Mechanisms from Food Insecurity to Worse HIV Treatment Outcomes in US Women Living with HIV

Sheri D. Weiser, MD, MA, MPH,¹ Lila A. Sheira, MPH,¹ Kartika Palar, PhD,¹ Margot Kushel, MD,² Tracey E. Wilson, PhD,³ Adebola Adedimeji, PhD, MBA, MPH,⁴ Dan Merenstein, MD,⁵ Mardge Cohen, MD,⁶ Janet M. Turan, PhD,⁷ Lisa Metsch, PhD,⁸ Adaora A. Adimora, MD, MPH,⁹ Ighovwerha Ofotokun, MD,¹ Eryka Wentz, MA,¹¹ Phyllis C. Tien, MD,¹² and Edward A. Frongillo, PhD¹³

Abstract

Food insecurity (FI) contributes to HIV-related morbidity and mortality, but the mechanisms whereby FI negatively impacts HIV health are untested. We tested the hypothesis that FI leads to poor HIV clinical outcomes through nutritional, mental health, and behavioral paths. We analyzed data from Women's Interagency HIV Study (WIHS) among 1803 women living with HIV (WLWH) (8225 person-visits) collected from 2013 to 2015 biannually from nine sites across the United States participating in the WIHS. FI was measured with the US Household Food Security Survey Module. Outcomes included HIV viral nonsuppression, CD4 cell counts, and physical health status (PHS). We used longitudinal logistic and linear regression models with random effects to examine associations adjusting for covariates and path analysis to test nutritional, mental health, and behavioral paths. Increasing severity of FI was associated with unsuppressed viral load, lower CD4 counts, and worse PHS (all p < 0.05). Report of FI 6 months earlier was independently associated with most outcomes after adjusting for concurrent FI. For viral nonsuppression, the nutritional and behavioral paths accounted for 2.09% and 30.66% of the total effect, with the mental health path operating via serial mediation through the behavioral path. For CD4 count, the mental health and behavioral paths accounted for 15.21% and 17.0% of the total effect, respectively. For PHS, depressive symptoms accounted for 60.2% of the total effect. In conclusion, FI is associated with poor health among WLWH through different paths depending on the outcome. Interventions should target FI and its behavioral and mental health mechanisms to improve HIV outcomes.

Keywords: food insecurity, HIV, women, antiretroviral adherence, mental health, nutrition

⁵Department of Family Medicine, Georgetown University Medical Center, Washington, District of Columbia, USA.

⁶Department of Medicine, Stroger Hospital, Chicago, Illinois, USA.

¹Division of HIV, Infectious Disease and Global Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco (UCSF), San Francisco, California, USA.

²Division of General Internal Medicine, Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital, University of California, San Francisco (UCSF), San Francisco, California, USA.

Department of Community Health Sciences, State University of New York Downstate Medical Center, School of Public Health, Brooklyn, New York, USA.

⁴Department of Epidemiology and Population Health, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, New York, USA.

⁷Department of Health Care Organization and Policy, School of Public Health, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, USA.

Department of Sociomedical Sciences, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, New York, New York, USA.

⁹School of Medicine and UNC Gillings School of Global Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA.

Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Emory University, Grady Healthcare System, Atlanta, Georgia, USA.

¹¹ Department of Epidemiology, Bloomberg School of Public Health, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland, USA.

¹²Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco and Medical Service, Department of Veteran Affairs Medical Center, San Francisco, California, USA. ¹³Department of Health Promotion, Education, and Behavior, University of South Carolina, Columbia, South Carolina, USA.

Introduction

F^{00D} INSECURITY (FI), DEFINED as having uncertain or limited availability of nutritionally adequate or safe food or the inability to procure food in socially acceptable ways,¹ affects 11.1% of US households,² and disproportionately impacts the households of racial/ethnic minorities and people living with HIV (PLWH).³ FI is associated with worse physical health status (PHS),⁴ decreased viral suppression,^{3,5} worse immunologic status,^{4,6} increased acute care use,⁷ and increased mortality.⁸ While some studies have been longitudinal, few assessed the temporal association between FI and HIV outcomes or effects of persistent exposure to FI on HIV outcomes. Similarly, few studies have assessed whether there is a dose–response relationship between FI severity and HIV outcomes.

While models have postulated the mechanisms through which FI affects HIV health outcomes,^{3,9} no studies have comprehensively tested any of these mechanisms empirically. Understanding paths is critical to inform intervention development. This is because there are different programmatic and policy options for improving FI such as income transfer for food (e.g., Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program), provision of prepared meals (e.g., soup kitchens, warm meal delivery), augmentation of the food supply (e.g., food pantries, food packages), vocational training programs, and increasing social security and unemployment benefits. These strategies may variably improve different aspects of FI (i.e., poor food quantity/quality, food supply anxiety, procuring foods in socially acceptable ways).

Elucidating the most important mechanisms will help select the most appropriate FI interventions for specific populations or health problems and improve the effectiveness of these programs. Understanding paths may also inform the type of supportive interventions—such as mental health services or adherence counseling—to pair with FI interventions, and can help address challenges such as dependency, stigma, or anxiety associated with receipt of food aid.^{9,10}

Most studies that have investigated health effects of FI among PLWH in resource rich settings have been comprised almost entirely of men.^{3,7,11} Yet, women are at higher risk for FI in the United States and elsewhere,^{9,12,13} and consequences of FI may differ by sex. For example, studies have shown that food-insecure women may engage in risky sexual behavior to cope with FI and are also at a higher risk for violence.^{12,14} The mechanisms for how FI negatively affects health may also differ by gender. Consistent with this, one study in Uganda found that FI was associated with

depressive symptoms among women and not men,¹⁵ and depression may be an important mechanism through which FI worsens HIV outcomes.⁹

To address these important gaps in the literature, we conducted a 3-year longitudinal study in the Women's Interagency HIV Study (WIHS) to understand the associations and temporal relationships of FI with HIV clinical outcomes among women. Drawing upon our published conceptual framework,^{9,10} we assessed the nutritional, behavioral, and mental health mechanisms, through which FI may impact these outcomes. We hypothesized that (1) women with FI would have worse HIV clinical outcomes, and those with persistent FI would fare the worst; (2) there would be a dose–response relationship between increasing severity of FI and HIV outcomes; and (3) health behaviors and mental health would be the most important mediators of the negative impacts of FI.

Methods

Conceptual framework

Our framework posits that FI affects HIV outcomes through nutritional, mental health, and behavioral paths (Fig. 1).^{9,10,16}

Nutritional paths

Nationally representative studies of adults in North America have linked FI to inadequate dietary quality and poor nutritional status^{17–20} Weight loss, low body mass index (BMI), and low albumin have in turn been associated with disease progression and mortality in both untreated and antiretroviral therapy (ART)-treated PLWH.^{9,21} FI also exerts negative effects on health through obesity,²² when individuals are forced to cope with limited food access by consuming nutrient-poor, but energy-dense, cheaper foods.²³

Mental health paths

Qualitative studies in both PLWH and HIV-seronegative persons have identified feelings of helplessness, shame, and humiliation as central to the experience of FL.¹⁶ Likewise, quantitative studies have confirmed an association of household FI with depression, anxiety, psychological distress, illicit substance use,²⁴ and worse overall mental health status.^{9,13,25} Depression, poor mental health, and illicit substance use in turn predict ART nonadherence, higher viral loads, CD4⁺ T lymphocyte count decline, increased probability of AIDS-defining illness, and AIDS-related mortality.^{9,26–30}



FIG. 1. Hypothesized causal path.

Behavioral paths

FI is associated with ART nonadherence, as measured by self-report, unannounced pill counts, and pharmacy refill records, ^{9,16,31} and with missed scheduled clinic visits. The reasons include competing demands between food and other resources, worse side effects when taking ART on empty stomachs, and stigma and discrimination while taking ART at free meal programs.^{16,32} ART nonadherence and missed clinic visits, in turn, are well known determinants of worse morbidity and mortality among PLWH.³³

Study procedures

We analyzed longitudinal data from the WIHS, a multi-site prospective cohort study of women with or at risk for HIV established in 1994 to investigate the impact of HIV among women in the United States.³⁴ Since 1994, the WIHS has had three additional waves of recruitment in 2001-2002, 2011-2012, and 2013-2014. In the final wave of recruitment, four new sites in the Southern region of the United States were added in an attempt to enroll women representative of the age and race profiles of women living with HIV (WLWH) in the United States.³⁵ The WIHS currently follows women in nine sites: Bronx, NY; Brooklyn, NY; Washington, DC; Chicago, IL; San Francisco, CA; Chapel Hill, NC; Miami, FL; Birmingham, AL/Jackson, MS; and Atlanta, GA.³⁶ During semiannual interviews, the WIHS collects biological, clinical, demographic, and behavioral data using standardized instruments, physical examinations, and laboratory tests. Biological specimens include current CD4 count and HIV RNA viral load (measured using the TaqMan[©] assay).

From April 2013 to September 2015, the Food Insecurity Sub-Study (FISS) added data on comprehensive measures of food security, dietary intake, household savings, HIV stigma, and food support among all WIHS women. The first FISS visit for WIHS women started in 2013; women in the study averaged 4.4 visits out of a possible maximum of five visits. There were 8339 eligible person-visits among WLWH during the study period, of which 114 were missing the FISS module (1.4%). A total of 8225 person-visits comprised the analytic sample (1803 unique women). Given current guidelines recommending universal ART coverage, all women, regardless of ART status, were included in the analysis.

Participants provided written informed consent at each visit and were compensated for participation. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at each study site's institution and by the WIHS Executive Committee.

Primary explanatory variable

FI was measured at each visit of the substudy using the 18-item US Household Food Security Survey Module (HFSSM).³⁷ The HFSSM is the reference measure of population food security in the United States and has been validated in populations of women and other vulnerable populations.^{38,39} We used the standard HFSSM scoring algorithm to categorize individuals as having high food security (i.e., food secure) and marginal, low, or very low food security (corresponding to mild, moderate, and severe FI).³⁹ Cronbach's alpha for the HFSSM in this sample is 0.91, indicating high internal consistency. FI was examined both concurrently (i.e., at same visit as outcome) and using a

6-month lag (i.e., at preceding visit) to investigate the effect of both current- and previous-visit FI.

Primary outcomes

This study examined three outcomes as measures of HIV health: (1) viral nonsuppression analyzed dichotomously (suppressed vs. nonsuppressed), with the lower limit of detection of <20 copies/mL as guided by the TaqMan assay and as used in the WIHS;^{40–42} (2) CD4 cell count (continuous, cells/mm³); and (3) PHS, as a continuous measure, measured using the physical health summary score of the 21-item Medical Outcomes Study HIV Health Survey (MOS-HIV); all of the outcome measures were collected at each of the five study visits of the substudy except the PHS score, which was collected annually at three visits.⁴³

As a sensitivity analysis, we examined viral nonsuppression using a cutoff of <200 copies/mL as has been used in some national HIV indicators such as CDC National HIV indicator and Ryan White Reporting. We performed confirmatory factor analysis using standard scoring algorithms to develop the PHS score; the score was normalized to have a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1, with higher scores indicating better PHS.

Covariates

Based on previous literature³ and our conceptual framework^{9,16} linking FI and HIV health outcomes, we considered these variables as potential confounders: age in years (continuous), race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic white [reference], Hispanic, non-Hispanic black/African American, or other), education (<high school degree or equivalent vs. \geq high school degree), annual income (\leq 12,000 [reference], \$12,001–\$24,000, \$24,001–\$36,000, \$36,001–\$75,000, >\$75,000), current child dependents in household (vs. none), time on ART (years), and marital status (married/in a partnership [reference], divorced/separated/widowed, never married and not in partnership, and other). All of the covariates—both time-varying and invariant—were measured and assessed at each visit.

Potential mediators

We assessed nutritional, mental health, and behavioral mediators (Fig. 1) through which current FI may impact HIV outcomes. For the nutritional path, BMI (kg/m²) was measured during the anthropometric assessment and was included in the models as a continuous measure. Food frequency was collected annually and was measured using an adapted version of the 2000 National Health Interview Survey multifactor screener⁴⁴ and assessed as servings per day of the following food groups: fruit and vegetables, fat, carbohydrates, protein, and sugar. For the behavioral path, we assessed missed HIV clinical visits (which were not rescheduled) since the participant's last visit (yes vs. no) and ART adherence since last visit, measured by self-report (\geq 95% vs. <95%), which have been shown to be associated with higher odds of virologic suppression.^{45,46}

For the mental health path, we tested depressive symptoms as measured by the Center for Epidemiologic Studies-Depression (CES-D) scale,⁴⁷ mental health status, using the MOS-HIV,⁴³ both as continuous measures, as well as illicit drug use, defined as self-reported cocaine, crack, heroin, methamphetamine, hallucinogens, club drugs, nonprescribed

narcotics, or any other illicit recreational drugs not including marijuana since last visit (vs. none). Based on a recent psychometric evaluation of the CES-D in WIHS that found invariance in scores between symptomatic and asymptomatic women with HIV, we used the full 18 item CES-D scale,⁴⁸ while also conducting an additional sensitivity analysis omitting somatic items from the scale as a robustness check.

Analysis

We obtained summary characteristics for the primary explanatory variable, outcomes, covariates, and hypothesized mediators by using data collected at the first visit of the WIHS FISS. We tested for interactions between race/ethnicity and food security status and found no evidence of interaction. We used multiple, two-level, longitudinal models to regress the HIV health outcomes at the current visit on FI at both current and previous visits, adjusting for covariates. For each individual, we included in the analyses all current visits, for which there was also FI assessed at the previous visit. Individual was included as a random effect to differentiate variation among and within women; current FI, previous FI, and covariates were included as fixed effects. To examine viral nonsuppression, we used logistic regression. As a robustness check, we also used a Tobit model with the logarithm of viral load accounting for the left-censored values in the undetectable range;⁴⁹ results from this model were similar to those for viral nonsuppression and are not reported.

We used linear regression models for both CD4 cell counts and PHS. We calculated the association of persistent FI (i.e., any category of FI that occurred at both the current and previous visit) by adding the natural log of the odds ratio for the current visit to the natural log for the previous visit, and then taking the exponent of the sum. This linear combination can be done with any set of two coefficients across the two visits, including declining or improving FI (e.g., marginal plus very low, low plus marginal). We show those who remained in the same two categories across visits (i.e., insecurity at two consecutive visits or very low FI at two consecutive visits).

All analyses were conducted using Stata 14 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX). While our main analyses for all outcomes included all HIV-seropositive women in WIHS (n = 1803), results were very similar in a sensitivity analyses excluding the 116 women not on ART during the study period.

Using our conceptual framework,^{9,16} we estimated nutritional, mental health, and behavioral paths (Fig. 1) through which current FI may affect HIV outcomes. We estimated individual mediation effects for all outcomes, selecting the most plausible mediators along each path based on literature and our prior work.^{9,16} Given evidence that depressive symptoms may be on the causal path between FI and ART adherence,¹⁶ we also estimated serial mediation of this path (i.e., FI \rightarrow depression \rightarrow adherence \rightarrow HIV outcomes).

We selected the strongest mediators along each path for our final mediation models. For the nutritional path, BMI was the strongest mediator in the model with viral nonsuppression, while fruit and vegetable intake was strongest for CD4 cell count and PHS outcomes. For the behavioral and mental health paths, ART adherence and depressive symptoms, respectively, were the strongest mediators for all outcomes. We calculated the direct and indirect effects for each path by taking the products of the regression coefficients⁵⁰ of each leg of the path from fully adjusted mediation models that included one mediator from each of the three paths. Indirect effects were calculated only for paths where each leg was statistically significant (p < 0.05). We confirmed the assumption that each hypothesized mediator did not have a statistical interaction with FI for each outcome.⁵⁰

Ethics statement

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at each study site's institution and by the WIHS Executive Committee. This includes the IRBs at the following institutes/organizations: Emory University, Albert Einstein

TABLE 1. CHARACTERISTICS OF HIV^+ PARTICIPANTS AT STUDY ENTRY INTO THE WOMEN'S INTERAGENCY HIV STUDY FOOD INSECURITY SUBSTUDY, N=1803

	Ν	%
Study site		
Bronx	249	13.81
Brooklyn	247	13.70
Georgetown	227	12.59
San Francisco	232	12.87
Chicago	228	12.65
Chapel Hill	150	8.32
Atlanta	189	10.48
Miami	109	6.05
Birmingham	86	4.77
Jackson	86	4.77
Food security status		
High FS	1006	55.80
Marginal FS	287	15.92
Low FS	263	14.59
Very low FS	247	13.70
Age at visit, ^a years Race/ethnicity	48	41, 54
White, non-Hispanic	196	10.87
Hispanic	262	14.53
African American/black	1290	71.55
Other	55	3.05
Annual household income		
< \$12,000	925	53.72
\$12,001-24,000	381	22.13
\$24,001-\$36,000	180	10.45
\$36,001-75,000	153	8.89
≥ \$75,001	83	4.82
<high education<="" school="" td=""><td>598</td><td>33.19</td></high>	598	33.19
Child dependents	664	36.83
Clinical indicators		
% Virally suppressed	1035	59.62
Time on ART, years ^a	7.4	2.5, 14.4
Current CD4 Count ^a	559	372, 771
Hypothesized mediators		
Optimal adherence ($\geq 95\%$)	1302	83.09
BMI ^a	29.3	24.8. 35.4
Daily fruit/vegetable intake ^a	1.3	0.8, 2.7
Depressive symptoms (CES-D) ^a	8.0	3, 18
Illicit drug use	463	25.72
Person-visits/unique participants	8225	1803

^aMedian, IQR.

ART, antiretroviral therapy; BMI, body mass index; CES-D, Center for Epidemiologic Studies-Depression; FS, food security.

PATHS FROM FOOD INSECURITY TO WORSE HIV HEALTH

College of Medicine, Montefiore Health Systems, The State University of New York Downstate Health Sciences University, Cook County Health & Hospital System (CORE Center), Rush University Medical Center, Hektoen Institute for Medical Research, Georgetown University, Maryland Department of Health, Inova Health System Foundation, University of Miami, University of California, San Francisco, University of Alabama at Birmingham, University of Mississippi Medical Center, and the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. Each participant consented before participating in any study activities.

Results

Among 1803 WLWH in WIHS, the median age was 48 years, 72% identified as non-Hispanic black, over half (53%) had annual household incomes under \$12,000. Nearly half (44%) of the women experienced any FI (defined as marginal, low, or very low food security) and over half (59%) of all women were virally suppressed (Table 1).

Viral nonsuppression

In unadjusted models, current low and very low food security and all categories of previous FI were associated with increased odds of viral nonsuppression (Table 2). In adjusted longitudinal models with both current and previous FI, current low and very low food security were associated with 1.41 (95% CI: 1.06–1.88) and 1.85 (95% CI: 1.31–2.60) higher odds of viral nonsuppression compared to those with high food security (Table 3). Previous low and very low food security were associated with 1.39 (95% CI: 1.04-1.85) and 1.49 (95% CI: 1.07-2.07) higher odds of viral nonsuppression, respectively (all p < 0.05). Having persistently low and very low food security were associated with 1.96 (95% CI: 1.32-2.90) and 2.75 (95% CI: 1.79-4.22) higher odds of viral nonsuppression, respectively, compared to those with persistently high food security (Table 4). In the sensitivity analysis examining a cutoff of <200 copies/mL, effect sizes between current food security and viral nonsuppression were similar, although more pronounced in the very low category (results not shown).

CD4 cell counts

In bivariate analyses, marginal and very low food security were associated with lower CD4 cell counts compared to those with high food security (Table 2). In the adjusted linear regression models, current very low food security was associated with 22.31 lower CD4⁺ cells (SE: 10.45; p = 0.03) compared to women with high food security (Table 3), and previous FI was not significantly associated with CD4 cell counts.

TABLE 2. LONGITUDINAL BIVARIATE ASSOCIATIONS BETWEEN HIV HEALTH OUTCOMES AND PRIMARY PREDICTOR AND COVARIATES, WOMEN'S INTERAGENCY HIV STUDY

	Viral nonsuppression		CD4 coi	ınt	Physical health status				
	OR (95% (CI)	β (SE)	р	β (SE)	р			
Current food security status (FS) (high ref.)									
Marginal FS	1.23 (0.99–1.52)	0.062	-18.99 (6.03)	0.0016	-0.16 (0.03)	< 0.0001			
Low FS	1.34 (1.06–1.68)	0.012	-11.42 (6.60)	0.084	-0.33 (0.04)	< 0.0001			
Very low FS	2.02 (1.55-2.64)	< 0.0001	-21.46 (8.10)	0.0081	-0.51 (0.04)	< 0.0001			
Past FS status (high ref.)									
Marginal FS	1.34 (1.03–1.74)	0.028	-3.58 (7.17)	0.62	-0.15 (0.05)	0.0027			
Low FS	1.60 (1.21-2.10)	0.0008	8.38 (7.89)	0.29	-0.32(0.05)	< 0.0001			
Very low FS	1.88 (1.37–2.57)	0.0001	10.66 (9.69)	0.27	-0.59 (0.06)	< 0.0001			
Age at visit	0.96(0.95-0.98)	< 0.0001	4.49 (0.76)	< 0.0001	-0.02(0.00)				
Race (white ref.)	(()				
Hispanic	1.18 (0.71-1.96)	0.52	-91.22 (29.50)	0.0020	0.39(0.09)	< 0.0001			
African American/black	1.22 (0.80–1.85)	0.35	-26.47 (23.99)	0.27	0.34 (0.07)	< 0.0001			
Other	0.94 (0.42–2.13)	0.88	32.03 (47.66)	0.50	0.16 (0.14)	0.26			
Income (<\$12.000 ref.)									
\$12.001-24.000	0.78(0.63-0.96)	0.017	9.37 (6.13)	0.13	0.12(0.03)	0.0003			
\$24.001-\$36.000	0.85(0.64-1.13)	0.26	-18.98 (8.75)	0.030	0.24(0.04)	< 0.0001			
\$36.001-75.000	0.80 (0.58–1.12)	0.20	-5.14 (10.61)	0.63	0.25(0.05)	< 0.0001			
\$75,001	0.39 (0.23–0.67)	0.0005	-8.75 (16.64)	0.60	0.28 (0.08)	0.00030			
Education (< high school ref.)									
≥High school education	0.82 (0.63-1.06)	0.13	3.68 (15.67)	0.81	0.14 (0.05)	0.0035			
Child dependents (no ref.)									
Yes	1.40 (1.14–1.72)	0.0011	-5.06 (6.75)	0.45	0.06 (0.03)	0.0663			
Time on ARTs, years	0.96(0.94-0.98)	< 0.0001	5.91 (1.05)	< 0.0001	-0.00(0.00)	0.4973			
CD4 Nadir	1.00(1.00-1.00)	0.98	0.51 (0.03)	< 0.0001	-0.00(0.00)	0.5410			
Marital status (partnered ref.)									
Divorced/separated/widowed	1.03 (0.80-1.32)	0.81	1.74 (8.06)	0.83	-0.01 (0.04)	0.8090			
Never married	1.27 (0.98–1.63)	0.066	-3.66 (8.64)	0.67	0.04 (0.04)	0.31			
Other	1.32 (0.95–1.83)	0.10	2.85 (10.78)	0.79	0.09 (0.05)	0.10			

ART, antiretroviral therapy; CI, confidence interval; FS, food security; OR, odds ratio; SE, standard error.

	Viral nonsuppression		CD4 count			Physical health status			
	AOR	(95% CI)	р	β	SE	р	β	SE	р
Current food security status (FS)) (high r	ef.)							
Marginal FS	0.96	0.72-1.27	0.77	-11.34	7.74	0.14	-0.26	0.05	< 0.001
Low FS	1.41	1.06 - 1.88	0.02	-0.87	8.32	0.92	-0.37	0.05	< 0.001
Very low FS	1.85	1.31-2.60	< 0.001	-22.31	10.45	0.03	-0.55	0.07	< 0.001
Past FS status (high ref.)									
Marginal FS	1.27	0.97-1.66	0.08	-1.63	7.50	0.83	-0.08	0.05	0.09
Low FS	1.39	1.04-1.85	0.02	13.94	8.23	0.09	-0.23	0.05	< 0.001
Very Low FS	1.49	1.07 - 2.07	0.02	11.27	10.02	0.26	-0.37	0.06	< 0.001
Age at visit	0.96	0.95-0.98	< 0.001	4.35	0.91	< 0.001	-0.02	0.00	< 0.001
Race (white ref.)									
Hispanic	0.91	0.51-1.62	0.76	-88.93	31.37	0.00	0.44	0.09	< 0.001
African American/black	1.01	0.63-1.63	0.97	-17.73	25.77	0.49	0.33	0.08	< 0.001
Other	0.76	0.31-1.83	0.54	48.85	49.20	0.32	0.25	0.14	0.09
Income (< \$12,000 ref.)									
\$12,001-24,000	0.68	0.53-0.88	< 0.001	13.09	7.51	0.08	0.07	0.04	0.09
\$24,001-\$36,000	0.73	0.51 - 1.05	0.09	-13.40	10.92	0.22	0.25	0.06	< 0.001
\$36,001-75,000	0.68	0.44 - 1.04	0.07	-2.17	13.16	0.87	0.23	0.07	< 0.001
\$75,001	0.41	0.22 - 0.80	0.01	-16.42	20.83	0.43	0.19	0.10	0.05
Education (<high ref.)<="" school="" td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></high>									
≥High school education	0.80	0.59 - 1.07	0.13	6.95	16.34	0.67	0.05	0.05	0.31
Child dependents (no ref.)									
Yes	1.04	0.80-1.34	0.79	0.85	8.42	0.92	0.02	0.04	0.70
Time on ART years	1 04	1 02-1 07	0.00	3 18	1 30	0.01	-0.00	0.00	0.24
CD4 Nadir	1.04	1.02 1.07	0.60	0.55	0.04	< 0.01	-0.00	0.00	0.24
Marital status (partnered ref.)	1.00	1.00 1.00	0.01	0.00	0.01	10.001	0.00	0.00	0.07
Divorced/separated/widowed	1.03	0.76-1.41	0.83	1.80	10.10	0.86	0.05	0.05	0.28
Never married	1.15	0.84-1.55	0.38	-6.08	10.61	0.57	0.05	0.05	0.30
Other	1.50	1.01 - 2.24	0.05	-8.31	13.54	0.54	0.11	0.07	0.11
Observations	5597			5611			2324		
Number of unique women	1572			1574			1418		

TABLE 3. LONGITUDINAL ADJUSTED MODELS, CONCURRENT AND LAGGED ANALYSES, WOMEN'S INTERAGENCY HIV STUDY

AOR, adjusted odds ratio; ART, antiretroviral therapy; CI, confidence interval; FS, food security; SE, standard error.

Physical health status

In bivariate analyses, there was a strong dose–response relationship between FI and lower PHS (Table 2), which remained in adjusted models (Table 3). Current marginal, low, and very low food security were associated with -0.26 (SE: 0.05), -0.37 (SE: 0.05), and -0.55 (SE: 0.07) standard deviations from the mean PHS score, respectively. Previous low and very low food security were associated with -0.23 (SE: 0.05) and -0.37 (SE: 0.06) standard deviations from the mean

TABLE 4. ASSOCIATION OF PERSISTENT FOOD SECURITY OVER THE PREVIOUS YEAR WITH MAIN OUTCOMES, WOMEN'S INTERAGENCY HIV STUDY

	Viral nonsuppression ^a		Current CD4 c	ount ^b	Physical health status ^b	
	AOR (95% CI)	р	β (SE)	р	β (SE)	β (SE)
Current+prior food security	(high ref.)					
Marginal	1.22 (0.82–1.80)	0.33	-12.97(11.60)	0.26	-0.34(0.067)	< 0.001
Low	1.96 (1.32–2.90)	0.001	13.07 (12.35)	0.29	-0.60 (0.068)	< 0.001
Very low	2.75 (1.79–4.22)	< 0.001	-11.04 (14.96)	0.46	-0.92 (0.075)	< 0.001
Observations Number of unique women	5597 1572		5611 1574		2324 1418	

^aTable 4 results are the exponentiated linear combinations of the natural log of the AORs for current and prior food security within each level (i.e., marginal, low, and very low) from Table 3, that is, Persistent $FS = e^{(ln(AORcurrent)+ln(AORprior))}$. Estimates, CIs, and *p*-values obtained through postestimation commands for linear combinations in Stata.

^bModels adjusted for current and previous food security, age, race/ethnicity, income, education, child dependents, time on ARTs, CD4 nadir, and marital status.

AOR, adjusted odds ratio; ART, antiretroviral therapy; CI, confidence interval; FS, food security; SE, standard error.

	Viral nonsuppression ^a		Current CD4 count ^b		Physical health status ^b	
	Effect	% of total	Effect	% of total	Effect	% of total
Total effects ^c						
Direct	0.258	59.47	-11.73	61.40	-0.135	39.80
Indirect	0.180	40.53	-7.30	38.38	-0.204	60.20
Total	—	100.00		99.8	—	100.0
Indirect paths						
Nutritional path ^d	0.009	2.09		0	_	0
Mental health path (CES-D)		0	-2.89	15.21	-0.20	60.10
Mental to behavioral path (CESD \rightarrow ART adherence)	0.034	7.78	-1.17	6.13		0
Behavioral path (ART adherence)	0.133	30.66	-3.24	17.0	-0.0002	0.10
Total	0.176	40.53	-7.31	38.38	-0.204	60.2
Observations	5597		5611		2324	
Number of unique women	1372		13/4		1410	

TABLE 5. TEST OF NUTRITIONAL, MENTAL HEALTH, AND BEHAVIORAL PATHS FROM FOOD INSECURITY TO HIV HEALTH OUTCOMES, WOMEN'S INTERAGENCY HIV STUDY

^aFood insecurity was examined as very low food security compared to not.

^bFood insecurity was examined as any food insecurity compared to none.

^cModels adjusted for current and previous food security, age, race/ethnicity, income, education, child dependents, time on ARTs, CD4 nadir, illicit drug use, and marital status

^dFruit and vegetable intake was the primary mediator on the nutritional path investigated for CD4 count and physical health status, however, the paths were not statistically significant. BMI was the primary mediator on the nutritional path investigated for viral nonsuppression.

ART, antiretroviral therapy; BMI, body mass index; CES-D, Center for Epidemiologic Studies-Depression.

PHS score, that is, lower PHS. Persistently marginal, low, and very low food security were associated with -0.34 (SE: 0.067), -0.60 (SE: 0.068) and -0.92 (SE: 0.075; all p < 0.001) standard deviations from the mean PHS score (Table 5).

Mediation

The mediators accounted for 40.53% of the total effect of FI on viral nonsuppression (Table 5 and Fig. 2). The indirect paths through nutrition and behavior accounted for 2.09% and 30.66% of the association of FI with viral non-suppression, respectively. Although the indirect path from FI to viral nonsuppression through mental health was not statistically significant, the indirect path from FI through mental health and then ART adherence was significant and accounted for 7.78% of the total effect of FI on viral nonsuppression.

The mediators explained 38.38% of the total effect of food security on CD4 cell count. The indirect paths through mental health and behavior accounted for 15.21% and 17.0% of the total effect, respectively. Mental health mediated the path from FI to ART nonadherence, accounting for 6.13% of the total effect on CD4 cell count.

The mediators accounted for 60.2% of the total effect of food security on PHS. In these models, the only statistically significant indirect path from FI was through mental health.

Discussion

In this longitudinal study among 1803 WLWH, FI was associated with higher viral nonsuppression, lower CD4 cell counts, and worse PHS. These findings support previous research that has documented worse HIV outcomes among food insecure individuals,^{3–7,9,11} including a cross-sectional study in the same cohort,⁵¹ and extend previous findings in several important ways. First, while most previous work from

the United States has focused on populations comprised largely of men or are cross sectional,⁵¹ we report that these associations hold in a national longitudinal sample of women with HIV. Second, associations between FI and HIV clinical outcomes were related in a dose–response manner for most outcomes. Third, both current and previous FI were independently associated with many outcomes, suggesting that past FI may have ongoing deleterious impacts even if food security status improves. Women with persistent severe FI (both current and past visit) fared the worst in terms of their HIV outcomes.

Another important advance is that we tested the mechanisms through which FI may be linked with worse HIV outcomes using a novel conceptual framework,⁹ and found that FI may operate through nutritional, mental health, and behavioral mechanisms to worsen HIV health. This work is consistent with findings from intervention studies showing that food support may improve both HIV and chronic disease outcomes by improving nutrition,⁵² mental health,⁵³ and health behaviors.^{52,53} Different mediators had more salience for different clinical outcomes, suggesting that distinctive strategies may be warranted for intervention depending on the targeted outcome.

In support of previous theory and qualitative research,^{9,16} the indirect effect of the nutritional path was smaller compared to that of the behavioral and mental health path in terms of their impact on virologic and immunologic outcomes. This is not an unexpected finding given that ART adherence is the most important determinant of viral suppression and positive immunologic responses,³³ and that poor mental health is an important determinant of ART nonadherence, quality of life, and worse immunologic function.²⁸ Likewise, we found evidence of serial mediation, with a path from FI to depression to poor adherence to worse HIV virologic and immunologic outcomes.



FIG. 2. Paths from food security to HIV health outcomes. (a) Path analysis from food insecurity to viral non-suppression. (b) Path analysis from food insecurity to CD4 count. (c) Path analysis from food insecurity to physical health status.

These findings on mechanisms may also have relevance to conditions outside of HIV. Our previously published conceptual framework suggests that similar nutritional, mental health, and behavioral mechanisms may be important for metabolic and other chronic disease outcomes.¹⁰ In contrast to our findings with HIV outcomes, literature suggests that nutritional mechanisms may be comparatively more important in mediating the negative impact of FI on metabolic and other chronic disease outcomes.^{9,54} Future work could more comprehensively assess which mechanisms are most important for other chronic disease outcomes.

Our findings have important implications for policy and practice, and attest to the importance of addressing FI as part of comprehensive HIV care. In support of this, more HIV and chronic disease programs across the country are linking patients with medically appropriate food support. For example, the California legislature recently funded a statewide demonstration project to provide medically appropriate nutrition to food insecure, chronically ill individuals as a way to reduce morbidity and health care costs.⁵⁵ Similar interventions which seek to improve food security and nutritional status have been shown to reduce health care costs and be cost effective.⁵⁶

Given that ART nonadherence and depressive symptoms were the most salient mediators on the path from FI to

worsened HIV health outcomes, multi-pronged interventions that address these mediators in addition to FI may be warranted. For instance, interventions that pair food support with adherence counseling to improve HIV indicators, or with mental health treatment to improve quality of life and overall PHS, may be most effective. In support of the need for multi-modal interventions, a recent analysis of over 1000 PLWH interviewed up to eight times between 2002 and 2015 found that the more linkages in their provider care networks (e.g., integration of housing services, food services, social services, and clinical care), the higher odds of increased viral suppression and ART adherence.⁵⁷

Interventions that address some of the root causes of FI (e.g., lack of stable livelihoods, lack of access to high-quality foods in low-income neighborhoods) may better address the mental health and behavioral mechanisms, through which FI negatively impacts health for three reasons. First, while food supplementation has been shown to be an effective intervention in many populations, including among PLWH, when people are dependent on clinics or food banks for food, they may feel ongoing anxiety about their food supply or that they are procuring their food in socially unacceptable ways. Second, experiences of stigma and discrimination are associated with receiving food stamps and other forms of food aid,⁵⁸ and when internalized, this stigma can contribute to depression

and other negative mental health sequelae.⁵⁹ Third, addressing upstream causes of FI such as having a livable wage rather than midstream mediators or downstream consequences may lead to longer term and more pervasive gains, and can potentially help to interrupt the intergenerational transmission of FI and poverty.

This study had several limitations. The observational design limits causal inference. While the use of longitudinal data with lagged associations and incorporating potential mediators strengthens the plausibility of a causal connection, it is still possible that FI is partially a consequence rather than a cause of worse HIV outcomes, or that both FI and HIV outcomes are affected by common influences that could not be controlled. Our dietary measure was an imperfect measure of diet quality and did not capture macronutrient and micronutrient deficiencies, which may have underestimated the importance of nutritional mediators of FI. In addition, we did not have an objective measure of ART adherence on all WIHS women, and self-reported adherence may be susceptible to recall and social desirability bias. Finally, despite recent expansion of recruitment to include women in the US South, participants in the WIHS may not reflect US WLWH, particularly in terms of age and urban/rural residence.

In summary, these results provide strong evidence that FI is associated with poor health among WLWH in a dose–response manner and that FI influences HIV clinical outcomes via different paths depending on the outcome. Interventions should address FI and its behavioral and mental health mechanisms to improve HIV clinical outcomes.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge and thank the participants in the WIHS for their contributions to the study overall and specifically to this research.

Author Disclosure Statement

No competing financial interests exist.

Funding Information

This study was funded by a Women's Interagency HIV Study (WIHS) substudy grant from the National Institute of Mental Health, R01MH095683, and K24AI134326 (Weiser). Data in this article were collected by the WIHS. The contents of this publication are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health (NIH). WIHS (Principal Investigators): UAB-MS WIHS (Michael Saag, Mirjam-Colette Kempf, and Deborah Konkle-Parker), U01-AI-103401; Atlanta WIHS (Ighovwerha Ofotokun and Gina Wingood), U01-AI-103408; Bronx WIHS (Kathryn Anastos), U01-AI-035004; Brooklyn WIHS (Howard Minkoff and Deborah Gustafson), U01-AI-031834; Chicago WIHS (Mardge Cohen and Audrey French), U01-AI-034993; Metropolitan Washington WIHS (Seble Kassaye), U01-AI-034994; Miami WIHS (Margaret Fischl and Lisa Metsch), U01-AI-103397; UNC WIHS (Adaora Adimora), U01-AI-103390; Connie Wofsy Women's HIV Study, Northern California (Ruth Greenblatt, Bradley Aouizerat, and Phyllis Tien), U01-AI-034989; WIHS Data Management and Analysis Center (Stephen Gange and Elizabeth Golub), U01-AI-042590; The WIHS is funded primarily by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), with additional cofunding from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD), the National Cancer Institute (NCI), the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA), and the National Institute on Mental Health (NIMH). Targeted supplemental funding for specific projects is also provided by the National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research (NIDCR), the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA), the National Institute on Deafness and other Communication Disorders (NIDCD), and the NIH Office of Research on Women's Health. WIHS data collection is also supported by UL1-TR000004 (UCSF CTSA) and UL1-TR000454 (Atlanta CTSA).

References

- National Research Council. Food Insecurity and Hunger in the United States: An assessment of the Measure. Panel to Review the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Measurement of Food insecurity and Hunger. Washington, DC: The National Academics Press, 2006.
- Coleman-Jensen A, Rabbitt M, Gregory C, Singh A. Household food security in the United States in 2018. ERR-270. Washington, DC: USDA. Economic Research Service, 2019.
- 3. Weiser SD, Yuan C, Guzman D, et al. Food insecurity and HIV clinical outcomes in a longitudinal study of homeless and marginally housed HIV-infected individuals in San Francisco. AIDS 2013;27:2953–2958.
- 4. Weiser S, Bangsberg D, Kegeles S, Ragland K, Kushel M, Frongillo E. Food insecurity among homeless and marginally housed individuals living with HIV/AIDS in San Francisco. AIDS Behav 2009;13:841–848.
- 5. Wang EA, McGinnis KA, Fiellin DA, et al. Food insecurity is associated with poor virologic response among HIVinfected patients receiving antiretroviral medications. J Gen Intern Med 2011;26:1012–1018.
- McMahon JH, Wanke CA, Elliott JH, Skinner S, Tang AM. Repeated assessments of food security predict CD4 change in the setting of antiretroviral therapy. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2011;58:60–63.
- Weiser SD, Hatcher A, Frongillo EA, et al. Food insecurity is associated with greater acute care utilization among HIV-infected homeless and marginally housed individuals in San Francisco. J Gen Intern Med 2013;28: 91–98.
- Anema A, Weiser S, Fernandes K, et al. High prevalence of food insecurity among HIV-infected individuals receiving HAART in a resource-rich setting. AIDS Care 2011;23: 221–230.
- Weiser SD, Young SL, Cohen CR, et al. Conceptual framework for understanding the bidirectional links between food insecurity and HIV/AIDS. Am J Clin Nutr 2011;94(Suppl):1729S–1739S.
- Weiser SD, Palar K, Hatcher A, Young S, Frongillo EA, Laraia B. Food insecurity and health: A conceptual framework. In: Ivers LC, ed. Food Insecurity and Public Health. London, UK: CRC Press; 2015:23–50.
- Kalichman SC, Washington C, Grebler T, et al. Treatment outcomes among people living with HIV who are food insecure and prescribed antiretrovirals taken with food. J Prim Care Community Health 2015;6:35–40.

- Weiser SD, Leiter K, Bangsberg DR, et al. Food insufficiency is associated with high-risk sexual behavior among women in Botswana and Swaziland. PLoS Med 2007;4: 1589–1597; discussion 98.
- Normén L, Chan K, Braitstein P, et al. Food insecurity and hunger are prevalent among HIV-positive individuals in British Columbia, Canada. J Nutr 2005;135:820–825.
- Tsai AC, Hung KJ, Weiser SD. Is food insecurity associated with HIV risk? Cross-sectional evidence from sexually active women in Brazil. PLoS Med 2012;9:e1001203.
- Tsai AC, Bangsberg DR, Frongillo EA, et al. Food insecurity, depression and the modifying role of social support among people living with HIV/AIDS in rural Uganda. Soc Sci Med 2012;74:2012–2019.
- 16. Whittle HJ, Palar K, Seligman HK, Napoles T, Frongillo EA, Weiser SD. How food insecurity contributes to poor HIV health outcomes: Qualitative evidence from the San Francisco Bay Area. Soc Sci Med 2016;:228–236.
- 17. Lee JS, Frongillo EA, Jr. Nutritional and health consequences are associated with food insecurity among U.S. elderly persons. J Nutr 2001;131:1503–1509.
- Kirkpatrick SI, Tarasuk V. Food insecurity and participation in community food programs among low-income Toronto families. Can J Public Health 2009;100:135– 139.
- Dixon LB, Winkleby MA, Radimer KL. Dietary intakes and serum nutrients differ between adults from foodinsufficient and food-sufficient families: Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988–1994. J Nutr 2001;131:1232–1246.
- Kirkpatrick SI, Tarasuk V. Food insecurity is associated with nutrient inadequacies among Canadian adults and adolescents. J Nutr 2008;138:604–612.
- van der Sande MA, van der Loeff MF, Aveika AA, et al. Body mass index at time of HIV diagnosis: A strong and independent predictor of survival. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2004;37:1288–1294.
- 22. Seligman HK, Bindman AB, Vittinghoff E, Kanaya AM, Kushel MB. Food insecurity is associated with diabetes mellitus: Results from the National Health Examination and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 1999–2002. J Gen Intern Med 2007;22:1018–1023.
- Drewnowski A, Specter SE. Poverty and obesity: The role of energy density and energy costs. Am J Clin Nutr 2004; 79:6–16.
- 24. Whittle HJ, Sheira LA, Frongillo EA, et al. Longitudinal associations between food insecurity and substance use in a cohort of women with or at risk for HIV in the United States. Addiction 2019;114:127–136.
- 25. Heflin CM, Siefert K, Williams DR. Food insufficiency and women's mental health: Findings from a 3-year panel of welfare recipients. Soc Sci Med 2005;61:1971–1982.
- Ickovics JR, Hamburger ME, Vlahov D, et al. Mortality, CD4 cell count decline, and depressive symptoms among HIV-seropositive women: Longitudinal analysis from the HIV Epidemiology Research Study. JAMA 2001;285: 1466–1474.
- 27. Horberg MA, Silverberg MJ, Hurley LB, et al. Effects of depression and selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor use on adherence to highly active antiretroviral therapy and on clinical outcomes in HIV-infected patients. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2008;47:384–390.
- Nakimuli-Mpungu E, Bass JK, Alexandre P, et al. Depression, alcohol use and adherence to antiretroviral ther-

apy in sub-Saharan Africa: A systematic review. AIDS Behav 2012;16:2101–2118.

- 29. Ladak F, Socias E, Nolan S, et al. Substance use patterns and HIV-1 RNA viral load rebound among HIV-positive illicit drug users in a Canadian setting. Antivir Ther 2019; 24:19.
- 30. Rajasingham R, Mimiaga MJ, White JM, Pinkston MM, Baden RP, Mitty JA. A systematic review of behavioral and treatment outcome studies among HIV-infected men who have sex with men who abuse crystal methamphetamine. AIDS Patient Care STDS 2012;26:36–52.
- 31. Kalichman SC, Cherry C, Amaral C, et al. Health and treatment implications of food insufficiency among people living with HIV/AIDS, Atlanta, Georgia. J Urban Health 2010;87:631–641.
- 32. Young S, Wheeler AC, McCoy SI, Weiser SD. A review of the role of food insecurity in adherence to care and treatment among adult and pediatric populations living with HIV and AIDS. AIDS Behav 2014;18(Suppl 5):S505–S515.
- Paterson DL, Swindells S, Mohr J, et al. Adherence to protease inhibitor therapy and outcomes in patients with HIV infection. Ann Intern Med 2000;133:21–30.
- 34. Barkan SE, Melnick SL, Preston-Martin S, et al. The Women's Interagency HIV Study. Epidemiology 1998;9: 117–125.
- 35. Bacon MC, von Wyl V, Alden C, et al. The Women's Interagency HIV Study: An observational cohort brings clinical sciences to the bench. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol 2005;12:1013–1019.
- Adimora AA, Ramirez C, Benning L, et al. Cohort profile: The Women's Interagency HIV Study (WIHS). Int J Epidemiol 2018;47:393i–394i.
- Frongillo EA, Jr. Validation of measures of food insecurity and hunger. J Nutr 1999;129(2 Suppl):506S–509S.
- Hromi-Fiedler A, Bermudez-Millan A, Segura-Perez S, Damio G, Perez-Escamilla R. Adaptation of the U.S. Food Security survey module for low-income pregnant Latinas: Qualitative phase. J Hunger Environ Nutr 2009;4:62–80.
- Bickel G, Nord M, Price C, Hamilton W, Cook J. Guide to measuring household food security, revised 2000. Alexandria, VA: Food and Nutrition Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 2000.
- Chandran A, Edmonds A, Benning L, et al. Longitudinal associations between neighborhood factors and HIV care outcomes in the WIHS. AIDS Behav 2020. [Epub ahead of print]; DOI: 10.1007/s10461-020-02830-4.
- 41. Dale S, Cohen M, Weber K, Cruise R, Kelso G, Brody L. Abuse and resilience in relation to HAART medication adherence and HIV viral load among women with HIV in the United States. AIDS Patient Care STDS 2014;28: 136–143.
- 42. Crockett KB, Entler KJ, Brodie E, et al. Linking depressive symptoms to viral non-suppression among women with HIV through adherence self-efficacy and ART adherence. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2020. [Epub ahead of print]; DOI: 10.1097/QAI.00000000002268.
- 43. Bozzette SA, Hays RD, Berry SH, Kanouse DE, Wu AW. Derivation and properties of a brief health status assessment instrument for use in HIV disease. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol 1995;8:253–265.
- National Cancer Institute (NCI). Multifactor Screener in the 2000 National Health Interview Survey Cancer Control Supplement: Overview. 2000. Available at: https://epi

.grants.cancer.gov/nhis/multifactor (Last accessed February 20, 2020).

- 45. Simoni JM, Kurth AE, Pearson CR, Pantalone DW, Merrill JO, Frick PA. Self-report measures of antiretroviral therapy adherence: A review with recommendations for HIV research and clinical management. AIDS Behav 2006;10: 227–245.
- 46. Feldman BJ, Fredericksen RJ, Crane PK, et al. Evaluation of the single-item self-rating adherence scale for use in routine clinical care of people living with HIV. AIDS Behav 2013;17:307–318.
- 47. Radloff LS. The CES-D scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the general population. Appl Psychol Measur 1977;1:385–401.
- 48. Adams LM, Wilson TE, Merenstein D, et al. Using the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale to assess depression in women with HIV and women at risk for HIV: Are somatic items invariant? Psychol Assess 2018;30:97.
- 49. Fu P, Hughes J, Zeng G, et al. A comparative investigation of methods for longitudinal data with limits of detection through a case study. Stat Methods Med Res 2016;25:153–166.
- VanderWeele T. Explanation in Causal Inference: Methods for Mediation and Interaction. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, 2015.
- 51. Spinelli M, Frongillo E, Sheira L, et al. Food insecurity is associated with poor HIV outcomes among United States women. AIDS Behav 2017;21:3473–3477.
- 52. Ivers L, Chang Y, Jerome J, Freedberg K. Food assistance is associated with improved body mass index, food security and attendance at clinic in an HIV program in central Haiti: A prospective observational cohort study. AIDS Res Ther 2010;7:1–8.
- 53. Palar K, Napoles T, Hufstedler LL, et al. Comprehensive and medically appropriate food support is associated with

improved HIV and diabetes health. J Urban Health 2017; 94:87–99.

- 54. Laraia BA. Food insecurity and chronic disease. Adv Nutr 2013;4:203–212.
- 55. State of California Legislature. Assembly Concurrent Resolution No. 108, CHAPTER 166, Relative to Food as Medicine Programs. Sacramento, CA, 2017.
- 56. Berkowitz SA, Terranova J, Hill C, et al. Meal delivery programs reduce the use of costly health care in dually eligible Medicare and Medicaid beneficiaries. Health Aff (Millwood) 2018;37:535–542.
- Dunleavy S, Aidala AA, Yomogida M. Medical, mental health, and social service linkage predicts better HIV outcomes: A network analytic approach. AIDS Patient Care STDS 2019;33:538–548.
- Kaiser L. Why do low-income women not use food stamps? Findings from the California Women's Health Survey. Public Health Nutr 2008;11:1288–1295.
- Mickelson KD, Williams SL. Perceived stigma of poverty and depression: Examination of interpersonal and intrapersonal mediators. J Soc Clin Psychol 2008;27:903–930.

Address correspondence to: Sheri D. Weiser, MD, MA, MPH Division of HIV, Infectious Disease and Global Medicine Department of Medicine University of California, San Francisco (UCSF) 995 Potrero Avenue, Building 80 Ward 84, Campus Box 0874 San Francisco, CA 94110 USA

E-mail: sheri.weiser@ucsf.edu