Now, okay. Percentage-wise if we go back to that
11		Bell curve on where people fit, where would you go
12		ahead and guesstimate or opine based again upon
13		your experience, your education, your knowledge
14		and research, where would Mr. Britt fall in the
15		normal population?
16	A	About the second percentile.
17	Q	Okay.
18	A	Between the second and the first percentile.

Q And does that meet the requirement for mental

- 20 retardation under DSM-IV?
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 Q And does that meet it under AAMR?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q 1992 and 2002?
- 25 A Yes, it does.

- 1 Q And were you able to reach this opinion within a
- 2 reasonable degree of psychological certainty?
- 3 A Given the background and the testing that has been
- 4 done, yes.
- 5 Q Okay. Do you believe that given Mr. Britt's level
- of functioning that he's able to meaningfully
- 7 communicate with his attorneys in his defense?
- 8 A I didn't -- I did not do any specific testing for
- 9 competence. Under medication and given
- 10 explanations and carefully showing him what the
- situation is, it's possible that he could to a
- very small extent cooperate and give you
- information that would suggest he was competent in
- that situation. I have -- I've known several

- people who have been mentally retarded who were
- 16 competent to stand trial.
- MR. KASICH: Nothing further, your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Kasich.
- 19 Mr. Burke.
- 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 21 BY MR. KASICH:
- 22 Q Dr. Keyes, you said how many cases, death penalty
- cases have you been involved in?
- 24 A Well, like I said, Mr. Burke, it's a matter of
- 25 what are you calling involved. I have been asked

- 1 to be involved in many cases, probably over a
- 2 hundred.
- 3 Q Death penalty cases we're talking about.
- 4 A Specifically death penalty cases?
- 5 Q That's what I asked.
- 6 A Gosh, you know, it's really hard to be sure. I
- 7 probably would think around a hundred.
- 8 Q A hundred death penalty cases?
- 9 A Probably.

- 10 Q On the issue of mental retardation?
- 11 A Yes.
- 12 Q And about how many of those hundred cases you have
- been involved in have you found that the defendant
- who is charged with a crime not to be mentally
- retarded?
- 16 A Over half.
- 17 Q Over half?
- 18 A Uh-huh.
- 19 Q Have you ever been appointed by the Court?
- 20 A Depends on the statute of the state. Some courts
- 21 have appointed me at the nomination of the
- defense. Some courts -- sometimes I'm, you know,
- called directly from the defense or whatever.
- 24 Q You spent, correct me if I'm wrong, nine to 10
- 25 hours with Mr. Britt?

- 1 A I think it was about seven to eight hours. I'm
- 2 not exactly sure.
- 3 Q Seven to eight hours?
- 4 A Over two days.

- 5 Q Seven to eight hours with Mr. Britt?
- 6 A I think so. I'm not positive.
- 7 Q And that would have been over June 4th, 5th, 6th
- 8 and 7th, those four days?
- 9 A It was during those four days, yes.
- 10 Q Seven or eight hours?
- 11 A I think.
- 12 Q You did not do an IQ test?
- 13 A No, I did not.
- 14 Q Why not?
- 15 A He had already had an IQ test.
- 16 Q Well, an IQ test that you apparently were very,
- based upon your testimony, very critical of. Why
- didn't you do an IQ test?
- 19 A I didn't think it was necessary.
- 20 Q You didn't think that it was necessary?
- 21 A No, sir.
- 22 Q Were you aware -- let me ask you about this
- Revised Beta IQ that was done on Mr. Britt back in
- 24 1979 when he went to prison for two counts of rape
- and a count of robbery.

- 1 A Uh-huh.
- 2 Q What's the validity of -- validity of that test?
- 3 A It's a good screener. It has some screening
- 4 value. It -- the '68 version of that test which
- 5 was called the Revised Beta, it's out in the third
- 6 edition now, the '68 version of that test had a
- 7 high correlation with the old Stanford-Binet, the
- 8 LM edition, and that suggests that it has good
- 9 concurrent validity with the Binet.
- 10 Unfortunately, the Binet and the Wechsler, the old
- Binet and the Wechsler revised edition did not
- have good validity to each other.
- 13 Q That test, that's a group-administered test?
- 14 A It can be. It can be administered by group or by
- 15 individual.
- 16 Q Do you know whether it was a group-administered
- test in Mr. Britt's case back in 1979?
- 18 A In most of the DOC experience that I have had,
- they were usually individually administered.
- 20 Q You didn't answer my question. Do you know
- 21 whether it was individual --
- 22 A No, I don't.

- 23 Q -- or a group-administered test?
- 24 A I'm sorry. No, I don't.
- 25 Q Okay. The -- so you don't think that it was

- 1 important for you over this four-day period of
- time for you to do an individual IQ test?
- 3 A I didn't think it was necessary at the time.
- 4 Q If you had wanted to do one, you could have done
- 5 one?
- 6 A I could have, yes.
- 7 Q And according to your testimony, Mr. Britt was
- 8 cooperating fully with you during the seven or
- 9 eight hours that you spent with him?
- 10 A I felt that he was reasonably cooperative, yes.
- 11 Q Were you aware that defense counsel in this case
- tried to prevent a doctor from doing an IQ test on
- 13 him?
- MR. KASICH: I'd sure like to know about
- that, your Honor. I'm going to object. I don't
- 16 know whether there's ever been any evidence of
- 17 that.

18	THE COURT: Mr. Burke.
19	MR. BURKE: There's been evidence of it, your
20	Honor. I mean, there's in the record Mr. Kasich
21	for probably a year and a half tried to prevent
22	Dr. Heilbronner from doing an IQ test. He
23	appealed to a former judge in this case to not
24	allow him to do an IQ test.
25	MR. KASICH: Mr. Jarrett and I asked the
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION
	131
1	presiding judge at that time if an IQ test was
2	necessary since we had an IQ test that was close
3	to age 22. The judge at that time said no, she
4	didn't want an IQ test done, she wanted
5	Mr. Heilbronner to do the Adaptive Skills Test.
6	When Dr. Heilbronner came here, we reminded him of
7	that and he didn't agree with it so he went in
8	front of Judge Kouros and the judge indicated to
9	him not to do an IQ test.

THE COURT: I understand.

MR. KASICH: And when he characterizes it.

THE COURT: I will not allow this witness to

10

11

- answer that question because it has absolutely no
- relevance to the issue at hand on mental
- 15 retardation. Next question.
- 16 BY MR. BURKE:
- 17 Q I just got these things today handed to me for the
- first time. You apparently did this testing back
- in June?
- 20 A Yes, sir.
- 21 Q Three months ago. Do you know of any reason why
- it wasn't given to me before today?
- 23 A Well -- I don't -- actually, I don't think
- 24 Mr. Kasich had a copy of it until day before
- 25 yesterday.

- 1 Q You just completed it the day before yesterday?
- 2 A No, I had --
- 3 Q The testing that you did?
- 4 A No, I completed the testing in June. I just
- 5 didn't give him a copy of it. He didn't ask for
- 6 one.
- 7 Q He didn't ask for one?

- 8 A No.
- 9 MR. BURKE: I don't have any further
- questions of this witness.
- 11 THE COURT: Okay.
- 12 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MR. KASICH:
- 14 Q Regarding you not doing an IQ test, were you
- instructed by us not to do an IQ test?
- 16 A Not specifically, no. You asked if I was going to
- do one, and I said I didn't see the need for it.
- 18 Q Okay. And regarding the documentation provided to
- Mr. Burke, when did we make those copies?
- 20 A Last night around 6:00 o'clock.
- 21 Q Okay. And with regard to one of them that was --
- was it completed before you made your phone calls
- and talked to the individuals you talked to?
- 24 A Of the things that we just sent out?
- 25 Q Yes.

- 1 A The phone list was not completed until then.
- 2 Q Okay.

- 3 A The other tests were, yes. They were completed.
- 4 Q Okay. And I made you aware that we had offered to
- 5 Mr. Burke the opportunity to depose you and that
- 6 he had declined?
- 7 A Yes, you did.
- 8 MR. KASICH: I have nothing further, your
- 9 Honor.
- THE COURT: All right. Let's see if I have
- any questions.
- 12 EXAMINATION
- 13 BY THE COURT:
- 14 Q You are aware of Dr. Horn doing a series of tests
- essentially to determine malingering?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q In fact, you read his report. The one I'm
- referring to is the one dated January 14th, 2005,
- 19 correct?
- 20 A Yes, sir, I did.
- 21 Q If my notes are correct, we're talking about
- what's known as the Ray Test?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q The Test of Memory and Malingering, the TOMM Test
- and this Word Memory Test, these are recognized

1		tests on the issue of malingering, correct?
2	A	Yes, they are.
3	Q	Okay. So you're not suggesting that it was
4		improper to give these tests or that these tests
5		are not recognized tests; is that correct?
6	A	Not in the least, no, they are perfectly proper to
7		give.
8	Q	All right. So as to Dr. Horn administering these
9		tests, do you believe as you sit here now that it
10		was improper for him to have even given these
11		tests to Eugene Britt?
12	A	No, not that it's improper.
13	Q	Do you think it was appropriate?
14	A	With the caveat of understanding that a person
15		with mental retardation may do abysmally in any
16		case because of memory problems that people with
17		mental retardation have. It is possible that a
18		person with mental retardation will do all right
19		on them, and it's very possible that a person with
20		mental retardation will do terribly on them.

	2	1	It's	and	part	of	it	has	to	do	with	how	well	th
--	---	---	------	-----	------	----	----	-----	----	----	------	-----	------	----

- person's feeling that day which is true for
- everybody, of course, but a person with mental
- retardation we know has significant memory
- problems, and the numbers that we see on those

- 1 tests can vary wildly. I mean, 20 -- I believe
- 2 that he had a 26 on the TOMM. The normal on the
- TOMM is like a 45 out of 50, but this is not
- 4 normal intelligence that we're dealing with.
- 5 Q So the idea of giving these malingering tests, the
- 6 use of them was not a bad idea?
- 7 A Not at all.
- 8 Q Or certainly maybe even proper, but you would
- 9 question the weight that they should be given?
- 10 A That is exactly right, your Honor. There are
- other ways to tell if a person's malingering that
- can be equally as effective, probably more so. I
- did my dissertation on malingering.
- 14 Q On what?
- 15 A On malingering, mental retardation.

- 16 Q I'm reviewing this report by Dr. Horn. I'm
- beginning on page eight. In his section where he
- talks about information from records and from
- Mr. Britt regarding adaptive behavior. Continues
- to page nine, page 10. Dr. Horn gives this
- assessment of Mr. Britt on the issue of the
- adaptive behavior that he was able to live on his
- own. He moved out of his family home when he was
- 24 15, held jobs. Apparently, he lived independent.
- 25 I'm looking at page nine.

- 1 A I'm sorry. I don't have a copy of it with me.
- 2 Q Oh, I'm sorry. Maybe just for the record then --
- 3 MR. KASICH: Want me to give the witness --
- 4 THE COURT: Yes, if you can.
- 5 MR. KASICH: Yes.
- 6 THE COURT: Perhaps it would help him to
- 7 follow along where I'm referring to.
- 8 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 9 BY THE WITNESS:
- 10 A Which paragraph are you on, sir?

- 11 BY THE COURT:
- 12 Q Dr. Horn's report, page nine.
- 13 A Uh-huh. Well -- All right. I'm sorry.
- 14 Q You know, he's going on about how all of this is
- indicative of significant adaptive behavior in his
- opinion?
- 17 A Uh-huh.
- 18 Q Being able to hold jobs, cooking, even minimal;
- 19 hot dogs, eggs, but independent. Self-care.
- 20 A Uh-huh.
- 21 Q Although you may have already talked about this to
- some extent with Mr. Kasich's examination of you,
- I want you to discuss once again your assessment
- of adaptive behavior that you saw in Mr. Britt
- compared to how Dr. Horn views it.

- 1 A Okay.
- 2 Q Distinguish it, if you will, if not for my
- 3 benefit, for the record.
- 4 A Certainly.
- 5 Q This is a big deal.

6	A Yes, I agree with you. It is a big deal. I think	
7	that the most important thing that I can say under	
8	these circumstances is I've known people with	
9	mental retardation who were younger than Mr. Britt	
10	who were able to do all of these things and who	
11	were still mentally retarded. Again, as I said	
12	before, it is possible for a person and the	
13	definition of mental retardation and its	
14	assumptions that I gave you, the second page of	
15	the Power Point, it is possible for a person with	
16	mental retardation to function above that level	
17	and therefore no longer be considered mentally	
18	retarded. That's possible.	
19	Q I understand.	
20	A But I have known people who were mentally retarded	
21	who lived in semi-independent and independent	
22	situations who had all of these skills that	
23	Mister that Dr. Horn rightfully points out,	
24	although cooking is minimal, hot dog and eggs,	
25	that's pretty minimal. I knew kids that could do	
	CHRISTINE M. ORR. CSR. OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	

SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

- a lot better than that who were, like I said,
- 2 younger than Mr. Britt and still were considered
- 3 mentally retarded, still received their
- 4 Supplemental Security Income and could do -- and
- 5 kept jobs, not sheltered, also in employment,
- 6 regular employment, and in shelter work for that
- 7 matter.
- 8 Q So they were still mentally retarded because of
- 9 why, these sample individuals?
- 10 A Their overall functioning level required a certain
- amount of supervision, and that supervision is
- something that Mr. Britt lacked which I think is
- part of the reason we're here today.
- 14 Q In your behavior checklist, the 10 points that you
- talk about, looking on page 11 of Dr. Horn's
- 16 report now --
- 17 A Yes, sir.
- 18 Q -- indicates that his -- that he chose not to use
- 19 formal behavior checklists which are sometimes
- used to describe and quantify adaptive function in
- 21 these individuals being assessed clinically for
- retardation?
- 23 A Uh-huh.

- 24 Q Many of the behavior abilities addressed in the
- 25 typical checklist, I'm sure that he's referring to

- 1 the checklist that you referred to earlier?
- 2 A Uh-huh.
- 3 Q Require the observation of an independent and
- 4 unbiased party. Not that you are biased
- 5 but certainly --
- 6 A I understand.
- 7 Q -- nor independent. He says that he did not have
- 8 access to that individual. That the checklists
- 9 were not designed to be used in forensic settings.
- I am unaware of research demonstrating the
- validity in cases such as this one. It seems to
- cut across what you're doing today.
- 13 A Uh-huh.
- 14 Q Prior examples of behavioral functioning,
- particularly from the years before his current
- incarceration would in my opinion provide the most
- objective and unbiased data available from which
- to infer his adaptabilities and he goes on. I'm

19	curious	that you	seem to	o rely o	n this	checklist
<b>1</b> /	Carroas	und you	DOCIII CO	0 101, 0	II CIIIO	CITCOILLIDE

- but yet Dr. Horn says I'm not going to use it
- 21 basically because it's not that reliable in this
- type of case?
- 23 A Absolutely.
- 24 Q Explain please.
- 25 A I understand your concern, your Honor, and I

l understand his point of view. A self-report scale
---

- 2 is always questionable. There's no question about
- 3 that. Any time a person is reporting on
- 4 themselves, they tend to exaggerate typically what
- 5 they're able to do. A person with mental
- 6 retardation typically exaggerates what they're
- 7 capable of doing. That's why I did the
- 8 Independent Living Scale which is not a
- 9 self-report scale, it is an actual test of ability
- in that area. If -- I think that you have got a
- copy of the protocol for that. It was admitted
- into evidence. I think it was State's Exhibit 3
- if I'm not mistaken -- excuse me, Defense

- Exhibit 3.
- 15 Q The Independent Living Scales?
- 16 A Yes, sir.
- 17 Q Defendant's 3. Defendant's 3.
- 18 A The way that this is given is like a test. It's
- 19 not a checklist. It is, in fact -- I am giving
- 20 that person specific questions asking him -- for
- 21 example, I show him a clock. It says what time
- does this clock show. He tells me the time. What
- is your telephone number. He tells me the phone
- number. What day of the week is it today. These
- are simple ones, obviously. At one point I ask

- 1 him to remember that he's got a doctor's
- 2 appointment at 2:00 o'clock on -- or excuse me, at
- 3 3:00 o'clock on Tuesday with Dr. Thomas, and then
- 4 I am supposed to go back approximately seven or
- 5 eight minutes later and ask him that to see if he
- 6 knows it and then there's another third
- 7 opportunity to tell me if he remembers it or not.
- 8 Each one of these questions -- the next part,

Q	managing money.	How are you	supported
9	managing money.	now are you	Subbonea

- financially. I believe his response in that was
- taxes, wasn't it?
- 12 Q Welfare after jail. Then a job at Hardee's. Jail
- 13 now.
- 14 A And that he got two points for, correct?
- 15 Q Correct.
- 16 A Yeah. He was able to identify certain things. He
- was unable to identify other things. He was able
- to do certain tasks. He was unable to do others.
- 19 If you look at that -- that protocol, that
- 20 profile, your Honor, you're looking at Aces and
- Spaces, and that's pretty much what I've been
- saying all along, that if he were trying to
- 23 malinger mental retardation, he would have missed
- them all. That's -- that's the characteristic.
- 25 And the harder things that he got correct support

- 1 the idea that he is unable to do easier things and
- 2 get harder things correct, he was not faking it
- 3 under those circumstances. That's all I could

- say.
   Q Speaking of your Aces and Spaces idea where an individual may get a series of questions wrong and suddenly get a harder question right and then get
- 8 more wrong and then get yet another question
- 9 right, you call that Aces and Spaces and you said
- that I believe in the context of the Wechsler
- 11 Test?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q Specifically?
- 14 A Yes. But it happens in most --
- 15 Q Is that recognized in your profession, or is that
- just your opinion on Aces and Spaces?
- 17 A It's recognized --
- 18 Q I shouldn't say just. Or is that your opinion?
- 19 A That's okay. It is recognized by people who have
- 20 experience with adults with mental retardation
- 21 that there are these oddball skills that pop up
- and then other areas that you would think they
- definitely are going to know and they don't. It
- has been recognized there. As far as in the
- research, the only book I remember seeing that in

- 1 was in Satler (phonetic) where he talked about the
- wide variance in people who have mental
- 3 retardation in their skills.
- 4 Q Do you typically see this?
- 5 A Yes. In testing, you see it a lot. The way I
- 6 always know a person's trying to malinger is that
- 7 they miss a lot more than they get right and they
- 8 miss the easy ones and they miss the hard ones.
- 9 Q Going back to Dr. Horn's report?
- 10 A Yes, sir.
- 11 Q He makes mention of comparing letters purportedly
- written by Mr. Britt.
- 13 A Yes, sir.
- 14 Q That may be indicative or could be indicative of
- adaptive functioning?
- 16 A That's true.
- 17 Q What's your opinion on that, if any?
- 18 A Well, I've seen those letters, and I was concerned
- about them because they do have information that
- would suggest he's more aware than I would have
- suggested he was capable of doing. My concern

22	here is that and I have seen this happen a lot
23	in the prisons that people get assistance from
24	other people in how to write a letter for a
25	specific purpose, and I think that might have
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION
	144
1	happened in this situation.
2	In addition, I think that the one letter that
3	was written requesting that Mr. Kasich and his
4	co-counsel be forced to change his not guilty,
5	reason of insanity, the terminology used in there
6	was such that I don't really think that Eugene
7	Britt was doing that without some assistance. In
8	addition, looking at his handwriting in that, it's
9	a little flowery, and I'm not sure that he
10	actually physically wrote that as well. Sometimes

you get jailhouse lawyers who do these letters for

I didn't just toss those off. I think that

that was of concern to me, and I was concerned

11

12

13

14

15

16

you.

Q Really?

A Yeah. I'm telling you, right?

- about that.
- 18 Q Did you ever ask Eugene Britt whether he wrote
- the -- at least the 1985 letter?
- 20 A Yes, I did.
- 21 Q And what did he say?
- 22 A Yes, he did.
- 23 Q He said he did write the letter himself?
- 24 A Yes, he did, uh-huh.
- 25 Q I'm talking about the 1985 letter addressed to

- 1 Mr. Duckworth, the one that's referenced on page
- 2 15 of Dr. Horn's report?
- 3 MR. KASICH: I believe there's a copy in that
- 4 also, your Honor.
- 5 BY THE COURT:
- 6 Q Dr. Horn indicates these are -- this physical
- 7 writing sample, letter formation, the use of
- 8 complex sentence structure is essentially
- 9 identical in appearance to the 2002 letter wrote
- to Judge Kouros 17 years later at a different
- 11 location?

- 12 A I am no expert in handwriting, your Honor. I
- disagree with that in some ways. I don't think it
- looks exactly identical. But to me, that's --
- that's very flowery handwriting, and that was not
- the handwriting that I saw in the work that I gave
- 17 for him to do.
- 18 Q So you just believe it's not Mr. Britt that wrote
- 19 the letter?
- 20 A I don't believe he wrote those letters, no.
- 21 Q Any idea why he would tell you that he did?
- 22 A People with mental retardation will tell people
- things very often that they are capable of doing
- despite the fact that they're not really capable
- of doing them. It's part of the ruse of I'm a

- 1 capable person, I can do these things.
- 2 Q Bottom line is that Dr. Horn believed that on the
- 3 issue of adaptive behavior that Mr. Britt does not
- 4 fall within the statute as to the definition of
- 5 mental retardation?
- 6 A Where are you looking, sir?

- 7 Q Oh, I'm just off the top of my head. I mean,
- 8 bottom line is that he just believed that he was
- 9 not so impaired as to fall within the parameters
- of mental retardation in terms of substantial
- impairment of adaptive behavior. I think that was
- within his summary, Dr. Horn.
- 13 A Yes.
- 14 Q You disagree?
- 15 A Yes.
- 16 THE COURT: Mr. Kasich.
- 17 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 18 BY MR. KASICH:
- 19 Q Dr. Keyes, do you recall in Mr. Horn's deposition
- I asked him if he had ever testified in court
- 21 regarding an opinion on mental retardation prior
- to this case, and his answer was no?
- 23 A Yes, I do recall that.
- 24 Q Do you recall in -- and I can't find at this point
- in time whether it was in the deposition or in the

147

1 transcript Mr. Horn -- Dr. Horn being asked if he

- 2 ever testified in a forensic case before. And do
- 3 you recall what his answer was?
- 4 A No, he had not.
- 5 Q He only testified in civil cases?
- 6 A Civil cases, that's correct.
- 7 MR. KASICH: If I can approach, your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: Yes, you may.
- 9 [DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 6 IS MARKED.]
- 10 BY MR. KASICH:
- 11 Q I would like to hand you what's been marked for
- purposes of this hearing as Defendant's Exhibit
- Number 6. Can you take a look at that and
- identify that for the Court, please.
- 15 A This is a copy of the basic part of my
- 16 dissertation.
- 17 Q On?
- 18 A Malingering and mental retardation.
- 19 Q And when was that written?
- 20 A It was defended in February of 1992.
- 21 Q So that 70-some page document was researched and
- prepared by you in 1992?
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 Q On the issue of malingering?

#### 25 A Correct.

# CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

1	Q	Have you kept abreast on that? Have you kept
2		recent on studies and on and on research on
3		malingering?
4	A	Specifically on research and malingering and
5		mental retardation, yes.
6		MR. KASICH: Move to admit into evidence the
7		dissertation that Dr. Keyes had mentioned in
8		response to your question, your Honor.
9		THE COURT: Any objection?
10		MR. BURKE: I have no objection.
11		THE COURT: That's fine. It will be
12		admitted. Defendant's 6.
13		[DEFENDANT'S EXHIBIT 6 IS ADMITTED.]
14	В	Y MR. KASICH:
15	Q	Now, the Court brought up, of course, the two
16		letters that we thought Mr. Burke was going to
17		bring up regarding considerations made by Dr. Horn
18		and one of the conclusions or findings by
19		Dr. Horn. I believe he also consulted

- 20 Dr. Couvillion -- I can't remember his name now --
- and Dr. Farrell to look at those letters and give
- an assessment based upon vocabulary used in those
- letters. You as we have put up on the chart
- reviewed Mr. Britt's scores, his verbal scores
- when he was 11, 12, I think even 14 years old, did

- 1 you not?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q Okay. And --
- 4 A 13, 11.
- 5 Q I'm sorry?
- 6 A 13 years, 11 months.
- 7 Q Did the vocabulary in those two letters seem
- 8 consistent with those scores?
- 9 A No, I would say they did not.
- 10 Q All right. What level would you say that
- vocabulary was in the more recent letter? I think
- it was to judge -- allegedly to Judge Kouros?
- 13 A It was a letter from someone who had a good
- education, a reasonably good education, although

- there was some grammatical mistakes, and someone
- who very much wanted not to be seen by the public
- as a person who is mentally ill.
- 18 Q Which would be contradictory I guess to this
- 19 proceeding?
- 20 A Well, I mean, if you're -- if somebody's saying
- 21 he's malingering, I think that the letter such as
- 22 that would not support any reason for malingering.
- 23 If you don't want to be seen as mentally ill, you
- sure don't want to be seen as mentally retarded.
- 25 Q And given studies and your exposure of, what,

- 1 32 years now dealing with mental retardation and
- 2 probably at least, what, 20 dealing with mental
- 3 retardation in the field of corrections?
- 4 A 16, 17.
- 5 Q 16, I'm sorry. You know, I have read anecdotally,
- 6 and correct me if it's not true, or if the stud --
- 7 that there are people who would rather go to death
- 8 row and be executed than be labeled mentally
- 9 retarded?

- 10 A Yes. It's called cheating to lose. It was coined
- by Bob Perske.
- 12 Q And when the Court was asking you questions about
- how obviously Dr. Horn pointed out that he didn't
- have any independent way to judge some of the
- adaptive behaviors, that was part of the reason,
- was it not, that we delayed this proceeding is to
- 17 try to get more information?
- 18 A That is correct.
- 19 Q And unlike Dr. Horn, we did get some of those
- school test scores, I believe?
- 21 A We got the school scores.
- 22 Q Okay. You were able to talk to Brenda Britt?
- 23 A Yes.
- 24 Q Okay. We were -- we were able to find that
- statement from his employer I think at Hardee's?

- 1 A Ms. Hubbard, yes.
- 2 Q I think you also talked to some other teachers?
- 3 A Yes.
- 4 Q Plus you have the advantage of Dr. Horn's own

- 5 report?
- 6 A That is correct.
- 7 Q You had a chance, of course, to review Dr. Horn's
- 8 credentials?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q His experience?
- 11 A Certainly.
- 12 Q Okay. From his own description of his experience,
- can you give us an opinion on how much -- how --
- how much he, himself, said, how much he, himself,
- admitted as to how often he's been involved
- examining individuals charged with the death
- penalty in mental retardation cases?
- MR. BURKE: I'm going to object. That's
- irrelevant. It's irrelevant.
- THE COURT: It's overruled.
- MR. BURKE: The issue is mental retardation,
- it's not whether it's a death penalty or anything
- else.
- 24 THE COURT: I understand. You can answer.
- 25 BY THE WITNESS:

- 1 A Oh. To my knowledge, he had not had any
- 2 experience in those areas.
- 3 BY MR. KASICH:
- 4 Q Okay. Have you been involved with mental
- 5 retardation in nondeath penalty cases?
- 6 A Almost none. Two that I can think of right
- 7 offhand.
- 8 Q Okay. I'm talking about -- you are talking about
- 9 testimony?
- 10 A Testifying?
- 11 Q Yeah. I'm talking about have you ever been
- involved with assessing mental retardation in
- nondeath penalty cases?
- 14 A Twice, yes.
- 15 Q And have you been around mental retarded
- individuals in situations other than death penalty
- 17 cases?
- 18 A Oh, absolutely. Many times. For years.
- 19 Q All right. And I think you were -- you were a
- 20 teacher in special education?
- 21 A I have worked in the field of mental retardation,
- direct service over 10 years one way or another,

- and I have been an educator of mental retardation
- for 32 years total.
- 25 Q Okay. So have you evaluated people for -- correct

- 1 me if I'm wrong -- so you're saying in only two
- 2 other instances then other than death penalty
- 3 cases have you even evaluated people to see if
- 4 they're mentally retarded?
- 5 A That is correct.
- 6 Q Okay. And, again --
- 7 A Oh, well, wait a minute. In criminal justice
- 8 situations. I mean, I've worked with hundreds of
- 9 kids.
- 10 Q So you have done hundreds of evaluations relating
- 11 to mental retardation?
- 12 A Yes.
- 13 Q And those involved using IQ tests?
- 14 A Sometimes, yes.
- 15 Q And adaptive behavior testing?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q And with -- obviously with your death penalty

- involvement, those assessments have involved IQ
- 19 testing?
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q Adaptive behavior assessments?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q And I think we talked about it earlier, but just
- to make sure and clarify, is this the only time
- you have ever had a situation where you've not had

- 1 the perfect amount of witnesses or the perfect
- 2 amount of information available to go ahead and
- 3 make an assessment?
- 4 A No.
- 5 Q And of course the alternative, I guess, would be
- 6 that if someone has, you know, a lack of
- 7 information, I guess the alternative is we just
- 8 automatically find them not to be mentally
- 9 retarded and we execute them?
- 10 A I would be loathed to think that.
- 11 Q So you are trying to make an assessment as best
- you can given the facts; is that correct?

- 13 A That is correct.
- 14 Q If you had not had enough facts, if you did not
- feel comfortable that your investigation here
- based upon again your five degrees, based upon
- your 32 degrees of experience, based upon your 16,
- 18 17 years with dealing with death penalty cases, if
- 19 you had not felt that you had sufficient
- information to give your professional opinion,
- 21 would you have been here -- would you have come
- 22 today?
- 23 A No.
- MR. KASICH: Nothing further, your Honor.
- MR. BURKE: Just a couple questions.

155

#### 1 RECROSS-EXAMINATION

- 2 BY MR. BURKE:
- 3 Q I want to make something clear here. You asked
- 4 Mr. Britt whether he wrote this letter to
- 5 Mr. Duckworth back in 1985?
- 6 A Yes, I did.
- 7 Q And he told you he did?

- 8 A Yes, he did.
- 9 Q Did you ask him whether he wrote this letter to
- Judge Kouros in 2002?
- 11 A Yes, I did.
- 12 Q And what did he say?
- 13 A Yes, he did.
- 14 Q And it's your testimony that you believe he was
- 15 lying to you?
- 16 A I doubt very seriously if that's his handwriting.
- 17 Q Well, did you put the cart before the horse and
- make the determination that he's mentally retarded
- and because of your opinion that he's mentally
- retarded you determined that he couldn't write
- 21 these letters?
- 22 A Not necessarily, Mr. Burke. When you're looking
- at a person with mental retardation, you have to
- take the strengths and weaknesses together; and in
- 25 those cases, it's possible that he had help

- writing those letters. And even if he didn't
- 2 actually use the pen and pencil himself, there's a

- 3 chance that that may have been written by him, but
- 4 it was with a lot of assistance from someone else.
- 5 I don't think he has the capability of doing that
- 6 by himself.
- 7 Q Well, I understand you don't believe he has the
- 8 capability of doing it, but you asked him whether
- 9 he did it and he told you he did?
- 10 A That's correct.
- 11 Q And it's over his signature; is that correct?
- 12 A Well, that is not what his signature looks like in
- the work that I did with him.
- 14 Q Well, does it look like his -- what it looks like
- in Doctor -- Mr. Duckworth's letter that he
- admitted to writing to you? I'm not a handwriting
- 17 expert either.
- 18 A Yeah, and neither am I.
- 19 Q Here. I'm going to show you the two.
- 20 A Uh-huh.
- 21 Q The judge has them already.
- 22 A Right.
- 23 Q Here's Mr. Duckworth's. Does that appear to be
- 24 looking --
- 25 A It's the same --Well, where's the first one. Here

157

1		it is. I would say that those appear to be very
2		similar.
3	Q	Appear to be the same signature?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Did Mr. Kasich by the way, did you ever review
6		during the four days that you were here the
7		confessions that he gave?
8	A	I have I have got the DVD of that, yes.
9	Q	You have the DVD of
10	A	His confession.
11	Q	Of his confession?
12	A	His statement.
13	Q	His statement. Both the transcript of it
14	A	I have the I have the DVD of his the
15		videotaped version, and I have a copy of the
16		audiotape that was done before that.
17	Q	Okay.
18	A	And then I have seen the notes and the two-page

two- or three-page typed written statement.

19

20 Q Written statement?

- 21 A That was done before that.
- 22 Q That signature on that two -- I guess it's a
- three- or four-page typed statement, that appeared
- to be the same signature?
- 25 A It looked very similar, yes.

- 1 Q Did defense counsel make you aware that for about
- a year period of time that Mr. Britt refused to
- 3 even talk to defense counsel, both Mr. Kasich and
- 4 a previous defense counsel, because they filed an
- 5 insanity defense and he didn't want an insanity
- 6 defense filed?
- 7 MR. KASICH: I would like to be aware of
- 8 that.
- 9 MR. BURKE: Well --
- MR. KASICH: I'm going to object to him
- mischaracterizing evidence. There's no evidence
- ever put on the record here or ever put in open
- court that we did not speak to our client for one
- 14 year.
- MR. BURKE: I didn't say that.

16	MR. KASICH: Yes, you did. You said for
17	about a year.
18	THE COURT: That he refused to speak with
19	you.
20	MR. BURKE: He refused to talk to you.
21	THE COURT: I don't know anything in the
22	record that would suggest it either.
23	MR. BURKE: Well, it corroborates what is in
24	this letter to Judge Kouros that he wrote. It
25	corroborates that he basically: I do not wish to
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION
	159
1	enter a plea of insanity to the charge as I was
2	not insane at the time. It corroborates that.
3	MR. KASICH: So something never in evidence
4	that's only in Mr. Burke's mind corroborates that
5	letter. That's what he's saying. Nothing in
6	evidence ever introduced that our client didn't
7	speak to us for a year, but that that's not in
8	evidence corroborates the letter. Am I hearing
9	Mr. Rurke correctly?

MR. BURKE: You are hearing me correctly,

- because --
- MR. KASICH: I object, your Honor. There's
- nothing in evidence to that, and I object to his
- 14 question.
- 15 THE COURT: Next question, Mr. Burke. I'm
- going to sustain the objection.
- MR. BURKE: I don't have any other further
- 18 questions.
- 19 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 20 BY MR. KASICH:
- 21 Q Regarding the 1985 letter?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q Written --
- 24 A '95 letter.
- 25 Q I'm sorry?

- 1 A Is it '85 or '95?
- 2 Q The first letter to Duckworth, 1985?
- 3 A '85, okay.
- 4 Q Almost 21 years prior to you talking to Mr. Britt?
- 5 A Correct.

- 6 Q Correct?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q Over 19 years prior to Dr. Horn talking to
- 9 Mr. Britt; is that correct?
- 10 A Yes.
- 11 Q Would it be unusual, unfathomable, hard to believe
- that someone of lower intelligence might believe
- that they wrote something 19 years ago and
- sincerely believe they had no help?
- 15 A It's an interesting point because I asked him
- about the letters, but I didn't have copies of
- them with me when I asked him about them. So I
- would say it's a good point.
- 19 Q Let's ask this. What specifically do you recall
- asking him about the letters?
- 21 A Do you remember the letters that are in evidence
- that were placed in evidence sometime back, and he
- said yeah. And I said were you the one that wrote
- those letters. He said yeah.
- 25 Q But you didn't show him the letters?

- 1 A No, I didn't have copies of them with me.
- 2 Q And, of course, the letter from 2002?
- 3 A Uh-huh.
- 4 Q Apparently dated August 1st. Actually -- all
- 5 right. I'm sorry. That would have been almost
- 6 four years prior to you having spoken to
- 7 Mr. Britt?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q And over -- well over two years since Dr. Horn, I
- believe, spoke to Mr. Britt December 7th, 2004,
- 11 two years prior to Dr. Horn having spoken to
- Mr. Britt down in Indianapolis?
- 13 A Uh-huh. Yes.
- 14 Q And, again, apparently the expectation is that
- someone of this -- assuming Mr. Britt is mentally
- retarded, how likely or even if he's not mentally
- 17 retarded, how likely is it that he remembers the
- details of the writing of that letter?
- 19 A Not very likely. Not completely out of the realm
- of possibility but not very likely.
- 21 Q And, again, what we have to look at is we have the
- history in school?
- 23 A Uh-huh.

- 24 Q From ages 11, 12, 13 and 11 months?
- 25 A Correct.

- 1 Q We even have grades in school that were quite low
- when he was 13.
- 3 A Correct.
- 4 Q All Fs and Ds, I believe?
- 5 A Uh-huh. That's correct.
- 6 Q We have the 60 Revised Beta?
- 7 A Yes.
- 8 Q He have with the 70 or rescored 69 IQ test?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q Now, again, and maybe this begs the question. Do
- 11 you believe that IQ test is valid?
- 12 A Yes, I do.
- 13 Q And could someone with a 69 or 70 IQ write a
- letter such as this one of August 1st, 2002,
- without any help?
- 16 A Without assistance, no.
- 17 Q How much assistance would he need?
- 18 A Considerable assistance.

- 19 Q Okay. And what -- by assistance, by considerable
- assistance, can you specifically say like what
- 21 kind?
- 22 A Content.
- 23 Q Okay.
- 24 A The big thing here is the content. The -- the
- information specifically in the 2002 letter uses

- 1 terms that are legal or -- legally oriented,
- 2 spelling is not good. The grammar is not good.
- 3 But it is written in a way that would suggest that
- 4 somebody who had better knowledge of the language
- 5 was able to assist him.
- 6 Q So --
- 7 A To my professional opinion.
- 8 Q Would you say this letter is consistent with or
- 9 inconsistent with someone with a history that
- you're aware Eugene Britt had as far as his
- 11 academic performance?
- 12 A No, I would say it's not consistent with it.
- 13 MR. KASICH: Nothing further.

- 14 THE COURT: All right.
- 15 FURTHER EXAMINATION
- 16 BY THE COURT:
- 17 Q Let me ask you this.
- 18 A Certainly.
- 19 Q Your dissertation was on malingering and mental
- 20 retardation. Your first paragraph talks about
- citation to Rogers 1988. This is Richard Rogers,
- I believe?
- 23 A Yes, it is.
- 24 Q Okay.
- 25 MR. KASICH: I'm sorry. Dr. Keyes, do you

- 1 need a copy of that?
- THE COURT: No.
- 3 BY THE WITNESS:
- 4 A University of North Texas, Richard Rogers.
- 5 BY THE COURT:
- 6 Q Page 14, Dr. Horn's report, he mentions Rogers
- 7 also. In fact, he indicates in that first
- 8 paragraph there, says this pattern of performance

9	is not	consistent	with a	a hvi	oothesis	either	of	lack
_	10 1100	COMBIBLE	******	~,	OUILOBID	CILLICI	O.	1401

- of mathability or a neurological impairment.
- 11 Richard Rogers in his text, "Malingering and
- Deception", 1997, which would have been several
- years after you wrote your dissertation
- specifically mentions these near misses, miss
- errors as indicators of likely malingering.
- Dr. Parker mentions these same types of errors in
- 17 his Psychiatric Mental Status Examination.
- 18 Clarify.
- 19 A Okay. The problem I have with that, your Honor,
- is based upon all the experience that I've got,
- background with people with mental retardation, it
- is not unusual. It is very common. I would
- say -- and specifically, Dr. Rogers' experience is
- 24 more in neuropsychological and psychiatric-type
- 25 testing, not specifically for mental retardation,

- and that's why I would disagree with that.
- 2 Q Okay. So you disagree -- you agree that near
- 3 misses can be indicators of malingering?

- 4 A Yes.
- 5 Q But you disagree that it should be related -- your
- 6 position is that not necessarily to the issue or
- 7 to individuals with mental retardation?
- 8 A That's correct.
- 9 Q Correct?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 THE COURT: All right.
- MR. KASICH: Can I follow up on that?
- 13 THE COURT: Only on that issue.
- 14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 15 BY MR. KASICH:
- 16 Q Doctor, are you familiar with an article that came
- out apparently just a few weeks before I
- interrogated or questioned Dr. Horn way back when
- that was, February 9th, it's called the Use of
- TOMM in a Criminal Court Forensic Assessment
- 21 Setting by Cheryl Delain (phonetic) at Kent State,
- 22 Kathleen Stafford from Kent State and a Yossef Ben
- 23 Porath at Kent State, conclusion being use of the
- test of malingering TOMM in a criminal court
- forensic assessment setting was examined. Results

- 1 indicated that those initially suspected of
- 2 cognitive malingering and thus administered the
- 3 TOMM differed from others in the setting in ways
- 4 that are consistent with descriptions of
- 5 cognitive -- and then he interrupted me so I never
- 6 was able to finish my sentence, but they talked
- 7 about a norm being N=29 for a forensic setting for
- 8 the TOMM?
- 9 A Correct.
- 10 Q Are you familiar with that study?
- 11 A I remember seeing it, yes.
- 12 Q So when we're talking about -- I think you
- indicated that 45 was the norm for a normal
- individual in the TOMM?
- 15 A 45 is actually a little low. 46, 47 would be
- expected.
- 17 Q Okay. And that finding, and that was one of the
- questions I had for Dr. Horn. I just remembered,
- and I apologize. And I think we talked earlier
- 20 how is the TOMM normed and that study --
- 21 thankfully I saw it inadvertently a few moments

- ago -- indicates the norm for forensic TOMM was
- 23 29?
- 24 A Uh-huh. Correct.
- 25 Q And what was Mr. Britt's scores here?

- 1 A 26 and -- on the first one I think it was. It
- 2 wasn't substantially different in the second, I
- don't think. Hold on a sec. No, the first one
- 4 was 30, second one was 26, third one was 22.
- 5 Q Okay. So we're talking about a 30 which is above
- 6 the norm, a 26 which is a little bit above that
- 7 norm and that 22?
- 8 A Correct.
- 9 Q In the TOMM?
- 10 A Correct.
- 11 Q And compared to the N=29 in a forensic setting,
- are those -- do you know what the standard
- deviations from the norm are on the TOMM?
- 14 A No, I don't. I'm sorry.
- MR. KASICH: Nothing further, your Honor.
- MR. BURKE: Nor I.

- 17 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Doctor.
- 18 THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.
- 19 THE COURT: We're finished.
- MR. KASICH: I have no other witnesses.
- 21 THE COURT: Go ahead.
- MR. KASICH: No other witnesses. If the
- Court wants us to brief. Ten days to brief?
- 24 THE COURT: You're done, sir.
- THE WITNESS: Thank you.

- 1 THE COURT: Do you wish to brief it? It's up
- 2 to you.
- 3 MR. KASICH: I always like the opportunity to
- 4 brief.
- 5 THE COURT: I will give you the opportunity.
- 6 Ten days. September 27th as your deadline. I
- 7 will not consider any brief submitted after the
- 8 end of business September 27th. That gives you
- 9 approximately 10 days from today's date for
- briefing. I will make a decision soon thereafter.
- 11 Quite frankly, with the trial date coming up of

12	the 10th day of October, it will be very soon
13	thereafter. Any final word?
14	MR. KASICH: Well, the only only thing I
15	need to bring to the Court's attention, Dr. Keyes
16	told us over the weekend his schedule if we do go
17	to trial, and we obviously I think need Dr. Keyes'
18	testimony if not in the guilt phase, certainly in
19	the sentencing phase. His schedule now is such
20	that he's not available until when was that?
21	DR. KEYES: December.
22	MR. KASICH: December. So I just wanted you
23	to hear that from him and that's where we are with
24	that. And if we have to file the appropriate
25	motion at the appropriate time, we'll do so but
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION
	169
1	just so you hear it from him and not have to hear
2	it secondhand from me.
3	THE COURT: Okay. I will resolve one of the
4	issues that you have raised. Your motion for
5	Daubert hearing on psychological tests relied upon

by Court's expert. Based on the testimony

7	presented, and essentially this was a continuing
8	hearing on this very motion, I think that was
9	indicated in prior hearings, waiting to hear from
10	not only any state's witness or let alone Dr. Horn
11	but also from any witness you may present. I
12	believe that based on the evidence presented and
13	including the testimony of the witness today, I
14	believe that the tests and the information relied
15	upon by Dr. Horn in his examination of defendant,
16	Mr. Britt certainly meets the Daubert standard. I
17	do believe that that information was not only
18	reliable and relevant, I take into account once
19	again the testimony presented today. If there's
20	any discrepancies or any issue as to the use of
21	those tests, it would not necessarily go to the
22	relevancy, it will not necessarily go to the on
23	whether that information was below a Daubert
24	standard. If anything, it may go to the weight
25	given to that information. So any request to
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION

170

strike that portion of the testimony of Dr. Horn

- 2 is denied, and that information will be taken into
- 3 account when making any final determination as to
- 4 defendant's mental retardation.
- 5 All right. That will conclude our hearing.
- 6 Probably will not be a bad idea to set this matter
- 7 yet one more time. Mr. Kasich, I think 10 days is
- 8 too long.
- 9 MR. KASICH: Seven days.
- THE COURT: Seven days. A week -- the 25th.
- End of business on the 25th for any --
- MR. KASICH: I was going to --
- 13 THE COURT: Any brief. Ten days is just too
- long. We're just too close to trial. I
- appreciate your effort, but I think that's just
- 16 too long.
- MR. KASICH: You're right. After I said it,
- I kind of thought seven and then you went ahead
- 19 and --
- THE COURT: If you're going to file anything,
- I do want it by the 25th day of September. A week
- from today. I'll give you to end of business.
- Gives you the weekend to work, if necessary. I
- can set this matter for another pretrial by the

1	MR. MAKSIMOVICH: That's fine with me, your
2	Honor.
3	THE COURT: Mr. Kasich.
4	MR. KASICH: I'm available Wednesday,
5	Thursday, Friday.
6	THE COURT: Mr. Burke.
7	MR. BURKE: I prefer Thursday, the 28th, if
8	they're available.
9	THE COURT: Well, I think I may use that
10	entire time for my own reason to review the
11	information. Knowing Mr. Kasich, he may file
12	something very thick and long, and I want to make
13	sure I have enough time to review it.
14	MR. BURKE: 29th is fine.
15	THE COURT: 29th. Final pretrial September
16	29th. We'll see you then.
17	MR. KASICH: What time?
18	THE COURT: Well, it will be at 8:30 or we'll
19	make it near the end of the court call, so say

20	11:00 o'clock as opposed to first thing in the
21	morning. Realistically, I will not hear this case
22	first thing in the morning. 11:00 o'clock on the
23	29th.
24	[END OF PROCEEDINGS ON SEPTEMBER 18, 2006.]
25	
	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION
	172
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SUPERIOR COURT OF LAKE COUNTY - CRIMINAL DIVISION SITTING AT CROWN POINT, INDIANA  STATE OF INDIANA, )
10	I, CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR, Official Court
11	Reporter, Superior Court of Lake County, Criminal
12	Division, Crown Point, Indiana, do hereby certify that
13	the foregoing is a true, correct and complete
14	transcript of the proceedings held on the 18th day of

15	September, 2006, in the above-entitled cause; that said
16	proceedings were reported stenographically by me and
17	reduced to a printed transcript from said notes taken
18	on the aforementioned day, while sitting in Crown
19	Point, Indiana.
20	WITNESS MY HAND and SEAL this 21st day of
21	September, 2006.
22	
23	
24	CHRISTINE M. ORR, CSR
25	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER