

Deterministic Primality Proving on Proth Numbers

Tsz-Wo Sze (szetszwo@cs.umd.edu)

November 9, 2018

Abstract

We present an algorithm to decide the primality of Proth numbers, $N = 2^e t + 1$, without assuming any unproven hypothesis. The expected running time and the worst case running time of the algorithm are $\tilde{O}((t \log t + \log N) \log N)$ and $\tilde{O}((t \log t + \log N) \log^2 N)$ bit operations, respectively.

1 Introduction

A *Proth number* is a positive integer of the form

$$N = 2^e \cdot t + 1 \quad \text{for some odd } t \text{ with } 2^e > t > 0. \quad (1.1)$$

A self-taught farmer, François Proth, published Theorem 1.1 below in 1878; see [24] for more details and a proof of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.1 (Proth Theorem). *Let N be a Proth number defined in (1.1). If*

$$a^{(N-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{N} \quad (1.2)$$

for some integer a , then N is a prime.

As a consequence, the primality of Proth numbers can be decided by a simple, fast probabilistic primality test, called *the Proth test*, which randomly chooses an integer $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N}$ and then computes

$$b \equiv a^{(N-1)/2} \pmod{N}. \quad (1.3)$$

We have the following cases.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11Y11; Secondary 11A41.

Key words and phrases: Proth Numbers, Cullen Numbers, Primality Proving, Primality Test.

- (i) If $b \equiv -1 \pmod{N}$, then N is a prime by Theorem 1.1.
- (ii) If $b \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{N}$ and $b^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$, then N is composite because $\gcd(b \pm 1, N)$ are non-trivial factors of N .
- (iii) If $b^2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{N}$, then N is composite by Fermat's little theorem.
- (iv) If $b \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$, the primality of N remains unknown.

Case (iv) is the reason that the Proth test is probabilistic. In case (i), the integer a is a quadratic nonresidue modulo N . The procedure is repeated until the primality of N is decided.

When N is a prime, the Proth test has probability $\frac{1}{2}$ being able to return N prime in one iteration since the number of quadratic nonresidues modulo N is exactly $\frac{N-1}{2}$. The expected number of iterations is $O(1)$. Equation (1.3) can be computed in $\tilde{O}(\log^2 N)$ bit operations using fast integer multiplications [9, 19]. Consequently, the expected running time is $\tilde{O}(\log^2 N)$ bit operations uniformly for all $t < 2^e$. However, the worst case running time is exponential since the number of a such that $b \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ is linear to N .

For any integer N , when $N-1$ is fully factored or when $N-1$ is partially factored, deterministic algorithms by Konyagin and Pomerance can be used to prove primality in polynomial time [15]. Their algorithms apply to all Proth numbers $N = 2^e t + 1$, and run in $\tilde{O}(\log^{3+C} N)$, where $C > 0$ is a constant depending on e and t . The key idea is the use of *smooth numbers* so that an “exponentially large” subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ can be created by a “polynomial sized” set of generators. We will use smooth numbers to calculate $\sqrt{-1} \pmod{N}$ in Algorithm 2.3.

There are interesting special cases of Proth numbers. When $t = 1$, the Proth number $N = 2^e + 1$ is a Fermat number. It is easy to see that N is composite if e is not a power of two. In addition, the primality of N can be decided by *the Pepin test* [18], which is virtually the same as the Proth test, except that $a = 3$ is specified in equation (1.2). The Pepin test is deterministic due to the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2 (Pepin Test). *Let $N = 2^e + 1 > 3$. Then N is a prime if and only if*

$$3^{(N-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{N}. \tag{1.4}$$

We skip the proof of Theorem 1.2 and show a trivial generalization, Theorem 1.3, below. As a result, for Proth numbers with t not divisible by 3, the primality can be decided in $\tilde{O}(\log^2 N)$ bit operations.

Theorem 1.3 (Generalized Pepin Test). *Let $N = 2^e t + 1 > 3$ be a Proth number defined in (1.1) such that $3 \nmid t$. Then N is a prime if and only if*

$$3^{(N-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{N}. \quad (1.5)$$

Proof. For any Proth number, we have $e \geq 1$ by the condition $2^e > t > 0$. If $e = 1$, then $t = 1$ by the same condition. However, the case $N = 3$ is excluded by the assumption $N > 3$. Therefore, we have $e \geq 2$.

When N is a prime, we have $3 \nmid N$. Then, $N \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ by the assumption $3 \nmid t$. The Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{3}{N}\right) = \left(\frac{N}{3}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = -1$ so that 3 is a quadratic nonresidue modulo N .

Finally, the theorem follows from Theorem 1.1. \square

Similarly, there is a deterministic, $\tilde{O}(\log^2 N)$ algorithm to decide the primality of Proth numbers with t not divisible by 5.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $N = 2^e \cdot t + 1 > 5$ be a Proth number defined in (1.1) such that $5 \nmid t$. Then N is a prime if and only if*

$$a^{(N-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{N},$$

where

$$a = \begin{cases} 5, & \text{if } N \equiv 0, 2, 3 \pmod{5}; \\ \frac{-5 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2} \pmod{N}, & \text{if } N \equiv 4 \pmod{5}. \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

Proof. Suppose N is a prime with $N \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{5}$. Then, $5^{(N-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{N}$ since 5 is a quadratic nonresidue modulo N .

Suppose N is a prime with $N \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$. Then, 5 is a quadratic residue modulo N . Let

$$\zeta = \frac{\alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 - 4}}{2}, \quad \text{where } \alpha = \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2} \in \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{N\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Both values of ζ are 5th roots of unity modulo N . However, 5th roots of unity are not in $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ since $N \not\equiv 1 \pmod{5}$. Therefore, $\zeta \notin \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha^2 - 4 = \frac{-5 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$ are quadratic nonresidues modulo N .

The theorem follows from Theorem 1.1. \square

Note that, when $N \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$, it is unnecessary to calculate $\sqrt{5} \pmod{N}$ in equation (1.6). We may check whether

$$\left(\frac{-5 + x}{2}\right)^{(N-1)/2} \equiv -1 \pmod{x^2 - 5, N}$$

since, for N prime, both $\frac{-5 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$ are quadratic nonresidues modulo N .

Another interesting special case of Proth numbers is *Cullen numbers* [8]. A Cullen number is a number of the form

$$C_n = 2^n \cdot n + 1 \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

Notice that n is allowed to be even. Obviously, a Cullen number is a Proth number since $2^n > n$ for any positive integer n . There are well-known divisibility properties of Cullen numbers [13]. A list is given below without proofs. Let p be any odd prime.

- Let $n_k = (2^k - k)(p - 1) - k$ for $k \geq 0$. Then, $p \mid C_{n_k}$.
- Let $d = \text{ord}_p 2$. If $p \mid C_n$, then $p \mid C_{n+pd}$.
- If the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = -1$, then $p \mid C_{(p+1)/2}$.
- If the Legendre symbol $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = 1$, then $p \mid C_{(3p-1)/2}$.

In [22, 21], a new idea is introduced for deciding the primality of Proth numbers but the details are missing. In this paper, we fill in all the details and extend the idea to obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5. *Let N be a Proth number defined in (1.1). There is an algorithm deciding the primality of N . The expected running time and the worst case running time of the algorithm are*

$$\tilde{O}((t \log t + \log N) \log N)$$

and

$$\tilde{O}((t \log t + \log N) \log^2 N)$$

bit operations, respectively.

When $t = O(\log N)$, the expected running time and the worst case running time are $\tilde{O}(\log^2 N)$ and $\tilde{O}(\log^3 N)$ bit operations, respectively. Note that Cullen numbers are covered in this case. To the best of our knowledge, our algorithm (Algorithm 4.1) is the fastest among other known *primality proving algorithms* for numbers of this kind. By primality proving algorithms, we mean primality algorithms that always return the correct output. Adleman-Pomerance-Rumely [1] runs in sub-exponential time. The running times of AKS [2] and Lenstra-Pomerance's modified AKS algorithm [12] are $\tilde{O}(\log^{7.5} N)$ and $\tilde{O}(\log^6 N)$, respectively. The algorithms by Konyagin

and Pomerance [15] run in $\tilde{O}(\log^{3+C} N)$ for some $0 < C \leq 3/7$, C a constant depending on e and t . All the algorithms mentioned above have been proved unconditionally. With extra assumptions such as the Extended Riemann Hypothesis, we have the following results: The elliptic curve primality proving algorithm [5, 10] runs in $\tilde{O}(\log^5 N)$. The running time of Miller's algorithm [17] is $\tilde{O}(\log^4 N)$. AKS can be improved [6, 16] to $\tilde{O}(\log^4 N)$. The Proth test becomes deterministic and the running time is $\tilde{O}(\log^4 N)$ since it only has to check congruence equation (1.2) with $2 \leq a \leq k$, where k is $O(\log^2 N)$ by the results from Ankeny [3].

For $t = O(\log N)$, Algorithm 4.1 attains the same order of expected running time, $\tilde{O}(\log^2 N)$, as the probabilistic Proth test. Although Algorithm 4.1 is a randomized algorithm, it always returns the correct output in one iteration. In contrast, the Proth test may be unable to decide the primality of N in any fixed number of iterations because of the exponential time worst cases. When the actual numbers of bit operations are compared, the Proth test is faster. In practice, a hybrid approach, which first runs a fixed number of iterations of the Proth test and then Algorithm 4.1 if the Proth test fails, remedies the worst case scenario of the Proth test.

The basic algorithm and the computation of $\sqrt{-1} \pmod{N}$ are described in §2. In §3, we show a square root algorithm which is the main ingredient of the basic algorithm. Randomization is described in the Appendix for improving the expected running time.

2 Deterministic Primality Proving

Let $N > 3$ be a Proth number defined in (1.1). We present a deterministic primality proving algorithm, Algorithm 2.1, for numbers of this kind in this section. The proof of correctness and the running time analysis are shown in the next section.

Fast integer arithmetic is used in all running time analyses. Therefore, integer multiplications and divisions modulo N can be computed in $\tilde{O}(\log N)$ [14, 7]. Denote a fixed square root of x modulo N by $\sqrt{x} \pmod{N}$.

Algorithm 2.1 (Deterministic Primality Proving). *The input is $N > 3$, a Proth number defined in (1.1). This algorithm returns PRIME if N is a prime. Otherwise, it returns COMPOSITE.*

- I. Try finding $a_2 = \sqrt{-1} \pmod{N}$ by Algorithm 2.2.
If Algorithm 2.2 halts due to N composite, return COMPOSITE.

II. For each $(3 \leq j \leq e)$ {
 Try computing $a_j = \sqrt{a_{j-1}} \pmod{N}$ by Algorithm 3.4.
 If Algorithm 3.4 halts due to N composite,
 return COMPOSITE.
 }

III. Return PRIME.

We discuss the first step below and the second step, the crucial step of Algorithm 2.1, in the next section.

Step I can be computed as follows: Suppose $N > 3$ is a prime. We have $e > 1$ by definition (1.1). Therefore, $\sqrt{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$, where \mathbb{Z} denotes the set of integers and $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ is the prime field with N elements. Let H be the subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ with $2t$ elements, where $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ denotes the multiplicative group of $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$. There exists some i , $1 \leq i \leq 2t + 1$, such that $i \notin H$, and so $i^{2t} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{N}$. If $i^{2^k t} \equiv -1 \pmod{N}$ for some $1 \leq k \leq e - 1$, then $i^{2^{k-1} t} \equiv \pm\sqrt{-1} \pmod{N}$.

Suppose N is a prime or a composite number. If $j^{2t} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq 2t + 1$, we deduce that N is composite since there are $2t + 1$ elements with order dividing $2t$. For some $1 \leq i \leq 2t + 1$, if $i^{2t} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ but $i^{2^k t} \not\equiv -1 \pmod{N}$ for all $1 \leq k \leq e - 1$, then either

- (1) $i^{2^e t} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{N}$, or
- (2) $i^{2^k t} \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{N}$ and $i^{2^{k+1} t} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ for some $1 \leq k \leq e - 1$.

In case (1), N is composite by Fermat's little theorem. In case (2), $\gcd(i^{2^k t} \pm 1, N)$ are non-trivial factors of N and so N is composite.

Algorithm 2.2 (Computing $\sqrt{-1} \pmod{N}$). *The input is $N = 2^e t + 1$, N not necessary a Proth number, for some integer $e > 1$ and odd t . If N is a prime, this algorithm returns b such that $b^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{N}$. Otherwise, this algorithm either returns an integer b such that $b^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{N}$ or halts due to N composite.*

- I.1. Compute $b_j = j^{2t} \pmod{N}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2t + 1$.
- I.2. If $b_j \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq 2t + 1$,
halt due to N composite.
- I.3. Suppose $b_i \not\equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 2t + 1$.
Compute $b_i^{2^k} \pmod{N}$ for $0 \leq k \leq e - 2$.

- I.4. If $b_i^{2^k} \not\equiv -1 \pmod{N}$ for all $0 \leq k \leq e-2$,
halt due to N composite.
- I.5. Suppose $b_i^{2^{k_0}} \equiv -1 \pmod{N}$ for some $0 \leq k_0 \leq e-2$.
Return $i^{2^{k_0}t} \pmod{N}$.

Algorithm 2.2 runs in

$$\tilde{O}((t \log t + \log N) \log N)$$

bit operations since steps I.1, I.2 take $\tilde{O}(t \log t \log N)$ bit operations and steps I.3, I.4, I.5 take $\tilde{O}(e \log N) = \tilde{O}(\log^2 N)$.

For N a Proth number and t large, the running time can be improved to

$$\tilde{O}(\log t \log^3 N).$$

The required $2t+1$ integers in Step I.1 in Algorithm 2.2 can be generated by a much smaller set. Let B be a positive integer. An integer is B -smooth if no prime factor of it exceeds B . Let

$$S = \left\{ n \leq N-1 : n = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_{\pi(B)}^{e_{\pi(B)}} \text{ with } e_i \geq 0 \right\} \quad (2.1)$$

be the set of positive B -smooth integers less than N , where p_k denotes the k th prime and $\pi(\cdot)$ denotes the prime counting function.

Suppose N is a prime. Recall that H is the subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ with $2t$ elements. If $|S| > |H|$, there exists i such that $p_i \notin H$ since $p_1, \dots, p_{\pi(B)}$ generate S . Let $B = \log^A(N-1)$ for some $A > 1$. Then, $|S| \geq (N-1)^{1-1/A}$ by [15, Theorem 2.1]; see also [11, equation (1.14)]. Put $A = \frac{2e}{e-1}$. We have

$$|S| \geq (N-1)^{1-\frac{e-1}{2e}} = (2^e t)^{\frac{e+1}{2e}} = 2 \left(2^{\frac{e-1}{2}} t^{\frac{e+1}{2e}} \right) > 2t.$$

The last inequality is due to $2^e > t$ in definition (1.1). Algorithm 2.2 can be rewritten as below.

Algorithm 2.3 (Fast $\sqrt{-1} \pmod{N}$ for t large). *The input is $N > 3$, a Proth number defined in (1.1). The output is the same as the one in Algorithm 2.2.*

- I.a. Let $B = \lfloor \log^{2e/(e-1)}(N-1) \rfloor$.
Find $p_1, \dots, p_{\pi(B)}$, the primes less than or equal to B .
- I.b. Compute $b_j = p_j^{2t} \pmod{N}$ for $1 \leq j \leq \pi(B)$.

- I.c. If $b_j \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq \pi(B)$,
halt due to N composite.
- I.d. Suppose $b_i \not\equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq \pi(B)$.
Compute $b_i^{2^k} \pmod{N}$ for $0 \leq k \leq e - 2$.
- I.e. If $b_i^{2^k} \not\equiv -1 \pmod{N}$ for all $0 \leq k \leq e - 2$,
halt due to N composite.
- I.f. Suppose $b_i^{2^{k_0}} \equiv -1 \pmod{N}$ for some $0 \leq k_0 \leq e - 2$.
Return $p_i^{2^{k_0}t} \pmod{N}$.

Step I.a takes $\tilde{O}(\log^{2+2/(e-1)} N)$ since calculating B requires $\tilde{O}(\log N)$ and the primes $p_1, \dots, p_{\pi(B)}$ can be obtained in $\tilde{O}(B)$ by a sieve [4]. The remaining steps are essentially the same as Algorithm 2.2 except that number of iterations is decreased from $2t+1$ in Step I.1 to $\pi(B)$ in Step I.b. The running time of the steps from I.b to I.f is $\tilde{O}(\log t \log^{3+2/(e-1)} N)$, which is also the overall running time of Algorithm 2.3. Finally, $O(\log^{2/(e-1)} N) = O(1)$ because N is a Proth number.

3 Taking Square Roots

Suppose N is a prime, but not necessary a Proth prime, with $N \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ for the following. Given $\sqrt{-1} \pmod{N}$, a square root of a fixed value, we show how to calculate the square roots of an arbitrary value β for $1 < \beta < N - 1$ and β a quadratic residue modulo N . Such ideas of taking square roots modulo N are presented in [22, 21]. We include the material below for completeness. Additionally, we show how to detect the case that N is a composite number.

Suppose

$$\beta \equiv \alpha^2 \pmod{N} \quad \text{for some integer } \alpha.$$

A group G_α is constructed such that $G_\alpha \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$. The isomorphism $\psi_\alpha : G_\alpha \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ depends on α as a parameter. Then, we find an order 4 element in G_α . Such element must be mapped to $\pm\sqrt{-1} \pmod{N}$ through ψ_α . Consequently, the parameter α of ψ_α can be calculated.

It can be shown that the group G_α is isomorphic to a ‘singular elliptic curve’. Coincidentally, elliptic curve arithmetic is also used in Schoof’s square root algorithm over prime fields [20]. However, the ideas of these two algorithms are quite different. In Schoof’s algorithm, an elliptic curve E is constructed over a selected extension of $\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ for N prime in such

a way that E has complex multiplication. Then, compute the Frobenius endomorphism and, subsequently, obtain the square roots modulo N .

We begin describing our algorithm by defining two sets,

$$G'_\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{[a] : a \not\equiv \pm\alpha \pmod{N}\}, \text{ and} \quad (3.1)$$

$$G_\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} G'_\alpha \cup \{[\infty]\}. \quad (3.2)$$

The elements in G_α are denoted by $[\cdot]$ for avoiding confusion with the elements in \mathcal{Z} , where

$$\mathcal{Z} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}. \quad (3.3)$$

Two elements $[a_1], [a_2] \in G'_\alpha$ are equal if and only if $a_1 \equiv a_2 \pmod{N}$. Therefore, there are $N-2$ and $N-1$ elements in G'_α and G_α , respectively.

Further, define an operator $*$ as follows: For any $[a] \in G_\alpha$ and any $[a_1], [a_2] \in G'_\alpha$ with $a_1 + a_2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{N}$,

$$[a] * [\infty] = [\infty] * [a] = [a], \quad (3.4)$$

$$[a_1] * [-a_1] = [\infty], \quad (3.5)$$

$$[a_1] * [a_2] = [(a_1 a_2 + \alpha^2)(a_1 + a_2)^{-1}], \quad (3.6)$$

where x^{-1} denotes the multiplicative inverse of $x \pmod{N}$ for integer x with $\gcd(x, N) = 1$. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *When N is prime, $(G_\alpha, *)$ is a well-defined group, which is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$.*

Proof. Define a bijective mapping

$$\psi : G_\alpha \longrightarrow \left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{N\mathbb{Z}}\right)^\times, \quad [\infty] \mapsto 1, \quad [a] \mapsto (a + \alpha)(a - \alpha)^{-1} \quad (3.7)$$

with inverse mapping

$$\psi^{-1} : \left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{N\mathbb{Z}}\right)^\times \longrightarrow G_\alpha, \quad 1 \mapsto [\infty], \quad b \mapsto [\alpha(b + 1)(b - 1)^{-1}]. \quad (3.8)$$

A straightforward calculation shows that ψ is a homomorphism. \square

Note that G_α is also isomorphic to the group of non-singular points $(x, y) \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ on the “singular elliptic curve”

$$y^2 = x^2(x + \alpha^2).$$

For more details, see [23].

In the rest of the paper, we drop the symbol $*$ and denote the group operation of G_α by multiplication. Algorithm 3.2 below shows how to perform the group operation. The input integer N may be a prime or a composite number since the algorithm will be used for deciding the primality of N .

Algorithm 3.2 (Group Operation). *The inputs are $N, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that $0 < \beta < N$ and either $a_i = \infty$ or $a_i^2 \not\equiv \beta \pmod{N}$ for $i = 1, 2$. If N is a prime, the input β is guaranteed to be a quadratic residue modulo N and this algorithm returns $a \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that $[a] = [a_1][a_2] \in G_\alpha$ for $\alpha^2 \equiv \beta \pmod{N}$. Otherwise, this algorithm either returns some $a' \in \mathcal{Z}$ or halts due to N composite.*

1. If $a_1 = \infty$, return a_2 .
2. If $a_2 = \infty$, return a_1 .
3. If $a_1 + a_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$, return ∞ .
4. If $\gcd(a_1 + a_2, N) \neq 1$, halt due to N composite.
5. Compute $a \equiv (a_1 a_2 + \beta)(a_1 + a_2)^{-1} \pmod{N}$.
6. If $a^2 \equiv \beta \pmod{N}$, halt due to N composite.
Otherwise, return a .

Algorithm 3.2 basically follows definitions (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6). It also handles the case if N is a composite number. In such case, G_α is no longer a well-defined group. If the algorithm halts in Step 4, a non-trivial factor of N is discovered and so N is a composite number. If it halts in Step 6, we have $(a_1 a_2 + \beta)^2 \equiv \beta(a_1 + a_2)^2 \pmod{N}$, which implies $(a_1^2 - \beta)(a_2^2 - \beta) \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$. Since $a_i^2 \not\equiv \beta \pmod{N}$ for $i = 1, 2$ by the assumption, $a_1^2 - \beta$ and $a_2^2 - \beta$ are zero divisors, which means that N is composite. Note that the value of α is not required in Algorithm 3.2.

Equipped with G_α , we are ready to describe the square root algorithm, which is the main ingredient of the Step II in Algorithm 2.1. We continue with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $N \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ be a prime. If $[a] \in G_\alpha$ is an order 4 element and $b \equiv \pm\sqrt{-1} \pmod{N}$, an order 4 element in $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$, then*

$$\alpha = \pm ab \pmod{N}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we have $[a] = \psi^{-1}(\pm b)$. Then,

$$\alpha \equiv \frac{a(\pm b - 1)}{(\pm b + 1)} \equiv \pm ab \pmod{N}.$$

The lemma follows. \square

We have Algorithm 3.4 below for taking square roots modulo N . The notation $[x]^y$ means using Algorithm 3.2 and the successive squaring method to compute $[x]$ to the power y . Algorithm 3.4 halts due to N composite as soon as Algorithm 3.2 does, if it is the case.

Algorithm 3.4 (Taking Square Root Modulo N). *The inputs are integers N , β and b such that $1 < \beta < N - 1$ and $b^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{N}$, where $N = 2^e t + 1$ for some integer $e > 1$ and odd t . If N is a prime, the input β is guaranteed to be a quadratic residue modulo N and this algorithm returns α such that $\alpha^2 \equiv \beta \pmod{N}$. If N is a composite number, this algorithm either returns an integer α such that $\alpha^2 \equiv \beta \pmod{N}$ or halts due to N composite.*

II.1. Check easy cases: If $j^2 \equiv \beta \pmod{N}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2t + 1$, return j .

II.2. Find $[a]$ such that $[a]^2 \neq [\infty]$ and $[a]^4 = [\infty]$ as below:

- (a) Compute $[c_j] = [j]^{2t}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2t + 1$.
- (b) If $[c_j] = [\infty]$ for all $1 \leq j \leq 2t + 1$, halt due to N composite.
- (c) Suppose $[c_i] \neq [\infty]$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 2t + 1$.
Compute $[c_i]^{2^k}$ for $0 \leq k \leq e - 2$.
- (d) If $[c_i]^{2^k} \neq [0]$ for all $0 \leq k \leq e - 2$, halt due to N composite.
- (e) Suppose $[c_i]^{2^{k_0}} = [0]$ for some $0 \leq k_0 \leq e - 2$.
Compute $[a] = [i]^{2^{k_0 t}}$.

II.3. Compute α :

- (a) Compute $\alpha \equiv ab \pmod{N}$.
- (b) If $\alpha^2 \not\equiv \beta \pmod{N}$, halt due to N composite.
Otherwise, return α .

Proposition 3.5. *Algorithm 3.4 is correct.*

Proof. Step II.1 checks some easy cases. If j is a square root of β modulo N for some $1 \leq j \leq 2t + 1$, we are done.

Step II.2 is similar to Algorithm 2.2. For N prime, both of them compute an order 4 element: Algorithm 2.2 calculates an element of $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ of order 4, while an element of G_α of order 4 is computed in Step II.2. Note that G_α is isomorphic to the cyclic group $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ as shown in Lemma 3.1. The identity element and the order 2 element of G_α are $[\infty]$ and $[0]$, respectively.

Suppose N is a prime. Let

$$H_\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ [g] \in G_\alpha : [g]^{2t} = [\infty] \right\},$$

be the $2t$ -torsion subgroup of G_α . The size of H_α is $2t$ since G_α is cyclic. If $[c_j] = [j]^{2t} = [\infty]$ for all $1 \leq j \leq 2t + 1$, there are $2t + 1$ elements with order dividing $2t$ in G_α , which leads to a contradiction. Suppose $[c_i] \neq [\infty]$ for some $1 \leq i \leq 2t + 1$. In Step II.2.(d), if $[c_i]^{2^k} \neq [0]$ for all $0 \leq k \leq e - 2$, then $[i]^{2^{e-1}t} \neq [0]$, which implies $[i]^{|G_\alpha|} \neq [\infty]$, a contradiction. Thus, if the algorithm halts at Step II.2.(b) or Step II.2.(d), then N is composite. The order of the element $[a] \in G_\alpha$ obtained in Step II.2.(e) is exactly 4 since $[a]^2 = [0] \neq [\infty]$ and $[a]^4 = [\infty]$. By Lemma 3.3, $\alpha = ab \pmod{N}$ is square root of β . If $\alpha^2 \not\equiv \beta \pmod{N}$, we have N a composite number.

The proposition follows. \square

Proposition 3.6. *Algorithm 3.4 runs in*

$$\tilde{O}((t \log t + \log N) \log N)$$

bit operations.

Proof. All the powers $[x]^y$ are computed by Algorithm 3.2 and the successive squaring method, which take $\tilde{O}(\log y \log N)$ bit operations.

Step II.1 takes $\tilde{O}(t \log N)$ bit operations. In Step II.2, the running time of parts (a) and (b) together is $\tilde{O}(t \log t \log N)$, parts (c) and (d) together take $\tilde{O}(e \log N) = \tilde{O}(\log^2 N)$ bit operations, and part (e) takes $\tilde{O}(\log^2 N)$ bit operations. Therefore, Step II.2 takes $\tilde{O}((t \log t + \log N) \log N)$ bit operations in total. Step II.3 only takes $\tilde{O}(\log N)$ bit operations.

The proposition follows. \square

Finally, we show the following propositions.

Proposition 3.7. *Algorithm 2.1 is correct.*

Proof. If either Step I or Step II in Algorithm 2.1 returns COMPOSITE, the input N must be COMPOSITE by Algorithm 2.2 and Algorithm 3.4. Note that, for N prime, the integer a_{j-1} in Step II is a quadratic residue modulo N for all $3 \leq j \leq e$. Otherwise, Step III returns PRIME. In this case, N is indeed a prime by Theorem 1.1 with $a = a_e$, where a_e is computed in Step II. The proposition follows. \square

Proposition 3.8. *Algorithm 2.1 runs in*

$$\tilde{O}((t \log t + \log N) \log^2 N)$$

bit operations.

Proof. Step I takes $\tilde{O}((t \log t + \log N) \log N)$ bit operations using Algorithm 2.2. With Algorithm 3.4, Step II takes $\tilde{O}(e(t \log t + \log N) \log N) = \tilde{O}((t \log t + \log N) \log^2 N)$ bit operations. Step III can be done in $O(1)$. The proposition follows. \square

It is not hard to see that the expected running time of Algorithm 2.1 can be improved to

$$\tilde{O}((t \log t + \log N) \log N)$$

by randomizing Step I. The details are presented in the Appendix.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. It follows from Propositions 4.2 and 4.3. \square

4 Appendix: Randomization

In this section, randomization is introduced for improving the expected running time. Unlike some probabilistic algorithms, e.g. the Proth test, which may not be able to decide the primality of N , our randomized algorithm always returns the correct output. Algorithm 2.1 first tries computing $a_2 \equiv \sqrt{-1} \pmod{N}$, and then it repeatedly takes square roots to obtain a_3, a_4, \dots, a_e such that $a_j \equiv \sqrt{a_{j-1}} \pmod{N}$ for $3 \leq j \leq e$. If N is a prime, all the computations succeed and it ends up with a_e , a quadratic nonresidue modulo N . In total, this uses $e - 1 = O(\log N)$ square root computations, which dominate the running time of the entire algorithm. The expected running time of Algorithm 2.1 can be improved by repeatedly taking square roots on a randomly chosen integer, instead of the fixed integer -1 . We first randomly choose an integer a . Then, we compute $\sqrt{a} \pmod{N}$, $\sqrt{\sqrt{a}} \pmod{N}$ and so on. If N is a prime, this process ends up with a quadratic nonresidue modulo N .

For prime $N = 2^e t + 1$, the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ being cyclic tells that most of elements in $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ have order with large 2-part. Only a few square root computations are required in order to obtain a quadratic nonresidue from these elements. In fact, half of the total number of elements in $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ are quadratic nonresidues modulo N . The order of a quadratic nonresidue is divisible by 2^e . In general, for $1 \leq k \leq e$, there are exactly $2^{k-1}t$ elements having order divisible by 2^k but not 2^{k+1} . Only $e - k$ square root computations are required for obtaining a quadratic nonresidue from these $2^{k-1}t$ elements.

The randomized algorithm is presented below.

Algorithm 4.1 (Randomized Algorithm). *The input is $N > 3$, a Proth number defined in (1.1). This algorithm returns PRIME if N is a prime. Otherwise, it returns COMPOSITE.*

- (i) Find b_k such that the order $b_k \pmod{N}$ is 2^k for some $k \geq 2$ as below:
 - (a) Randomly choose an integer $1 < a < N - 1$ until $a^{2t} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{N}$.
If there are $2t - 1$ distinct integers $1 < a < N - 1$ such that $a^{2t} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$, return COMPOSITE.
 - (b) Compute $a_0 \equiv a^t \pmod{N}$.
If $a_0^{2^e} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{N}$, return COMPOSITE.
 - (c) Find the least $k \geq 2$ such that $a_0^{2^k} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$.
If $a_0^{2^{k-1}} \not\equiv -1 \pmod{N}$, return COMPOSITE.
 - (d) Set $b_2 \equiv a_0^{2^{k-2}} \pmod{N}$.
Set $b_k = a_0$.
- (ii) For each $(k + 1 \leq j \leq e)$ {
 - Try computing $b_j = \sqrt{b_{j-1}} \pmod{N}$ by Algorithm 3.4.
 - If Algorithm 3.4 halts due to N composite, return COMPOSITE.
- (iii) Return PRIME.

Proposition 4.2. *Algorithm 4.1 is correct.*

Proof. In Step (i)(a), we randomly choose an integer a from the open interval $(1, N - 1)$ without replacement until $a^{2t} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{N}$. If there are $2t - 1$ distinct integers a in $(1, N - 1)$ such that $a^{2t} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$, then these $2t - 1$

distinct integers together with 1 and $N - 1$ are totally $2t + 1$ distinct integers with order modulo N dividing $2t$. Therefore, N is composite. In Step (i)(b), if $a_0^{2^e} \equiv a^{N-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{N}$, then N is composite by Fermat's little theorem. In Step (i)(c), the least positive integer k with $a_0^{2^k} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ exists since $a_0^{2^e} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$. We also have $k \geq 2$ because $a^{2t} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ by Step (i)(a). If $a_0^{2^{k-1}} \not\equiv -1 \pmod{N}$, then $\gcd(a_0^{2^{k-1}} - 1, N)$ is a non-trivial factor of N , and so N is composite. If Step (i)(a), (i)(b) and (i)(c) do not return COMPOSITE, we end up in Step (i)(d) that $b_2 \equiv a_0^{2^{k-2}} \equiv \pm\sqrt{-1} \pmod{N}$ and $b_k = a_0$ with $b_k^{2^{k-1}} \equiv -1 \pmod{N}$. Note that b_2 is required as an input of Algorithm 3.4 used in Step (ii). We will show that the value of k is large with high probability later in the section.

Step (ii) and (iii) are similar to the Step II and III in Algorithm 2.1 except that Step (ii) begins taking square roots with b_k . If Algorithm 3.4 does not halt due to N composite, the invariant $b_j^{2^{j-1}} \equiv -1 \pmod{N}$ is maintained in the loop for $k \leq j \leq e$. If b_e is obtained, N is a prime by Theorem 1.1 with $a = b_e$.

The proposition follows. \square

Proposition 4.3. *The expected running time and the worst case running time of Algorithm 4.1 are*

$$\tilde{O}((t \log t + \log N) \log N)$$

and

$$\tilde{O}((t \log t + \log N) \log^2 N)$$

bit operations, respectively.

Proof. Step (i)(a) requires $\tilde{O}(t \log t \log N)$ bit operations. Steps (i)(b), (i)(c) and (i)(d) together take $\tilde{O}(\log^2 N)$ bit operations. The running time of Step (ii) is $\tilde{O}(m(t \log t + \log N) \log N)$ bit operations, where m is an upper bound of the number of iterations in the loop. Step (iii) can be done in $\tilde{O}(\log N)$ bit operations. The entire algorithm is dominated by Step (ii). The total running time is $\tilde{O}(m(t \log t + \log N) \log N)$ bit operations.

The value of m depends on the integer a chosen in Step (i)(a). It is easy to see that the worst case is $m = O(\log N)$. We will show that the expected value of m is less than 1 in Lemma 4.5. The proposition follows. \square

Let $v_2(x)$ be the 2-adic valuation function. For positive integer $x = 2^r s$ with s odd, we have $v_2(x) = r$, which is the exponent of the 2-part of x . Let $\text{ord}_p a$ be the order of $a \pmod{p}$ for prime p and $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. We

show in Lemma 4.4 below that the expected value of $v_2(\text{ord}_p a)$ for a random integer a is bounded below by $v_2(p-1) - 1$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $p = 2^{e'}t' + 1$ be an odd prime for some odd t' and $e' \geq 1$. Let a be an integer randomly chosen from the open interval $(1, p-1)$ such that $a^{2^d} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ for some positive divisor d of t' . Then the expected value*

$$E(v_2(\text{ord}_p a)) > e' - 1.$$

Proof. By counting the number of integers $a \in (1, p-1)$ such that $v_2(\text{ord}_p a) = i$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, e'$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{1 < a < p-1 \\ a^{2^d} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p}}} v_2(\text{ord}_p a) &= 0 \cdot (t' - d) + 1 \cdot (t' - d) + \sum_{i=2}^{e'} i \cdot 2^{i-1} t' \\ &= (e' - 1)(p - 1) + t' - d. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the expected value is

$$E(v_2(\text{ord}_p a)) = e' - 1 + \frac{2d(e' - 1) + t' - d}{p - 1 - 2d} > e' - 1.$$

The lemma follows. \square

Lemma 4.5.

$$E(m) < 1.$$

Proof. Suppose N is a prime. Recall that a is a randomly chosen integer in Step (i)(a) such that $a^{2^t} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ and $k = v_2(\text{ord}_N a)$. By Lemma 4.4 with $d = t$, we have $E(k) = E(v_2(\text{ord}_N a)) > e - 1$. Therefore,

$$E(m) = E(e - k) < 1.$$

Suppose N is composite. Let p be a prime divisor of N such that $v_2(p-1)$ is the minimum among all the prime divisors of N . Write $p = 2^{e'}t' + 1$. Clearly, we have $e' \leq e$. If the algorithm does not discover N composite in Step (i), the maximum number of iterations is bounded above by e' , i.e. $m \leq e'$. Let a be the integer chosen in Step (i)(a). If p divides a , then Step (i)(b) will return **COMPOSITE** since $a_0^{2^e} \equiv a^{2^{e't}} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{N}$. Suppose p does not divide a . By Lemma 4.4 with $d = \gcd(t, t')$, we have $E(v_2(\text{ord}_p a)) > e' - 1$. Finally,

$$E(m) \leq E(e' - v_2(\text{ord}_p a)) < 1.$$

The lemma follows. \square

References

- [1] Leonard M. Adleman, Carl Pomerance, and Robert S. Rumely. On distinguishing prime numbers from composite numbers. *Ann. of Math.*, 117(1):173–206, 1983.
- [2] Manindra Agrawal, Neeraj Kayal, and Nitin Saxena. PRIMES is in P. *Ann. of Math.*, 160(2):781–793, 2004.
- [3] Nesmith C. Ankeny. The least quadratic non residue. *Ann. of Math.*, 55(1):65–72, 1952.
- [4] A. O. L. Atkin and Daniel J. Bernstein. Prime sieves using binary quadratic forms. *Math. Comp.*, 73(246):1023–1030, 2004.
- [5] A. O. L. Atkin and François Morain. Elliptic curves and primality proving. *Math. Comp.*, 61(203):29–68, 1993.
- [6] Daniel J. Bernstein. Proving primality in essentially quartic random time. *Math. Comp.*, 76(257):389–403, 2007.
- [7] Richard P. Brent and Paul Zimmermann. *Modern Computer Arithmetic*. Number 18 in Cambridge Monographs on Computational and Applied Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 2010.
- [8] James Cullen. Question 15897. *Educ. Times*, page 534, December 1905.
- [9] Martin Fürer. Faster integer multiplication. In *Proceedings of the 39th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 57–66. ACM, 2007.
- [10] Shafi Goldwasser and Joe Kilian. Almost all primes can be quickly certified. In *Proceedings of the 18th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 316–329. ACM, 1986.
- [11] Andrew Granville. Smooth numbers: computational number theory and beyond. In Joseph P. Buhler and Peter Stevenhagen, editors, *Algorithmic Number Theory: Lattices, Number Fields, Curves and Cryptography*, number 44 in Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications, pages 267–323. Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- [12] Hendrik W. Lenstra Jr. and Carl Pomerance. Primality testing with Gaussian periods, 2009. Preprint (<http://math.dartmouth.edu/~carlp/aks102309.pdf>).

- [13] Wilfrid Keller. New Cullen primes. *Math. Comp.*, 64(212):1733–1741, 1995.
- [14] Donald E. Knuth. *The Art of Computer Programming, Volume 2: Seminumerical Algorithms*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1997.
- [15] S. Konyagin and C. Pomerance. On primes recognizable in deterministic polynomial time. In *The Mathematics of Paul Erdős*, volume 13 of *Algorithms Combin.*, pages 176–198. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [16] Preda Mihailescu and Roberto M. Avanzi. Efficient “quasi”-deterministic primality test improving AKS, 2007. Preprint (<http://www.uni-math.gwdg.de/preda/mihailescu-papers/ouraks3.pdf>).
- [17] Gary L. Miller. Riemann’s hypothesis and tests for primality. In *Proceedings of Seventh Annual Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 234–239. ACM, 1975.
- [18] P. Pépin. Sur la formule $2^{2^n} + 1$. *Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris*, 85:329–333, 1877.
- [19] Arnold Schönhage and Volker Strassen. Schnelle Multiplikation großer Zahlen. *Computing*, 7:281–292, 1971.
- [20] René Schoof. Elliptic curves over finite fields and the computation of square roots mod p . *Math. Comp.*, 44(170):483–494, Apr 1985.
- [21] Tsz-Wo Sze. *On Solving Univariate Polynomial Equations over Finite Fields and Some Related Problems*. PhD thesis, University of Maryland, College Park, 2007.
- [22] Tsz-Wo Sze. On taking square roots without quadratic nonresidues over finite fields. *Math. Comp.*, 80(275):1797–1811, July 2011.
- [23] Lawrence C. Washington. *Elliptic Curves: Number Theory and Cryptography*. Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2nd edition, 2008.
- [24] Hugh C. Williams. *Édouard Lucas and Primality Testing*, volume 22 of *Canadian Mathematical Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts*. Wiley-Interscience, 1998.