Section I-Ukraine

1. Introduction

1.1 - State Party

Ukraine

1.2 - Date of ratification of the World Heritage Convention 12/10/1988

1.3 - Entities involved in the preparation of Section I of the Periodic Reporting

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

UNESCO National Commission

World Heritage property managers/coordinators

1.4 - Primary government authorities responsible for the implementation of the Convention

Daria Nedzelska

National Kyiv-Pechersk Historical and Cultural Preserve focal point, research worker International

Comment

Focal Point Contacts-Mariia Buiukli, National Conservation Area "St.Sophia of Kyiv",24 Volodymyrska str.01001,Tel.+38 0442783311,e-mail:sophiacathedral@ukr.net Ministry of Culture of Ukraine is responsible for the cultural heritage protection.19 I.Franko str.01601,Kyiv.Tel/Fax:+38 0442262645.E-mail:spadschyna@ukr.net The Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources of Ukraine-for the protection of natural heritage.35,Vasilya Lipkivskoho str.03035 Kyiv.Tel.:+380442063174.e-mail: gr_priem@menr.gov.ua

1.5 - Other key institutions responsible

Anna Zviriaka

Director

Institute of the Monument Protection Research under the Ministry of Culture of Ukraine

Domain: cultural

 Mykola Yakovyna President

Ukrainian National Committee of ICOMOS

Domain: cultural

Petro Tolochko

Head

Ukrainian Society of Historical and Cultural Monuments

Protection
Domain: cultural

Viktor Kantsurak

Director of the Department of Protected Areas Ministry of Ecology and Natural Resources of Ukraine

Domain: natural

1.6 - Comments

Besides, there are a wide range of restoration organizations, the main ones are: National Scientific-Research Restoration Center of Ukraine, "UkrNDIproektrestavratsiya", Corporation "Ukrrestavratsiya"

2. Inventories / lists / registers for cultural and natural heritage

2.1 - Cultural Heritage (Level and Status)

National	Process completed or continually updated
Regional / provincial / state	Process well- advanced
Local	Process well- advanced
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	Not applicable

2.2 - Natural Heritage (Level and Status)

5 \	,
National	Process well-advanced
Regional / provincial / state	Not applicable
Local	Not applicable
Other (please provide details in 2.7)	Not applicable

2.3 - Are inventories/lists/registers adequate to capture the diversity of cultural and natural heritage in the State Party?

Inventories / lists / registers capture the full diversity of cultural and natural heritage.

2.4 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified <u>cultural</u> heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the protection of cultural heritage.

2.5 - Are inventories / lists / registers used to protect the identified <u>natural</u> heritage?

Inventories / lists / registers are sometimes used for the protection of natural heritage.

2.6 - Are inventories / lists / registers used for the identification of properties for the Tentative List?

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.

2.7 - Comments, conclusions and / or recommendations related to inventories / lists / registers of cultural and natural heritage (questions 2.1 to 2.6)

3. Tentative List

3.1 - Potential future nominations (Property name / anticipated year of nomination)

«Kyiv: Saint Sophia Cathedral and Related Monastic Buildings, St. Cyril's St. Cyril's and St. Andrew's Churches, Kyiv-Pechersk Lavra» / 2016 /

"Trading posts and fortifications on the Genoese Trade Routes from the Mediterranean to the Black Sea" / 2016 /

Bağçasaray Palace of the Crimean Khans or The historical surroundings of Crimean Khans' capital in Bakhchysarai / 2015 /

Enlargement of the property "The Primeval Beech Forests of the Carpathians and Ancient Beech Forests of Germany" in Ukraine and other countries of Europe / 2017 /

3.2 - Tools used for a preliminary assessment of the potential Outstanding Universal Value

ICOMOS thematic studies

Filling the gaps – an action plan for the future by ICOMOS, Gaps analysis by IUCN
Meetings to harmonize Tentative Lists within your region
UNESCO's Global Strategy for a representative, balanced and credible World Heritage List
Other global comparative analysis

3.3 - Level of involvement in the preparation of the Tentative List

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional / provincial / state / government(s)	Good
Local government(s)	Fair
Other government departments	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Fair
Local communities / residents	Poor
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Poor
Local industries	Poor
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Fair
Consultants / experts	Fair
Site manager / coordinator(s)	Good

3.4 - Was the authority(ies) listed in question 1.4 responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

Yes

3.5 - If not, what authority(ies) is responsible for the approval and submission of the Tentative List?

3.6 - Do you intend to update your Tentative List within the next six years?

Yes

3.7 - Comments

Ukraine takes part in the Pan-European project "Primeval Beech Forests – an European World Heritage site" to enlarge the World heritage property "The Primeval Beech Forests of the Carpathians and the Ancient Beech Forests of Germany" (Slovakia, Ukraine, Germany). Ukraine also intends to expand its part of the property.

4. Nominations

4.1 - Property

Name	Date of submission	Status
Kiev: Saint-Sophia Cathedral and Related Monastic Buildings, Kiev-Pechersk Lavra	1989-05-30	inscribed
Kiev: Saint-Sophia Cathedral and Related Monastic Buildings, Kiev-Pechersk Lavra	2005-04-06	inscribed
Kiev: Saint-Sophia Cathedral with Related Monastic Buildings, St. Cyril's and St. Andrew's Churches, Kiev Pechersk Lavra	2009-01-26	deferred
Kiev: Saint-Sophia Cathedral and Related Monastic Buildings, Kiev-Pechersk Lavra	2008-01-30	not inscribed
Kyiv: Saint-Sophia Cathedral with Related Monastic Buildings, St. Cyril's and St. Andrew's Churches, Kyiv-Pechersk Lavra	2011-01-28	deferred
Laure de Kievo-Petchersk	1989-05-30	

Section I-Ukraine

Name	Date of submission	Status
Historical Centre of Kamianets-Podilsky, 11-18th centuries	1997-06-30	Incomplete
L"viv – the Ensemble of the Historic Centre	1997-06-30	inscribed
L"viv – the Ensemble of the Historic Centre	2008-01-15	inscribed
Holy Tops (Svyati Gory)	2000-06-30	not inscribed
Polissian Swamps and Slovechno-Ovruch Ridge	2000-06-30	not inscribed
Kaniv"s Hills (Kanivski Gory)	2000-06-30	not inscribed
Karadag	2000-06-30	not inscribed
Podillian Ridge	2000-06-30	not inscribed
Beech Primeval Forests of the Carpathians	2005-01-31	Incomplete
Primeval Beech Forests of the Carpathians	2006-01-31	inscribed
Primeval Beech Forests of the Carpathians and the Ancient Beech Forests of Germany	2010-01-29	inscribed
Struve Geodetic Arc	2004-01-28	inscribed
The Residence of Bukovyna and Dalmatia Metropolitans	2009-01-26	Incomplete
Residence of Bukovinian and Dalmatian Metropolitans	2010-01-29	inscribed
The ancient city of Tauric Chersonese and its chora (5th century BC – 14th century AD)	2011-10-07	Incomplete
Ancient City of Tauric Chersonese and its Chora	2012-01-30	inscribed
Wooden Tserkvas of the Carpathian Region in Poland and Ukraine	2012-01-30	inscribed
Historic environment of the Crimean Khans' capital in the city of Bağçasaray	2012-12-17	Incomplete

4.2 - Involvement in recent nominations

National government institution(s)	Good
Regional / provincial / state government(s)	Fair
Local government(s)	Good
Other government departments	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Good
Local authorities within or adjacent to the property	Good
Local communities / residents	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Poor
Landowners	Poor
Local industries	Poor
Non Governmental Organization(s)	Fair
Consultants / experts	Fair
Site manager / coordinator	Good

4.3 - Perceived benefits of inscribing properties on the World Heritage List

Strengthened protection of sites (legislative, regulatory, institutional and / or traditional)	High benefit
Enhanced conservation practices	Some benefit
Catalyst for wider community appreciation of heritage	Some benefit
Improved presentation of sites	High benefit
Enhanced honour / prestige	High benefit
Increased funding	Some benefit
Additional tool for lobbying / political influence	Limited benefit
Stimulus for enhanced partnerships	Some benefit
Increased recognition for tourism and public use	High benefit
Stimulus for economic development in surrounding communities	Limited benefit
Others (please provide details in 4.4)	Not applicable

4.4 - Comments

Ministry of Culture of Ukraine drafted the Law of Ukraine "On Amendments to Certain Legislative Acts of Ukraine on Protection of Cultural Heritage", which proposes to regulate the

issue of preservation the World Heritage sites and the traditional character of their environment. These requirements are proposed to extend to the properties inscribed on the Tentative List, pending a decision by the Committee for inscribing these properties on the World Heritage List or rejection of the nomination.

5. General Policy Development

5.1 - Legislation

Comment

Constitution of Ukraine,Land Code of Ukraine,Laws of Ukraine: "On Protection of Cultural Heritage", "On Protection of Archaeological Heritage", "On Culture",Law of Ukraine "On Ratification of Agreement on Export and Import of Cultural Values", "On Nature Reserve Fund of Ukraine", "On Environmental Protection", "On Museum and Museum Affairs", "On the List of Monuments of Cultural Heritage not Liable to Privatization", "On Protected Areas Network of Ukraine"

5.2 - Legislation not listed in 5.1

5.3 - Comment

Ministry of Culture drafted the Law "On a Moratorium on the Construction in Protected (Buffer) Zones and Adjacent to World Heritage Sites Areas, Historical Parts of the Settlements included in the List of Historical Settlements of Ukraine" aimed at prevention of traditional environment deforming, damaging and destruction of the cultural heritage. The Protected Areas Network is protected as a national natural heritage for which a special regime of protection, restoration and wise use is established

5.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage?

The legal framework is adequate for the identification, conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

5.5 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and / or regulations) for the identification, conservation and protection of the State Party's cultural and natural heritage be enforced?

Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.

5.6 - Other International Conventions adhered Comment

Conventions:for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict, for the Protection of the Architectural Heritage of Europe, The European Landscape Convention, on the Protection of the Archaeological Heritage, on the Protection and Promotion of the Diversity of Cultural Expressions, on the Conservation of European Wildlife and Natural Habitats and on the Protection and Sustainable Development of the Carpathians promote proper conservation of the WH property

Section I-Ukraine

5.7 - Implementation of International Conventions into national policies

There is limited coordination and integration.

5.8 - States Party's policies to give heritage a function in the life of communities

There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

5.9 - Integration of heritage into comprehensive / larger scale planning programmes

There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

5.10 - Comments

Draft Action plan for the sustainable development and improvement of mountain settlements of the Zakarpats'ka Oblast (Region) in the area of the Ukrainian part of the Ukraine-Slovakia-Germany UNESCO World Heritage Property "Primeval Beech Forests of the Carpathians and the Ancient Beech Forests of Germany" was prepared and submitted to the Government

6. Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

6.1 - To what degree do the principal agencies / institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of this heritage?

There is some cooperation between the principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but improvements are possible.

6.2 - To what degree do other government agencies cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of natural and cultural heritage?

In general, cooperation exists between other government agencies and the principal agencies / institutions for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage but there are still deficiencies.

6.3 - To what degree do different levels of government cooperate in the identification, conservation, protection and presentation of cultural and natural heritage?

Different levels of government cooperate effectively for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

6.4 - Are the services provided by the agencies / institutions adequate for the conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage properties in your country?

There is adequate capacity within services to conserve, protect and present World Heritage properties.

6.5 - Comments

7. Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

7.1 - Is there a research programme or project specifically for the benefit of World Heritage properties?

There is some research specifically addressing World Heritage.

7.2 - Research projects

 Research of the Monastic and River Landscape of the Dnipro Right Bank in the close surrounding of the WH Site: / 2013-2014

local

cultural

http://www.spadshina.org.ua/

Research was fulfilled according to the Decision of the 37th session of the World Heritage Committee

•

7.3 - Comments

The activity of the Institute of the Monument Protection Research Ministry of Culture of Ukraine includes the development of Master Plans (Plans of the Organization of the Territory) of preserves, historical and architectural key-plans, boundaries of territories and buffer zones for a range of Ukrainian properties inscribed in the World Heritage List and nominations to the World Heritage List.

8. Financial Status and Human Resources

8.1 - Sources of funding

National government funds	Major source of fixed term funding
Other levels of government (provincial, state, local)	Major source of fixed term funding
International assistance from the World Heritage Fund	Minor source of fixed term funding
International multilateral funding (e.g. World Bank, IDB, European Union)	Minor source of fixed term funding
International bilateral funding (e.g. AFD, GTZ, DGCS, GEF, etc.)	Not applicable
NGOs (international and / or national)	Minor source of sustained funding
Private sector funds	Minor source of sustained funding
Other (Please specify in 8.6)	Not applicable

8.2 - Involvement of State Party in the establishment of foundations or associations for raising funds and donation for the protection of World Heritage

8.3 - National policies for the allocation of site revenues for conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage

Yes

8.4 - Is the current budget sufficient to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.

Section I-Ukraine

8.5 - Are available human resources adequate to conserve, protect and present cultural and natural heritage effectively at the national level?

Human resources are adequate but additional staffing would enable more effective conservation, protection and presentation to meet international best practice standards.

8.6 - Comments

Ministry of Culture of Ukraine asked the Government of the country to invoke the issue of providing annual funding from the state budget for repair and restoration works on Ukrainian sites inscribed on the UNESCO World Heritage List.

9. Training

9.1 - Formal training / educational institutions / programs

 International Scientific and Methodological Centre of the Protection of Cultural Heritage / International, national / Cultural

Organizers of the Centre: Institute of the Monument Protection Research, National Heritage Board of Poland, State Historical and Architectural Preserve in city Zhovkva. Scope: trainining seminars on cultural heritage protection, including World heritage

9.2 - Training needs

Conservation	Medium priority
Education	Medium priority
Promotion	Medium priority
Interpretation	High priority
Administration	Medium priority
Visitor management	Medium priority
Community outreach	Medium priority
Risk preparedness	High priority
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Medium priority
Other	Medium priority

9.3 - Does the State Party have a national training/ educational strategy to strengthen capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation?

There is no national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation, protection and presentation but nonetheless this is being done on an ad hoc basis.

9.4 - Comments

Chernivtsi Yuriy Fedkovych National University situated in the territory of the World Heritage Property Residence of Bukovinian and Dalmatian Metropolitans submitted to WHC documents on the foundation of the International UNESCO Chair "Protection and Conservation of the World Heritage" that will join efforts of the professionals, teachers and students in the field of the World Heritage Protection

10. International Cooperation

10.1 - Cooperation with other States Parties

Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements
Financial support
Participation in foundations for international cooperation
Sharing expertise for capacity building
Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars
Distribution of material / information

10.2 - Twinned World Heritage properties with others No

10.3 - Comments

The Government of Ukraine actively collaborates with the National Heritage Board of Poland, Ministries of Culture of Turkey, of the Republic of Belarus, the Government of Norway, Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety of Germany, Ministry of Environment of the Slovak Republic regarding the conservation, protection and promotion of the World Heritage sites

11. Education, Information and Awareness Building

11.1. Media used for World Heritage sites promotion

11.1.1 - Publications

nformation
Awareness Raising
Education
nternational
lational
Regional
ocal

11.1.2 - Films / TV

Information
Awareness Raising
Education
International
National
Regional
Local

11.1.3 - Media campaigns

	. •
Information	
Awareness Raising	
Education	
International	
National	
Regional	
Local	

11.1.4 - Internet

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Information	ĺ
Awareness Raising	l

Section I-Ukraine

Education
International
National
Regional
Local

11.1.5 - Postage stamps, medals

Information	
Awareness Raising	
National	

11.1.6 - World Heritage Day

	ornago zay
Information	
Awareness Raising	
International	

11.1.7 - Translation and diffusion of publications made available by the World Heritage Centre

	•	
Information		
Awareness Raising		
Education		
National		
Regional		
Local		

11.1.8 - Other (please specify in 11.1.8)

Not applicable

11.1.9 - Comments

Magazine "Monuments of Ukraine: History and Culture", the newspaper "Culture and Life", websites of the World Heritage sites, radio "Culture", TV channel "Culture".

11.2. Education, Information and Awareness Building

11.2.1 - Strategy to raise awareness among different stakeholders

There are no strategies to raise awareness about conservation, protection and presentation of World Heritage but nonetheless this is being done on an ad hoc basis.

11.2.2 - Level of general awareness

··· 9	
Private Sector	Poor
Youth	Fair
Communities living in/around heritage sites	Good
Tourism industry	Good
Decision makers and public officials	Good
Indigenous peoples	Fair
General public	Fair

11.2.3 - Does the State Party participate in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme?

The State Party participates in UNESCO's World Heritage in Young Hands programme.

11.2.4 - Level of frequency of activities

Courses for teachers for the use of the World Heritage in	Never
Young Hands Kit	

Courses/activities for students within the school programmes	Often
Youth Forums	Often
Skills-training courses for students	Often
Organized school visits to World Heritage properties/cultural and natural sites	Regularly
Activities linked to heritage within the framework of UNESCO Clubs/Associations	Occasionally
Other (comment below)	Never

11.2.5 - Comments

In 2011 Ukrainian cultural and educational project "World Heritage in Young Hands" based on the eponymous project of the WHC and ASPnet was carried out. This project was initiated by the Foundation "Talented children - the future of Ukraine", supported by the Ministry of Culture of Ukraine in cooperation with the National Commission of Ukraine for UNESCO.Besides, Ukrainian ASPnet which has been active over 20 years includes 74 regular and special schools.

12. Conclusions and Recommended Actions

12.1. State Party's implementation of the World Heritage Convention

12.1.1 - Identification of heritage

Identification of heritage

Inventories / lists / registers capture the full diversity of cultural and natural heritage.

Inventories / lists / registers are frequently used for the identification of potential World Heritage Properties.

12.1.2 - National Inventories

National Inventories	
National : Process completed or continually updated	
Regional / provincial / state : Process well-advanced	
National : Process well-advanced	
Regional / provincial / state	

12.1.3 - Tentative List

Fentative List	
res es	

12.1.4 - Legal framework

Legal framework

: Not applicable

Existing capacity/resources to enforce the legal framework could be strengthened.

12.1.5 - Implementation of international conventions within national policies

Implementation of international conventions within national policies

12 1 6 - Communities

12.1.6 - Communicies		
Communities		
Local communities / residents: Poor		
Level of involvement / consultation of local landowners: Poor		
Level of involvement / consultation of local industries: Poor		
Local communities / residents: Fair		

Section I-Ukraine

Communities
Indigenous peoples: Poor
Landowners: Poor

12.1.7 - Larger-scale planning

Larger-scale planning

There are policies but there are some deficiencies in their implementation.

12.1.8 - Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

Status of Services for Protection, Conservation and Presentation

Different levels of government cooperate effectively for the conservation and protection of cultural and natural heritage.

12.1.9 - Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

Scientific and Technical Studies and Research

There is some research specifically addressing World Heritage.

12.1.10 - Financial status

Financial status

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the conservation, protection and presentation needs.

12.1.11 - Human resources

Human resources are adequate but additional staffing would enable more effective conservation, protection and presentation to meet international best practice standards.

12.1.12 - Training

Training

Ad hoc basis for national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation.

12.1.13 - International cooperation

International cooperation Bi-lateral and multi-lateral agreements Financial support Participation in foundations for international cooperation Sharing expertise for capacity building Hosting and / or attending international training courses/seminars Distribution of material / information

12.1.14 - Education, Information and awareness building

Education, Information and awareness building	
Private Sector : Poor	
Youth : Fair	
Communities living in/around heritage sites : Good	

12.2. Actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention (identified from table 12.1).

12.2.1 - Please select the top issues (up to six)

Please refer to question 5.2

12.3. Priority Actions Assessment

12.3.2 - Priority actions assessment

5 Gen	5 General Policy Development					
		Action	Short description	Authority(ies) responsible		May this action require International Assistance from the World Heritage Fund?
5.7	of different legal instruments for the development of heritage conservation,	Ministry of Culture drafted the Law "On a Moratorium on the Construction in Protected (Buffer) Zones and Adjacent to World Heritage Sites Areas, Historical Parts of the Settlements included in the List of Historical Settlements of Ukraine" and amedmends to other Laws concerning cultural heritage protection, which aimed at improving coordination between different legal instrument in the field of conservation, protection and presentation policy.	At the moment Ministry of Culture of Ukraine submitted the mentioned bills to the interested executive agencies.	Ministry of Culture of Ukraine, Ministry of Finance of Ukraine, Ministry of Economic Development and Trading of Ukraine, Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Ukraine and others.	2014-2015	No
9 Trair	ning					
9.3	Ad hoc basis for national strategy for capacity development in the field of heritage conservation.	In order to develop the National Strategy the international experience in the field of cultural heritage protection is currently being studied by Ukrainian specialists	The participation of Ukrains specialists in the relevant international training programmes, seminars and conferences.	Ukraiine, Ministry of Foreign Affairs of Ukraine	2014-2015	No

12.3.3 - Additional actions for the implementation of the World Heritage Convention

Ministry of Culture of Ukraine coordinates the activities of scientific and research institutions and organizations regarding the development of documentation to establish boundaries and modes of use of World Heritage sites and their buffer zones.

13. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

13.1 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

Yes

13.2 - Please provide suggestions for improvement:

We assume It necessary to separate the questions about cultural and natural heritage. Too little space for the comments, as they concern both cultural and natural heritage/

13.3 - Please rate the level of support from the following entities for completing the Periodic Report questionnaire

World Heritage Centre	Good
UNESCO (other sectors)	Fair
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	Fair
IUCN International	Poor
ICCROM	Poor
ICOMOS national / regional	Poor
IUCN national / regional	Not applicable

13.4 - How accessible was the information required to complete the Periodic Report?

Most required information was accessible

13.5 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from the previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

UNESCO	Good
Advisory Bodies	Good
State Party	Fair
Site Managers	Fair

13.6 - Comments

14. Thank you