

COMMUNISM IS TREASON!



FIGHT IT WITH . . .

Common Sense

AMERICA'S NEWSPAPER AGAINST COMMUNISM

Copyright Registered 1948 United States Patent Office.

"The Truth, the whole Truth,
and nothing but the Truth"
Without fear or favor,
Conrad A. Cliver
FOUNDER AND EDITOR

SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

Plain envelope, unsealed — \$1.
Plain envelope, sealed — \$3.
Foreign & Canada, (10 mos.) \$1.

Issue No. 408 (18th Year) June 1, 1963

Second Class Postage Paid at Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.

FIVE CENTS

This is a Republic - Not a Democracy

By J. Johnston McCauley

This grand and glorious Republic under which we are fortunate to live was established by our forefathers, but since 1912 Marxian and Fabian socialists have striven to implant their foreign ideologies in the minds of the people. Unfortunately, to a large degree they have been successful, which is evidenced by the many socialists who have occupied lofty positions in the State Dept., and because of the many socialists now holding key positions in the Federal government.

Considerable loose conversation is frequently heard that this country is not a republic, but a democracy, each person using the terms to his or her own ideological propensity. Profound students of government that they were, our forefathers must have had this question clearly in mind when they studiously refrained from using the word "democracy" which, incidentally, can not be located in the Declaration of Independence nor is it to be found in the Federal Constitution. None of our former presidents, prior to 1912, used the word except Woodrow Wilson, who invented the catchy phrase, "Make the World Safe for Democracy." It was not until 1932 that Franklin Roosevelt began using the word. When Harry Truman succeeded Roosevelt he used it, and now President John F. Kennedy is using it lavishly.

A search of the words of George Washington, Benjamin Franklin, Thomas Jefferson and other great men in history, prior to 1912, will not reveal the term. Even Jefferson, the founder of the Democratic Party, in his first inaugural oration did not make mention of it. During his address he frequently referred to the Federal Constitution and our republican form of government, because he was well aware that a balanced republic and a democracy are incompatible. Perhaps, however, in ignorance, or purposely, many use the terms synonymously, but there is a vast difference, for the very excellent reason that in a republic the people empower representatives with wide discretion, whereas in a democracy the people act directly or through a mandate to their delegates.

If ever our republican form of government and our free institutions are destroyed, that event will arise from the unlimited tyranny of the majority, but a majority will never be permitted to exercise such unlimited tyranny so long as we cling to the American ideals of republican liberty and turn a deaf ear to the siren voices now calling us a democracy. This is not a question relating to the form of government. That can always be changed by constitutional amendment. It is one affecting the underlying philosophy of our system, a philosophy which brought dignity to the individual, more for the minorities, and greater justice in the administration of government.

We are in great danger of dissipating this splendid heritage through mistaking it for a democracy. We must keep our republic, because, if it is taken away from us, we will lose our freedom. To quote General Omar Bradley: "Freedom, no word was ever spoken that held out greater hope, demanded

Portrait of a 'Liberal'



greater sacrifice, needed more to be nurtured, blessed more the giver, damned more its destroyer, or came closer to being God's will on earth. May Americans ever be its protectors."

The American system of government was founded when the Pilgrims, in 1620, implanted the roots of what we now know as the "free enterprise system." They came to this new world seeking individual rights and human liberty.

On November 11, 1620, the Pilgrims laid the foundation of our American Constitution when they drew up the "Mayflower Compact" which called for "just and equal laws."

Our distinctive American qualities of liberty and justice began to take more realistic form and life in the leadership of one James Otis, a young Boston attorney, when he crystallized opposition to the enforcement of the Navigation Acts in 1764 and to the Stamp Act which was passed by Parliament in March 1765.

A young lawyer, John Adams, heard Otis in a fiery speech of denunciation of this oppression and 50 years later wrote: "Then and there the child Independence was born."

The animating thought of the statesmen who met to draft our Federal Constitution, was "to form a more perfect union." Their problem was to weld the sections they represented into a political unity that would function most efficiently and enduringly in the service of the people.

Our founders must have known very well the dangers of a democracy, therefore, they tried to insure against such a creation. Our country, as Benjamin Franklin foresaw, has wandered into a "no man's land" between the two. Today, the front line is much closer to a democracy than to a republic. As we move from one to the other, our troubles multiply.

The Fabian Society

Fabian Socialism is a pinko branch of Marxian Socialism created to fit the coy nature of intelligentsia revolutionaries. Our socialistic intellectuals want to change our form of society just as much as do the Marxianists who go in for direct action, but the parlor bookworm radicals are more timid on "the kill" and prefer to accomplish the overthrow with academic finesse rather than with bloodshed. Fabianism was invented for the parlor pinks as a Fifth Column in the fight for the socialistic coup. It gives the so-called intellectuals an opportunity to use their indoctrinative initiative and introvert strategy. Capitalism (free enterprise) would, however, be just as dead if assassinated with poisonous doctrines as it would if killed with bombs, riots and bullets.

The Fabian Society was established in 1884. The founders were led by "Thomas Davidson, an ethical anti-Christ Communist" and were, in the main, members of the "Independent Labor Party in England, which was founded in 1893 by Frederick Engels and other disciples of Marx." Among them were such British Socialists as Sidney and Beatrice Webb, George Bernard Shaw, Anna Besant (free thinker and theosophist), Ramsey McDonald, Frank Prodmore (Spiritualist), and others. They wanted to play with the Communists but did not want to be seen in the open with the Marxian Socialists. How typical this is of the picture today, particularly with many officials in our national government.

The Fabian Society consists of Socialists and aims at the re-organization of society by the emancipation of land and industrial capital from individual and class ownership, and the investing of them in the community for general benefit; therefore, the Society works for the extinction of private property. The Fabians are the typical opportunists of Socialism, the preachers of revolution by installment.

In 1905, Jack London, a fiction writer, and some of his Socialist contemporaries, founded (as an off-shoot of the Fabian Society in Great Britain) the Intercollegiate Socialist Society for the purpose of "promoting an intelligent interest in Socialism among college men and women, graduates and undergraduates." The scheme to invade the field of education and use it as an instrumentality for Socialist indoctrination and eventual conquest was an importation of Fabian technique. The Fabian Society had already invaded the University of London and established the London School of Economics "to train the bureaucracy of the future Socialist State." And in the same year that London and other Socialists were organizing the Fabianistic "Intercollegiate Socialist Society," another group (direct actionists), headed by Eugene V. Debs, were organizing the revolutionary "Industrial Workers of the World." In 1919 Debs, as an obstructionist in World War I, said in a speech in Cleveland: "I am going to speak to you as a Socialist, as a Revolutionist, and as a Bolshevik." For his subversive activities he was sent to the Federal Prison in Atlanta, Georgia.

CALLING ALL AMERICANS-LET'S HAVE ACTION-NOW!

A GENERAL SPEAKS OUT

FORCE THE SOVIETS OUT OF THE UN

Facts: The USSR has always had its man in the position of United Nations Security Council Assistant Secretary General. From this post is controlled the political and military activity of the United Nations. The latest Soviet citizen to hold this office, Eugeny D. Kiselev, has died.

Significance: Trygve Lie, in his book "In The Cause Of Peace" (MacMillan 1954), states:

"Mr. Vyshinski did not delay . . . he was the first to inform me of an understanding . . . on the appointment of a Soviet national as Assistant Secretary General for Political and Security Council Affairs . . ."

This is obviously a reference to the "understanding" by Hiss and the Soviet representatives, that the USSR would only join the United Nations if this post were always theirs. The military horror in Katanga, then, was under the direct eye of Kiselev. The U.N. war in Korea was similarly under the direct eye of a Soviet Assistant Secretary General, so our troops, in the only war they did not win, were under Soviet control. Now we are faced with the Treaty of Peace and Disarmament whereby all our military strength is to be placed directly under the United Nations "Peace Corps."

Action Indicated: As of NOW we take the offensive, seizing the initiative from the enemy. Keep writing, telephoning, telegraphing your representatives in Congress, your State Governors, and any other government official responsible.

Send them copies of this paper. Demand that the U.S. Government take over the



General P. A. del Valle
United States Marines, Retired

office of Assistant Secretary General just vacated by the death of Kiselev.

Objective: Force the whole question, hitherto carefully concealed from the public, into the public view, thus creating an attitude favorable to our cause. Force the USSR to leave the United Nations, or the USA to do so by the creation of an impasse between them. In either case, keep control of our armed forces.

* * * *

DICTATORSHIP BID BY JUSTICE DEPT.

Facts: Attorney General Robert Kennedy has filed a brief with the Supreme Court proposing to set aside the limitations of power of the Executive. This brief answers Gov. Wallace's petition against un-constitutional use of troops by the Executive in Alabama.

The Wallace brief was tossed out, the Kennedy brief is on file for "future use." This brief denies that Article IV, Section 4 of the Constitution is in effect. This is the article limiting the power of the Executive to sending of federal troops into a state on such occasions as the governor or the legislature of such state requests it.

Significance: This brief is a part of the apparatus for the "take-over" by means of a military dictatorship at the whim of the Executive. Its complete disregard of the Constitution and of Section 333, which limits this intervention to dealing with situations where law and order cannot be maintained by State authorities, is a follow-through on the NAACP's nation-wide insurrection by protest marches and sit-ins. The Negroes are being used to produce the situation where the take-over can take place. This means the end of the United States.

Action Indicated: Alert as many persons as possible, asking them to do the same.

Write, telegraph, telephone to your representatives and senators demanding they take the Constitutional measures to deal with a public official who commits a malfeasance in office, i. e. impeachment.

Demand an immediate investigation of the NAACP by the House Un-American Activities Committee, addressing letters to the committee at:

House Office Building,
Washington, D. C.

Objective: Nip in the bud the conspiracy to bring on another Civil War.

General P. A. del Valle
Defenders of the American Constitution
Ormond Beach, Florida

It Was True Then - It Is True Now

We republish a portion of an article which appeared in a western newspaper before World War II, which should be of interest to those who do not know that it was the Jews who pushed the United States into World War II, just as they pushed us into World War I in 1917.

These same people are right now planning to throw the U.S. into a Third World War which will wipe Christianity off the face of the earth and set up a World Government without God, which world they will control.

Today the United States has her sons, daughters and dollars scattered all over the world trying to ward off communism which has set-up a western hemisphere headquarters 90 miles from our shore.

Khrushchev said that the United States would bankrupt herself and that our sons and daughters would grow up under communism. This is happening now, no one can deny that. Today our government has kept communist Cuba alive to take our minds off the heavy taxes being put on us to supply the world with a big foreign aid give-away program.

Twenty two years ago, the Jews pushed us into a second world war with FDR as their scape-goat, to wipe out Germany, whose only aim was to wipe out communism. Now Kennedy, who said at FDR's grave that he was picking up the banner where FDR laid it down, tours Germany asking the German people for their help in making the world free. For whom—the Jews?

* * * *

Listen to Max Weber writing in the Jewish Survey:

"Of all people, the Jews should be foremost to realize that the Soviet Union has liberated five million Jews . . . The Jewish people must not fail to contribute everything in their power to deal the

death-blow to the most vicious enemy of all time."

Mr. Weber exults that the Soviet Union has 'liberated' five million Jews. Notice that he neglects to mention the fact that to do it, it liquidated twenty to thirty million Christians!

Or get the editorial on page 8 of the same paper:

"The Jews have a double interest in making sure that nothing goes wrong in this process of the destruction of Hitlerism. Both as the special objects of Hitlerite hatred and as passionate believers in democracy, we are determined that Hitlerism shall be wiped off the face of the earth."

Col. Charles A. Lindbergh said without mincing words, that they'd better think twice about such policy if it means America's involvement, and they screech at him: "We've got nothing to do with it!" Fiddle-faddle!



Paul Revere sounded the alarm in 1775.

Does anyone want more to the same effect?

Harken to the peaceful and pacific language of Charles Raddock writing in the same periodical:

"Jews must help construct the tomb of Nazism. This is their decisive moment in Jewish history and they must unite toward this goal. Aid to Britain and the Soviet Union to defeat Hitlerism should become the slogan of all Jews."

Or on the back cover of the publication:

"The Jewish Survey is aiding to weld the unity of Jews and all anti-Fascists to rid the world of the Nazi butcher and his Fascist barbarians!"

Oh no!—the Jews haven't a thing in common with the British and the Administration. Do they actually expect brainy Gentiles to accept their denials?

In New York City is the so-called Free Synagogue. Its rabbi is the celebrated Stephen S. Wise. This rabbi also publishes a powerful Jewish journal called Opinion. Once in a while he gets a Christian pastor to add his tenents' worth to its contents, if the gentleman be sufficiently "liberal." In the September, 1940 issue Dr. John Haynes Holmes was one of these pastors and he went straight down the line with Lindbergh as follows:

"And now the Jews are actually clamoring for war again, under the insane delusion that this new war can bring any different or better results than the last war! If the Jews know what is good for them . . . they will do everything in their power to stop this war, and especially to keep America out of it. If this war goes on with Jews doing their part to foment it and feed and idealize it, Europe will be plunged a decade hence into a horror of anti-Semitism which will make Hitler's pogroms look like a Sunday School kindergarten. I can hear the cries that will be lifted a decade hence, if we go into this war today—'The Jews did it! They took us into the

war because they hated Hitler! They own the newspapers. They run the movies. They control the banks. The Jews did it! Down with the Jews!"

* * * * *
WAKE-UP AMERICA!

There you have it—straight from the horse's mouth. They say history repeats itself. That is what is happening in the United States today. Read your history books and you will find that these people have been run out of every country in Europe. King George ran them out of England four times.

These people love "brotherhood" so much that they crucified Christ and have been crucifying Christians ever since. They control the press, radio, TV and all other phases of so-called free speech. They have infiltrated and gained control of every political, labor, financial, religious and educational organization in sight.

Ten years ago these Zionists stole Israel from the Arabs and will start a Third World War to keep it. They are the trouble-makers, they are the hate-mongers, they are the warmongers. They created, set up and spread communism to the four corners of the earth.

Throughout our great country there are many patriots who have helped in their own way in this fight to save America. We need more—time is slowly running out.

Eighteen countries had freedom and lost it. Are you going to lose yours?

Paul Revere sounded the call:

"The Redcoats are coming."

Let every American who loves his country sound the call:

"THE COMMUNISTS ARE HERE."

**Deceit-Engendered Laws
Null and Void**

An ancient concept of justice and equity, older than written law, now universally recognized in present day jurisprudence, holds that acts and deeds done under fraud and deceit or for an unmentioned ulterior purpose, are NULL AND VOID FROM THE BEGINNING, of no lawful effect, and not to be observed.

However right and correct that concept may be, past records show many instances of fraudulent acts becoming the law of the land by mute acceptance and lack of protest.

**IT CAN'T HAPPEN HERE.
(So thought John Jay)**

In a letter to the *New York Packet*, Friday, March 7, 1788, to the people of the state of New York, defending the treaty making powers of the new Constitution and urging its adoption, Jay wrote:-

As to corruption, the case is not supposable. He must either have been very unfortunate in his intercourse with the world, or possess a heart very susceptible of such impressions, who can think it probable that the President and two thirds of the Senate will ever be capable of such unworthy conduct. The idea is too gross and too invidious to be entertained.

But, in such a case, if it should ever happen, the treaty so obtained from us, would, like all other fraudulent contracts, be null and void by the law of nations.


The *Federalist*, No. LXIV. (Jay)

Incredible as it appeared to Jay, our President and more than two thirds of the Senate became corrupted or adversely influenced and made a deceit-laden treaty (U. N.), which if uncontested will become established as the Supreme Law of the land by lack of protest, and destroy our National Sovereignty, both foreign and domestic.

DEATH AND DESTRUCTION

Neither this country nor any other can survive under deceit-laden measures thrust upon us as the Supreme Law of

Un-Constitutional - un-American



United Nations Building

the Land. It means DEATH AND DESTRUCTION as surely as it be tolerated.

WHAT LAW IS "SUPREME?"

To be SUPREME under the Constitution, a Law must be "... in pursuance thereof;" that is, it must conform to, support, and carry out the intent, purpose, and provisions of the Constitution.

No would-be or purported "Law" (Such as U. N. Treaty) that subordinates our National Sovereignty in any slight degree, or one enacted under false representation, or for an unmentioned ulterior purpose, can remotely be conceived of as "in pursuance of the Constitution," and therefore can not be "Supreme Law" in any sense whatsoever.

LADEN WITH DECEIT

No document was ever more cram-packed from beginning to end with misleading verbiage, plausible misrepresentations, truthful-sounding falsifications, and incriminating silence concerning an existing ulterior purpose, than the Charter of the United Nations.

Of all treaties ever entered into by our President and the Senate, the UNITED NATIONS ACCEPTANCE AND PARTICIPATION ACT was the most DAMNABLE, being as it is a malfeasant affirmation of the specious criminal Charter of the U. N., designed and shaped to subordinate our National Sovereignty and set up world rule as the Law of the Land.

Much credit is due those few Senators who took precaution to read the Charter and voted against the Participation Act. But how many were there of such? Perhaps TWO! If trustful John Jay could know this he would sit down astonished at such great display of "unworthy conduct" by our most trusted public officials.

NO ! And again NO ! !

The Charter of the United Nations specified that it be ratified by full Constitutional Process, as if thereby to confer indubious legality, or perhaps to impress the populace.

Are we so empty-headed as to think forgery is legalized by the formality of signing on the dotted line? —that a duly signed and sealed contract containing a fraudulent paragraph is binding? —that a treaty not in keeping with the intent and purpose of our Constitution is the Law of the Land?

NO ! Hidden under a camouflage of words, the Charter contains provisions for subordinating to itself the National Sovereignty of every nation on earth. Even to carry out its provisions in good faith would entail a very real forfeiture of National Sovereignty. One can't escape the poison if one swallows the pill.

NO ! The promoters of the United Nations have an unmentioned ulterior purpose, other than that contained in the Charter. This is DECEIT.

NO ! Because of deceit and extreme hazard, Charter provisions should not be considered the Law of the Land in any sense whatsoever.

NO ! The ratification of the U. N. Treaty was NULL AND VOID FROM THE BEGINNING because of fraud and deceit and the existence of an ulterior purpose, and this nullity and voidness was INHERENT IN THE ACT, regardless of how closely it conformed to Constitutional Process.

TURN DOWN AN EMPTY CUP

Being Null (nothing) and Void (empty) and of no lawful effect, there is nothing about the ACCEPTANCE AND PARTICIPATION ACT to be repealed, for one can not pour out an empty cup.

But one may set an empty cup aside; and this We the Sovereign People should so do with everything pertaining to the United Nations, and wipe every vestige of it from our land by POPULAR ACCLAIM.

Surrender to U. N., Rabbi urges

Republished from—
Vancouver Sun (Canada) April 4, 1963

Prime Minister Lester Pearson was today urged to surrender some of Canada's sovereignty to the United Nations.

Toronto Rabbi Gunther Plaut, an expert in international law, said no country can be an end in itself and no country is any longer truly sovereign.

"National pride has become some sort of demi-god to whom we all must pay obeisance," he said.

"The idea of 'My Country Right or Wrong' sounds fine, but 'My Country Wrong' can mean the end of the world.

"Now that we have a Nobel peace prize winner as our leader I would like to see Canada prepared to say she is willing to give up to a strong world authority certain national prerogatives," he said.

Rabbi Plaut spelled out the prerogatives as the right to make war, and submission of international disputes to the World Court.

He also urged Canada to adopt a national policy to establish a world government with supra-national power.

Rabbi Plaut is a prominent member of the World Federalist movement, which aims at creating a system of world law enforceable by an international police force.

Jewish question must be faced!

Republished from—
The Britisher, London, England
December 15, 1937

"The great problem of the future is the Jewish," wrote Lord Eustace Percy in 1919.

Political events since then have justified that statement up to the hilt; yet no effort is being made by any government of the world to solve the problem.

The first step towards the solution of the Jewish problem is to know what the problem is. While thousands are busy spending their time and money on abstract theories of "Left" and "Right" books, only a small circle of men and women in every country are studying the Jewish problem objectively. This small circle must be increased.

A few remarks will suffice to explain the fundamental facts of the Jewish problem and serve as a guide to an intelligent study.

1. **Religious.** The root of the Jewish problem is Judaism, a nationalist cult which perpetuates the myth that Jews are "Chosen" to rule the world. In accordance with this conceit, Judaism teaches that all non-Jews are of no importance and the most elementary rules of conduct need not be observed towards them. The obvious solution of this evil is conversion to Christianity, but two things stand in its path: (1) The Jews as a people have consistently refused

Jewish question must be faced!

—o— Continued from page 3 —o—

accept Christianity; and (2) False conversion has been a favourite trick adopted by the Jews to penetrate Gentile society.

2. **Political.** The Jews have built up a political and social organization of their own, known as the Kahal, or Kehillah system, based upon the law of Judaism. The yoke of this system upon Jews has consistently prevented conversion and assimilation. Failure to solve the Jewish problem in the past has caused two subversive movements to come into being, viz. Bolshevism and Zionism. The first seeks to destroy Gentile society, the second to establish a Jewish super-Government upon the ruins.

Every other aspect of the Jewish problem should be considered in the light of the above two headings. It is the power of the Kahal which has prevented any British Government from taking adequate measures to stem the tide of immigration of East European Jews into Britain. It is Judaism which is responsible for the alliance between High Finance and Bolshevism.

The existence of a Jewish problem at all was hotly denied in Britain about five years ago. The problem is now being discussed throughout the land but is ignored completely by every political party. This conspiracy of silence must be broken. Every club, society and Church should have its library of books on the Jewish question, so that the Jews can be discussed intelligently and fearlessly. The Jewish question must be faced!

U. S. SENATORS EXPOSED

By Austin Mosher

A lot of strange things have happened in politics during the past thirty years, but the latest caper of Senator J. W. Fulbright, (D. Ark.) and Joseph S. Clark, (D. Pa.) wins the wooden medal for arrogance and presumptuousness.

Thanks to Willard Edwards and the Chicago Tribune editorial writers for exposing the Senators' views as expressed in the pamphlet entitled "The Elite and the Electorate." It is interesting to note that the Center for Study of Democratic Institutions which published the pamphlet was financed by the notorious Fund for the Republic which has a reputation for selling America short.

We are reminded, too, that it was the infamous Fulbright Memorandum which, some months ago, recommended that our military leaders be muzzled, and that the people be kept in ignorance regarding developments in communist circles because, as the Senator put it, public opinion would probably favor hitting the communists with everything we have.

The thesis of the pamphlet, as we understand it, is, in plain language, that the hoi polloi are too damn dumb to understand what it is all about and therefore should not be permitted to participate in the political affairs of the nation. A self-styled Elite Corps would do our thinking for us, and our elected representatives in the Congress and the State Legislatures should abdicate and turn the government over to the President and the Palace Guard. This is tantamount to saying that our Constitution and republican form of government should be supplanted by an autocracy.

The utterances of these senators are stupid, treacherous and treasonous, and can only serve the cause of those who are working to destroy our way of life. They should be impeached for violation of their oath to uphold and defend the Constitution.

**READ and PASS ON
To a COLLEGE STUDENT**

"Togetherness" in New York City

The white politicians who cater to the Negro voters in the North in order to perpetuate themselves in office, the white dogooders and the Negro pressure groups delight in pointing the finger of scorn at the South because we resist racial integration with the Negro. What hypocrisy!

Let's see how "togetherness" is working out, for instance, in New York City, which has a population of over 8 million of which 1 million are Negroes. The Negro vote in New York is approximately 150 thousand.

Now, segregation of the races is ILLEGAL in New York City. But segregation in housing has been practiced there ever since Negroes first settled in America's largest city. Real estate dealers have, from the earliest times, used "restrictive covenants" in renting, leasing or selling residential property in order to prevent intrusion of "undesirable elements" into a neighborhood. In the better sections, in instances where Negroes have moved in, white residents immediately moved out.

Residents of only the poorest sections have tolerated integrated housing and only because they did not have the means to move to better neighborhoods.

So, like the Southern whites, the white residents of New York City are very much opposed to racial integration!

As for integration in the public schools, up to the time of the 1954 Supreme Court decision, Negroes attended Negro schools, for most part, because the real estate "restrictive covenants" confined Negroes to certain neighborhoods and they were obliged to attend schools in their districts.

With the 1954 Court decision, "mixing" in the schools of New York City began. How has it worked out?

There have been thousands of cases reported to the school authorities and the police of Negro male students molesting white girls, not only on the school grounds but in the school buildings. Many white girls have been raped on school premises. In many instances, white female teachers have actually been assaulted in classrooms. White girls have been instructed to walk the school building corridors, on visits to the rest rooms and on the school grounds, in pairs, for protection. The situation has become so aggravated that it has been necessary to place a city policeman on the grounds of every integrated public school in New York City. Hundreds of teachers have resigned rather than to have to put up with the unruly Negro students. One school principal, having tried unsuccessfully to cope with the awful conditions, took his own life.

Adam Clayton Powell, Negro Congressman from the Harlem district of New York City, who, incidentally, is married to a white woman, is the most powerful politician in the State of New York. City, State and National politicians fear this Negro and cater to all his whims in order to secure the Negro vote in Harlem.

Today, New York City is a veritable "jungle town" and white females are attacked and raped on streets in residential areas, in their apartments and on the waiting platforms of the subways. In 1959, as an example, over 900 white females of varying ages, were raped on subway platforms in the "dull hours" of the early afternoon when subway traffic is light. Over three-fourths of such crimes were committed by Negroes.

You may well ask, why doesn't the Police Department do something about this appalling situation? Simply because their hands are tied by the politicians in power who cater to the Negro Congressman, Adam Clayton Powell.

Powell, incidentally, was indicted a few years ago on charges of income tax evasion by the Federal government. Was he convicted? Don't be naive! Nothing was done as to convicting him. An ordinary citizen would have had to pay a heavy fine and perhaps be sent to a Federal penitentiary.

That's the way the wind blows in New York City as to racial relations. The Negro minority actually rule New York City. It'll be that way in every city in the Nation unless white citizens wake up and organize, massively and effectively, to protect themselves.

Are we anti-Semitic?

The other day a party thought they were hurting our feelings by calling us anti-Semitic — NOT AT ALL.

We are proud to be called anti-Semitic, because that is the brand the Marxists put on every one who energetically opposes communism.

Jesus Christ today is branded as the original anti-Semite as well as his followers.

Joseph Dunner, a Zionist, in the book "The Republic of Israel," October 1950, page 10 said:-

"To Christians of all denominations, Jesus is the symbol of all that is pure, sacred, and lovely. To Jews from the fourth century, Jesus became the symbol of anti-Semitism, of libel, of cruelty, of violent death."

We have been called "Red-baiters"—we are proud of that also. However, we know that people who use anti-Semitic attacks know nothing of what they are saying and are only repeating what the communists coined. The term anti-Semitic was coined by the Marxists for the purpose of giving a bad name to anti-communists and discouraging patriots from learning just who is responsible for communism.

We are opposed to the people who originated and direct Marxism in the World and who label themselves Jews. These people are not Jews. They are Khazars (Yiddish Asiatics) who adopted the Hebrew alphabet in the seventh century and who are related to the Marxists.

The reason they have been successful in their revolutionary plans to conquer the world is because they work behind the smoke screen of the anti-Semitic smear campaign. The truth of the matter is that the only Semites in the world are the Egyptians and Arabs whom we have great admiration for.

There is no Jewish race. 90% of this religious cult who call themselves Jewish are KHAZARS. That is why we refer to them as "so-called" Jews.

Integration—How will they react?

The Jews deserted West Adams because of the Negro, Boyle Heights because of the Mexican, and Leimert Park because of the Oriental. I am still wondering how you will react — how I will react — when the first Negro applies for conversion to Judaism and admission to this congregation, or any congregation. — Rabbi Jacob Pressman, Temple Beth Am, Los Angeles. See "Congressional Record", January 15, 1959, Appendix 165 (the quote is from A166).

SPECIAL OFFER

Twenty five (25) different issues (our selection) of Common Sense for \$1.00.

These issues from past years are just as timely as the day they were written.

Order from—

Christian Educational Assn.
Union, New Jersey

Common Sense*

Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.
Conde McGinley, Editor

One year's subscription, \$1; Three years for \$2.50. First Class (Sealed) — \$3.00 per year. Foreign, 10 months, \$1.00

In BULK, same issue or assorted
25 Copies — \$1.00
100 Copies — 3.00
500 Copies — 10.00

Common Sense*

Published twice monthly except July and August, once each, by Christian Educational Assn.
530 Chestnut Street, Union, N. J.

*Trade Mark Registered U.S. Patent Office