	-	
	ಣ	
	_	
	lifor	
	.0	
	-	
	of Cali	
	CO	
	13	
	\circ	
	-	
	0	
	_	
	-	
	O	
	-	
	-	
	S	
	$\overline{}$	
	_	
	43	
	\simeq	
	_	
	-	
	_	
	0	
	-	
0.		
	_	
	43	
	~	
	-	
	-	
	or the	
	0	
	1	
	_	
1		

CLAIM 41:

	E-filin	g .
IN THE UNITED	STATES DISTRIC	CT COURT
FOR THE NORTHE	RN DISTRICT OF	CALIFORNIA
ORACLE AMERICA, INC., Plaintiff,	No.	C 10-03561 WHA
v. GOOGLE INC.,	SPE	CCIAL VERDICT FORM
Defendant.	_/	
Your answi	ERS MUST BE UNAN	IMOUS.
1. Has Oracle proven by a pre- claim 11, 27, 29, 39, 40, or 41 of United St		vidence that Google infringes
	Yes (Infringing)	No (Not Proven)
CLAIM 11:		
CLAIM 27:		
CLAIM 29:	***************************************	
CLAIM 39:		
CLAIM 40:		

2.	Has Oracle proven by a preponderance of the evidence that Google infringes				
claim 1 or 20	of United States Patent Num	ber 6,061,520?			
	CLAIM 1: CLAIM 20:	Yes (Infringing)	No (Not Proven)		
	Has Oracle proven by clear or more claims of an asserted es" to one or more claims of a	l patent? (Answer this		N/A	
		Yes (Willful)	No (Not Proven)		
	FOR THE '104 PATENT:		-		
	FOR THE '520 PATENT:				
Dated: May	23, 2012.	Ereg	Though FOREPERSON		