F. Jacquesson<br>with the kind help of G.K. Thaosen

## A Dimasa Grammar

Contents
Acknowledgments ..... 5
Glosses ..... 7
Sentence types ..... 9
Verbs ..... 20
Nouns ..... 40
Pronouns ..... 52
Numerals and Classifiers ..... 55
Observations on lexicon ..... 57

Acknowledgements and history of the essay

This study began, years ago, in Haflong with the very kind help of G. K. Thaosen. Most of the information here provided comes from him.

Other details came later, either from Bikash Roy Debbarma when in Agartala, or from Uttam Bathari and friends when we travelled together (March 2007) to record Dimasa dialects. To have a look at this later work, see:

Jacquesson François. 2006. La reconstruction linguistique du passé : le cas des langues borogaro , Bulletin de la Société de Linguistique de Paris, 101/1, 273-302.

On the whole I devoted some time to the variants of Dimasa. I think I could show (see above)

François Jacquesson
June 2008.

## Glosses

|  | see |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A |  | Agent |
| Abl | 3.4.5. | Ablative : -ni-pray |
| Acc | 3.4.2. | Accusative : -ke |
| Adj | 2.3 . | Adjective prefix : $g V$ - |
| Apt | 2.2.3.2.3. | Actual Present : -du |
| Ass | 2.2.3.2.2. | Assertive : -bi |
| Aux |  | Auxiliary verb |
| Cl | 5.2. | Classifier (with number) |
| Cnt | 2.2.1.4. | Continuative : -sai- |
| Com | 2.2.2.4. | Comitative-Reflexive : -pa- |
| D | 3.3. | determinative, genitive : $-n i$ |
| Dat | 3.4.8. | Dative : -ne, -tane |
| Def | 2.2.4. | Negative imperative : $d a^{2}$ - |
| Dis | 2.2.1.1. | Distal : -ha- |
| Dw | 2.2.1.3. | from up down : -klei- |
| Ela | 3.4.5. | Elative : -ni-ha ${ }^{2}$ |
| Emp | 2.2.3.2.11 | Emphatic particle : $t i$ |
| Exs | 1.2.4. | Existence : dol |
| Fac | 2.2.2.1. | Factitive : -ri- |
| Fut | 2.2.3.2.5. | Definite Future : -ma- |
| Gro | 2.3.2.1. | "growing" : -lay- |
| Hpt | 2.2.3.2.4., 2.2.3.1.1. | Habitual Present : -re |
| Ins | 3.4.6., 2.2.2.2. | Instrumental : -žaך |
| INTR |  | Intransitive (of a V which cannot have a O) |
| Ip | 2.2.3.2.1. | Imperative (simple) : -ø |
| Ipp | 2.2.3.2.2. | Polite imperative : -say |
| Loc | 3.4.4. | Locative : $-\mathrm{ha}{ }^{2}$ |
| Neg | 1.4. | Negation : -ja |
| O |  | Object, Patient (of a transitive verb) |
| Opt | 2.2.3.1.1. | Optative : -ža- |
| P |  | Predicate |
| p1 |  | 1st person plural |
| p2 |  | $2^{\text {nd }}$ person plural |
| p3 |  | 2rd person plural |
| Pf | 2.2.3.2.8. | Perfect : -ka |
| Pos | 2.2.3.2.6. | Possible Future : -nay |
| PosVN | 2.2.3.1.1. | Possible future Verbal Noun : -ma- |
| Pot | 2.2.3.1.2. | Potential : -pu- |
| Prx | 2.2.1.2. | Proximal : -bu- |
| Ps | 2.2.3.2.7. | Past : -ba |
| Psv | 2.2.2.2. | Passive : -žao- |
| Ref | 2.2.2.5. | Reflexive : -la- |
| Reg | 2.2.3.2.10 | Regret : -mu ${ }^{2}$ |
| Rt | 1.6.2. | Topic marker, non-adversative : de |
| S |  | Subject |
| s1 |  | 1st person singular |
| s2 |  | $2^{\text {nd }}$ person singular |
| s3 |  | 3rd person singular |
| Sc | 2.2.3.2.9.3. | time limit "no more" : si |
| So | 2.2.3.2.9.2. | time limit "more" : ko |
| Soc | 2.2.2.3. | Sociative-Reciprocal : -lai- |
| TAM |  | Time, Aspect, Mode (a category of suffixes) |
| TR |  | Transitive (of a V which may have a O) |
| U |  | Unique argument (actant) of an intransitive V |


| Up | 2.2 .1 .3. | 'from above' : - hon- |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| V |  | Verb |
| VN |  | Verbal Noun (noun derived from a V root) |
| VNc | 2.4 .2. | Vebral Noun, action $:-b a-$ |
| VNf | $2.4 .2,2.2 .3 .2 .5$. | Verbal Noun, Future $:-m a-$ |
| VNg | 2.4 .1. | Verbal Noun, agent $:-j a-$ |
| VNgc | 2.4 .3. | Verbal Noun, agentive $:-j a-b a-$ |
| VNp | 2.4 .5. | Verbal Noun, succession : $-h i$ |
| Vs | 1.6 .3. | Topic marker, adversative $: l a$ |

## A sketch of Phonology

We will give first charts of phonemes, islated or in groups or clusters. Then we will give examples of minimal pairs for consonants, vowels, and tones. Last, we will examine the phonetics.

## 1. Charts of phonemes

## 1.1. isolated

Dimasa has 16 consonnants

| $p$ | t |  | k |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b | d |  | g |  |
| m | n |  | y |  |
|  |  | s |  | h |
|  |  | $\check{\mathrm{z}}$ | j |  |
| w | 1 | r |  |  |

All consonants may be initials except * y -.
with possible initial clusters ;
And 5 vowels and 2 diphtongs

| i | e | a | o | u |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ai |  |  |  | au |

and two tones.
In this book, the lower tone is left unmarked the higher tone is marked ${ }^{2}$

## 1.2. groups

Initial consonant clusters according to our lexicon are :

|  | -1 | -r | -m | -n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b | bl- | br- |  |  |
| d |  | dr- |  |  |
| g | gl- | gr- |  |  |
| p | pl- | pr- |  | pn- |
| t | tl- |  |  |  |
| k | kl- | kr- | km- | kn- |

following groups result from reduced prefixes :
rd-, rz-
sb-, sd-, sg-, sk-, sl-, sm-, sr-, st-
zr-
possible codas are :

|  | a | e | i | o | u | ai | ao |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $-\varnothing$ | a | e | i | o | u | ai | ao |
| -m | am | em | im |  | um |  |  |
| $-n$ | an | en | in | on | un | ain |  |
| $-\eta$ | aŋ | ey | in | on | uy | ain |  |
| -r | ar | er | ir | or | ur |  |  |
| $-b$ | ab | eb | ib | ob | ub |  |  |
| $-g$ | ag |  | ig |  |  |  |  |

groupings of consonnant (clusters) with vowels or diphtongs are :

|  | a | e | i | 0 | u | ai | ao |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| vowel | + | $+$ |  | + |  |  |  |
| b | + | + | $+$ | + | $+$ | + | + |
| bl | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| bw |  |  |  |  |  | + |  |
| br | + |  |  |  | + |  |  |
| d | + | + | + | + |  | + | + |
| Ž | + | + | $+$ | + | + | + | + |
| g | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | + | $+$ |  | + |
| gr | + | + | + |  |  |  |  |
| gW |  |  |  |  |  | *+ |  |
| h | + | $=$ | $=$ | + | $+$ |  |  |
| j | + |  |  |  | $+$ |  | + |
| k | $+$ | *+ | + | + | + | + | + |
| kn | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| kr |  |  |  |  | + |  | + |
| 1 | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | $+$ | + | $+$ | $+$ |
| m | $+$ | + | $+$ | + | $+$ | + | + |
| n | $+$ |  | $+$ | + | $+$ | + | + |
| p | $+$ |  | $+$ | + | $+$ | + | + |
| pn | + |  |  |  | $+$ |  |  |
| r |  | + | $+$ | $+$ | + |  |  |
| rž | + |  | $+$ |  |  |  |  |
| ri |  |  |  |  |  |  | + |
| S | + | + | + | + | + | + | + |
| sb | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| sl |  |  |  |  |  |  | $+$ |
| sm |  |  |  |  |  | + | + |
| Sr |  |  | $+$ |  | + |  |  |
| St |  | + |  |  |  | $+$ |  |
| t | $+$ |  | + | + | $+$ | + |  |
| W | + |  |  |  |  | + |  |

NB : miao, pium, riao, rien (see 3.3.)

## 2. minimal pairs with examples

## 2.1. consonants \& vowels : a grid

These examples are chosen, as far as possible, among monosyllabic words, which explains the higher number of verb roots. We did not use bisyllabic words the first vowel of which is the same as the second one, for reasons that will be describes in chapter 3.

|  | a | e | i | o | u | ai | au |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| b | $\mathrm{ba}^{2}$ - | $\mathrm{be}^{2} \mathrm{ma}$ | $\mathrm{bi}^{2}$ - | $\mathrm{bo}^{2}$ | bu- | $\mathrm{bai}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{bao}^{2}$ |
|  | bear V | spider | pray | s3 | sharp | be broken | think |
| g | $\mathrm{ga}^{2} \mathrm{ku}$ | ger-ku- |  | $\mathrm{go}^{2}-$ | gu- | gaiy ${ }^{2}$ | gao- |
|  | climb | belch V |  | free | give birth | track N | shoot |
| d | $\mathrm{da}^{2}$ | de ${ }^{2}$ | di | $\mathrm{do}^{2}$ | du- | daiko | dao ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | Def | big | water | six | swell | district | bird |
| h | ha ${ }^{2}$ | hem- |  | ho ${ }^{2}$ | hu- | hainga |  |
|  | earth | walk |  | belly | rub | adult |  |
| j | $\mathrm{ja}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{ja}^{2}-$ |  |  | juy |  | $\mathrm{jaO}^{2}$ |
|  | foot | poke |  |  | insect |  | hand |
| k | ka- |  | ki ${ }^{2}$ | ko- | ku- | kai ${ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{kao}^{2}$ - |
|  | tie V |  | stool N | collect | dig | run away | pick up |
| 1 | la- | leb- | lim- | $\mathrm{lon}^{2} \mathrm{tai}$ | $1 \mathrm{lu}^{2}$ | lai | $\mathrm{lao}^{2}$ |
|  | take | soft | ill | stone | spin | leaf | long |
| m | madai |  | mi |  | mu ${ }^{2} \mathrm{kay}$ | mai | mao- |
|  | god |  | beast |  | face | paddy | move |
| n | na ${ }^{2}$ | ne ${ }^{2}$ - | nin ${ }^{2}$ | no ${ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{nu}^{2}-$ | nai- |  |
|  | fish | push | you | house | see | look at |  |
| y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| p |  |  | pi | pon- | $\mathrm{pu}^{2}-$ | pai ${ }^{2}-$ | paokon |
|  |  |  | shield | fat | white | come | shoulder |
| r | $\mathrm{ra}^{2}$ - |  | $\mathrm{ri}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{ro}^{2}$ - | ru- | rain ${ }^{2}$ - | $\mathrm{raO}^{2}$ - |
|  | old |  | clothes | comb | boil V | dry | strong |
| s | sa | $\mathrm{se}^{2}$ - | si- | $\mathrm{son}^{2}-$ | $\mathrm{su}^{2}$ - | sai ${ }^{2}$ - | $\mathrm{saO}^{2}$ |
|  | people | grasp V | wet | cook | beat V | sow V | body |
| t | ta | tem- | $\mathrm{ti}^{2}$ | tok ${ }^{2}$ - | tu- | tai ${ }^{2}$ | tao |
|  | arum | hide | blood | hit | sleep | bear fruit | oil |
| w | wa |  |  |  |  | wai ${ }^{2}$ |  |
|  | bamboo |  |  |  |  | fire |  |
| ž | za- | $\mathrm{ze}^{2}$ | zi | zo | zu | $\mathrm{zai}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{zaO}^{2}$ - |
|  | become | net | ten | speak | beer | eight | red |

Such a grid, however incomplete, shows differences in initial consonants (against the following vowel), and differences in the first vowels (against the initial consonant. It also shows that in each series, both tones occur.

## 2.2. initial vowels

They are rather rare. In our lexicon, the cases are :

| a | an $^{2}$ | I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a | abo-tai | breast |
| a | abra | mute |
| a | alu | cat |
| a | ansa | boy, girl |
| a | apna-rao | relatives |
| a | asim-sa | Asamese, Ahom |
| e | ebo | this |
| e | edenin | somehow |
| e | ega | leg |
| e | era | here |
| i | isaba | something |
| o | ora | there |

The pronouns are frequent in this list (ebo, era \& ora, edeniy, isaba, and of course $a \eta^{2}$ ). Asim is a borrowing.

## 2.3. final consonants

Open syllables are more common than closed syllables.
Our estimation is from first syllables only. Yet, it provides a good approximation of what is in use.

It is interesting to tabulate the results according to the vowels :

|  | -m | -n | -y | -r | -b | -g |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -a- | 13 | 4 | 25 | 8 | 3 |  | 53 |
| -e- | 5 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 5 |  | 26 |
| -i- | 9 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 30 |
| -o- |  | 8 | 18 | 5 |  | 1 | 32 |
| -u- |  | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2 |  | 10 |
| -ai- | 2 | 1 | 14 |  |  |  | 17 |
| TOT | 29 | 21 | 76 | 24 | 15 | 3 | 168 |

No diphtong in -ao is closed by any consonant. No -l ends a first syllable.
Some curious facts must be noticed :
$1 /-\mathrm{b}$ and -g are not common ; -d is absent
$2 /-\eta$ is by far the most common ; after -ai- it is nearly the only possibility.
$3 /-\mathrm{r}$ is as common as -m or -n .

## 3.4. tones

There are two tones in Dimasa, the higher one (here written with ${ }^{2}$ ) being related to the Garo checked syllables. Contrasts in otherwise homophonous syllables are not uncommon :

| to leak | bla- | $\mathrm{bla}^{2}$ | an arrow |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| to spred mat | bo- | $\mathrm{bo}^{2}$ | he, she, it |
| moon | dain | dain $^{2}$ | to cut |
| water | di | $\mathrm{di}^{2}-$ | to be sweet |
| scales (in market) | do | $\mathrm{do}^{2}$ | six |


| to swell | du- | du ${ }^{2}$ | dibble the earth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| to shoot | gao- | $\mathrm{gao}^{2}-$ | to break away |
| give birth (animals) | gu- | $\mathrm{gu}^{2}-$ | catch fish with cloth |
| to tie | ka- | $\mathrm{ka}^{2}-$ | to be bitter |
| to bear across sholder | kai- | $\mathrm{kai}^{2}$ - | to flee, run away |
| to be ill | lim- | $\lim ^{2}$ - | to be submerged |
| cinamom | lon | $\mathrm{lon}^{2}-$ | to call |
| to pour | lu- | $1 u^{2}-$ | to spin (thread) |
| to crawl | main | main $^{2}$ - | to find |
| to move | mao- | $\mathrm{mao}^{2}$ - | to be dejected |
| to sell | paiy- | pain ${ }^{2}$ - | to wrap |
| to be able | pu- | $\mathrm{pu}^{2}-$ | to be white |
| to reap | ra- | $\mathrm{ra}^{2}-$ | to be old (persons) |
| to give | ri- | $\mathrm{ri}^{2}$ | cloth |
| to boil in water | ru- | $\mathrm{ru}^{2}$ | nivrea |
| boat | ruy | ruy ${ }^{2}$ | to pile up |
| to sort out | sai- | sai ${ }^{2}-$ | to spread, sow |
| sun | sain | sain ${ }^{2}$ - | to ask for smthg |
| to rot | sao- | $\mathrm{sao}^{2}$ | body |
| iron, metal | ser | $\mathrm{ser}^{2}$ - | to turn, wind |
| to stand | son- | son $^{2}$ - | to cook |
| to live, be alive | tay- | $\tan ^{2}-$ | to go |
| oil | tao | $\mathrm{tao}^{2}-$ | to stop |
| to die | ti- | $\mathrm{ti}^{2}-$ | to say |
| to sleep | tu- | $\mathrm{tu}^{2}-$ | to be deep |
| to feel | žao- | žao ${ }^{2}$ - | to be red |
| ten | ži | ži ${ }^{2}-$ | to eat |
| to speak | žo- | žo ${ }^{2}-$ | to wear away, erode |
| rice beer | žu | žu ${ }^{2}$ | to wrap in plantain |

## 3. phonetics

## 3.1. in monosyllables

Most sounds are straightforward, and we will make only remarks.
1/ All unvoiced stops are realized aspirated. We could have written /ph/, /th/, /kh/, were it not for the useless embarassement. All voiced consonants are voiced and unaspirated. 2/ The consonant $/ \mathrm{s} /$ is often closer to [ $\check{s}$ ]. The consonant $/ z / /$ is between [ $\check{z}]$ and [dž]. This is the reason why we decided, against symmetry, to write "s" and "ž".
3/ The $/ \mathrm{j} /$ is strictly [ j$]$.
4/ The /r/ is slightly rolled.
5/ The vowel /e/ is closer to [i] is closed syllables.
6/ The diphtong /ao/ is indeed closer to [ao] than to [au].
7/ Although $/ \mathrm{y} /$ is never in initial position, we can find it in the etymological *bo-ya 'five' which is prononced - a unique case of nazalisation outside Indo-Aryan borrowings - [bõ $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{a}\right]$.

## 3.2. the problem of first syllables

This problem is linked with the structure of compounded nouns and, less frequently, of compounded verbs. There exist several first syllables the vowel of which is weak, often $[\square]$, or just dropped in quick speech. The most important of these syllables are :
$1 /$ the prefix $b V$ - very frequent in compounded nouns.
$2 /$ the prefix $g V$-typical of 'verbal adjectives'. $3 /$ the verbal prefixes $s V$ - and $p V$-, factitives. $4 /$ the 'prefix' $m V$ - in names of beasts.
see Gr. 3.1.2.1.
see Gr. 2.3.2.2.
see Gr. 2.1.2.1.
see Gr. 3.1.2.2.3.
In such cases, we hear either $[\square]$ or the same vowel as the next one. It is unwise to posit a $/ \square /$ vowels, since this realization seems a positional conditioning, and appears only in the above mentioned contexts. Yet, it is not easy to guess which is the underlying vowel.

### 3.2.1. The prefix in bV-

This prefix seems, in most cases, to draw its origin from the $3^{\text {rd }}$ or indifferent person pronoun $\mathrm{bo}^{2}$. This is, at least, what can be induced from kinship terms where it is a rule. But this original vowel is lost and what is really to be heard is a faint echo of the next vowel. For instance in :
basaiy 'day', we may hear a short /a/ in the first syllable when pronounced slowly. Otherwise we hear something like [ $\mathrm{b} \square$ sain] or even [bsain]. Many nouns may be 'bV- prefixed' or not. One has to 'bV-prefix' them in order to make clear it is a non, when some confusion, either lexical or grammatical, is possible.

### 3.2.2. the prefix in gV-

This prefix is compulsory in postposed determinatives, for instance colour names (sse Gr. 6.4.) :

| gu-pu <br> gisim | 'white' | pronounced $\left[\mathrm{g} \square \mathrm{pu}^{2}\right]$ or $\left[\mathrm{gpu}^{2}\right]$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 'black' | also pronounced $[\mathrm{g} \square$ sim] or $[\mathrm{gsim}]$, etc. |  |

and it is the same with other adjetives like :

| gatay | 'alive' |
| :--- | :--- |
| geben | 'horizontal' |
| gisi | 'wet' |
| goson | 'vertical' |
| gužu | 'tall' |

When next vowels are /ai/ and /ao/, it seems advisable to write a "a".
garaiy ${ }^{2}$ 'dry', or gadaiy 'new'
gažao 'red'.

### 3.2.3. factitives in s - and p -

In these cases, the vowel is so faint that it seems better not to write it at all. In the pprefix, it is not heard. In the s- prefix, which is still more or less analyzed, we may hear an echo vowel :

| sa-grai- | 'make fall' | or [sgrai] |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sa-mao- | 'shake' | or [smao] |

### 3.2.4. other cases

Yet, there exist other cases of apophony. One of the most interesting is the /rž-/ cluster, of which there are many examples :

| rža | 100 |
| :--- | :--- |
| ržab $^{2}-$ | 'sing' |
| ržen $^{2}$ | 'light' N |
| ržin $^{2}$ | 1000 |

An echo vowel is heard, probably for acoustic reasons, in :
rožo ${ }^{2} \quad$ 'tree stump'.
Apophony is also the explanation for the pseudo-clusters mentioned above :
rd-, rz-
sb-, sd-, sg-, sk-, sl-, sm-, sr-, st-
zr-
All cases begin with/s-/, /z-/, or /r-/.

### 3.3. Other problems

A handful of words are not within this schematic description :
miao-
be soft
-pium in bu-pium younger brother
riao- be sore
rien- to trickle
These may be cases of apophony also, and be explained by *mV-jao, ${ }^{\text {ppV-jum, }}$ *rV-jao, rVjen. But this is only tentative.

## sentence types

## 1.1. general remarks

Dimasa is a typical Bodo-Garo language. There is no gender, no number, no concord of any kind : neither within the noun phrase, nor between subject and predicate. Verbs do not mark person reference. Functions of noun phrases in the clause are marked by case suffixes, except for the subject (nominative is $-\varnothing$ ) and non-definite patient (or object) : these two arguments are identified by word order.

The only type of (pseudo-)concord is because of the classifier+numeral system, when the noun happens to use its second part as a classifier :
bopay pay-si ${ }^{2} \quad$ one tree
tree Cl -one
But this not so often, and is explained by the system of compounded nouns, and by the fact that classifiers are, etymologically, nouns.

Noun roots and verb roots are quite identical in general shape, and sometimes nearly identical indeed (hon²- 'to grind' ; hon 'powder'), but this a rare case because most nouns are compounded and bisyllabic, while verbs have to suffix one or more morphemes that indicate all kinds of precisions, except in the imperative where bare roots are possible. Predication (and negative predication) is different for nouns and for verbs.

Word order is of the general Tibeto-Burmese type : subject in the beginning, predicate at the end of the clause. Patient (object) is usually just before the verb, and this is compulsory when it is not marked.

## 1.2. nominal predicate

### 1.2.1.with the noun only

A nominal predicate can be a noun :
ebo kim this is a flower
this flower
ay hožai I am a priest
s1 priest
Negative predicate is with nija, which is formed with an auxiliary verb with the negation $j a$. ebo khim nija this is not a flower
ay hožai nija I am not a priest

### 1.2.2. nominal predicate with $\mathrm{se}^{2}$

But it happens rather often in such equative sentences, that the nominal predicate is marked with $s e^{2}$ (maybe 'one'), and rather often super-marked with $t i$, an emphatic clitic (see 2.2.3.2.10.). In the first of the following example, this type of ending is not compulsory: misi gao-tai-ja-ba subuy-la a-ni bopa ( $\mathrm{se}^{2} \mathrm{ti}$ )
tiger shoot-kill-VNgc man-Rt s1-D father (one Emp)
the man who shoot the tiger dead is may father
But it becomes unescapable in such case :
misi wai-žao-ja-ba musu-la a-ni bopa-ni se ${ }^{2}$ ti
tiger bite-Psv-VNgc cow-Rt s1-D father-D one Emp
the cow that was killed by the tiger is my father's.
Or in far simpler idioms :
baola se ${ }^{2}$ he is drunk
compared with :
boala ža-ka he has got drunk
drunk become-Pf

### 1.2.3. predicate of essence : ža

However, when tense or aspect is to be expressed, the auxiliary verb $\check{z} a$ - is involved. The behaviour of this verb is quite parallel to other verbs' :
ay hožai ža-ba I was a priest
ay hožai ža-ja-ka I am no longer a priest
aŋ hožai ža-ma I will be a priest
ay hožai ža-pu-du I can be a priest, etc.
See the section about verbs.

### 1.2.4. predicate of existence : doך <Exs>

Yet, the auxiliary verb dol is used when existence is to be asserted :
a-ni musu ma-si don
s1-D cow Cl-one is
I have a (one) cow
busi-ni musu ma-gni doy
p3-D cow Cl-two is
they have two cows
One must be cautious not to mix such nominal predicates with verbal predicates such as :
busi-ni musu bay-bi
p3-D cow many-Ass
they cows are many (=they have many cows)
where bay is a verb.
Compare with :
na-pa-ni musu de ${ }^{2}$-bi
s2-father-D cow big-Ass
the cow of your father is big

## 1.3. verbal predicate

Verbal predicates are flourishing in Dimasa. There is no morphological difference between intransitive and transitive verbs, but syntactic difference of course. See the section
about word order (1.5.), and the section about verbs (2.) for the numerous possibilities of suffixations on verb roots.
ay ora ten-ja I did not go there
s1 there go Neg

## 1.4. negation

An interesting difference exists between :
ebo kim de žao-ja
this flower Rt red-Neg
this flower is not (so) red
and :
ebo kim de $g \square$ žao ni-ja
this flower Rt red Aux-Neg
this flower is not red (is not a red flower)
In the first example, the construction is verbal, $\check{z a o}$ is the verb 'be red' and the negation is $j a$. In the second one, $g \square \check{z} a o$ is a verbal noun ('a red thing'), and the negation $j a$ is actually suffixed to an auxiliary verb ni.

## 1.5. interrogation

Interrogative sentences are sufficiently marked with the sentence contour :
ebo dikon tu²-bi
this river deep-Ass
this river is deep
ebo dikon tu ${ }^{2}$-bi ?
is this river deep?

## 1.6. subject and topic

### 1.6.1. less subjects, more topics

Under normal conditions, a sentence depends on what situation came out to stimulate it. So that many sentences sound like after-thoughts, once they are produced. Often, the stimulating factor is a previous sentence. And consequently, the topic of the new sentence is often extracted, so to speak, from what was said before, be it a narrative or a dialogue.

One might say there are - at the discourse level - two types of languages : those where each sentence is a new departure, and those where some reliance is had on the surroundings. In the first type, like in English or French, subjects of predicates have to be expressed for nearly every new predicate, even if it is to be repeated when strings of predicates have the same subject. In the second type, like in Dimasa and so many other Tibeto-Burmese languages, the hearer is supposed to understand (in the true meaning of the word) : subjects don't have to be repeated and, especially if pronouns, are commonly dispensed with. English says it is far, while Dimasa says žain²-bi.

This has a consequence in the expression of topics. In Dimasa, when a subject is expressed, it is less likely to be a simple topic, but more likely to be specified in some way. In Dimasa, there is a frequent need to grammaticalize the topic. This is achieved mainly by de and $l a$. We gloss $d e$ with $<\mathrm{Rt}>$ and $l a$ with $<\mathrm{Vs}>$, suggesting 'recto' \& 'verso'.

### 1.6.2. the topicalizing $d e<R t>$

The clitic de marks the topic in a straightforward situation :
mijuy-de de ${ }^{2}$-bi
elephant Rt big-Ass
elephants are big
ebo kim-de žao-ja
this flower Rt red-Neg
this flower is not (really) red
It comes last in the noun phrase :
ebo kim butu-de $g \square$ žao
this flower all Rt Adj-red
all these flower are red
and in such an example is also useful to mark clearly where the subject finishes and where the predicate begins, since kim $g \square$ žao might mean 'a red flower'.

### 1.6.3. the adversative la <Vs>

The clitic la marks the adversative topic, and is somehow equivalent to 'but' :
ebo kim-de žao-ba mu ebo kim-la žao-ja ti
this flower Rt red-Ps PN this flower-Vs red-Neg Emp
this flower was red
(but) this flower was not red
ay-la ora tan-ja ti
s1-Vs there go-Neg Emp
but I never went there!
It may be by chance that this adversative $l a$ is not so far in meaning from the reflexive verbal suffix -la $<$ Ref $>$ (2.2.2.5.) in sentences like :
žey ži-ni no ${ }^{2}$-ha tay-la-nay nisi nisi-ni no ${ }^{2}$-ha tay-la
p1 p1-D house-Loc go-Vs-Pos p2 p2-D house-Loc go-Vs
we go to our house
and you go to yours
Dimasa has a word meaning 'but, however' : tikabo.

## 1.7. word order

### 1.7.1. in the clause

As usual in Bodo-Garo (and Tibeto-Burmese) languages, the predicate comes last and the subject comes first. Typical examples are :

| S |  | P |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| U |  | V |  |
| bo | era | pai-ba |  |
| A |  | O |  |
| misi | musu | wai-ba |  |

With intransitive verb and unique actant (U) :
bo era pai-ba he came here
s3 here come-Ps
With transitive verb and 2 actants, agent (A) and patient (O) :
misi musu wai-ba the tiger killed the cow
tiger cow kill-Ps

### 1.7.2. in the noun phrase

### 1.7.2.1. modifiers which come first

Normally, the head noun comes last, and determinatives come before. However, there are several exceptions which will be examined in the next section.

When a noun modifies another noun, it comes first. This is best understood under the principle of : the generic term comes before the specific one, because this is valid both for compounded nouns and for syntactic determination :
musu bogron
cow horn
the horn of the cow, a cow horn
N + V-Ass
musu de ${ }^{2}$-bi
cow big-Ass
the cow is big

### 1.7.2.2. modifiers which come after the noun

### 1.7.2.2.1. deictics

### 1.7.2.2.2. adjectives

While verbal nouns in $-b a$ or $-j a b a$ follow the main rule and come before the head noun, the adjective in $g V$---ba always follows it :
musu ge-de ${ }^{2}$-ba
cow Adj-V-VN
a big cow

### 1.7.2.2.3. numerals

A last exception is the modifier composed of classifier+numeral, or the numeral alone. See section 5 .

## 2. verbs

### 2.1. Formation of verbs

### 2.1.1. monosyllabic verb roots

Most verb roots are monosyllables. A short list of such roots is given here as examples.

| ba $^{2}$ | bear on back, perch |
| :--- | :--- |
| bai $^{2}$ | be broken |
| bain | bear on shoulder |
| bam $^{\text {ban }^{2}}$ | bear in lap |
| bao $^{2}$ | be many |
| bar | think |
| bar | bloom |
| bi $^{2}$ | bear in mouth |
| bir | pray |
| bla | fly, jump |
| blao | get a hole, leak |
| bo | forget |
| brai | lay cloth, spread mat |
| bu | buy, purchase |

### 2.1.2. older process for verb formation

### 2.1.2.1. related verbs

In some few cases, we may suspect an older layer of compounding, now quite unproductive. We proceed from the most clear types down to the most obscure one, where there is some guessing.

### 2.1.2.1.1. the old factitive in p -

This prefix is not restricted to Tibeto-Burmese, where it may be a borrowing from Mon-Khmer for instance. Some instances are quite clear in Dimasa :
rain ${ }^{2}$ - be dry $\quad>\quad$ p-rain ${ }^{2}$ - make dry, smoke food
nu- see $>$ p-nu- indicate
nay- reach, hit $>$ p-nay- light (the fire)
nam pnan- 'make involved in quarrel'

### 2.1.2.1.2. the old prefix in s-

There are cases of $s$ - with transitive meaning, for instance :
$\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{a})$-grai- 'make fall' $<$ grai- 'fall' INTR
$\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{a})$-bai ${ }^{2}$ - 'break' TR $<$ bai $^{2}-\quad$ 'break' INTR, 'be broken' or 'dance'
NB : bai ${ }^{2}$-ri- 'break' NB : bai²-pa- 'jump'
s(a)-blao- 'shock smbdy' $<$ blao- 'forget'
NB : blao-ri- 'make forget'
s(a)-mao- 'shake' $<$ mao- 'move, start'
s(a)-mai- 'make even, smooth' < ?
The next example is probably of the same kind :
stai- TR 'kill'
which is linked with the common -tai- 'kill' in compounded verbs :
gao-tai- kill by shooting, shoot to death
wai-tai- kill by biting, bite to death
which should be interpreted : 'shoot so it be dead', 'bite so that it be dead', etc. This -tai- is probably related to $t i$ - 'to die'.

A complex group is :
dab ${ }^{2}$-pa- stick something onto somthing
sdab- / stab- stick to, be pasted to
tab- apply, cover (something not sticky)
The -pa-suffix (see 2.2.2.4.) seems to imply that you do the movement with your own hand.
In this case, the $s$ - prefix looks like a detransitivizer, but we should understand that the basic form is tab-'apply, cover' ; that stab- is a factitive : 'make cover $>$ stick' ; and that $d a b^{2}$ - with the high tone is a further elaboration.

### 2.1.2.1.3. voiced / unvoiced alternation

bu- be sharp
pu- prick, pierce

### 2.1.2.1.4. coda variation

This may be the case with some verbs meaning 'bear' in the list above. Another one is :
nu see
nai look at
Maybe a similar case (it was alluded to in the 2.1.2.1.2. section about $s-$ ) is :
ti- to die
-tai- 'be dead' as a resultative, with
stai- 'to kill'
Another case of this kind is the possible relationship between verbs of 'asking' :
sani- request somebody ( $\mathrm{N}-k e$ ) to do something (V-ma)
saiy- ask something ( $\mathrm{N}-\varnothing$ ) from somebody ( $\mathrm{N}-n i h a$ )
sey ask somebody ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{ke}$ ) a question ( $\mathrm{grao}^{2}$ )
A curious case is lay- 'take away'. It is probably in older compounded form of la'take'. This is all the more likely because it can be constrasted with la-bu- 'bring'. The -busuffix is alive and productive.

### 2.1.2.2. verb roots related to nouns

A similar process is at work in :
klib- 'cover, hide' and -klim in saiy-klim 'shadow' ('sun-hide')

### 2.1.3. compounded verbs

Compounded verbs exist, usually from two verbal roots, and this is probably how were historically produced the strings of successive suffixes we may now find in Dimasa verbal forms.
ka-si- 'to tie \& hang'
The most striking instance of this compounding is the 'kill' group, which can be found also in other Bodo-Garo languages :
ka-tai- kill by hanging
gao-tai- kill by shooting

It is an interesting question, to decide which is the rule for the ordering of roots in compounded verbs. Examples above show that both the first and the second root may look as a basis (kasi- and katai- ; katai- and gaotai-).

But we have to take nto account compounded forms like :
sain $^{2}$-ti- to inform (talk-say)

## 2.2. verb suffixes

The basic form of the verb, which may be identical with the root, is commonly used as an imperative (see 2.2.3.1.). Apart from this special case, the verb root is followed by at least one suffix, often more, and these suffixes can be classified in three groups, from the most lexical ones (and closest to the root), to the more grammaticalized. Normally, the last of these suffixes is the negative $-j a$. After the negative $-j a$, only some special clitics can come (see 2.2.3.9.). Before the root, only the proclitic $d a^{2}$ - (which should perhaps be written without the hyphen) can be heard (see 2.2.3.)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $d a^{2}$ | ROOT | $1^{\text {st }}$ group | $2^{\text {nd }}$ group | $3^{\text {rd }}$ group | $-j a$ | clitic |

Each group normally forms a paradigm, which means that within its group any sufiix is exclusive of the other ones. It would follow from this rule that a verb root can be followed by three suffixes at most, plus the negation. However, the rule is strict only for the second and third group, which we called actancy and tense / aspect / mode (usually abbreviated TAM) respectively. Within the first group, which is closer to lexicon, and to compounding, it seems that the suffixes do not form a true paradigm : they are not strictly exclusive. We decided to limit this categorization to three groups only, first because a over-refined categorization would produce more embarrassment than help for the reader, and secondly because the first group is easier to manage as a whole.

### 2.2.1. the first group of suffixes

The best definition of this somewhat clumsy grouping is that any suffix in it can be followed by suffixes of the second and third group.

This first group is very probably made of ancient verbs that became sufficiently specialized to be treated as verbal suffixes. Such are for instance the continuative in -sai-, the distal in -ha-.

### 2.2.1.1. distal in -ha- < Dis>

The distal morpheme in -ha- indicates an action operated at a distance :
ay misi musu wai-ba-ke nu-ha-du
s1 tiger cow bite-VN-Acc see-Dis-Apt
I see that the tiger kills the cow

What is seen is 'the tiger killing (rather : biting) the cow', misi musu wai-ba-ke, a nominal clause. Since these events are usually considered from a prudent distance, the use of - $h a$ - is quite expected. Many verbs of perception often use this morpheme.

It has a modal use in
pai2-ha-say please come for some time! (see 2.2.3.2.1.1)
pai ${ }^{2}$-da-ha-say please come first !

### 2.2.1.2. proximal in -bu- $<\operatorname{Prx}>$

Somehow contrasted with $-h a$ - is $-b u$ - which means 'coming close to the speaker'. It is very clear in :
la-bu! bring (it) !, take it here! < la- 'take'
In this very case, it seems to be contrasted with lay 'take away', which may be an older compounded verb (see 2.1.2.1.)

### 2.2.1.3. from above and from under : -hon- <Up> and -klei- <Dw>

Two other 'locational' suffixes can be described in the same category as -ha- and -bu, albeit of a less extensive use. These are -hon- 'from down up', and its opposite -klei- 'from up down'. The classic example for -hon- is 'to draw water (from a well)' :
$\mathrm{kao}^{2}$-hon- draw up or pick up something which is down, draw water $\mathrm{kao}^{2}$-klei- draw down or pick smthg wich is up from an lower position Another interesting exemple of -hon-:
seb- 'squeeze' $>$ seb-hon- 'squeeze out'
The suffix -hon- is probably linked with the verb kon- 'pick smthg from down'.

### 2.2.1.4. continuative in -sai-<Cnt>

The continuative in -sai- is most commonly used with the present in $-d u$ and the past in -ba. In this latter case, either in its predicative function $<$ Ps $>$ or in its verbal noun $<\mathrm{VN}>$ function.
bo era-ha pai-sai-du
s3 here-Loc come-Cnt-Apt
He is coming here
An example with $-b a$ in verbal noun function, an equivalent for dependant clause, is the following one. Such instances of its use show that -sai- is rather close to the root, and semantically not far from a compounded (or serial) verb :
bo pai-sai-ba-ha, ay bo-ke goron-ba
s3 come-Cnt-VN-Loc, s1 s3-Acc meet-Ps
while he was coming, I met him
Another example in a nominal dependant clause is :
ay misi musu wai-sai-ba-ke nu-ha-du
s1 tiger cow bite-Cnt-VN-Acc see-Dis-Apt
I see that the tiger is killing a cow.

### 2.2.2. actancy suffixes

| factitive | -ri- |
| :--- | :--- |
| passive | -žao- |
| reciprocal | -lai- |

### 2.2.2.1. factitive in $-r i<$ Fac $>$

Two morphemes compete on the factitive, but only one is alive. One is the old prefix $p$-, common not only in Tibeto-Burmese but in Mon-Khmer also. This was examined in 2.1.2.

The other one is the suffix -ri.

|  | V- | V-ri- |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| remember | wainso- | wainso-ri- | remind smbdy |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Etymologically, this suffix is the verb 'to give' ri-.

### 2.2.2.2. passive in -žao < Pssv

Dimasa has a passive. In a transitive 'active' sentence, the agent (A) comes first, and the patient $(\mathrm{O})$ is normally close to the verbal phrase ; if definite, this patient is marked with $k e$, as we shall see when studying the nouns. This means that the agent is peripheric.

In the passive construction, the patient is the subject of the predicate, and the agent, if it is expressed at all, is marked with the instrumental morpheme -žaŋ. Example :

| active | active | passive |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O unmarked | O marked | A marked |
| misi musu wai-ba | misi musu-ke wai-ba | musu misi-žay wai-tai-žao-ka |
| tiger cow bite-Ps | tiger cow-Acc bite-Ps | cow tiger-Ins bite-kill-Psv-Pf |
| a/the tiger killed a cow | a/the tiger killed the cow | the cow was killed by the tiger |

In the passive example, we have added the circumstancially expected -tai- 'kill', which does not interfere in any way with the logic of the construction. The perfect marker -ka is, however, what we expect in a sentence where the focus is on the result.

The simpler sentence :
musu wai-tai-žao-ka the cow was killed (or : bitten to death)
or the still simpler :
musu wai-žao-ka
the cow was / is bitten
are perfectly admissible.
The -žao- marker is certainly linked with the verb žao- 'to feel' as in :
aŋ $\mathrm{g} \square$ žaŋ žao-du I feel feverish
s1 feverish feel-Apt

### 2.2.2.3. sociative-reciprocal in -lai <Soc>

The suffix -lai- means that some action is conducted in common. It often implies reciprocality - and is by all means the common suffix in Dimasa for reciprocals.

| day-lai- | work together | $<$ | day- | work |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sain $^{2}$-lai- | discuss | $<$ | sain $^{2}$ - | talk |
| gao-lai- | part mutually, divorce | $<$ | gao- | part |
| dain ${ }^{2}$-lai- | fight (war) | $<$ | daiy $^{2}$ | cut |

bunsi de nam ža-lai-ba
p3 Rt quarrel fight-Soc-Ps
They are quarelling

### 2.2.2.4. comitative-reflexive in -pa <Com>

The situation of this suffix may be discussed, and its position among actancy markers can certainly be disputed. But it is convenient to describe it with the reflexive suffix.

The meaning is best described by examples :
bo-ke niŋ-žay lay-pa
s3-Acc s2-Ins take+away-Com
take it away with you
It is also used with $l a-b u$ - 'bring' : la-bu-pa 'bring (it) with you'
Its use implies the object one handles is with oneself.

### 2.2.2.5. the reflexive in -la $<$ Ref $>$

Something closer to a true reflexive is the suffix - $l a$ :
ti- 'to die' $\quad>$ ti-la- 'to commit suicide'
ka-tai- 'to kill by hanging' $>$ ka-tai-la- 'to hang oneself'
But it is used with intransitive verbs, in which case it means 'by oneself' :
žen ži-ni no ${ }^{2}$-ha tan-la-nay nisi nisi-ni no ${ }^{2}$-ha tan-la
p1 p1-D house-Loc go-Vs-Pos p2 p2-D house-Loc go-Vs
we go to our house and you go to yours
This is close to the meaning of 'separately', and we may wonder if there is a link (or a convergence) between this $<$ Ref $>$ and the adversative $l a<$ Vs $>$ which is discussed in 1.5.3.
See also 2.2.3.2.1.3.

### 2.2.3. mode, tense, aspect

It is useful to distinguish modes on the one hand, and tense and aspect on the other hand. Combinations of all these suffixes have to be studied in more detail.

### 2.2.3.1. modals

### 2.2.3.1.1. optative in $-\check{z} a-<O p t>$

This mode usually implies the suffix -re following :
aŋ hožai ža-ža-re
s1 priest be-Opt-Hpt
I should be / become a priest
The logical negative form is in -ža-ja :
ay hožai ža-ža-ja
s1 priest be-Opt-Neg
I should not be a priest
But there is another more congenial structure for the negative, by using the possible future in -ma, as a verbal noun, and negating this nominal predicate with nija:
ay hožai ža-ma ni-ja
s1 priest be-PosVN be-Neg
I should not be a priest (=I am not a possible priest)

### 2.2.3.1.2. potential in -pu-<Pot>

This mode, which marks ability or capacity, usually implies the suffix $-d u$, or the
suffix - $k a$ :
ay hožai ža-pu-du
s1 priest be-Pot-Apt
I can be / become a priest
The negative is logically in -pu-ja :
ay hožai ža-pu-ja
s1 priest be / become-Pot-Neg
I cannot be / become a priest.
žain-ba-ni tan ${ }^{2}$-pu-ja
far-VNc-D go-Pot-Neg
I cannot go because of the distance
With the perfective suffix, we have :
ay hožai ža-pu-ka
s1 priest be-Pot-Pf
I could have become a priest

### 2.2.3.2. tense and aspect

The basic Tense / Aspect suffixes are summed up here :

|  | positive | negative |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| imperative | $-\varnothing$ | $\mathrm{da}^{2}{ }^{-}-$ |
| assertive | -bi | -ja |
| actual present | -du | -ja |
| habitual present | -re |  |
| possible future | -ma |  |
| definite future | -nay |  |
| past | -ba |  |
| perfect | -ka |  |

### 2.2.3.2.1. Imperatives

As long as we deal with the suffixless root, it is somewhat indifferent to situate imperative in any category. The suffixless imperative $-\varnothing<\mathrm{Ip}>$ is marked of course by contour, usually with a rising pitch and and louder voise on the end of the word, if it happens to have more than one syllable. Enticing expression, or whatever kind of feeling one would like to introduce in an order or demand, provides the hearer with a full array of variation.

Yet, it is quite possiible to add several kinds of suffixes in order to enrich the communication, if not the purpose, but their grammatical status is often delicate since we do not have here such border-lines as the negation.

For negative imperative in $d a^{2}-<$ Def $>$, see 2.2.3.

### 2.2.3.2.1.1. polite -say <Ipp>

pai ${ }^{2}$-san please come!
pai ${ }^{2}$-ha-say please come for some time!
pai ${ }^{2}$-da-ha-san please come first!
ebo hondra-ke bugur ku-say
this orange-Acc skin peel-Ipp
Please peel this orange

### 2.2.3.2.1.2. insistant-di and-dou ${ }^{2}$

| pai $^{2}$-di | come indeed ! |
| :--- | :--- |
| pai $^{2}$-dou |  |

### 2.2.3.2.1.3. empathic -la

The suffix -la- is something like a reflexive, and belong to the actancy group verbal of suffixes (2.2.2.5.). Nevertheless, when used in an imperative, or rather an incitative situation, it has the specific meaning of 'you may very well do that, there is no obstacle' pai ${ }^{2}$-la you may come
An interesting elaboration is :
pai ${ }^{2}$-la kala why don't you come ?!

### 2.2.3.2.2. Assertive -bi <Ass>

The asssertive in $-b i$ is most common with intransitive verbs for aoristic sentences, which do not express any specific ordering of events.
1/ dikon tu ${ }^{2}$-bi
river deep-Ass
the river is deep
2/ di rao-bi
water large-Ass

```
    water runs quickly
3/ lontai risi-bi
    stone heavy-Ass
    the stone is heavy
```

This assertive, because of its meaning, cannot be negated. The previous sentence, if negated, is just marked with $-j a$, without the $-b i$ :
dikon tu²-ja
river deep-Neg
the river is not deep
The assertion can be (and often is) emphasized with a final $t i$ :
ebo dikon la tu ${ }^{2}$-bi ti
this river Rt deep-Ass Emp
this river is really deep.

### 2.2.3.2.3. Actual present -du <Apt>

This actual present is contrasted both with the aoristic present in $-b i$, and with the habitual present in -re. In English, it can often be translated by an "-ing" form.
1/ di glaglag gudu-du
water glaglag boil-Apt
the water is boiling
2/ a-ni bupa lim-du
s1-D father ill-Apt
my father is ill
3/ ay kim kao-du
s1 flower pick+up-Apt
I pick up flowers (presently)
4/ di baola pai²-du
water overflowing come-Apt
water is flooding
We may stress the continautive aspect by using -sai-du :
bo era-ha pai-sai-du
s3 here-Loc come-Cnt-Apt
He is coming here
The usual negative of -du is simply -ja :
ay nu-du I see (presently)
ay nu-ja I do not see

### 2.2.3.2.4. Habitual present in -re <Hpt>

Compare this example with the one just above :
ay kim kao-re
s1 flower pick+up-Hpt
I pick up flowers (habitually)

### 2.2.3.2.5. definite future in -ma $<$ Fut $>$

The definite future is in -ma.
ay hožai ža-ma
s1 priest be-Fut
I will be a priest
This -ma suffix, as we shall see, is actually a verbal noun, and this explains why the most common expression, when the subject is $3^{\text {rd }}$ person, is with $s e^{2}$ 'one' at the end :
ebo jaokrai lao-ma se ${ }^{2}$
this bridge long-VNf one
this bridge will be long (will be a long one)
Note that the negative in such cases is ža-ja :
aŋ hožai ža-ja
s1 priest be-Neg
I will not be a priest
while the present negative with nominal predicates is in $n i-j a$, and $-j a$ with verbal predicates.

### 2.2.3.2.6. possible future in -nay <Pos>

A less definite future is with -nay. This suffix actually is a verb meaning 'need', 'have to'. However, its status is disputable.

Examples like the following one imply a suffix-status :
ay nu-nay
s1 see-Pos
I shall see
ay hožai ža-naŋ
s1 priest become-Pos
I may become a priest
But it can certainly be seen as a verbal noun, since the negative form is with ni-ja in such sentences as :
bo jaokrai lao-nay ni-ja
that bridge long-Pos be-Neg
that bridge will not be a long one
Yet, it should be considered as a verb in :
žin tay-ma nay-ja
p1 go-Fut need-Neg
we do not have to go
bo pai²-ma nay-ja
s3 come-Fut need-Neg
he need not come
Another, and stronger, way of expressing uncertainty about the the future is to use lapure, which might be a verbal form in -re :
$\mathrm{bo}^{2} \mathrm{tan}^{2}$-lapure he may go (or not)
s3 go-maybe
di de $^{2}$-lapure the water may grow (or not)
water big-maybe

### 2.2.3.2.7. Past in -ba $<\boldsymbol{P s}>$

This is the common past tense, and it differs fromm the perfect in $-k a$ (see next section) in being a purely narrative marker :
misi musu wai-ba the tiger killed the cow
tiger cow kill-Ps
misi musu wai-ka the tiger has killed the cow (it's over, now)
tiger cow kill-Pf
Another better example may be :
era pai-ba he came here (yestreday ; for shopping ; for visiting me...)
here come-Ps
era pai-ka he has arrived here
here come-Pf
The most interesting fact about this $-b a$ is that it is actually a verbal noun. Comparison with other Bodo-Garo languages would easily show this, but it can be demonstrated within Dimasa itself with such examples as:
bo pai-sai-ba-ha, ay bo-ke goron-ba
s3 come-Cnt-Ps-Loc, s1 s3-Acc meet-Ps
while he was coming, I met him
The locative marker -ha normally marks nouns, certainly not verbs. Here, it marks a verbal noun and provides an equivalent for a temporal secondary clause. Note that the same $-b a$ marks the predicate in the main clause, at the end of the sentence.

### 2.2.3.2.8. Perfect in -ka $<\boldsymbol{P f}>$

Technically, this is an aspectual marker, denoting either something that has happened or something that has began happening. It implies some kind of border between before and after, a limit.
taižu bubar bar-ka
mango flower flower-Pf
mango has flowered
also :
musu go²-ka
cow get+free-Pf
the cow got free
Also :
saiŋ baigo-ka saiŋ žoro-ka
sun is up sun has set
as opposed to :
saiy rao-bi
sun is very hot

### 2.2.3.2.9. negative perfects in 'no longer', 'no more' and 'not yet'

When perfects are negated, the meaning often is that the situation is inverse on either side of the border : negative on one side, positive on the other side. But either it is negative
before the border and positive after ("not yet"), or positive before and negative after ("no longer").

We should notice that this a rare case in Dimasa of suffixes coming after the negation. We give here translations in English and in French.

|  | without Neg | with Neg | gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -ka | previously | no longer |  |
| -si | more | not any more | $<$ Sc $>$ |
| -ko | up to now | not yet | $<$ So $>$ |

In French :

|  | without Neg | with Neg | gloss |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -ka | auparavant | ne plus |  |
| -si | davantage | pas davantage | $<$ Sc $>$ |
| - ko | encore | pas encore | $<$ So $>$ |

### 2.2.3.2.9.1. the simple negative in $-j a-k a<N e g-P f>$

A negative form of $-k a$ is in $-j a-k a$ and means "no more, no longer". Some situation was positive before the border, but negative after it.
ay hožai ža-ja-ka I am not a priest any more
The same is possible with the verb ni- instead of $\check{z} a$-.

### 2.2.3.2.9.2. the time limit in -ko $<$ So $>$

The limit clitic in -ko can be used in the positive :
ži! eat!
ži-ko eat more! (go on eating !)
With negations, either $-j a$ - or $d a^{2}$-, it means 'not yet' : some event is pending, but has not happened up to now.
With $-j a$ :
ay nu-ja-ko
s1 see-Neg-NP
I have not yet seen
Note that the English translation uses a perfect tense, while the Dimasa sentence does not; we could gloss it with 'I am still in the state of not seeing'.
With $d a^{2}-$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { da²}^{2} \text { ži-ko } \\
& \text { Def-eat } \\
& \text { don't eat yet (wait) ! }
\end{aligned}
$$

### 2.2.3.2.9.3. the time limit in $s i<S c>$

Constrast with the previous example :
$\mathrm{da}^{2}$-ži-si
Def-eat
don't eat more (it's enough) !
Another instance :
bo-tane žu da ${ }^{2}$-ri si !
s3-Dat beer Def-give
Don't give him any more beer
From the negative, it is easy to realize that si and ko form a sharp contrast : with -ko something with begin, with -si something will stop. This is the reason why we chose for ko the gloss $<$ So> 'stop will be opened', for si the gloss $<$ Sc> 'stop will be closed'. This has the inconvenience of commenting upon the negative side, but we think that it is clear.

### 2.2.3.2.10. the suffix $-m u^{2}<$ Reg $>$

The suffixe (or postposition) $m u^{2}$ implies that what happened or happens was or is not happy, and is regretted.
ay hožai ža-ba-mu ${ }^{2}$
s1 priest be-Ps-Reg
I was a priest (yes, but...)
a-ni musu de ${ }^{2}$-bi mu ${ }^{2}$
s1-D cow big-Ass-Reg
my cow was big (but there is/was something wrong with it)

### 2.2.3.2.11. the emphatic clitic $\boldsymbol{t i}<$ Emp $>$

Maybe it is the place to describe the common emphatic clitic $t i$. This comes at the end of the predicate. It is specially common in intransitive sentences like:
ebo dikoy la tu ${ }^{2}$-bi ti
this river Rt deep-Ass Emp
this river is really deep.
ou: ay-la ora tan ni-ja ti
s1-Vs there go be-Neg Emp
but I never went there !

### 2.2.4. verbal prefix da² $^{2}$ - <Def>

The negative order ('don't do that !') is marked, probably in all Bodo-Garo languages, by the prefix (or proclitic ?) $d a$. It is $d a^{2}$ with a higher tone in Dimasa.
glaglag da$^{2}$-ža !
glaglag Def-be
don't be talkative !
The imitative glaglag can also be said of boiling water.
bo-ke da ${ }^{2}$-pai ${ }^{2}$-ri
s3-Acc Def-come-Fac
don't let him come
Please note the difference with :
bo da $^{2}$-pai ${ }^{2}$-tiy
s3 Def-come- -
let him not come
The $\mathrm{da}^{2}$ - prefix or preposition may be associated with $-k o$ or $-s i$ :
bo-tane žu da ${ }^{2}$-ri si
s3-Dat beer Def-give Sc
don't give him any more beer
These two clitics are described in 2.2.2.3.9.

## 2.3. the problem of the adjective

### 2.3.1. introducing the morphology and syntax of 'adjectives'

It is a complicated topic, to know if there is a category of 'adjective' in Dimasa. Basically, all would-be adjectives are built on verb roots.

A/ in predicative use (the bird is blue), we may have
1/ either the verb root itself, as a normal intransitive verb (the bird is blue- very blue)
2 or the verb root prefixed with $g V$-, which behaves as a noun (the bird is blue - not red)
B / in determinative use ( a blue bird), the 'verbal noun' is always used after the noun
1 / either by itself, with an indefinite meaning (a blue bird)
$2 /$ or suffixed with -ba, with a definite meaning (a bird which is blue)
The fact that the 'adjectival noun' in $g V$ - comes after the noun may look like an exception to the rule of determination order (what dertermines comes first, whet is determined comes last) so obvious in compounded nouns (musu bogroy : cow horn). But we may consider this from another point of view, and wonder if the 'adjectival noun' is not indeed what is determined and the noun what determines : the 'bird' is the generic term, and the 'blue' is the specific. In this respect, the rule of determination order holds good.

To facilitate understanding, we thought reasonable to gloss the $g V$ - prefix by <Adj>.
Phonetically, the $g V$ - prefix on verbs is parallel with the $s V$ - prefix (factitive) and the old $p V$ - prefix (factitive) ; and also with the $b V$ - prefix (mainly in kinship / part of the body terms) or the $m V$ - prefix (big quadrupeds) on nouns: the " $V$ " here indicates a vowel, the sound of which depends on the following (and root) vowel.

### 2.3.2. morphology of the predicates

### 2.3.2.1. the verbal form

The simplest case, as far as morphology is concerned, is the verbal form (A-1). When it is a case of general truth or present realization (which would be -ø with a nominal predicate), the necessary morpheme is $-b i<$ Ass $>$, a suffix that was introduced in the first chapter about predicates.
žain ${ }^{2}$-bi
far-Ass
mijuy de $^{2}$-bi elephants are big (indeed)
elephant big-Ass
ebo mijuy de de $^{2}$-bi this elephant is big (indeed)
this elephant Rt big-Ass
ebo dao sim-bi this bird is (very) black
this bird black-Ass
dikon tu $^{2}$-bi the river is deep
river deep-Ass
In such occurrences, the affirmation may be stressed with the clitic $t i$ (2.2.3.2.11.) :
ebo dikon la tu²-bi ti
this river Rt deep-Ass Emp this river is really deep.
The negative form drops the $-b i$, since it is not assertive any more, and has $<\mathrm{Neg}>-j a$ :
ebo dikon de $\mathrm{tu}^{2}$-ja this river is not deep
this river Rt deep-Neg
Such verb forms can have various common verbal suffixes. A specific one is -lay- :
kasi-lay-ba he became thin, he grew thin
thin-Gro-Ps
Since they are verbs, these roots can by suffixed as verbs. Negative forms occur more often since some of these roots have no lexical negatives. For instance, 'bad' is ham-ja- (ham'good'), 'shallow' is $t u^{2}-j a-\left(t u^{2}-\right.$ 'deep'), 'ugly' is mažaŋ-ja- (mažay- 'pretty'). Of course, the opposite situation is met with : $\mathrm{r} \square \mathrm{gao}^{2}$ - 'clean', r $\square \mathrm{ge}^{2}$ 'unclean'.
ham-ja-du it goes bad
good-Neg-Apt
ham-ja-ka
it has gone bad
good-Neg-Pf

### 2.3.2.2 the nominal form

The simplest case, as far as semantics is concerned, is the nominal form (A-2). This is simpler because there is no trouble about modes, tenses : it just means the subject is so, and not something else ; for instance it is someting black, not something red or white.
ebo dao gi-sim
this bird is black
this bird $g V$-black
Perhaps this is more clearly felt in the negative :
ebo kim de žao-ja verbal this flower is not (so) red
ebo kim de $g \square$-žao ni-ja nominal this flower is not red (but some other colour)
What underlies the second, nominal, construction is 'this flower is not a red thing'.
This construction is a nominal one. This appears from the fact that the predicate may be without any further coding, as is the case with nouns. A further piece of evidence is the possibility of using of the verb ža- 'be, become'.
gi-si ža-du it's wet (it's becoming wet)
Adj-wet become-Apt
And it is confirmed by some instances like :
$\mathrm{g} \square$-ti-ni kusi the duty for the dead $<$ ti- 'to die'
Adj-die-D duty
g -tan-ni kusi the duty for the living $<$ tan- 'be alive'
Adj-live-D duty
where the determinative ('genitive') case suffix is used, as with a noun.

### 2.3.3. morphology of the determinatives

### 2.3.3.1. the indefinite form

Here, the simplest case when morphology is considered is the pure postposition of the 'adjectival noun' (B-1). Semantically, it is rather close to compounded nouns :
ri gi-sim
cloth Adj-black
na $^{2} \mathrm{~g} \square$ rain ${ }^{2}$ dry fish $<$ rain $^{2}$ - be dry
fish Adj-dry
By indefinite, we do not mean that the noun phrase is indefinite, but that there a closer relationship between modifier and modified. For instance :
bo kim $g \square$-žao-ke nu-du ?
this flower Adj-red-Acc see-Apt
do you see this red flower ?
This example shows that the noun phrase may be definite as a whole, and that what is definite is the total thing 'a red flower'.
NB : with $g V$ - forms, $-b i<$ Ass> is impossible in syntax. The phrase gi-sim-bi can be heard, but it is a denomination, for instance in a dictionary entry : 'the form gisim' ; it contrasts with gisim-ba, which is described in the next section.

### 2.3.3.2. the definite form

The previous construction may be suffixed with the verbal noun suffix $-b a<\mathrm{VNc}>$. This provides a definite meaning, something more like (see next example) 'a cloth which is black' than 'a black cloth'.

| ri gi-sim-ba <br> cloth $g V$-black-VNc <br> musu $g e-d e^{2}-$ ba | a (definite) black cloth |
| :--- | :--- |
| cow $g V$-big-VNc | a big cow |
| dikoy $g u-\mathrm{tu}^{2}$-ba <br> river Adj-deep-VNc <br> mijuy mi ge-de ${ }^{2}$-ba <br> elephant beast Adj-big-VNc | a deep river |
| elephants are big animals |  |

- may be TR predicates with -ba :
$\mathrm{g} \square$ žai-ba sieve V see mai-žai a sieve


### 2.4. Verbal morphemes in secondary clauses: verbal nouns

There exist two verbal nouns in Dimasa, an agent verbal noun in -ja (quite distinct from the homophonous negation), and an action verbal noun in -ba. This latter one is used as an 'infinitive' to name verbs : 'to bite' will usually be uttered as wai-ba.

But there is a nice difficulty with the compounded suffix in $-j a-b a$.

### 2.4.1. The agent verbal noun in -ja <VNg>

This suffix is commonly met with in such nouns as :

| day-ja | worker |
| :--- | :--- |
| saì-ja | counter |
| žo-ja | speaker |

from the corresponding verbal roots. This is a productive formation. But some of these have produced specialized meaning :
dain-ja (cutter) is the priest responsible for sacrifices, and who is in charge of the secrificial knife, the sey.

This verbal noun has no extended valency : it cannot have verbal complements. See section 2.4.4. for the agentive verbal noun.

### 2.4.2. the future verbal noun in -ma <VNf>

It seems that the verbal predicative suffix in -ma, denoting possible future (section 2.2.3.2.5.) is actually a verbal noun. This is deduced from a sentence like :
ay hožai ža-ma ni-ja
s1 priest be-VNf be-Neg
I should not be a priest (=I am not a possible priest)
The final ni-ja clearly shows the preceding word to be a noun, since only nominal predicates can be negated with ni-ja; it follows that -ma marks a verbal noun.

This future verbal noun is of common use in sentences like :
ay bo-ke ebo kusi day-ma sani-ba
s1 s3-Acc this job do-VNf request-Ps
I asked him to do this job
Because when you request it, of course the job is still not done. We need note that in this sentence, bo-ke is marked with the accusative case, the nominal clause ebo kusi day-ma is not.

### 2.4.2. The action verbal noun in -ba <VNc>

Secondary clauses, in Dimasa, are normally nominal clauses, and are marked as nouns. The most common verbal noun (VN) in such occurrences is $-b a$. We saw above that this same $-b a$ was also a common predicative suffix of past time.

When discussing the past in $-b a$, we gave the following example :
bo pai-sai-ba-ha, ay bo-ke goron-ba
s3 come-Cnt-Ps-Loc, s1 s3-Acc meet-Ps
while he was coming, I met him
In that instance, the verbal noun in -ba is with the locative case in - $h a$, and the whole nominal clause is an equivalent for a temporal secondary clause. Actually, it could be glossed 'at the moment of his coming'. But the equivalent of the subject, 'he' bo, is not marked as a determinative.

Another example, with the nominal clause marked in the accusative :
ay misi musu wai-ba-ke nu-ha-du
s1 tiger cow bite-VN-Acc see-Dis-Apt
I see that the tiger kills the cow

Here, the nominal clause is misi musu wai-ba- and is as a whole the object of ay nu-ha-du. Within the nominal clause, neither the agent misi nor the patient musu are marked, except by word order of course.

### 2.4.3. the agentive verbal noun in -ja-ba <VNgc>

This is one of the curious features of the Dimasa language.
bo pai-ja-ba subuy-ke ( $\mathrm{niy}^{2}$ ) nu-du ?
this come-VNgc man-Acc (s2) see-Apt
do you see this man who comes?
In this example, pai-ja-ba means '(the one) coming, who comes'. It is a noun that can determine another noun, subuy, and behaves like an adjective. Such a function is impossible for pai-ja, that would just form a designation for an office, if such an office existed. Here and often, the $-j a-b a$ compounded suffix provides and equivalent for a relative clause.

It can be used with intransitive verb roots, as above, and with transitive ones :
ay musu wai-ja-ba misi-ke nu-du
s1 cow bite-VNgc tiger-Acc see-Apt
I see the tiger that killed the cow
We can observe that the verbal noun dertermines misi, the agent ; and wai-ja-ba misi means 'the tiger that killed'. This is the reason why we call 'agentive' such verbal noun. This is logical in Dimasa, since in this language the unique actant (U) and the agent (A) normally have the same position : pai-jaba subuy 'the man (U) who comes' and wai-jaba misi 'the tiger (A) who bites' are parallel constructions.

We may now compare some examples in order to get an idea of the possibilites of $-j a$ $b a$ considered from the point of view of voice and actancy:

|  | pai-ja-ba <br> come-VNgc | subun <br> con | the man that comes |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (misi) | gao-tai-ja-ba | subun | the man who killed (the tiger) |
| tiger | shoot-kill-VNgc | man |  |
| (musu) | wai-tai-ja-ba | misi | the tiger that killed (the cow) |
| cow | bite-kill-VNgc | tiger |  |
| (misi-(žan)) | wai-tai-žao-ja-ba | musu | the cow that was killed (by the tiger) |
| tiger-(Ins) | bite-kill-Psv-VNgc | cow |  |

The conclusion is fairly simple. As long as -žao- does not interfere, the VN-jaba determines U or A, which follows, and may be determined in its turn by O, which precedes. When -žao- is inserted, the reverse is true : O comes after and A may come before; in this latter case, the instrumental suffix -žaŋ may be added to the agent for clarity, but is not compulsory.

### 2.4.4. dependant clause equivalents, a resume

All equivalent of relative clauses - clauses that determine a noun - are in Dimasa nominal clauses in -jaba. When the head noun is a U or a A within this dependant clause, the VNgc -jaba is sufficient. When the head noun is a O within this clause, the Psv -žao- is to be added to form a complex string of suffixes -žao-jaba.

Equivalents of completive or circumstancial clauses - clauses that detrmine a verb - are in Dimasa nominal clauses in -ba. According to the function, this VNc in $-b a$ will be suffixed with the corresponding case marker, exactly in the same way as a noun would be.

This simple syntax is not widely spread in Bodo-Garo languages.

### 2.4.5. the verbal noun in -hi <VNp>

The suffix -hi may be found with 'adjectives', where it indicates the manner :
kiri quick, rapid $>\quad$ kiri-hi rapidly
In successive predicates, it may be suffixed to the first verb, likewise, to indicate a circumstance of the main (and last) predicate :

$$
\mathrm{bo}^{2} \tan ^{2}-\mathrm{hi}^{2} \mathrm{pai}^{2}-\mathrm{ka}
$$

s3 go-VNp come-Pf
he has come after going
As this example shows, it is used as a converb, or gerund, to indicate an action that was effected before anoter one took place.

But with doy, which marks predicates of existence, it has a kind of continuative or durative meaning :
1/ bo tay-hi don
s3 live-VNp Exs
he is alive
2/ derga kru-hi ${ }^{2}$ don
door open-VNp Exs
the door is (kept) open
3/ bo-žay stab-pa-hi don
s3-Ins attach-Com-VNp Exs
(you) remain attached to him

### 2.5. Clause clitics and syntax

Many syntactic devices rely on clitics just after the predicate, be it main clause or not. Consequently it is logical, as far as Dimasa is concerned, to describe such morphemes after, and actually quite a sequel to, the last verbal suffixes. In fact, we just introduced clitics in the last sections about verbal suffixes.
$\mathrm{bo}^{2}$ tay $^{2}$-hi ${ }^{2}$ pai $^{2}-\mathrm{ka}$
he has come after going
nin ${ }^{2}$ pai ${ }^{2}$-ka-se, an $\tan ^{2}-\mathrm{ma}$
if you come, I will go
saiy-ha tati-ka-se, hor-ha main-ba
if you have put aside during day, you get it at night
bo-la sao de ${ }^{2}$-bi-mu; lim-dada-se, kasi-lay-ba
he had a big body ; after being ill, he became thin
$ब$
žaiŋ-ba-ni $\tan ^{2}$-pu-ja
far-VNc-D go-Pot-Neg
I cannot go because of the distance
ay miti-du $\mathrm{bo}^{2} \mathrm{o}^{2} \mathrm{ra}^{2}$-ha doy
I know that he is here
$\mathrm{bo}^{2}$ pai ${ }^{2}$-re $\mathrm{ti}^{2} \mathrm{ka}^{2}$-de, $\mathrm{an}^{2} \mathrm{ta}^{2} \mathrm{ne}^{2} \mathrm{sain}^{2}$ - $\mathrm{ti}^{2}$ (/kna-ri)
if he comes, tell me (let me know)
I will eat when the bus stops
if it stops
when it stops bas matao-ka-de, ay makam ži-ma matao-ka-de
matao-hi ${ }^{2}$ dada

## 3. nouns

## 3.1. formation of nouns

The vast majority of Dimasa nouns are bisyllabic. This is the result of either true compounding, associating two noun roots, or prefixation. In prefixes, the vowel may be a reduced $\Pi \square$ or assimilated to the next vowel.

Monosyllables are not so common, but usually correspond to widely used items.

### 3.1.1. monosyllabic nouns

A list of examples follows. One will easily remark that nouns of frequent use abound, among which generic names for animals and plants, and a number of names for war equipment.

| $\mathrm{ay}^{2}$ | I |
| :---: | :---: |
| baiy | placenta |
| bar | wind |
| bim | spleen |
| bla $^{2}$ | arrow |
| $\mathrm{bo}^{2}$ | he |
| bon | wood, firewood |
| dain | moon |
| dao $^{2}$ | bird |
| di | water |
| do ${ }^{2}$ | six, 6 |
| do | scales |
| gain $^{2}$ | track |
| grao $^{2}$ | word, sentence |
| $\mathrm{gu}^{2}$ | grasshopper |
| $\mathrm{ha}^{2}$ | earth |
| ho ${ }^{2}$ | belly |
| $\mathrm{hon}^{2}$ | powder |
| hor | night |
| $\mathrm{ja}^{2}$ | foot, leg |
| $\mathrm{jao}^{2}$ | hand, arm |


| juy | worm, insect |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{ki}^{2}$ | excrement |
| kim(bar) | flower |
| ko | place |
| koy | course |
| kor | hole |
| kram | drum |
| krao | heart of wood |
| $\mathrm{ku}^{2}$ | mouth |
| kun | cotton, thread |
| lai, $\mathrm{b} \square$ lai | leaf |
| lam, lama | path, road |
| $\mathrm{lig}^{2}$ | necklace |
| loy | cinamom |
| mai | paddy |
| $\mathrm{man}^{2}$ | corpse |
| $\mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | beast |
| $\mathrm{na}^{2}$ | fish |
| nam | quarrel N |
| $\mathrm{nin}^{2}$ | you |
| no ${ }^{2}$ | house |
| pi | shield |
| pin ${ }^{2}$ | dust |
| ray | money, coin |
| $\mathrm{ri}^{2}$ | cloth |
| ron | colour < |
| ruy | boat |
| sa | people |
| sain | sun, day |
| sam | grass |
| $\mathrm{sao}^{2}$ | body |
| $\mathrm{Se}^{2}$ | one, 1 |
| sem ${ }^{2}$ | salt |
| ser | iron, metal |
| $\mathrm{ta}^{2}$ | arum |
| $\tan ^{2}$ | sword |
| tao | oil |
| $\mathrm{ti}^{2}$ | blood |
| wai ${ }^{2}$ | fire |
| žai ${ }^{2}$ | eight, 8 |
| že ${ }^{2}$ | net |
| žer | middle |
| ži | ten, 10 |
| žin | we |
| žon ${ }^{2}$ | spear |
| žon | people |
| žu | rice-beer |

### 3.1.2. bisyllabic nouns

As was said before, these nouns may be classified under two categories. The first category is made of those nouns that have a weak first syllable, often with the $b V$ - prefix. The second category is made of true compounded nouns.

### 3.1.2.1. prefixed nouns

For instance :

| bedeb $^{2}$ | branch |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{b} \square$ da | elder brother |
| b dai, badai | grand-mother |
| $\mathrm{b} \square \mathrm{tai}^{2}$ | fruit |
| batain | forehead |
| basaiy, saiy | day |
| badim | wall |
| bahao | father in law |
| baka $^{2}$ | liver (heart) |
| basa $^{2}$ | son |
| basai | husband |
| bede $^{2}$ | sister of father |
| bere $^{2}$ | bee |
| bidi $^{2}$ | egg |
| bihi $^{2}$ | wife |
| biti ${ }^{2}$, $\mathrm{ti}^{2}$ | blood |
| bogodo $^{2}$, godo $^{2}$ | throat |
| boho $^{2}$ | stomach |
| basao $^{2}$, sao $^{2}$ | body |
| bosro ${ }^{2}$ | lungs |
| boai | eld. bro. of hus. |
| bokro $^{2}$ | head |
| bubi | elder sister |
| buguy | nose |
| buma ${ }^{2}$ | mother |
| bumu | name |
| bupa | father |
| burun | goat |
| busu $^{2}$ | thorn |
| bužu | grand-father |

In many cases, the first vowel is only a replica of the second one. One notices also that in many cases, the noun can appear with or without the prefix. Moreover, most of these nouns belong to the 'inalienable' semantic category : kinship names or parts of the body.

This $b V$ - prefix is certainly to be compared with the demonstrative $b o^{2}$.
In the most common kinship terms, it can be substituted by a possessive prefix, itself a restricted phenomenon since the possessive is normally expressed by the determinative form (with -ni) of the pronoun.

|  | father | mother |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | bu-pa | bu-ma |  |
| my | a-pa | a-ma |  |
| your | na-pa | na-ma $^{2}$ |  |

Such cases show that the $b u$ - prefix, with an often weakened vowel, is etymologically a $3^{\text {rd }}$ person marker : bupa is 'the father of somebody', 'a father'.

But this is a very restricted phenomenon, and normally the $b V$ - prefix is non-separable. For instance, with musu 'cow' and bogron 'horn' you may say :
musu-ni bogroy a cow's horn (with the -ni for marking the determinating noun)
musu bogron
(without the $-n i$ : the noun order is enough)
but you cannot say musu-gron- except in poetical compositions.
In many cases the root is also a verb root :
taižu bubar bar-ka
mango flower flower-Pf
mango has flowered
taižu $\mathrm{b} \square$ tai tai-ka
mango fruit fruit-Pf
mango has given fruits
This construction is also possible with real compounded nouns :
dao-no dao-di di-ka
hen egg egg-Pf
hens have laid eggs
although in this case we may remark that bidi is possible for 'egg'.

### 3.1.2.2. compounded nouns : 2 roots

The majority of Dimasa nouns are compounded with two noun roots. In such cases, the first root has a generic meaning, the second a specific one. The process is most clear in 'families' of nouns, of which we will give several examples, among many more.

Normally, each of the two roots is left unchanged. Yet, there are cases when the first one is transformed : see the 'quaruped' group (3.1.2.2.3.) and some erratic cases like midig 'pot' which is possibly from *mai-dig, and should belong to the 'rice' group (compare with di$d i g$ 'pitcher' < di 'water').

### 3.1.2.2.1. the "foot" and "hand" groups

The roots for 'foot, leg' $j a^{2}$, and 'hand, arm' $j a o^{2}$, provide good examples of composition. The compounded word have 2 or more syllables, depending on the second part.

| $\mathrm{ja}^{2}$ | foot |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{ja}^{2}$-bailig ${ }^{2}$ | ankle |
| $\mathrm{ja}^{2}$-gain | foot-step, foot-print |
| $\mathrm{ja}^{2}$-kitu | shin |
| $\mathrm{ja}^{2}$-klon | hoof |
| $\mathrm{ja}^{2}$-ga | foot, leg |


| ja $^{2}$-si- | toe |
| :--- | :--- |
| ja $^{2}$-sgu |  |
| ja-der | knee |
| ja-klem | , jao-klem |
| 2 | root |
| ja-pon | ladder |
| ja-tai $^{2}$ | thigh |
|  | step |

In the 'arm' group, we find parallels to some items of the previous list, the most obvious one being jao²pa 'hand' and ja²pa 'foot' ; see also 'wrist' and 'ankle'. 'Elbow' and 'knee' might be etymological parallels also. In this 'arm' group, a secondary grouping is made on $j a o^{2} s i$ 'finger'.

```
jao2 arm
jao2-su-gur nail
jao2-bajlig wrist
jao}\mp@subsup{}{}{2}-\mp@subsup{\textrm{da}}{}{2}\quad\mathrm{ right (hand/side)
jao2-pa hand
jao}\mp@subsup{}{}{2}\mathrm{ -pa palm of hand
jao'2-si
jao'2-sgu\eta
jao'2-si
jao2-si-bejey
jao}\mp@subsup{}{}{2}\mathrm{ -si-boto
jao}\mp@subsup{}{}{2}\mathrm{ -si-dam
jao2-si-ma
jao }\mp@subsup{}{}{2}\mathrm{ -si-sa
jao2-si-ž\square la
jao2-si-žu
left (hand/side)
elbow
finger
phalange
knuckle
finger-ring
thumb (finger-big)
little finger
middle-finger
index
```


### 3.1.2.2.2. the 'bird' group

| dao ${ }^{2}$ | bird |
| :---: | :---: |
| dao ${ }^{2} \mathrm{bu}^{2} \mathrm{ku}{ }^{2}$ | beak |
| dao ${ }^{2}$ jaosugur | claw (=nail) |
| dao ${ }^{2}$-gray | wing (of bird) |
| dao ${ }^{2}$-bla ${ }^{2}$ ker | bat |
| dao ${ }^{2}$-du-ma | hoopoe ? (eat crabs) |
| dao ${ }^{2}$-dai(ro) | peacock |
| dao ${ }^{2}$-di ${ }^{2}$ | egg (of hen, duck) |
| dao ${ }^{-}-\mathrm{ku}^{2}$ | owl |
| dao ${ }^{2}-\mathrm{ka}$ | crow |
| dao ${ }^{2}-\mathrm{krey}$ | peacock |
| dao ${ }^{2}-\mathrm{la}$ | cock |
| dao ${ }^{2}-\mathrm{lin}$ | kite |
| dao ${ }^{2}-\mathrm{me}^{2}$-ser | jungle hen |
| dao ${ }^{2}-\mathrm{no}^{2}$ | hen |
| dao ${ }^{2}-\mathrm{no}^{2} \mathrm{kaykra}$ | hen-basket |


| dao $^{2}$-plamdu |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| dao $^{2}$-pri $\left(\mathrm{bi}^{2} \mathrm{di}^{2}\right)$ | goose, duck ('egg) |
| dao $^{2}$-sa | pigeon ('s egg) |
| dao ${ }^{2}$-sari | chicken |
| dao ${ }^{2}$-sim | sparrow |
| dao ${ }^{2}$-tu | black-bird |
|  | dove |

### 3.1.2.2.3. the 'quadruped' group

This group is especially interesting because the root, which is $m i^{2}$ and exists by itself with the meaning 'beast, untamed animal', is becoming a prefix with a weakened vowel : usually, this vowel is assimilated by the next one.

| me-seb ${ }^{2}$ | buffalo |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{mi}^{2}$ | beast |
| $\mathrm{mi}^{2}$-di | porcupine |
| mi²-juy | elephant |
| $\mathrm{mi}^{2}$-sai | deer, barking-deer |
| $\mathrm{mi}^{2}-\mathrm{si}^{2}$ | tiger |
| $\mathrm{mi}^{2}-\mathrm{si}^{2}, \mathrm{mi}^{2} \mathrm{si}^{2}$ huy ${ }^{2}$ gorija | leopard |
| $\mathrm{mo}^{2}$ - $\mathrm{SO}^{2}$ | deer (sambar) |
| $\mathrm{mo}^{2}$-sron | fox, jackal |
| $\mathrm{mo}^{2}-\mathrm{žo}{ }^{2}$ | rat, mouse |
| mu-ru | wild cat |
| $m u^{2}$-si | mole |
| $\mathrm{mu}^{2}$-su ${ }^{2}$ | cattle, cow |
| $m u^{2}$-subu | lizard (tree lizard) |
| $m u^{2}$-subur-ma ${ }^{2}$ | bear |

### 3.1.2.2.4. the 'rice' group

```
mai
mai-bar seb-
mai-bar (bar-si')
mai-ko
mai-lai (lai-si}\mp@subsup{}{}{2}
mai-mu}\mp@subsup{}{}{2
mai-mu}\mp@subsup{}{}{2}\mathrm{ tar-ba
mai-pa\eta (pay-si)
mai-si
mai-su2-ba
mai-tai }\mp@subsup{}{}{2
```

mai-roy uncooked rice
mai-sa ${ }^{2}$ paddy (small)
paddy
winnow
paddy flower
paddy granary (not
movable)
paddy leaves
paddy seed
purification ceremony
paddy plant
uncooked rice
paddy (small)
millet
pound V
year (crop time)

```
mai-žai
mai-žu
```

sieve N
rice mixed with common
rice (for rice-beer)

### 3.1.2.2.5. the 'water' group

## di

di-juy
di-kro
di-ža-pan
di-bai
di-barai
di-bu
di-dab ${ }^{2}$
di- dig $^{2}$
di-gan ${ }^{2}$-ba
di-grig
di-kaykra
di-kon
di-kor
di-lam
di-mu ${ }^{2}$
di-nar
di-pon
di-sa ${ }^{2}$
water
big river
up-stream (water-head)
down-stream
water flow, course
river dam
river
mud, clay cover (of pot)
jar, pitcher
thirsty be
clear water
basket (loose woven)
river
pond
water-way
source
river-bank
long bamboo container
stream

Of course, di may also appear as the second term in the compounded word. For instance in bere-di 'honey' (bee-water).

### 3.1.2.2.6. some other interesting cases

| kun | cotton (=thread) |
| :--- | :--- |
| kun-bar | cotton flower |
| kun-pay | cotton tree |

### 3.1.2.3. verbal nouns in -ba, -ja

The grammar of verbal nouns, when they provide equivalents for dependant chauses, is examined in its own place (2.4.).

But many of these verbal nouns are just a way of constructing nouns from verbs. This is obvious for job names in $-j a$ (see 2.4.1.) like day-ja 'worker' or saiy-ja 'counter'. But it is true also with verbal nouns in $-b a$ :
ha-gao ${ }^{2}$-ba land-slide
land-break-VNc

## 3.2. plural \& feminine

### 3.2.1. plural, ethnic names

Plural is not grammatical in Dimasa. A -rao suffix is found with nouns of humans when the plurality is to be stressed, but does not appear with counted nouns.
subuy-rao people, many humans
This suffix is probably correlated with the verb root rao- 'be hard, strong, in great quantity'.
For ethnic denominations, the word $s a^{2}$ is used :
magam-sa ${ }^{2}$ the Nagas
pna-sa ${ }^{2} \quad$ the Karbis (see Assamese Pnar)
gatay-sa ${ }^{2}$ the Khasis or Jaintias
tipra-sa ${ }^{2}$ the Tripuris
tangum-sa ${ }^{2}$ the Kukis
moylai-sa ${ }^{2}$ the Manipuris
asim-sa ${ }^{2}$ the Assamese, or Ahoms
hadi-sa ${ }^{2}$ the Bengalis
NB : this last name is a true Dimasa coinage, from ha 'land', and di 'water'. It means 'the people who do wet cultivation'.
dima-sa ${ }^{2}$ the people of the bigger river : the Dimasas.

### 3.2.2. masculine and feminine

There is no grammatical feminine. When needed, the expression of feminine sex is lexical, either with a specific noun, as is often the case with human beings especially in kinship terms ; or with a suffix with domesticated animals.

The lexicon of kinship terms is the subject of a special chapter.
The most common suffixes for sexual difference are $-z \square l a$ for males and $-z i i k^{2}$ for females.
$\mathrm{mu}^{2} \mathrm{su}^{2} \quad$ cow, cattle
$\mathrm{mu}^{2} \mathrm{su}^{2}-z=$ la ox
mu $^{2}$ su $^{2}$-žik ${ }^{2}$ cow
Let us note that $j a o^{2} s i-\check{z} \square l a$, 'the male finger', is the middle finger, the medius.
The $-z i k^{2}$ feminine suffix appears also for instance in :
buba ganai-žik ${ }^{2}$ sister's daughter
bobao-žik ${ }^{2}$ elder brother's wife
baham-žik ${ }^{2}$ daughter-in-law
sagaiy-žik ${ }^{2}$ witch
hožai-žik ${ }^{2}$ midwife (hožai 'priest')
Another pair of suffixes is present in :
dao-la cock
dao-no ${ }^{2}$ hen

### 3.2.3. big and small

It is useful to add that the youngs (chicks, etc.) are also marked with a suffix $-s a^{2}$ :
dao-sa ${ }^{2}$ chicken
This suffix $-\mathrm{sa}^{2}$ is not limited to animates, and we may have :
hagra ${ }^{2}$-sa ${ }^{2}$ small forest, bush
hažik-sa ${ }^{2}$ small mountain, hill
Remember that ba-sa ${ }^{2}$ means 'son'
But there also is a suffix for the bigger things, which is $-m a^{2}$ :
di-sa ${ }^{2}$ smaller river
di-ma ${ }^{2} \quad$ bigger river
$m^{2}$ subur-ma ${ }^{2}$ bear
gra $^{2}$-juy-ma ${ }^{2}$ cicada $<$ gra $^{2}$ - 'cry, weep' \& juy 'insect'
In a small number of cases, the suffix -juy seems to mean 'big, very big' :
di-juy big river
mi-juy the big animal (=elephant)

## 3.3. noun determinating a noun

Except when forming a compounded noun, the determinating noun or pronoun comes first, and is marked with the suffix -ni.
bo $^{2}$-ni kaolai žao-bi
s3-D cheek red-Ass
his cheeks are red
However, when the two nouns are intimately associated, the $-n i$ suffix is not used :
misai bokro ${ }^{2}$
misai ega
$\mathrm{mu}^{2} \mathrm{su}^{2}$ bogroy
$\mathrm{mi}^{2} \mathrm{ju}$, hatai ${ }^{2}$
mi ${ }^{2}$ juy busudi

In most cases, the construction with -ni is also possible :
$\mathrm{mu}^{2} \mathrm{su}^{2}$-ni bogroy

## 3.4. noun determinating a verb (case forms)

The basic case suffixes on nouns :

| nominative | -ø |
| :--- | :--- |
| accusative | -ke |
| locative, allative | -ha |
| ablative | -ni-pran |
| elative | -ni-ha |
| instrumental | -žan |
| dative | -ne, -ta-ne |

### 3.4.2. defiinite accusative in -ke <Acc>

The accusative marker -ke is actually, as is often the case in such languages, a definite accusative.
1/ ay mi ${ }^{2}$ si $^{2}$ nu-du ay mi²si²-ke nu-du
s1 tiger see-Apt s1 tiger-Acc see-Apt
I see a tiger I see the tiger
2/ ebo kim butu-ke nu-du ?
this flower all-Acc see-Apt
do you see these flowers?
3/ ay $\mathrm{mi}^{2} \mathrm{si}^{2} \mathrm{mu}^{2} \mathrm{su}^{2}$ wai-ba-ke nu-ha-du
s1 tiger cow bite-VN-Acc see-Dis-Apt
I see that the tiger kills the cow
In this last example, the -ke marks the whole (nominal) clause $m i^{2} s i^{2} m u^{2} s u^{2}$ wai-ba- 'the fact that the tiger kills the cow', which is the object of ay nu-ha-du 'I see (from a distance)'.

Definite patient within nominal clauses behave in the same way:
ebo no ${ }^{2}$-ke day-ja-ba subuy $\mathrm{mi}^{2} \mathrm{si}^{2}$ gao-tai-ba
this house-Acc build-VNgc man tiger shoot-kill-Ps
the man who built this house killed a tiger

### 3.4.3. -ke and -ø as patient markers

In many sentences, two patients appear, one with $-\varnothing$ and one with $-k e$. In all cases, the complement with -ke comes first, while the more internal complement, the one closer to the verb, is left unmarked.

The simplest cases are those with an 'internal accusative', that is a noun which is semantically so closely knit with the verb that the group $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{V}$ appear as an extended verb phrase:
ebo hondra-ke bugur $\mathrm{ku}^{2}$-say
this orange-Acc skin peel-Ipp
Please peel this orange
Here, bugur 'skin' makes a verb phrase with $k u^{2}$ - 'peel', and hondra 'orange' is marked with -ke because it is definite. The same is true in a slightly different example, where the verb leb ${ }^{2}$ 'peel with knife' is used :
ebo ta ${ }^{2}$ tai $i^{2}$-ke bugur leb ${ }^{2}$-say
this potato-Acc skin peel-Ipp
Please peel this potato.
Interestingly, in the next example, although the closer patient is definite ('this question'), it is not marked by $-k e$, but the farther one is. The rule is the same as above : 'question' makes a quasi-verbal phrase with $s \eta^{2}$ - 'ask' :
ay bo ${ }^{2}$-ke ebo $\mathrm{grao}^{2} \operatorname{sen}^{2}$-ba
s1 s3-Acc this question ask-Ps
I asked him this question
An extension of this rule is when the closer patient is a whole nominal clause :
ay bo ${ }^{2}$-ke ebo kusi dan ${ }^{2}$-ma sani-ba
s1 s3-Acc this job do-VNf request-Ps
I asked him to do this job

There again, the closer patient is with $-\varnothing$, while the farther one $b o^{2}$-ke is marked.

### 3.4.4. locative in -ha ${ }^{2}$ <Loc>

This suffix has a wide variety of use. Strictly locative are examples like :
saiy-ha ${ }^{2}$ praiy ${ }^{2}$ -
sun-Loc make-dry
dry (something) in the sun
Examples with an 'into' meaning are :
maiko ${ }^{2}$-ha ${ }^{2}$ mai den-
granary-Loc grain keep
keep grain in the granary
ebo gabla-ha ${ }^{2}$ didab $^{2}$ dab $^{2}$-pa
this hole-Loc mud paste-Com
put some mud into this hole
In this other example, it does not mean 'into' but 'on' :
ni ${ }^{2}$-ni žuta-ha ${ }^{2}$ didab $^{2}$ stab-ka
s2-D shoe-Loc mud stick-Pf
mud got stuck to your shoe
Allative example :
žiy ži $^{2}$-ni no ${ }^{2}$-ha ${ }^{2}$ tan-ma
p1 p1-D house-All go-Fut
we (will) go to our house
It may have a temporal meaning as well. Here is a proverb :
saiy ${ }^{2}$-ha ${ }^{2}$ tati $^{2}-$ ka $^{2}$ se $^{2}$, hor $^{2}$-ha ${ }^{2}$ main $^{2}$-ba ${ }^{\text {Itones }}$
day-Loc store-Pf Cd night-Loc get-Ps
If you have stored (goods) during the day, you find (them) at night.

### 3.4.5. ablatives in -ni-pran <Abl> and -ni-ha <Ela>

It is a remarkable fact that the Dimasa ablative -ni-ha is a compounded suffix, obviously from $-n i<\mathrm{D}>$ and $-h a^{2}<$ Loc $>$ :
ay bu ${ }^{2}$-ni ${ }^{2}{ }^{2} a^{2}$ ray saiy ${ }^{2}$-ba
s1 s3-Ela money ask-Ps
I asked money from him
The ablative in -ni-pray <Abl> is also compounded, and is ised with localities, not with people :
1/ maibay era-nipray žain ${ }^{2}$-bi
Maibong here-Abl far-Ass
Maibong is far from here
2/ era-nipray hatai žain²-bi
here-Abl market far-Ass
the market is far from here
3/ žel-nipray $\mathrm{go}^{2}$-ka
jail-Abl get+free-Pf
(he) got free from jail (=he was freed from jail)

4/ nig ${ }^{2}$ brá ${ }^{2}$-ni ${ }^{2}$ pray pai-ba ?
s2 where-Abl come-Ps
where do you come from?
As in the case of $-h a^{2}<L o c>$, there is no distinction if there is a movement or not.

### 3.4.6. Instrumental in -žaŋ

The instrumental case can be used with objects in the true instrumental sense, or with animates in an agentive meaning ; with persons, it can also mean 'with'. The agentive meaning is common in constructions with the passive in -žao-.
sison-žay dain ${ }^{2}$
dao-Ins cut
cut with the dao
An example of passive cnstruction, here within a nominal dependant clause :
$\mathrm{mi}^{2} \mathrm{si}^{2}$-žay wai-tai-žao-ja-ba subuy ebo $\mathrm{no}^{2}$-ke dan ${ }^{2}$-ba
tiger-Ins bite-kill-Psv-VNgc man this house-Acc build-Ps
the man who was killed by a tiger (had) built this house
The meaning 'with' is examplified in :
bo $^{2}$-ke niy ${ }^{2}$-žay lay-pa
s3-Acc s2-Ins take+away-Com
take him away with you

### 3.4.7. locative postpositions

Apart from the locative suffix - $h a^{2}$, a number of nouns play the role of postpositions :
ba-sao / b $\square$ sao
'above'
$n o^{2} b \square$ sao above the house
ha $\mathrm{b} \square$ sao 'above the earth' is lexicalized : 'the world'

### 3.4.8. dative in -ne or -ta-ne <Dat>

It is not clear when one can use -ne ; it seems that -tane is more common. These are used for the beneficient, as in situation with ri- 'to give' :
ay-tane ri! give (it) to me
ay nin ${ }^{2}$-tane ri-nay
s1 s2-Dat give-Fut
I'll give (it) to you
bo $^{2}$-tane žu da $^{2}$-ri si
s3-Dat beer Def-give Sc
do not give him any more rice-beer
These suffixes are also used for comparison :
ay-tane riydao
s1-Dat learned
more learned than I am

## 4. pronouns

## 4.1. personal pronouns

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sing. | aŋ | $\mathrm{ni} \mathrm{\eta}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{bo}^{2}$ |
| plur. | žin, žen | $\mathrm{ni}^{2}-\mathrm{si}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{bo}^{2}-\mathrm{si}^{2}$ <br> bon-siq |

As in most Bodo-Garo languages, the $3^{\text {rd }}$ person pronoun is a demonstrative. The plural suffix -si is typical of the personal pronouns. Again as in most BG languages, Dimasa has only 3 personal pronouns : ay, niy ${ }^{2}$, and žin.

These pronouns may suffix case morphemes, sometimes on reduced bases :

|  | D | Dat | Acc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| s1 | a-ni | an-ne /an-tane | an-ke |
| s2 | ni ${ }^{2}$-ni | $\mathrm{nin}^{2} / \mathrm{nig}^{2}$-tane | nin ${ }^{2}$-ke |
| p1 | ži-ni | žin / ži i -tane | žin-ke |
| p2 | ni ${ }^{2} \mathrm{si}^{2}-\mathrm{ni}$ | ni ${ }^{2} \mathrm{si}^{2}$-ne / -tane | ni ${ }^{2} \mathrm{si}^{2}$-ke |
| s3 | $\mathrm{bo}^{2}$-ni | $\mathrm{bo}^{2}$-tane | $\mathrm{bo}^{2}$-ke |
| p3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { bo }^{2} \mathrm{si}^{2}-\mathrm{ni} \\ & \text { bon(i)si-ni } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{bo}^{2} \mathrm{si}^{2}$-ne / -tane | $\mathrm{bo}^{2} \mathrm{si}^{2}$-ke <br> bon(i)si-ke |

Of course, it is also possible to interpret the "nominative" forms as augmented with $-\eta$.
In Dimasa, as with other Bodo-Garo languages, the morphosyntax of personal pronouns follows the noun pattern :
ay $\mathrm{bo}^{2}-\mathrm{ke} n u^{2}$-du $n i \eta^{2}{ }^{2} o^{2}$-ke nu ${ }^{2}$-du ay $n i \eta^{2}-k e n u^{2}-d u$ $n i \eta^{2}$ ay-ke nu ${ }^{2}$-du

I see him / her / it
you see him / her / it
I see you
you see me, etc.
We mentioned above the special situation of some kinship terms when 'possessed' :

|  | father | mother |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | bu-pa | ${\text { bu- }-\mathrm{ma}^{2}}^{2}$ |
| my | a-pa | a-ma |
| your | na-pa | na-ma ${ }^{2}$ |
| my ! | a-bai | a-mai |

But the normal construction is always possible :
a-ni bu-pa lim-du
s1-D b-father ill-Pst
my father is ill
The last line in the chart mentions the adress terms : abai '(my) father !'. See 6.1.

## 4.2. demonstrative modifiers and pronouns

Deictic structures may be simple, in which case they come before the noun they modify.

Deictic demonstratives are :
ebo this ebo jaokrai this bridge (here)
bo that bo jaokrai that bridge (there)
but also, when pointing :
hobo this one there
All such demonstratives can also be pronominal.
The form bo is obviously the basis the $3^{\text {rd }}$ person pronoun, singular and plural. It is likely to be also the basis for the $b V$ - prefix we discussed under the section of 'prefixed nouns'.

Locative demonstratives are :
era here
ora there
bo $^{2}$ era-ha pai-sai-du $\quad$ bo $^{2}$ ora-ha tay-sai-du
s3 here-Loc come-Cnt-Apt s3 there-Loc go-Cnt-Apt
he is coming here
See also 1.5.

## 4.3. interrogative, indefinite, \& negative pronouns

As in other Bodo-Garo languages, interrogative pronouns or adjectives (e.g. 'who') are the basis for the building of indefinites ('somebody'), and on these the negatives ('nobody') are built when the negation is added.

It seems there are only 2 interrogative roots in Dimasa :
The first one is in $s V^{2}$ - and provides :

| sere $^{2} \sim$ | sre $^{2}$ | 'who' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sumu $^{2} \sim$ | smu $^{2}$ |  |$\quad$ 'what'

The second one is in $b V^{2}$ - and provides :
$\mathrm{ba}^{2} \mathrm{ra}^{2} \sim$ bra $^{2}$ 'where'
$\mathrm{ba}^{2} \mathrm{ka}^{2} \mathrm{li}^{2} \quad$ 'when'
be $^{2}$ dehe how?
The suffix $-r a$ in $b r a^{2}$ 'where' is identical with $-r a$ in the demonstatives $e-r a$ 'here' and $o-r a$ 'there'.

### 4.3.1. $\mathrm{sre}^{2}$ : who?

the interrogative basis :
Examples:
sre $^{2}$ pai'$^{2}$-ba who has come ?
who come-Ps
bo $^{2}$-la sere ${ }^{2}$-ni no ${ }^{2} \quad$ whose house is this?
s3-Vs who-D house
provides the indefinite sere $^{2}$ - $b a$ 'somebody'
A plural is built by iteration :
sere $^{2}$ ba sere ${ }^{2}$ ba somebody (several persons)
sere $^{2}$ ba pai ${ }^{2}-\mathrm{ka} \mathrm{ti}^{2} \quad$ has anybody come ?
who-VNc come-Pf Emp
sere $^{2}$ ba pai ${ }^{2}$-ka somebody has come
who-VNc come-Pf
sere $^{2}$ ba-ke nu ${ }^{2}$-ba did you see somebody ?
who-VNc-Acc see-Ps and from $s r e^{2} b a$ one can build :
sere $^{2}$-ba sao ${ }^{2}$-si someone
who-VNc Cl-one
For 'anyone', see 4.4.4.
The negative pronoun is :
sao $^{2}{ }^{2}$ sibo ${ }^{2}$ V-ja nobody
sao $^{2}$ sibo $^{2}$ pai $^{2}$-ja nobody came
Cl-one-s3 come-Neg

### 4.3.2. sumu $^{2}$ : what?

As an interrogative, sumu cannot be alone :
$\operatorname{sum}(\mathrm{u}) \mathrm{bo}^{2}$
sumu ${ }^{2}$ ža ${ }^{2} \mathrm{di}^{2}$
which?
what?
sumu ${ }^{2}$ ža-ba-ni
The indefinite is :
sum(a)ba something
but it is often pronounced humba or even hmba.
niy ${ }^{2}$ hmba bostu nayžao-du
s2 what-VNc thing want-Apt
do you want anything?
The negative is
musibo ${ }^{2}$ V-ja nothing
Cl-one-s3

### 4.3.3. bra $^{2}$ : where?

It can be used alone :
$\mathrm{bo}^{2}$ bra $^{2}$ tan-ba (or: tay-re) where does he go ?
s3 where go-Ps (go-Hpt)
But in the locative without movement more often with -ha:
bo $^{2}$-la bra $^{2}$-ha ${ }^{2}$ where is he?
s3-Vs where-Loc
When a ablative is needed, one has to add -nipray, as with nouns :
niy ${ }^{2}$ bra $^{2}$-nipray pai ${ }^{2}$-ba ?
wher do you come from?

### 4.4.4. isaba 'any'

| isaba ma-si | anything (among several) |
| :--- | :--- |
| isaba muy-si labu | bring any one |

$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { isaba } \mathrm{sao}^{2} \text {-si } & \begin{array}{l}\text { anyone } \\ \text { isaba }\end{array} & \text { Cf. } & \begin{array}{l}\text { sere }^{2} \mathrm{ba} \mathrm{sao}^{2} \text {-si } \\ \text { anything }\end{array} & \\ \text { sumaba }\end{array} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { 'someone' }\end{aligned}$

## 5. Numerals and classifiers

In Dimasa, nearly all objects are numbered with the help of classifiers. The normal order is :
noun classifier + numeral

Examples:
žin mi ${ }^{2}$ sai-bokro ${ }^{2}$ kro $^{2}$-si soŋ ži-ba p1 deer-head $\mathrm{Cl}-1$ cook eat-Ps
we cooked and ate one deer's head žíy mi²saj-ega goy-si soy ži-ba p1 deer-leg Cl-1 cook eat-Ps
we cooked and ate one deer's leg
In such cases, all numerals appear as suffixes after classifier bases.
Yet, there are nouns that are counted, partly or completely, without classifiers and with the fuller form of the number :

| One man | subuy sao-si |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 men | subuy geni |
| 3 men | subun gatam |

Here, a classifier sao meaning 'body' is used only for 1.

## 5.1. numeral suffixes

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $-\mathrm{si}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{se}^{2}$ |
| 2 | - gni | geni |
| 3 | - tam | getam |
| 4 |  | biri |
| 5 |  | bôa $<$ *bona |
| 6 |  | do $^{2}$ |
| 7 |  | sini |
| 8 |  | žai $^{2}$ |
| 9 |  | sugu $^{2}$ |
| 10 |  | ži |

From 11 to 19 and from 10 to 90

| 11 | ži-se ${ }^{2}$ | 10 | ži |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 12 | ži-gni | 20 | kon |
| 13 | ži-tam | 30 | tim-ži |
| 14 | ži-bri | 40 | bisa-gni |


| 15 | žii-bôa, žra | 50 | dan |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 16 | ži-do |  |  |
| 17 | ži-sni | 60 | bisa-tam |
| 18 | ži-žai |  | 70 |
| bisa-tam-ži |  |  |  |
| 19 | ži-sgu $^{2}$ | 80 | bisa-bri |

100 : rža-si ${ }^{2}$
200 : rža-gni, etc.
1000 : ržin ${ }^{2}$-si ${ }^{2}$

## 5.2. classifiers

|  |  | examples |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| deb $^{2}-$ | branch \& twig |  |  |
| do $^{2}-$ | log |  |  |
| gon $^{2}-$ | bamboo-like | ega | leg |
| gray- $^{\text {flat }: \text { leaf, cloth, book }}$ | no $^{2}$ | house |  |
| kro $^{2}-$ | round | bo-kro $^{2}$ | head |
| ma- | flat $;$ animals |  |  |
| pan- | tree |  |  |
| sao- | man |  |  |
| soy- | group of trees or plants |  |  |

NB : the curious case of $n o^{2}$ 'house' being categorized as a leaf-like object is to be compared with $n o^{2}$-lai 'village' where -lai means 'leaf'.

Examples:
bu $^{2}$-ni no ${ }^{2}$ gray-si ${ }^{2}$ doy
s3-D house Cl-1 être
He has one house
bondo ${ }^{2} \mathrm{do}^{2}-\mathrm{si}^{2} \quad$ one $\log$
wa gon ${ }^{2}-\mathrm{si}^{2} \quad$ one bamboo
$\mathrm{ri}^{2}$ gray- $\mathrm{si}^{2} \quad$ one cloth
$\mathrm{mu}^{2} \mathrm{su}^{2} \mathrm{ma}-\mathrm{si}^{2} \quad$ one cow
bopay pay-si $i^{2} \quad$ one tree
subuy sao-si ${ }^{2}$ one man
laison son- $\mathrm{si}^{2} \quad$ one group of plantain trees
wasoy son-si ${ }^{2} \quad$ one bamboo group
NB : for men the use of the classifier sao 'body' is limited to one unit. One says subuy gini, subuy $g \square$ tam etc.

Many nouns use their second term as a classifier, for instance :
mai-lai lai-si ${ }^{2}$ one paddy leaf lai 'leaf'
mai-bar bar-si ${ }^{2}$ one paddy flower bar 'flower'
bo-pay pay-si ${ }^{2}$ one tree, etc.
Containers use the classifier when the object is concerned, but not when used as
measure:
didig tai-si ${ }^{2}$
one pitcher
didig-si ${ }^{2}$
di didig-si ${ }^{2}$
one pitcherful
one pitcherful of water


We add here several notes about parts of the Dimasa lexicon. Some remarks on the lexicon have been given above in the chapter about noun compounding where we detailed some 'noun families' built from a common root.

## 6.1. kinship terms

First, charts are given. Alaphabetic listing follows.

### 6.1.1. charts

'Family' generally is $n o^{2} k o r$, on the root $n o^{2}$ 'house'. 'Relatives' are apna-rao.

| boboda | bomajū |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| great-gd-father | great-gd-mother |  |  |  |
| bužu | badai |  |  |  |
| gd-father | gd-mother |  |  |  |
|  | bupa |  | buma |  |
|  | father |  | mother |  |
| bada | bubi |  | bupiuy | bahandao |
| eld. brother | eld. sister | EGO | you. brother | you. sister |
| bobaoži | bigimi |  | boai | bigimi |
| eld bro's wife | eld sis's husband |  | you bro's wife | you sis's husb. |
| basa | buba ganai |  |  |  |
| eld bro's son | eld sis's son |  |  |  |
|  | buba ganaizik |  |  |  |
|  | eld sis's daughter |  |  |  |

Husbands of sisters call each other bustu.

| bahao | busuma |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fath. in law | mo. in law |  |  |
| boai | basai | bihi $^{2}$ | bobraolin |
| hus's eld bro | husband | wife | wife's you sis |
|  | basa | bisik |  |
|  | son | daughter |  |
|  | busu tai |  |  |
|  | gd-son/ daughter |  |  |
|  | basa tai |  |  |
|  | great gd son |  |  |

A son-in-law is bažamadi, a daughter-in-law bahamžik ${ }^{2}$. Fathers (\& mothers) of parents of son/daughter-in-law are bažamai (\& bažamaižik).

| boboda | bede | bupa | bedi | bede |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fath's eld bro | fath's eld sis | father | fath's you bro | fath's you sis |
| bumajuy |  |  | bumadi |  |
| his wife |  |  | his wife |  |


| bede | bumajuy | buma | bede | bumaosi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| moth's bro | moth's eld sis | mother | moth's bro | moth's you sis |
| bada |  |  | bupium |  |
| his son=bro. |  |  | his son=bro. |  |

This last chart shows that sons \& daughters of the brothers of the mother are considered as sons and daughters. Son of the elder brother is also a son.

A friend is a lugu; you adress him with namai! A guest is naroai. A stranger is malai. Several names of personal relationships outside the domain of kinship begin with na-:

| na-mai | friend ! |
| :--- | :--- |
| na-ga | bachelor, unmarried man |
| na-na | small child |
| na-roai | guest |

These seem unrelated with $n a^{2}$ - 'your', which is of restricted use and has the high tone.

### 6.1.2. listing of Dimasa kinship terms

The listing is given by alphabetical order of roots, since all terms begin with the $b V$ prefix.

| -ai | bo-ai | elder brother of husband <br> wife of youger brother |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -ba | bu-ba ganai | son of the elder sister |
| -ba | bu-ba ganaižik | daughter of the elder sister |
| -baoži | bo-baoži | wife of elder brother |
| -bi | bu-bi | elder sister |
| -boda | bo-boda | elder brother of father <br> father of grand-father |
| -braolị | bo-braoliy | younger sister of wife |
| -da | ba-da | elder brother |
| -dai | ba-dai | grand-mother |
| -de | be-de | brother (eld. \& you.) of mother <br> sister (eld. \& you.) of father |
| -di | bi-di | younger brother of father |


| -gimi | bi-gimi | husband of sister (eld. or you.) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -handao | ba-handao | younger sister |
| -hao | ba-hao | father in law |
| -hi $^{2}$ | bi-hi $^{2}$ | wife |
| -ma | bu-ma | mother |
| -majuy | bu-majuy | elder sister of mother <br> mother of grand-mother <br> wife of boboda |
| -maosi | bu-maosi | younger sister of mother |
| -pa | bu-pa | father |
| -piuy | bu-piuy | younger brother |
| -sa | ba-sa | son |
| -sa tai | ba-sa tai | son of grandson |
| -sai | ba-sai | husband |
| -sik | be-sik | daughter |
| -su tai | bu-su tai | son or dauhter of son, grandson |
| -suma | bu-suma | mother in law |
| -žu | bu-žu | grand-father |

Synonyms are widely different in function.
(a) -majuy \& -boda have similar functions : the elder brother (or sister) of father (or mother) is considered a classificatory equivalent of his (or her) grand-father (or mother).
(b) -ai is a reciprocal term and marks shunning : elder brother do not interfere with the wives of their younger brothers, and conversely.
(c) -de is a generic term for all uncles on the mother's side, and all aunts on the father's side.

It is not surprising to note that closer relationships correspond to shorter denominations.

## 6.2. clan names

The Dimasas are remarkable among Bodo-Garo speaking people in having different clans for women and for men. Male clans, actually male lineages are called senpoŋ; female lineages are called žadi or želik (this name želik is pronounced želu by the Plains Dimasas). All men have a senpoŋ, all women have a žadi.

### 6.3. The 12 daiko $^{2}$

In older times, the Dimasas had 12 territorial divisions called daiko ${ }^{2}$. Some of these are :

```
        alu daiko }\mp@subsup{}{}{2
                                manža daiko }\mp@subsup{}{}{2
misim daiko }\mp@subsup{}{}{2
(JKT's)
|
```

A worship place is called madaiko ${ }^{2}$, from madai 'god, divine being'.

## 6.4. colours \& metals

```
    Rudimentary notes only are provided here :
ron colour (a borrowed word)
gu-pu2 white
gi-sim black
g\square -žao red
ga-tay green, not ripe
ga-kray green
gu-rmu yellow
gu-rmug\square -ra }\mp@subsup{}{}{2}\mathrm{ dark yellow ('old yellow')
ga-kray gisim blue ('black green')
ga-kray gi-li light green (young (as of leaves) green)
ser
    metal, iron
g\square žao gold ('red')
gu2pu2
tamar copper (< Ass. or Beng.)
gãhã brass, bell-meatl (<Ass or Beng.)
```


## 6.5. times and dates

A 'day' is basaiy or saiy, which also means 'sun'. A good rhyme is daiy 'moon' but 'night' is hor. Badain means 'month'. A 'year' is maitai and has to do with crop-time (mai 'crop, paddy').

- 1 'time'

3 days ago
All time phrases that follow are usually (but not always) marked with the locative -ha.
manay $g \square$ da-ha in the very old days (see manay below)
$\mathrm{g} \square$ da-ha $\quad$ in the olden days $\quad g \square d a$ 'old'
tamni-ha on the $3^{\text {rd }}$ day next tam '3'-D
agasika the day before yesterday
mija-ha yesterday (often prononuced mjaha)
di $^{2} \mathrm{ni}^{2}$-ha to-day
da² $\mathrm{kna}^{2}$-ha to-morrow
soni-ha the day after to-morrow
The contrast between 'before' and 'after' is also a rhyme :
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { manay (-ha) } & \text { before } \\ \text { danay (-ha) } & \text { after }\end{array}$
du-ha now
This $d u$ - may be compared with the verbal suffix of Actual present <Apt> -du.
For 'last month' \& 'next month' one can say manay-ni badaiy-ha \& danay-ni badaiy-ha, but perhaps more commonly : 'last month' lailanjaba badainha and 'next month' paiginjaba badaiyha, which can be explained :
lai-lay-jaba pai²-gin-jaba
come-fl-VNgc
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