INDEX

Academic achievement, 50-51, 149-150 Academic Competence Evaluation Scales (ACES), 116 Accessibility, 32, 51, 118 Acquisition and performance deficit classification model (APDCM), 114-115, 117 FBAs, 116-117 skill-based adaptation, 115-116 Actual intervention protocols, 67 Adaptive Tier 2 behavioral intervention, 113 APDCM, 115-117 approach to adaptation, 113-114 decision rules, 120 domain-based approach, 114 measurement, 118-119 methods to provide, 117-118 questions to addressed, 115-117 rates of behavior function, 118 training and demands on staff, 119 Alphabet knowledge, 154-155 Ambiguous standards for progress, 197-199 Analytic code, 66-67 Arcuate fasciculus (AF), 81-82 Arousal/modulatory systems, 130-131 Artificial intelligence (AI), 126–128 Artificial reproductive technologies (ART), 128-130 Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD), 129 Autism Rights Movement (ARM), 129 Autism spectrum disorders (ASDs), 6, 129, 149-150 better understanding of classroom environment, 164

connection between emergent literacy and later reading outcomes, 162-163 future research in reading with individuals with ASD, 162 - 166oral language and listening comprehension, 152–153 reading development in elementary, middle, and high school, 156-159 reading development in individuals with, 151-154 reading skill heterogeneity, 152 social communication, 153-154 specific comprehension skill difficulties, 160-162 specific reading subcomponent skills, 154–156 specific research on comprehension deficits, 163-164 testing efficacy of dual-purpose interventions, 164-166

Balanced literacy approach, 197
Behavior Assessment System for Children, Third Edition (BASC-3), 114
Behavior Education Program, 112
Behavioral-emotional proclivities, 129–130
Blood–oxygen-level–dependent signals (BOLD signals), 77, 82
Bronze OA, 69–70

Callosal connection (CC), 81–82 Charter schools, 2 Check-in/Check-out (CICO), 112 Child psychiatry, 130 Chronic depression, 129 Classroom teachers, 119 Classroom Teaching Scan (CT Scan), 101.105 Codebook, 66-67 Cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT), 131 Cognitive systems, 130-131 Collaborative support, 186 Communication. 38-39, 43 Comprehension skills, 153 Comprehensive Test of Phonological Processing (CTOPP), 156 Contemporary research, 63-64 **Content Acquisition Podcast Professional Development** (CAP-PD), 105 Content Acquisition Podcasts for Teachers with Embedded Modeling Videos (CAP-TV), 101–102 Content Acquisition Podcasts-Teacher Slides (CAP-TS), 105 Convergent design, 57 Cost-effective processes, 184 Council for Exceptional Children (CEC), 29-30 Credibility, 39 Curriculum-based measurement (CBM), 21, 54–55, 120, 183

Data

data-based individualization in, 184 privacy, 140–143 sharing, 66–67 utilization, 22–24 Data-Based Instruction (DBI), 182–183 Dataverse, 67–68 *De minimus*, 11–12 Decision rules, 120, 183 Deficit-based instruction, 116 Depressive disorders, 132 Devereux Student Strengths Assessment (DESSA), 116 Diagnostic and statistical manual (DSM), 129-130 Diffusion MRI (dMRI), 77, 80, 82 in dyslexia research, 81-82 works, 80-81 Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), 80.82 Digital object identifiers (DOI), 66-67 Direct Behavior Rating scales (DBR scales). 20-21 Direct Instruction (DI), 195 "Disability chic", 129 Disabling anxiety, 129 Distance-learning modes, 184 Diverse educational needs, 196 Domain-based approach, 114 Dual-purpose interventions, testing efficacy of, 164-166 Dyslexia better prediction of dyslexia risk using machine learning, 89 dMRI in. 81-82 ERP in, 83-85 etiology of, 86-87 fMRI in. 82-83 sMRI in, 80

Early writing intervention, innovations in handwriting strategies, 176–177 innovative approach for preparing teachers to teach writing to students, 182–186 research-based instructional approaches for students with intensive needs, 175–180 sentence writing strategies, 178–179 spelling strategies, 177–178 SRSD, 179–180 SVW, 174–175

teachers currently learn to teach writing, 180-181 teachers currently teach writing, 181 - 182Early Writing Project, 184 Eclectic approaches to instruction, 197 Education, 61-62 Education of All Handicapped Children Act. 9–10 Educational neuroscience, 76 Educators, 140 Effective coaching system, 101 works in effective coaching and PD, 101-102 Electroencephalography (EEG), 83 Elementary and Secondary Education Act, 3 Elementary school, reading development in, 156-159 Emergent literacy, 154-155 Emotional and behavioral disorders (EBD). See also Autism spectrum disorders (ASDs), 6. 125-126 core values. 126 law and policy, 135-143 neuroscience, 130-135 technologies, 126-130 Emotional disturbance, 138 Endrew F. case, 135-136 Endrew F. v. Douglas County School District, 9–10, 198-199 Endrew. F. decision challenging, ambitious, and measurable goals, 19-20 collecting progress monitoring data. 22 data utilization, 22-24 frequent and systematic progress monitoring, 20-24 High Court's ruling in, 12-13

IEP significance as legal document delineating provision of services, 14 implications of Endrew F decision, 13 - 24meaningful parent involvement, 14 - 17relevant and current PLAAFP statements. 17-18 selecting progress monitoring tools, 20 - 22Error analysis procedures, 23 Event-related potential techniques (ERP techniques), 77, 83, 85 in dyslexia research, 83-85 Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), 197-198 Evidence-based behavior management practices, 50-51 Evidence-based practices (EBPs), 2-3, 5, 29-30, 35, 48-50, 98-99, 193-194 combining EBPs and PBE, 54-55 using mixed methods to merge EBPs and PBE, 55-57 in special education, 30-32 teachers in, 32 Explanatory design, 56–57 Exploratory research, 55-56 External validity, 53 Facilitated communication, 192 Fads, persistency of ambiguous standards for progress, 197-199 big claims, little evidence, 193-195 diverse educational needs, 196 eclectic approaches to instruction, 197 gradual process of scientific advancement, 192 special susceptibility to Fads, 195-199

FAIR principles, 67 Fidelity, 185 Figshare, 67-68 Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE), 4, 9–10, 20, 135, 197 Federal definition of FAPE and High Court's ruling in Rowley, 10-12 standard in special education, 135 - 137Functional behavior assessments (FBAs), 112, 116-117 Functional MRI (fMRI), 77, 82-83, 131 in dyslexia research, 82-83 works, 82 Functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS). 85 Fusiform gyrus, 91–92 Gist processing, 161 Green OA, 70 Handle with Care Act, 138 Handwriting strategies, 176-177 HARKing, 63-65 High Court's ruling in Endrew F., 12-13 Federal definition of FAPE and High Court's ruling in Rowley, 10-12 High school, reading development in, 156-159 Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), 141 Human reproduction, 126–127 Hybrid OA, 69-70

Hyperlexia, 157–158

IDEA. See Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEIA) In vitro fertilization (IVF), 128 In-principle acceptance (IPA), 66 Inclusion. 2 Individual Education Plans, 4 Individualization, 39-40 Individualized Education Program (IEP), 9–10, 13–14, 135-136 significance as legal document delineating provision of services to students with disabilities, 14 Individualized Writing Instruction Plan. 184 Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEIA), 3-4, 9-10, 135-137 Inference, 160–161 Inferior longitudinal fasciculus (ILF) Information sharing, 140–143 Innovations in special education, 192 Innovative approach for preparing teachers to teach writing to students. 182–186 Instruction, 126-127 Instructional coaching, 100-101 Instructional hierarchy model, 114 Instructional strategy, 48 Instructional technology (IT), 127 Instrumental research, 31 Integrated Database on Child and Family Programs, 141-142 Intellectual disability (ID), 149-150 Intellectual impairment, 149-150 Inter-University Consortium for Political and Social Research (ICPSR), 67-68 Interpretability, 43–44 Intervention Selection **Profile-Function** (ISP-Function), 119 Irlen Method, 194-195 Irlen Syndrome, 194–195 Iterative process of PD research, 104

"Kitchen sink" approach, 197 Language delays, 152–153 Law in public education, 135-143 new FAPE standard in special education. 135-137 trauma-sensitive schools, 137-140 Learning, 185 opportunities, 183–184 Learning disabilities (LDs), 76 change and next big thing in special education, 2-4 neuroimaging techniques limitations for understanding, 85-86 preview of volume, 4-7 Left inferior frontal gyrus (L-IFG), 87 Left superior temporal gyrus (L-STG), 87 Lenn v. Portland Sch. Committee, 11 - 12Likert-type scale, 34 Listening comprehension, 152–153, 159

Machine learning better prediction of dyslexia risk using, 89 Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). See also Structural magnetic resonance imaging (sMRI), 77, 131 MRI-based imaging studies, 77 Magnetic resonance spectroscopy, 77 Magnetoencephalography, 77 Manifestation Determination Review, 139 Manuscripts, 70 Mathematics professional development, 105-106 Metacognition, 161-162 Metadata documentation, 66-67 Middle school, reading development in. 156–159

Mismatch negative (MMN), 83-85 Mixed-method research, 52-53, 55 Mnemonic strategies, 54 Model-Lead-Test strategy, 103 Modeling, 101-102 Modern medicine, 193 Multiple-baseline-across-subjects design study, 103 Multitier-system-of-supports approach, 5-6 Multitiered systems of support, 2 Narrative skill development, 159 National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 98, 173-174 National Center for Systemic Improvement (NCSI), 100 - 101National Institute for Direct Instruction, 195 National Longitudinal Transition Study-2 (NLTS2), 150 National Reading Panel, 151-152 Near-infrared spectroscopy/optimal imaging (NIRS), 77 Negative valence, 130-131 Neurobiology of disabilities, 76 Neurodiversity, 129 Neuroimaging, 131–132 techniques limitations for understanding learning disability, 85-86 technology, 76-77 Neuroscience. See also Open science, 130-135 better prediction of dyslexia risk using machine learning, 89 depressive disorders, 132 implications for special educators of students with EBD, 132-135 neuroimaging, 131 neuroscientific methods, 77-86

reading disorders, 132 in special education, 89–92 special education researchers and, 76 special education researchers use neuroscientific data, 91-92 testing effects of intervention, 87-89 understanding etiology of dyslexia, 86-87 use of neuroscience to classify mental disorders, 130-131 value for special education research, 86-89 Neuroscientific methods, 77-86 diffusion MRI, 80-82 ERP, 83-85 functional MRI, 82-83 MRI-based imaging studies, 77-79 neuroimaging techniques limitations for understanding learning disability, 85-86 sMRI, 77-80 No Child Left Behind (NCLB), 197 - 198Non-deficit-based instruction, 116 Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD), 129 Occipitotemporal gyrus, 91-92 One-size-fits-all approach, 5-6 Online diagnostic tool, 184 Online learning, 2 Open access (OA), 62, 69, 71 Open data and materials, 62, 66, 68 Open peer review, 68-69 Open review, 62 Open science, 62 open access and preprints, 69-71 open data and materials, 66-68 open peer review, 68-69

practices, 64, 71 preregistration and Registered Reports, 64-66 Open Science Collaboration, 61-62 Open Science Framework (OSF), 33, 64-65, 67-68 Oral language, 152-153 p-hacking, 63-64, 66 Participants, 33 Peer-reviewed research, 35 Performance feedback, 102 Phonological awareness, 155-156 Phonological Awareness Literacy Screening for Preschoolers (PALS), 155-156 Pick ideas, Organize strategy (POW strategy), 180 Pinterest, 48-49 PLAN + WRITE, 180 Policy in public education, 135–143 information sharing and data privacy, 140-143 new FAPE standard in special education, 135-137 trauma-sensitive schools, 137-140 Policymakers, 97-98 Positive valence, 130-131 Practice-based evidence (PBE), 4-5, 48-49, 52, 54 combining EBPs and PBE, 54-55 using mixed methods to merge EBPs and PBE, 55–57 Preimplantation genetic diagnosis (PGD), 128 Preprints, 62, 69, 71 Prereading skills, 87 Preregistration, 62, 64-66 Present level of academic and functional performance (PLAAFP), 13-15 relevant and current PLAAFP statements, 17–18 Prime Online PD program, 105–106

Print knowledge, 155 Print-concept knowledge, 154-155 Procedures, 33-34 Professional development (PD), 97-98 coaching, 100-101 iterative process of PD research, 104 needs, 100 providing effective PD to improve instruction and student outcomes, 99-102 review of select coaching and PD studies in special education, 102 - 103technology-based PD research across content areas. 104 - 106works in effective coaching and, 101 - 102Progress monitoring data, 23 collecting, 22 Prophecy, 125-126 PTSD. 131 Publication bias, 63 Purposive homogeneous case sampling, 33-34

Qualitative Dara Repository, 67–68 Qualitative methodologies, 55 Quality problem analysis, 118–119 Quantitative methodologies, 55 Quantitative responses, 35 Quasi-experimental study, 103 Questionable research practices, 63–64

Reading, 161–162, 180–181 disorders, 132 in elementary, middle, and high school, 156–159 fluency/processing speeds, 158 in individuals with ASD, 151–154 listening comprehension, 159

narrative skill development, 159 reading fluency/processing speeds, 158 skill heterogeneity, 152 word reading/decoding, 157-158 Reading disability (RD), 76 **Recognizing Effective Special Education Teachers** (RESET). 101 Registered Reports, 62, 64, 66 Registry of Efficacy and Effectiveness Studies (REES), 64-65 Research, 29-30 access, 43-44 accessibility, 37-38 analyses, 34-35 awareness. 35 barriers, 40-41 barriers to access, 37-38 benefits, 38-40 benefits and barriers of utilizing research to inform practice, 38 - 42bridging research-to-practice gap, 44 contributing factors to selection of academic and behavioral practices, 35-36 evaluating sources, 40 evidence-based practices, 35 lack of skills, 41 lack of time, 40-41 limitations, 44-45 limited confidence, 36 method, 33-35 participants, 33 perspective on special education research, 42-43 procedures, 33-34 quantitative results, 41-42 research access and interpretability, 43-44 research accessibility, 37-38 research awareness. 35

research identification, accessibility, and interpretation, 32-33 research use and evidence-based practice in special education. 30-32 research-based instructional approaches, 174 research-based instructional approaches for students with intensive needs, 175 - 180research-practice partnerships, 36 teacher recommendations, 36, 38 utilization in special education, 42 Research Domain Criteria (RDoC), 130-131 Research-to-practice gap, 4-5, 48-50, 52 combining EBPs and PBE, 54-55 convergent design, 57 EBPs, 49-50 explanatory design, 56-57 exploratory research, 55-56 using mixed methods to merge EBPs and PBE, 55-57 PBE. 52-54 Response coding, 34 Response interruption and redirection (RIRD), 193-194 Rev transcription service, 34 Schizophrenia, 129 School districts, 97-98 School-wide positive behavior support framework (SW-PBS framework), 111–112 Scientific research, 61-62 challenges to credibility and impact of, 62-64 open-science practices, 64-71 Self-regulated strategy development (SRSD), 56, 179-180

(SRSD), 56, 1 Self-regulation, 175 Sensory integration therapy (SIT), 192.194 Sentence construction, 178 Sentence expanding activities, 179 Sentence writing strategies, 178-179 Sexually transmitted disease (STD), 141 Simple View of Writing (SVW), 174-175 Skill-based adaptation, 115-116 Skills assessments, 112 Skype, 15 "Snake oil", 193 Social communication, 153-154 Social media, 48-49 Social processes, 130-131 Social Skills Improvement System (SSIS), 116 Social Skills Intervention Guide, 116 Social-emotional learning, 2 Sound scientific research, 64 Special education, 3-4, 126-127 change and next big thing in, 2-4 interventions in. 193-194 neuroscience value for special education research, 86-89 professionals, 196 research, 42-43 researchers in, 63 review of select coaching and PD studies in. 102-103 Special educators, 29-30, 192-193 Specific comprehension skill difficulties, 160-162 gist processing, 161 inference, 160-161 metacognition, 161-162 Specific language impairment (SLI), 161 Specific reading subcomponent skills emergent literacy, 154-155 phonological awareness, 155-156 print knowledge, 155 Speech delays, 152-153

Spelling strategies, 177–178 Staff, training and demands on, 119 Stakeholders in public education, 195 Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ), 114 Structural magnetic resonance imaging (sMRI), 77, 80 in dyslexia research, 80 works, 77-80 Student Intervention Matching form (SIM), 119 Study of Personnel Needs in Special Education (SPeNSE), 98 Systematic error correction, 103 Targeted intervention planning (TIP), 114 Teacher recommendations research accessibility, 38 research-practice partnerships, 36 Teachers currently learn to teach writing, 180-181 currently teach writing, 181-182 innovative approach for preparing teachers to teach writing to students. 182-186 self-efficacy, 181 Technologies, 126-130 artificial intelligence, 128 artificial reproductive technologies, 128 - 130instructional technology, 127 mathematics professional development, 105-106 technology-based PD research across content areas. 104-106 vocabulary-based professional development, 104-105 Teleconferencing, 15

Test of Preschool Emergent Literacy (TOPEL), 155–156 Testing efficacy of dual-purpose interventions, 164-166 Text generation, 175 Theory of mind (ToM), 154, 160 Tic disorders, 129 Tier 2 interventions, 111–112 Tier 3 interventions, 112 Tier-2 interventions, 5-6 Time, 40–41 Tourette's syndrome, 129 Transcription, 175 skills, 175-176 Trauma-Informed Care for Children and Families Act, 138 Trauma-Informed Schools Act, 138 Trauma-sensitive schools, 137–140 Trust, 39 Trustworthiness, 51 Tucker Signing Strategies for Reading, 103 Typically developing samples (TD samples), 152

Unison responding, 103 Usability, 51–52

Vocabulary-based professional development, 104–105

Web-based meeting platforms, 15 "Western" medicine, 126 Word reading/decoding, 157–158 Working memory, 175 World Health Organization, 126 Writing, 174

Yin and yang symbol, 54

Zoom, 15