

STN4DST: A Scalable Dialogue State Tracking based on Slot Tagging Navigation

Puhai Yang, Heyan Huang, Xian-Ling Mao

Beijing Institute of Technology

{phyang, hhy63, maoxl}@bit.edu.cn

Abstract

Scalability for handling unknown slot values is a important problem in dialogue state tracking (DST). As far as we know, previous scalable DST approaches generally rely on either the candidate generation from slot tagging output or the span extraction in dialogue context. However, the candidate generation based DST often suffers from error propagation due to its pipelined two-stage process; meanwhile span extraction based DST has the risk of generating invalid spans in the lack of semantic constraints between start and end position pointers. To tackle the above drawbacks, in this paper, we propose a novel scalable dialogue state tracking method based on slot tagging navigation, which implements an end-to-end single-step pointer to locate and extract slot value quickly and accurately by the joint learning of slot tagging and slot value position prediction in the dialogue context, especially for unknown slot values. Extensive experiments over several benchmark datasets show that the proposed model performs better than state-of-the-art baselines greatly¹.

1 Introduction

Dialogue state tracking (DST) plays a key role in task-oriented dialogue systems to track the user’s intentional state and convert it into a set of slot-value pairs, i.e., dialogue state. As the basis for selecting the next system action, the accurate prediction of dialogue state is critical. Traditionally, DST approaches typically assumes that all candidate slot-value pairs are available in advance, and then a slot-value pair is selected as the predicted one by scoring all slot-value pairs or performing classification over the set of all slot values (Mrkšić et al., 2017; Ren et al., 2018; Zhong et al., 2018;

Lee et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019; Shan et al., 2020). Since in real scenario it is often impossible to know all the candidate slot values in advance (Rastogi et al., 2017; Xu and Hu, 2018), these traditional methods, also known as fixed ontology-based DST, are often ineffective due to their inability to scale to unknown slot values.

Recently, open vocabulary-based DST attracts increasing attention, which is independent of fixed candidate slot value ontology and can scale to unknown slot values. In previous open vocabulary-based DST, two types of methods are primarily concerned: candidate generation based DST and span extraction based DST. Candidate generation based DST depends on language understanding or N-gram to generate the list of candidate slot values, and then scores these candidate slot values to select the predicted slot values (Rastogi et al., 2017; Goel et al., 2018; Rastogi et al., 2018). Span extraction based DST is now more widely used because this kind of DST method can efficiently extract slot values by simply generating the slot value’s start and end positions in dialogue context (Xu and Hu, 2018; Chao and Lane, 2019; Gao et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019; Heck et al., 2020).

However, both kinds of methods above have great defects because of their own characters. For candidate generation based DST, many previous works have pointed out that it is prone to unavoidable error propagation and low efficiency (Rastogi et al., 2017; Xu and Hu, 2018; Chao and Lane, 2019) due to its pipelined two-stage process, i.e., extract the candidate and then score them. For span extraction based DST, there is a lack of semantic constraint between the start and end pointers of the slot values generated by the span extraction. Especially for unknown slot values containing multiple words, span extraction results in serious invalid spans because it cannot effectively utilize the context semantic information that constrains start-end

¹This work has been submitted to the IEEE for possible publication. Copyright may be transferred without notice, after which this version may no longer be accessible.

pointer pairs.

To tackle the above drawbacks, in this paper, we propose a novel scalable dialogue state tracking method based on slot tagging navigation (STN4DST), which implements an end-to-end single-step pointer to locate and extract slot value quickly and accurately by the joint learning of slot tagging and slot value position prediction, especially for unknown slot values. Specifically, all candidate slot values in a dialogue are first located by slot tagging. Then, for each slot, a distribution is generated on the dialogue to obtain the slot value start position pointer. Finally, the slot value is extracted directly from the dialogue using the start position pointer and slot tagging output. Note that, previous dialogue state and special slot values (e.g., *dontcare*) are added to the input of STN4DST to achieve automatic dialogue state update and implicit slot value prediction.

The contributions of our work are as follows:

- We propose a novel scalable dialogue state tracking method based on slot tagging navigation (STN4DST), which can locate and extract slot value quickly and accurately, especially for unknown slot values.
- Our model achieves state-of-the-art performance on several benchmark datasets in an open vocabulary-based DST setting.
- Our model shows the potential for handling unknown slot values containing multiple words, which is a limitation in previous DST approaches.

2 Related Work

Previous open vocabulary-based dialogue state tracking (DST) can be divided into two categories according to the different ways of predicting slot value: candidate generation based DST (Rastogi et al., 2017; Goel et al., 2018; Rastogi et al., 2018) and span extraction based DST (Xu and Hu, 2018; Chao and Lane, 2019; Gao et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019; Heck et al., 2020). The former usually requires a candidate list of slot values, which can be either an N-gram list on the dialogue context or the slot tagging output of language understanding. The latter is now more widely used to extract slot values from dialogue context by directly generating start and end position pointers. In detail, the both kinds of DST methods above can be introduced as follows:

Candidate Generation based DST: Rastogi et al. (2017) extract utterance related, slot related and candidate related features and feed them into slot specific candidate scorer to update the score of each candidate in the candidate set, the list of candidates they use comes from ground truth slot tagging label. Goel et al. (2018) create two kinds of candidate sets: N-gram candidate set and spoken language understanding (SLU) candidate set. They produce a binary classification decision for each combination of a candidate value and a slot type to determine whether the candidate is the update value of the slot type or not. Rastogi et al. (2018) use slot values obtained from slot tagging to update the set of candidates for each slot in each turn, and depend on parameter-shared slot scorer to score all candidate in each slot.

Span Extraction based DST: Xu and Hu (2018) first introduce pointer network (Vinyals et al., 2015) into DST. They use a bidirectional recurrent neural network to encode all dialogue history to obtain a context representation, which is then fed into a gate to determine whether to extract slot values. And the slot value is extracted by generating the start and end pointers in the dialogue history. Gao et al. (2019) formulate DST as a reading comprehension task to answer the question “*what is the state of the current dialog?*” after reading dialogue context. And they use a simple attention-based neural network to point to the start and end positions of slot values within the dialogue. Chao and Lane (2019) use BERT (Devlin et al., 2018) as dialogue context encoder to obtain semantic context, so the span prediction module can more effectively predict the starting and ending positions of slot values. Zhang et al. (2019) target the issue of illformatted strings that generative models suffer from and take a hybrid approach for picklist-based slots and span-based slots. Heck et al. (2020) use a triple copy strategy to fill slots in the dialogue state. They set up three copy mechanisms for slot values: span prediction on dialogue context, copy from system inform memory and coreference resolve on previous dialogue state.

3 The Proposed Model

The architecture of the proposed model² is shown in Figure 1. Our model consists of three parts: dialogue encoder, slot tagging module and slot value

²Our code will be released upon publication of this work.

position prediction module.

In our model, the dialogue encoder takes the dialogue content, the previous dialogue state and appendix slot values as input to get the contextual representation. Guided by the output of the encoder, the slot tagging is used to locate all candidate slot values in the dialogue content; meanwhile, in the slot value position prediction, a distribution on the output is generated for each slot to navigate to the position of the predicted slot value.

3.1 Dialogue Encoder

We use pretrained BERT (Devlin et al., 2018), which has been proved to work well in natural language representation, as encoder in our model. The input of the encoder consists of three parts: the dialogue content, the previous dialogue state and appendix slot values. Now, let’s introduce the format definitions of the three different parts.

Dialogue Content: Define $D = \{(Y_1, U_1), \dots, (Y_T, U_T)\}$ as the dialogue sequence of length T , where Y_t is the system utterance at turn t , and U_t is the user utterance following the system utterance. The dialogue content at turn t in our model is $C_t = H_t^l \oplus [SEP] \oplus Y_t \oplus U_t \oplus [SEP]$ where $H_t^l = \{(Y_{t-l}, U_{t-l}), \dots, (Y_{t-1}, U_{t-1})\}$ is the dialogue history up to turn t of length l , character “;” is a special token used to mark the boundary between Y_t and U_t , and $[SEP]$ is a special token for separating different sentences in BERT. Hyperparameter l is usually set to 0 or 1, and a proper length of dialogue history is necessary in some cases for slot value references that may occur across multiple turns of dialogues.

Previous Dialogue State: Let $M_t = \{(S^n, V_t^n) | 1 \leq n \leq N\}$ be the dialogue state at turn t , N is the number of slot in the predefined state ontology, S^n is the n -th slot and V_t^n is the value of the slot at turn t . To implement the dialogue state update mechanism, we define the dialogue state as a fixed-size memory, referring to the setting in SOM-DST (Kim et al., 2020). Thus, the previous dialogue state in the encoder input at turn t is denoted as $M_{t-1} = M_{t-1}^1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_{t-1}^N$, in which $M_{t-1}^n = [SLOT] \oplus S^n \oplus - \oplus V_{t-1}^n$ is the representation of slot-value pair. “-” is a special token used to mark the boundary between a slot and a value, $[SLOT]$ is a special token used to aggregate the information of the slot-value pair into a single vector. In addition, if the slot value

of a slot is not mentioned before turn t , we use a uniform token $[NULL]$ to represent the slot value.

Appendix Slot Values: Some special slot values cannot be extracted directly from the dialogue content, for example, *dontcare* indicates that the user does not have specific requirements for a slot, which often needs to be inferred from the dialogue content. In previous works (Xu and Hu, 2018; Chao and Lane, 2019; Gao et al., 2019; Heck et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2020), these special slot values are usually solved by the gate mechanism of slot value type classification, which ignores the semantic information of these special values. In this paper, we add these special values as appendix slot values to the input of the encoder to make more efficient use of the semantic information of the values. Specifically, let $\{A^1, \dots, A^k\}$ be the set of all k special values, the appendix slot values in the encoder input are concatenated into $A = [APPD] \oplus A^1 \dots [APPD] \oplus A^k$, in which $[APPD]$ is a special token used to aggregate the information of the special value into a single vector, same as $[SLOT]$.

The input tokens of the encoder are the concatenation of the three mentioned above:

$$R = [CLS] \oplus C_t \oplus M_{t-1} \oplus A$$

where $[CLS]$ is the special token placed at the beginning of the input in BERT.

The input of BERT is the concatenation of the WordPiece embedding (Wu et al., 2016) for input tokens, segment embedding, and positional embedding. For the segment embedding, we use 0 for the tokens that belong to H_t^l and 1 for all other tokens, the positional embedding follow the settings in BERT.

The embedded input sequence is then passed to BERT’s bidirectional Transformer encoder, and the final hidden states are denoted as:

$$[h^{[CLS]}, h_1^{dial}, \dots, h_{|C_t|}^{dial}, h_1^{slot}, \dots, h_{|M_{t-1}|}^{slot}, h_1^{appd}, \dots, h_{|A|}^{appd}]$$

where $h^{[CLS]}$ is a representation of the entire input, $H^{dial} = [h_1^{dial}, \dots, h_{|C_t|}^{dial}]$, $H^{slot} = [h_1^{slot}, \dots, h_{|M_{t-1}|}^{slot}]$ and $H^{appd} = [h_1^{appd}, \dots, h_{|A|}^{appd}]$ are contextual representations for the dialogue content, the previous dialogue state and appendix slot values, respectively. H^{dial} is used for slot tagging




Figure 1: Architecture of the proposed STN4DST. For each turn, STN4DST takes the dialogue content, the previous dialogue state and appendix slot values as input, and outputs the IOB labels of the dialogue content and the position of each slot value in the input. Parameters are shared in BERT and IOB label predictor for all slots, while the slot specific prediction layer is used for the slot value predictor.

to locate all candidate slot values in dialogue content, and each slot in the dialogue state is determined by a distribution on the concatenation of H^{dial} , H^{slot} and H^{appd} .

3.2 Slot Tagging for Dialogue Content

Slot tagging is introduced in our model as multi-task learning, and its ability to solve unknown slot values has been demonstrated in previous works. Specifically, in previous studies (Goel et al., 2018; Rastogi et al., 2018), slot tagging is usually used to extract candidate slot values from a dialogue and add them to candidate set, and then semantic matching is adopted to realize slot value selection. However, the above approach not only cause error propagation, but also lost the context semantic information of the slot value in the dialogue. In this paper, we propose a new multi-task learning strategy, called slot tagging navigation, to joint learning slot tagging and slot value position prediction.

Let $S = \{S^1, \dots, S^N\}$ be the set of all slots in the predefined state ontology, a set of $2|S| + 1$ labels ($-B$ label and $-I$ label for each slot and a single O label) is defined for IOB tagging scheme (Sang and Buchholz, 2000). For each token representation h_i^{dial} in dialog content representation H^{dial} , it is linearly projected through a common layer, IOB label predictor, whose output values correspond to all IOB tagging labels. Softmax is then applied to the output values to produce a probability distribution across all IOB labels, by which the IOB label of i -th token in dialogue content can be

determined:

$$P_i^{iob}(h_i^{dial}) = \text{softmax}(W^{iob} \cdot h_i^{dial} + b^{iob}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2|S|+1}$$

where W^{iob} and b^{iob} are trainable parameters trained from scratch in our model.

Different from previous works where P_i^{iob} is used only to extract candidate slot values, in slot tagging navigation, we assume that each token in the dialogue content is the starting position of a candidate slot value, and P_i^{iob} is used to determine the specific range of the candidate slot value. In addition, the slot tagging task also performs the function of aggregating the information of the candidate slot value into the token with the $-B$ label. So, we can use each token representation h_i^{dial} to represent a candidate slot value, thereby avoiding error propagation and obtaining context semantic information.

3.3 Single-step Slot Value Position Prediction

For open vocabulary-based dialogue state tracking, the span extraction has attracted great attention because of its ability to extract unknown slot values from the dialogue. However, this kind of methods require two-step prediction of slot value starting and ending positions and lacks semantic constraints between the two steps, which makes this method inefficient and unwarranted. In this paper, benefiting from slot tagging navigation’s assumption that each token in the dialogue content is the starting position of a candidate slot value, we are able to predict the starting position of slot value by simply generating a distribution on the dialogue content,

thus achieving single-step slot value position prediction.

In addition to the dialogue content, the previous dialogue state and appendix slot values are added to the input of slot value predictor to learn the dialogue state update mechanism and track implicit slot values. The input V of our slot value predictor is the concatenation of H^{dial} , H^{slot} and H^{appd} .

$$V = [h_1^{dial}, \dots, h_{|C_t|}^{dial}, h_1^{slot}, \dots, h_{|M_{t-1}|}^{slot}, h_1^{appd}, \dots, h_{|A|}^{appd}]$$

For each slot S^n that is to be predicted, a slot specific prediction layer takes each token representation v_j in V as input and projects them as follows:

$$\alpha_j^n = W_n^{value} \cdot v_j + b_n^{value} \in \mathbb{R}^1$$

$$P_n^{value} = \text{softmax}(\alpha^n)$$

$$position_n^{value} = \text{argmax}(P_n^{value})$$

where W_n^{value} and b_n^{value} are trainable parameters for each slot S^n .

$position_n^{value}$ is a pointer to the input V , which may hit any position in V . Corresponding to the input of the encoder, according to the $position_n^{value}$ hit position, slot value extraction can be divided into three cases as follows:

Hit on Dialogue Content: This situation indicates that the slot value needs to be extracted from the dialogue. According to the slot tagging output, if the $position_n^{value}$ hit position is marked with $-B$, the slot value hit successfully, and it is extracted using the IOB tagging rule.

Hit on Previous Dialogue State: The slot retains the previous value or references the value of another slot. A successful hit occurs when the $position_n^{value}$ points to any $[SLOT]$ in the input, if the slot hits itself, it retains its previous value; if it hits other slots, coreference resolution (Heck et al., 2020) occurs.

Hit on Appendix Slot Values: The slot value is a special value that cannot be extracted directly from the dialogue but needs to be inferred. When the $position_n^{value}$ points to any $[APPD]$ in the input, the special value following it is taken as the predicted slot value to form a successful hit.

Note that when the $position_n^{value}$ does not hit any valid token (with $-B$ label, or $[SLOT]$, or $[APPD]$), the slot value will not be updated. In

Dataset	# Slots	# Dialogues (train, dev, test)	USV%
Sim-M	5	384, 120, 264	33.3%
Sim-R	9	1116, 349, 775	12.5%
WOZ2.0	3	600, 200, 400	3.7%
MWOZ2.2	11	8438, 1000, 999	22.5%

Table 1: Data statistics of Sim-M, Sim-R, WOZ2.0 and MWOZ2.2. The last column presents the percentage of unique slot values in the test set that were not observed in the training data, i.e., unknown slot values.

practice, the $position_n^{value}$ can always hit successfully. Since there are very few slot values to be updated each turn, the slot value pointer tends to hit its own $[SLOT]$ token to retain the previous value,

3.4 Objective Function

In the training, slot tagging and slot value position prediction are jointly optimized by fine-tuning on BERT.

Slot Tagging: The slot tagging loss for all tokens in dialogue content is the average of the negative log-likelihood, as follows:

$$Loss^{iob} = -\frac{1}{I} \sum_{i=1}^I (Y_i^{iob})^\top \log(P_i^{iob})$$

where Y_i^{iob} is the one-hot vector for the ground truth IOB label for the i -th token in dialogue content.

Slot Value Position Prediction: The objective function for slot value position prediction is also the average of the negative log-likelihood:

$$Loss^{value} = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (Y_n^{value})^\top \log(P_n^{value})$$

where Y_n^{value} is the one-hot vector for the ground truth slot value hit position for the n -th slot.

Therefore, the final joint objective function to be minimized is the sum of the above two loss functions:

$$Loss^{joint} = Loss^{iob} + Loss^{value}$$

4 Experiment Settings

4.1 Datasets

We evaluate our model on four benchmark datasets: **Sim-M** (Shah et al., 2018), **Sim-R** (Shah et al.,

2018), **WOZ2.0** (Wen et al., 2016) and **MWOZ2.2** (Zang et al., 2020), the statistics of these datasets are shown in Table 1.

Sim-M and Sim-R are multi-turn dialogue datasets in the movie and restaurant domains. Since the percentage of unknown slot values (out-of-vocabulary values) in Sim-M’s *movie* slot and Sim-R’s *restaurant_name* slot reaches 100% and 39% respectively, these two datasets present a challenge for dialogue state tracking to scale to unknown slot values. Span annotations for all slot values (except special value *dontcare*) in the system utterance and user utterance are provided in these two datasets so that full token-level IOB labels can be obtained in the training of slot tagging.

WOZ2.0 provides automatic speech recognition (ASR) hypotheses of user utterances that can be used to assess the robustness of dialogue state tracking against ASR errors, the dataset contains three slots for the restaurant domain: *food*, *area*, and *price_range*. As in previous works, we use manuscript user utterance for training and top ASR hypothesis for testing. The WOZ2.0 dataset does not provide full token-level IOB labels, but only slot filling information for sentence-level language understanding (LU). Since such LU annotation is more common and accessible in practice, we extract the incomplete IOB labels directly from the LU annotation to verify the performance of our model in the case of low IOB label resources.

MWOZ2.2 is the latest revision of MultiWOZ (Budzianowski et al., 2018), a well-known task-oriented dialogue dataset containing over 10,000 annotated dialogues spanning 8 domains. MWOZ2.2 uses a predefined schema to divide slots into two categories: non-categorical and categorical, in which the slots with fewer than 50 different slot values in the training set were classified as categorical, and the others as non-categorical. We carried out automatic IOB tagging of all 11 non-categorical slots in the five frequently studied domains (*train*, *attraction*, *restaurant*, *hotel*, *taxi*) on the MWOZ2.2 dataset. It should be noted that the capacity of our model is limited by incomplete IOB labels.

Following previous works (Xu and Hu, 2018; Chao and Lane, 2019; Heck et al., 2020), the **joint goal accuracy** on all test sets is calculated for comprehensive comparison with other approaches. Joint goal accuracy is the accuracy to check whether all the predicted slot values in

a turn match the ground truth slot values exactly. In addition, we calculate **slot accuracy**, **slot tagging accuracy** and **slot value position prediction accuracy** to carry out detailed model analysis.

4.2 Baselines

We compare the performance of STN4DST with both fixed ontology-based DST and open vocabulary-based DST.

NBT-CNN (Mrkšić et al., 2017) uses CNN to obtain the semantic representation of dialogue context and slot-value pair candidate, and then selects the candidate to update the dialogue state by establishing a binary classifier.

SMD-DST (Rastogi et al., 2017) extracts feature from system and user utterances with a two layer stacked bi-directional GRU network and scores all candidates from the ground truth slot tagging output for each slot to select slot value.

LU-DST (Rastogi et al., 2018) uses a bidirectional GRU to encode the user utterance and selects slot value for each slot by scoring the candidates from slot tagging output.

SpanPtr (Xu and Hu, 2018) encodes the whole dialogue history with a bidirectional LSTM and extracts slot value for each slot by generating the start and end positions in dialogue history.

TRADE (Wu et al., 2019) uses a slot gate to predict whether slot values need to be generated, and there is a PGN-based state generator in the model to generate slot values.

GLAD (Zhong et al., 2018) takes previous system action and current user utterance as the input of self-attentive RNNs, and then calculates the semantic similarity between the output of RNN and the items in the predefined ontology to select the predicted value.

StateNet (Ren et al., 2018) applies LSTM to track the inner dialogue states among the dialogue turns. And for each slot, it outputs a corresponding probability distribution over the set of possible values at each of the dialogue turn.

SUMBT (Lee et al., 2019) uses BERT to encode domain-slot pairs and dialogue contexts, and then relies on the distance measure to select slot values from the slot value ontology.

BERT-DST (Chao and Lane, 2019) exploits BERT-base as the encoder for the dialogue context and extracts the value of the slots from the dialogue context as a span.

TripPy (Heck et al., 2020) encodes the whole dialogue context with BERT and sets up three copy mechanisms for slot values: span extraction on dialogue context, copy from system inform memory and coreference resolve on previous dialogue state.

SOM-DST (Kim et al., 2020) uses an explicit memory that can be selectively overwritten to represent the dialogue state and divides the prediction for each slot into two steps: slot operation prediction and slot value generation.

4.3 Training

The pre-trained BERT (Vaswani et al., 2017) (BERT-Base, Uncased) which has 12 hidden layers of 768 units and 12 self-attention heads is used as encoder in our model. During training, we use the BertAdam optimizer (Kingma and Ba, 2014) and set the peak learning rate to $4e-5$ and the warmup proportion to 0.1. We use a 10% dropout (Srivastava et al., 2014) rate and a batch size of 16. The max sequence length is set to 128 except for 150 on WOZ2.0 and 280 on MWOZ2.2. For dialogue content, we deploy word dropout (Bowman et al., 2016) by randomly replacing the tokens in it with special token $[UNK]$ with the probability of 0.1. In addition, on the Sim-M dataset, we use a value dropout (Xu and Sarikaya, 2014) with a probability of 0.4, which randomly replaces the slot value in the dialogue content with the special token $[UNK]$.

We train the model for 100 epochs, and the training is stopped early when the joint goal accuracy on dev set is not improved for 15 consecutive epochs. For more reliable results, all the reported results of the proposed model are averages over three runs with different seeds.

5 Experimental Results

Tables 2, 3, and 4 show the performance of our model compared to various baselines, it can be observed that:

In the case of high unknown slot value ratio, the performance of our model has a great absolute advantage over previous state-of-the-art baselines. For examples, on Sim-M dataset, our model achieves an absolute improvement of 5.3% com-

DST Model	Sim-M	Sim-R
SMD-DST	96.8% [†]	94.4% [†]
TripPy	83.5%*	90.0%*
LU-DST	50.4%	87.1%
BERT-DST	80.1%	89.6%
STN4DST	85.4±1.4%	95.4±0.2%

Table 2: Joint goal accuracy on Sim-M and Sim-R. [†] indicates the corresponding model should be considered as a strong oracle because the candidates are ground truth slot tagging labels. * indicates the corresponding model should be considered as a weak oracle because the system action that contains the ground truth slot value is used as auxiliary feature.

DST Model	MWOZ2.2
SpanPtr	38.4%
TRADE	62.4%
BERT-DST	65.4%
SOM-DST	69.6%
STN4DST	68.7±0.0%

Table 3: Joint goal accuracy on MWOZ2.2. The corresponding experimental results are obtained from the baseline model reproduced in this paper.

DST Model	WOZ2.0
NBT-CNN	84.2%
GLAD	88.1%
StateNet	88.9%
SUMBT	91.0%
TripPy	92.7%*
BERT-DST	87.7%
STN4DST	89.4±0.2%

Table 4: Joint goal accuracy on WOZ2.0. The top group of models require a fixed slot value ontology. * should be considered as a oracle because the system action that contains the ground truth slot value is used as auxiliary feature.

pared with BERT-DST. Even compared with the previous state-of-the-art model TripPy, which uses system action as an auxiliary feature, our model still exceeds it by 1.9%. Over Sim-R dataset, we promote the joint goal accuracy to 95.4%, an absolute improvement of 5.4% compared with the best result published previously. On MWOZ2.2 dataset, our model still outperforms the span-based BERTDST model by 3.3%, although the annotations are incomplete.

In the case of relatively few unknown slot values, our proposed model maintains comparable

Model	Sim-M
STN4DST	85.4%
- appendix slot values	84.3%
- previous dialogue state	83.0%
- position prediction	79.1%
- slot tagging	78.9%

Table 5: Ablation experiments for our model in joint goal accuracy on Sim-M. We use a cascading strategy to gradually remove each part in the model. In particular, “position prediction” indicates the single-step slot value position prediction module. The “-” in each item represents the further removal of the item’s module after removing the module in all items above. For example, “- previous dialogue state” refers to removing both appendix slot values and previous dialogue state from the model input.

results. For examples, on WOZ2.0 dataset, our model achieves a joint goal accuracy of 89.4%, and improves the performance by 1.7% in the open vocabulary-based DST setting.

5.1 Ablation Study

Ablation experiments are conducted on Sim-M, shown in Table 5. Binary classifier (the value is *dontcare* or not) is used in “- appendix slot values” to classify the types of slot values, while triple classifier (the value is *dontcare* or not mentioned, or extract from dialogue content) is used in the three different versions of the model below “- appendix slot values”. In addition, span extraction is used in “- position prediction” and “- slot tagging” for slot value extraction.

It can be observed that appendix slot values and previous dialogue state all contribute to joint goal accuracy. For examples, removing appendix slot values reduces joint goal accuracy by 1.1%, and the joint goal accuracy further decreases by 1.3% without previous dialogue state.

Moreover, slot tagging navigation makes the greatest contribution to our model. For example, after we further remove slot tagging navigation, the joint goal accuracy reduces by 4.1%. In particular, removing only the single-step slot value position prediction in slot tagging navigation result in a 3.9% drop in joint goal accuracy, suggesting that slot tagging navigation is a relatively better multi-task learning strategy joint with slot tagging in dialogue state tracking.




Figure 2: The slot accuracy of our model and BERT-DST on the modified test set. The middle column presents all unenumerated slots in Sim-M and Sim-R.

5.2 Generalization Study

To investigate the limitations of our model in terms of generalization ability, we manually replace all unenumerated slot values in the test set of Sim-M and Sim-R with unknown slot values (manually written but meaningful). The slot accuracy of our model and BERT-DST on the modified test set is shown in Figure 2. It can be observed that the accuracy of most slots of our model is above 90%, with the lowest remaining at 87.9%. This indicates that our model is well equipped to handle unknown slot values and its generalization is guaranteed.

Compared with BERT-DST, our model has great advantages in handling unknown slot values with longer lengths, i.e., *movie*, *theatre_name*, and *restaurant_name*, which on average contain 2.8, 3.4 and 1.6 words in the test set. Since these slot values are more likely to appear in the form of unknown and complex representation in practice, the results of our model demonstrate that our model also has a fantastic potential in practical application.

6 Conclusion

We propose STN4DST, a scalable dialogue state tracking approach based on slot tagging navigation, which uses slot tagging to accurately locate candidate slot values in dialogue content, and then uses the single-step pointer to quickly extract the slot values. STN4DST achieves state-of-the-art joint goal accuracy on both Sim-M and Sim-R

while maintains comparable results on WOZ2.0 and MWOZ2.2. When dealing with more complex unknown slot values, STN4DST presents better generalization and scalability than the widely used span extraction, showing greater research potential and application prospect.

References

- Samuel Bowman, Luke Vilnis, Oriol Vinyals, Andrew Dai, Rafal Jozefowicz, and Samy Bengio. 2016. Generating sentences from a continuous space. In *Proceedings of The 20th SIGNLL Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning*, pages 10–21.
- Paweł Budzianowski, Tsung-Hsien Wen, Bo-Hsiang Tseng, Iñigo Casanueva, Stefan Ultes, Osman Ramadan, and Milica Gasic. 2018. Multiwoz-a large-scale multi-domain wizard-of-oz dataset for task-oriented dialogue modelling. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 5016–5026.
- Guan-Lin Chao and Ian Lane. 2019. Bert-dst: Scalable end-to-end dialogue state tracking with bidirectional encoder representations from transformer. *Proc. Interspeech 2019*, pages 1468–1472.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2018. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805*.
- Shuyang Gao, Abhishek Sethi, Sanchit Agarwal, Tagyoung Chung, Dilek Hakkani-Tur, and Amazon Alexa AI. 2019. Dialog state tracking: A neural reading comprehension approach. In *20th Annual Meeting of the Special Interest Group on Discourse and Dialogue*, page 264.
- Rahul Goel, Shachi Paul, Tagyoung Chung, Jeremie Lecomte, Arindam Mandal, and Dilek Hakkani-Tur. 2018. Flexible and scalable state tracking framework for goal-oriented dialogue systems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1811.12891*.
- Michael Heck, Carel van Niekerk, Nurul Lubis, Christian Geishauser, Hsien-Chin Lin, Marco Moresi, and Milica Gašić. 2020. Trippy: A triple copy strategy for value independent neural dialog state tracking. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.02877*.
- Sungdong Kim, Sohee Yang, Gyuwan Kim, and Sang-Woo Lee. 2020. Efficient dialogue state tracking by selectively overwriting memory. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 567–582.
- Diederik P Kingma and Jimmy Ba. 2014. Adam: A method for stochastic optimization. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980*.
- Hwaran Lee, Jinsik Lee, and Tae-Yoon Kim. 2019. Sumbt: Slot-utterance matching for universal and scalable belief tracking. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 5478–5483.
- Nikola Mrkšić, Diarmuid Ó Séaghdha, Tsung-Hsien Wen, Blaise Thomson, and Steve Young. 2017. Neural belief tracker: Data-driven dialogue state tracking. In *Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1777–1788.
- Abhinav Rastogi, Raghav Gupta, and Dilek Hakkani-Tur. 2018. Multi-task learning for joint language understanding and dialogue state tracking. In *Proceedings of the 19th Annual SIGdial Meeting on Discourse and Dialogue*, pages 376–384.
- Abhinav Rastogi, Dilek Hakkani-Tür, and Larry Heck. 2017. Scalable multi-domain dialogue state tracking. In *2017 IEEE Automatic Speech Recognition and Understanding Workshop (ASRU)*, pages 561–568. IEEE.
- Liliang Ren, Kaige Xie, Lu Chen, and Kai Yu. 2018. Towards universal dialogue state tracking. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 2780–2786.
- Erik Tjong Kim Sang and Sabine Buchholz. 2000. Introduction to the conll-2000 shared task chunking. In *Fourth Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning and the Second Learning Language in Logic Workshop*.
- Pararth Shah, Dilek Hakkani-Tür, Gokhan Tür, Abhinav Rastogi, Ankur Bapna, Neha Nayak, and Larry Heck. 2018. Building a conversational agent overnight with dialogue self-play. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.04871*.
- Yong Shan, Zekang Li, Jinchao Zhang, Fandong Meng, Yang Feng, Cheng Niu, and Jie Zhou. 2020. A contextual hierarchical attention network with adaptive objective for dialogue state tracking. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.01554*.
- Nitish Srivastava, Geoffrey Hinton, Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Ruslan Salakhutdinov. 2014. Dropout: a simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting. *The journal of machine learning research*, 15(1):1929–1958.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 5998–6008.
- Oriol Vinyals, Meire Fortunato, and Navdeep Jaitly. 2015. Pointer networks. In *Advances in neural information processing systems*, pages 2692–2700.

- Tsung-Hsien Wen, David Vandyke, Nikola Mrksic, Milica Gasic, Lina M Rojas-Barahona, Pei-Hao Su, Stefan Ultes, and Steve Young. 2016. A network-based end-to-end trainable task-oriented dialogue system. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1604.04562*.
- Chien-Sheng Wu, Andrea Madotto, Ehsan Hosseini-Asl, Caiming Xiong, Richard Socher, and Pascale Fung. 2019. Transferable multi-domain state generator for task-oriented dialogue systems. In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 808–819.
- Yonghui Wu, Mike Schuster, Zhifeng Chen, Quoc V Le, Mohammad Norouzi, Wolfgang Macherey, Maxim Krikun, Yuan Cao, Qin Gao, Klaus Macherey, et al. 2016. Google’s neural machine translation system: Bridging the gap between human and machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1609.08144*.
- Puyang Xu and Qi Hu. 2018. An end-to-end approach for handling unknown slot values in dialogue state tracking. In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1448–1457.
- Puyang Xu and Ruhi Sarikaya. 2014. Targeted feature dropout for robust slot filling in natural language understanding. In *Fifteenth Annual Conference of the International Speech Communication Association*.
- Xiaoxue Zang, Abhinav Rastogi, and Jindong Chen. 2020. Multiwoz 2.2: A dialogue dataset with additional annotation corrections and state tracking base-lines. In *Proceedings of the 2nd Workshop on Natural Language Processing for Conversational AI*, pages 109–117.
- Jian-Guo Zhang, Kazuma Hashimoto, Chien-Sheng Wu, Yao Wan, Philip S Yu, Richard Socher, and Caiming Xiong. 2019. Find or classify? dual strategy for slot-value predictions on multi-domain dialog state tracking. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.03544*.
- Victor Zhong, Caiming Xiong, and Richard Socher. 2018. Global-locally self-attentive encoder for dialogue state tracking. In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1458–1467.