

This form should be used for all taxonomic proposals. Please complete all those modules that are applicable (and then delete the unwanted sections). For guidance, see the notes written in blue and the separate document "Help with completing a taxonomic proposal"

Please try to keep related proposals within a single document; you can copy the modules to create more than one genus within a new family, for example.

# MODULE 1: TITLE, AUTHORS, etc

Code assigned:	2011.009	a-mP		(to be cor officers)	mpleted by	ICTV
Short title: Divide the genus A Betanecrovirus (e.g. 6 new species in the genus A Modules attached (modules 1 and 9 are required)	<i>Vecrovirus</i> into Zetavirus)	2 new ger $1 \boxtimes 6$	era, <i>Alph</i> 2 □ 7 ⊠	anecrovir $3 \boxtimes \\ 8 \boxtimes$	$\begin{array}{c} us \text{ and} \\ 4 \\ 9 \\ \end{array}$	5 🗌

Author(s) with e-mail address(es) of the proposer:

D'Ann Rochon (dann.rochon@agr.gc.ca) on behalf of the Tombusviridae Study Group

#### List the ICTV study group(s) that have seen this proposal:

Tombusviridae

ICTV-EC or Study Group comments and response of the proposer:

Date first submitted to ICTV: Date of this revision (if different to above): 5 August 2011

## MODULE 3: **NEW GENUS**

creating a new genus

Code	201	1.009aP	(assigned by ICTV officers)
To create a	a new	genus within:	
Subfan	nily:		
Fan	nily:	Tombusviridae	
Oı	rder:		

naming a new genus

Code	2011.009bP	(assigned by ICTV officers)
To name tl	he new genus: Alphanecrovirus	S

Assigning the type species and other species to a new genus

Code	2011.009cP	(assigned by ICTV officers)
To designa	ate the following as the type sp	ecies of the new genus:
Tobacco n	ecrosis virus A	
Please ente	er here the TOTAL number o	f species (including the type species) that the genus
will contai	n	

#### **Reasons to justify the creation of a new genus:**

Background:

The current genus *Necrovirus* consists of 7 species: tobacco necrosis virus A (TNV-A; the type member), olive mild mosaic virus (OMMV), olive latent virus-1 (OLV-1), leek white stripe virus (LWSV), tobacco necrosis virus-D (TNV-D), beet black scorch virus (BBSV) and chenopodium necrosis virus (ChNV). Virus particles are ~28nm spheres which encapsidate an approximate 3.7 kb RNA genome. All necroviruses are soil-transmitted and most are transmitted by *Olpidium spp*. Necroviruses differ from other viruses in the family *Tombusviridae* in genome organization and their coat proteins are shorter, lacking a C-terminal protruding domain. Complete genome sequences for all but ChNV are known. ORF 1 encodes the replicase with the polymerase domain being present 3' of a readthrough codon. ORFs 2 and 3 are small centrally located ORFs that encode the movement proteins, MP1 and MP2. ORF 4 lies in the 3' terminal region and encodes the coat protein. A fifth small ORF of unknown function is encoded by some species.

#### Phylogenetic analyses:

The polymerase, MP1 and MP2 proteins of the 6 necroviruses sequenced fall into two phylogenetically distinct groups; one consisting of TNV-A, OMMV and OLV-1 and the other consisting of LWSV, TNV-D and BBSV (Figs. 1,2), supporting the division of this genus into two separate genera. Unlike the polymerase, MP1 and MP2 proteins, the coat proteins of the 6 viruses group together.

<u>Genetic distance:</u> 1) Polymerase: The polymerases of BBSV, TNV-D and LWSV share 57-69% aa sequence identity with each other but only 32-34% identity with the other 3 sequenced necroviruses. Similarly, the polymerases of OMMV, TNV-A and OLV-1 share 90-92% as sequence identity with each other but only 32-34% identity with the other necroviruses. The two groups of necroviruses are approximately as distant from each other as they are to other *Tombusviridae* members (Table 1).

**2)** Movement protein 1 (MP1): The percent as sequence identity in MP1 sequences further supports the formation of two distinct genera. The MP1 sequences of BBSV, TNV-D and LWSV share 39-49% as sequence identity with each other but only 6-21% identity with the other 3 necroviruses. Similarly, the MP1 sequences of OMMV, TNV-A and OLV-1 share 86-90% as sequence identity with each other but only 6-21% identity with the other necroviruses. The MP1 sequences of the two groups of necroviruses are approximately as distant from each other as they are to other *Tombusviridae* members (Table 2).

**3)** Movement protein 2 (MP2): The percent aa sequence identity in MP2 further supports the formation of two distinct genera from the current necroviruses. MP2 sequences of BBSV, TNV-D and LWSV share 39-47% aa sequence identity with each other but only 10-25% identity with the other 3 necroviruses. Similarly, MP2 sequences of OMMV, TNV-A and OLV-1 share 98-100% aa sequence identity with each other but only 10-25% identity with the other necroviruses. The two groups of necroviruses are approximately as distant from each other as they are to other *Tombusviridae* members (Table 3).

**4) Coat protein**: The coat proteins of the necroviruses do not fall into separate groups based on aa sequence identity. Percent sequence identity ranges from 26-86%. It is notable that TNV-D in the proposed genus *Betanecrovirus* and OMMV in the proposed genus *Alphanecrovirus* share 86% aa sequence identity and are serologically cross-reactive (Cardoso et al., 2005). On the other hand, OLV-1 (*Alphanecrovirus*) is serologically related to both TNV-A (same genus) and TNV-D (*Betanecrovirus*) (Martelli *et al.* (1996).

<u>Phylogenetic considerations</u>: It is likely that the similarity in coat protein sequences between the 2 groups is a result of recombination events between members of the two groups as suggested for OLV-1 which has been proposed to be a recombinant between OMMV and TNV-D (Cardoso et al., 2005).

# The position of ChNV:

This virus was described by Tomlinson et al (1983; Ann. Appl. Biol. 102: 135-147). They felt that the virus might be distinct from existing species because it did not cause local lesions in two hosts that normally give local lesions when inoculated with various TNV isolates and it also went systemic in plants in which TNV isolates often don't go systemic. ChNV was serologically identical to TNV-D but that does not help to determine whether it is an alpha or betanecrovirus. Until sequence data become available, we propose that it should become a species unassigned within the family.

Current species in genus Necrovirus	Proposed new status
Tobacco necrosis virus A (type)	Alphanecrovirus (type)
Olive mild mosaic virus	Alphanecrovirus
Olive latent virus 1	Alphanecrovirus
Tobacco necrosis virus D	Betanecrovirus (type)
Beet black scorch virus	Betanecrovirus
Leek white stripe virus	Betanecrovirus
Chenopodium necrosis virus	Unassigned Tombusviridae

Summary of the proposed changes:

<u>Summary of SG views on this proposal:</u> The high level of sequence diversity in the polymerase, MP1 and MP2 sequences strongly suggests that consideration be given to the proposal that the current genus be divided into 2 genera. This proposal was supported by 4 of the SG members. Two of the SG members did not support this proposal believing that the CP similarities and serological cross-reactivities between the two groups should be given greater weight. In addition, one SG member pointed out that the two groups: (i) do not seem to have host type preferences (i.e. monocots versus dicots; herbaceous versus woody); (ii) share the same ecological niche (soil); (iii) have the same epidemiological behaviour; (iv) when known, have the same type of vector (*Olpidium*). Thus, as a group, necroviruses represent a good example of a biological "*unicus*". This raised the question as to whether the molecular divergence in the polymerase should be given such a preponderant weight as to justify two genera?

#### **Origin of the new genus name:**

The existing name *Necrovirus* is retained but with alpha- and beta- added to distinguish the two genera

#### Reasons to justify the choice of type species:

TNV-A is currently the type member of the genus *Necrovirus* and was the first virus to be sequenced in the proposed new genus

## Species demarcation criteria in the new genus:

1) Less than 93% as sequence identity in the polymerase and 2) Less than 55% as sequence identity in the coat protein

### MODULE 3: **NEW GENUS**

creating a new genus

Code	201	1.009dP	(assigned by I	CTV officers)
To create a	a new	genus within:		
Subfar	nily:			]
Fan	nily:	Tombusviridae		
O	rder:			

naming a new genus

Code	2011.009eP	(assigned by ICTV officers)
To name tl	he new genus: Betanecrovirus	

Assigning the type species and other species to a new genus

Code	2011.009fP	(assigned by ICTV officers)
To designa Tobacco no	ate the following as the type sp ecrosis virus D	pecies of the new genus:
Please ente will contai 3	er here the TOTAL number of n:	f species (including the type species) that the genus

#### Reasons to justify the creation of a new genus:

See reasons given for genus Alphanecrovirus above.

#### Origin of the new genus name:

The existing name *Necrovirus* is retained but with alpha- and beta- added to distinguish the two genera

#### Reasons to justify the choice of type species:

TNV-D is the best studied of the viruses and was the first to be sequenced.

#### Species demarcation criteria in the new genus: .

Alphanecrovirus:

- 1) Less than 93% aa sequence identity in the polymerase and
- 2) Less than 55% aa sequence identity in the coat protein

Part (a)	taxon	/taxa	to	he	remo	ved	or	mo	ved

rait (a)	lax011/	taxa to be removed of mic	Iveu
Code	201	1.009gP	(assigned by ICTV officers)
To remo	ove the	e following taxon (or tax	a) from their present position:
Tobacco	necro	osis virus A, Olive mild m	osaic virus and Olive latent virus 1
The pres	sent ta	axonomic position of the	se taxon/taxa:
G	enus:	Necrovirus	
Subfa	mily:		
Fa	mily:	Tombusviridae	
C	Order:		
If the taxo in the box	on/taxa	are to be abolished (i.e. not e right	t reassigned to another taxon) write "yes"

Reasons to justify the removal:

These species are currently in the genus *Necrovirus*. As the genus will disappear in the proposed reorganization the species need to be removed and assigned to the appropriate new genus as described below.

Part (b) re-assign to a higher taxon

Code	201	1.009hP	(assigned by ICTV officers)
To re-as	sign tl	he taxon (or taxa) listed	in Part (a) as follows:
G	enus:	Alphanecrovirus (new)	)
Subfa	mily:		
Fai	mily:	Tombusviridae	
0	Order:		
0	Order:		

**Reasons to justify the re-assignment:** 

See module 3, above

<b>I al ( a)</b> taxon/taxa to be removed of move
---

Part (a)	Part (a) taxon/taxa to be removed or moved								
Code	201	1.009iP	(assigned by ICTV officers)						
To remove the following taxon (or taxa) from their present position:									
Tobacco	necro	sis virus D, Beet black so	corch virus and Leek white stripe virus						
The pres	sent ta	axonomic position of the	se taxon/taxa:						
G	enus:	Necrovirus							
Subfa	mily:								
Fai	mily:	Tombusviridae							
0	Order:								

If the taxon/taxa are to be abolished (i.e. not reassigned to another taxon) write "yes" in the box on the right

## **Reasons to justify the removal:**

These species are currently in the genus Necrovirus. As the genus will disappear in the proposed reorganization the species need to be removed and assigned to the appropriate new genus as described below.

## **Part (b)** re-assign to a higher taxon

Code	201	1.009jP	(assigned by ICTV officers)	
To re-as	sign tl	he taxon (or taxa) listed	n Part (a) as follows:	
G	enus:	Betanecrovirus (new)		
Subfa	mily:			
Fai	mily:	Tombusviridae		
0	rder:			
0	rder:			

**Reasons to justify the re-assignment:** 

See module 3, above

Code	201	1.009kP	(assigned by ICTV officers)						
To remo	To remove the following taxon (or taxa) from their present position:								
Chenopo	odium	necrosis virus							
The pres	sent ta	xonomic position of the	se taxon/taxa:						
G	enus:	Necrovirus							
Subfa	mily:								
Fa	mily:	Tombusviridae							
C	Order:								
If the taxo	on/taxa	are to be abolished (i.e. not	t reassigned to another taxon) write "yes"						
in the box	c on the	e right							
Doggong	to inc	tify the removal.							

Part (a) taxon/taxa to be removed or moved

**Reasons to justify the removal:** This species is currently in the genus *Necrovirus*. As the genus will disappear in the proposed reorganization the species need to be removed and re-assigned.

**Part (b)** re-assign to a higher taxon

Code	201	1.009lP	(assigned by ICTV officers)	
To re-as	sign tl	ne taxon (or taxa) listed	in Part (a) as follows:	
G	enus:	unassigned		
Subfa	mily:			
Fai	mily:	Tombusviridae		
0	rder:			
0	rder:			

## **Reasons to justify the re-assignment:**

Biological data suggest that Chenopodium necrosis virus is closely related to necroviruses, but without sequence data the only sensible option is to place it unassigned within the family for the present.

Code	201	1.009mP	(assigned by ICTV officers)						
To remo	To remove the following taxon (or taxa) from their present position:								
Genus N	Vecrov	irus							
The pre	The present taxonomic position of these taxon/taxa:								
G	enus:	Necrovirus							
Subfa	mily:								
Fa	mily:	Tombusviridae							
C	Order:								
If the taxon/taxa are to be abolished (i.e. not reassigned to another taxon) write "yes" in the box on the right <b>YES</b>									
Reasons	s to jus	stify the removal:							
This gen	us wil	l disappear in the propose	d reorganization.						

Part (a) taxon/taxa to be removed or moved

# MODULE 9: **<u>APPENDIX</u>**: supporting material

additional material in support of this proposal

#### **References:**

Cardoso J.M., Félix, M.R., Clara, M.I., Oliveira, S. (2005) Archives of Virology 150: 815-823.

Martelli, G.P., Yilmaz, M.A., Savino, V., Baloglu, S., Grieco, F., et al. (1996). European Journal of Plant Pathology 102: 527-536.

Rubino, L. and Martelli, G.P. (2008) Necrovirus. Encyclopedia of Virology, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition, pg 403-405.

Figure 1. Distance tree of the polymerase of *Tombusviridae* members highlighting the position of the polymerases of necroviruses which are represented in two distinct lineages. Alignments were conducted using ClustalX 2.1 and trees were generated with the Neighbor Joining algorithm using 1000 bootstrap replicates.



Figure 2. Distance tree of the MP1 (top) and MP2 (bottom) of *Tombusviridae* members highlighting the position of the MPs of necroviruses which are represented in two distinct lineages for both MPs. Alignments were conducted using ClustalX 2.1 and trees were generated with the Neighbor Joining algorithm using 1000 bootstrap replicates.



Figure 3. Distance tree of the coat proteins of *Tombusviridae* members highlighting the position of the of necroviruses which cluster in one lineage, unlike what is observed in the polymerase and MP trees. Alignments were conducted using ClustalX 2.1 and trees were generated with the Neighbor Joining algorithm using 1000 bootstrap replicates.



		% aa sequence identity in polymerase								
	BBSV	TNV-D	LWSV	OMMV	TNV-A	OLV-1	Other Tombus- viridae			
BBSV	-	69	57	34	34	34	22-39			
TNV-D		-	60	33	34	33	23-39			
LWSV			-	32	33	33	22-37			
OMMV				-	90	92	25-39			
TNV-A					-	90	25-38			
OLV-1						-	25-38			
Other Tombus- viridae							-			

Table 1. Percent amino acid sequence identity between the polymerases of the 6 necroviruses and other *Tombusviridae* members.

Table 2. Percent amino acid sequence identity between the MP1 sequences of the 6 necroviruses and those of carmo-, panico and machlomoviruses.

	% aa sequence identity in MP1 movement protein								
	BBSV	TNV-D	LWSV	OMMV	TNV-A	OLV-1	Carmo Panico Machlomo		
BBSV	-	49	39	20	19	15	9-23		
TNV-D		-	46	21	20	20	8-22		
LWSV			-	6	8	8	10-23		
OMMV				-	86	87	8-22		
TNV-A					-	90	8-32		
OLV-1						-	11-24		
Carmo Panico Machlomo							-		

	% aa sequence identity in MP2 movement protein								
	BBSV	TNV-D	LWSV	OMMV	TNV-A	OLV-1	Carmo Panico		
BBSV	-	43	39	25	21	25	7-26		
TNV-D		-	47	10	10	10	4-21		
LWSV			-	21	21	21	5-25		
OMMV				-	98	100*	5-30		
TNV-A					-	98	5-30		
OLV-1						-	5-30		
Carmo Panico							-		

Table 3. Percent amino acid sequence identity between the MP2 sequences of the 6 necroviruses and those of carmo and panicoviruses

Table 4. Percent amino acid sequence identity between the coat protein sequences of the 6 necroviruses and those of other *Tombusviridae* members.

	% aa sequence identity in coat protein								
	BBSV	TNV-D	LWSV	OMMV	TNV-A	OLV-1	Other Tombus- viridae		
BBSV	-	40	34	41	37	38	9-21		
TNV-D		-	26	86	41	38	10-19		
LWSV			-	27	31	27	5-19		
OMMV				-	45	40	11-20		
TNV-A					-	38	7-21		
OLV-1						-	8-18		
Carmo Panico							-		