

WWU | Seminar für Systematische Theologie | Universitätsstraße 13-17 | 48143 Münster

Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG)

Nationale Forschungsdateninfrastruktur (NFDI)

Prof. Dr. Hans-Peter Großhans

Professor für Systematische
Theologie

Universitätsstraße 13-17
D-48143 Münster
Tel. +49 251 83-22510
grosshans@uni-muenster.de

6. August 2021

Letter of Intent

As the responsible speaker and representative of the leading applicant institution of the NFDI Consortium “Theologies and Religious Studies” (TheoReS) I hereby express the binding intention of TheoReS and myself to submit an application of TheoReS for the National Research Data Infrastructure. We shall submit the application in the third call in autumn 2021.

On the following pages the details about TheoReS are given, according the Template for Submission of Letters of Intent.



Hans-Peter Großhans

Please address the following aspects in your letter of intent

1 Binding letter of intent as advance notification of a full proposal

X	Binding letter of intent (required as advance notification for proposals in 2021)
---	---

2 Formal details

- Planned name of the consortium
Theologies and Religious Studies
- Acronym of the planned consortium
TheoReS
- Applicant institution
Evangelisch-Theologische Fakultät der Westfälischen Wilhelms-Universität Münster
(WWU Münster)
Rector Prof. Dr. Johannes Wessels; Dean Prof. Dr. Lutz Doering
- Spokesperson
Prof. Dr. Hans-Peter Grosshans, grosshans@uni-muenster.de, Evangelisch-Theologische Fakultät der WWU Münster / Faculty of Protestant Theology]
- Co-applicant institution
Theologische Fakultät der Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin
Dean Prof. Dr. Markus Witte
- Co-spokesperson
Prof. Dr. Verena Lepper, markus.witte@rz.hu-berlin.de, Curator of Egyptian and Oriental Papyri
- Co-applicant institution
Katholisch-Theologische Fakultät der Ruhr-Universität Bochum
Dean Prof. Dr. Bernhard Grümme
- Co-spokesperson
Prof. Dr. Bernhard Grümme, kath-theol-dekan@rub.de, Faculty of Catholic Theology of the Ruhr University Bochum

- Co-applicant institution
Evangelisch-Theologische Fakultät der Ruhr-Universität Bochum
Dean Prof. Dr. Katharina Greschat
- Co-spokesperson
Prof. Dr. Katharina Greschat, katharina.greschat@rub.de, Faculty of Protestant Theology of the Ruhr University Bochum
- Co-applicant institution
Katholisch-Theologische Fakultät der Universität Bonn
Dean Prof. Dr. Jochen Sautermeister
- Co-spokesperson
Prof. Dr. Jochen Sautermeister, sautermeister@uni-bonn.de, Faculty of Catholic Theology of the University Bonn
- Co-applicant institution
Evangelisch-Theologische Fakultät der Universität Bonn
Dean Prof. Dr. Cornelia Richter
- Co-spokesperson
Prof. Dr. Cornelia Richter, cornelia.richter@uni-bonn.de, Faculty of Protestant Theology of the University of Bonn
- Co-applicant institution
Theologische Fakultät der Universität Göttingen
Dean Prof. Dr. Peter Gemeinhardt
- Co-spokesperson
Prof. Dr. Peter Gemeinhardt, Peter.Gemeinhardt@theologie.uni-goettingen.de, Faculty of Theology of the University of Göttingen
- Co-applicant institution
Theologische Fakultät der Universität Heidelberg
Dean Prof. Dr. Philipp Stoellger
- Co-spokespersons
Dr. Stefan Karcher, stefan.karcher@theologie.uni-heidelberg.de,
Christopher Nunn, christopher.nunn@theologie.uni-heidelberg.de,
Faculty of Theology of the University Heidelberg

- Co-applicant institution
InfAI | Institut für Angewandte Informatik Leipzig
Dr. Marco Büchler
- Co-spokesperson
Dr. Marco Büchler, buechler@infai.org, Institute for Applied Informatics Leipzig
- Co-applicant institution
Katholisch-Theologische Fakultät der Ludwig Maximilians-Universität München (LMU München)
Dean Prof. Dr. Andreas Wollbold
- Co-spokesperson
Prof. Dr. Martin Thurner, thurner@lmu.de, Faculty of Catholic Theology of LMU Munich
- Co-applicant institution
Evangelisch-Theologische Fakultät der LMU München
Dean Prof. Dr. Friedhelm Hartenstein
- Co-spokesperson
Prof. Dr. Martin Wallraff, Martin.Wallraff@evtheol.uni-muenchen.de, Faculty of Protestant Theology of LMU Munich
- Co-applicant institution
Institut für Jüdische Studien der WWU Münster
Director Prof. Dr. Regina Grundmann
- Co-spokesperson
Prof. Dr. Katrin Kogman-Appel, kogman@uni-muenster.de, Institute for Jewish Studies
- Co-applicant institution
Institutum Judaicum Delitzschianum der WWU Münster
Director Prof. Dr. Lutz Doering
- Co-spokesperson
Prof. Dr. Lutz Doering, lutz.doering@uni-muenster.de, Institutum Judaicum Delitzschianum
- Co-applicant institution
Katholisch-Theologische Fakultät der WWU Münster
Dean Prof. Dr. Johannes Schnocks
- Co-spokesperson
Prof. Dr. Clemens Leonhard, Clemens.leonhard@uni-muenster.de, Faculty of Catholic Theology of WWU Münster

- Co-applicant institution
Zentrum für Islamische Theologie der WWU Münster
Director Prof. Dr. Mouhanad Khorchide
- Co-spokesperson
Prof. Dr. Mouhanad Khorchide, korchide@uni-muenster.de, Center for Islamic Theology of WWU Münster

- Co-applicant institution
Exzellencluster „Religion und Politik“ der WWU Münster
Prof. Dr. Nils Jansen
- Co-spokesperson
Prof. Dr. Nils Jansen, nils.jansen@uni-muenster.de, Cluster of Excellence on “Religion and Politics” at the WWU Münster

- Co-applicant institution
Service Center für Digital Humanities der Universitäts- und Landesbibliothek Münster
Commissary Director Dr. Marc Schutzeichel
- Co-spokesperson
Dr. Marc Schutzeichel, cdh@uni-muenster.de, Service Center for Digital Humanities of the University and Federal State Library Münster

- Co-applicant institution
School of Jewish Theology der Universität Potsdam
Director Rabbiner Prof. Walter Homolka, PhD, PhD, DHL
- Co-spokesperson
Rabbiner Prof. Walter Homolka, PhD, PhD, DHL, martin.kujawa@uni-potsdam.de, School of Jewish Theology, University of Potsdam

- Co-applicant institution
Evangelisch-Theologische Fakultät der Universität Tübingen
Dean Prof. Dr. Birgit Weyel
- Co-spokesperson
Prof. Dr. Birgit Weyel, birgit.weyl@uni-tuebingen.de, Faculty of Protestant Theology of the University of Tübingen

- Co-applicant institution
Katholisch-Theologische Fakultät der Universität Tübingen
Dean Prof. Dr. Michael Schüßler
- Co-spokesperson
Prof. Dr. Michael Schüßler, Michael.schuessler@uni-tuebingen.de, Faculty of Catholic
Theology of the University Tübingen
- Co-applicant institution
Zentrum für Islamische Theologie der Universität Tübingen
Director Prof. Dr. Erdal Toprakyan
- Co-spokesperson
Prof. Dr. Erdal Toprakyan, erdal.toprakyan@zith.uni-tuebingen.de, Center for Islamic
Theology of the University of Tübingen
- Participant
Bischöfliche Zentralbibliothek Regensburg, Dr. Raymond Dittrich,
raymond.dittrich@bistum-regensburg.de
- Participant
Consortium RESILIENCE (Religious Studies Infrastructure: tools, experts, connections and
centers) – Included as new project in the ESFI Roadmap 2021, Prof. Dr. Alberto Melloni,
Director of the Fondazione per le scienze religiose 'Giovanni XXIII (Fscire) Bologna,
alberto.melloni@hotmail.com
- Participant
Diözesanbibliothek Münster, Dr. Peter Behrenberg, behrenberg@bistum-muenster.de
- Participant
École Pratique des Hautes Études (EPHE) Paris, Section 5: Sciences Religieuses, Prof. Dr.
Denis Pelletier, denis.pelletier@ephe.psl.eu
- Participant
Fachinformationsdienst Religionswissenschaft und Fachinformationsdienst Theologie
(Tübingen), Dr. Martin Faßnacht, martin.fassnacht@uni-tuebingen.de
- Participant
Gottesdienst-Institut der Evangelisch-Lutherischen Kirche in Bayern, PD Dr. Konrad Müller,
mueller@gottesdienstinstitut.org

- Participant
Institut für die Geschichte der Deutschen Juden, PD Dr. Andreas Brämer,
andreas.baemer@igdj.de

- Participant
Sozialwissenschaftliches Institut der EKD, Prof. Dr. Georg Lämmlin, Georg.Laemmlin@si-
ekd.de

- Participant
Vereinte Evangelische Mission, Angelika Veddeler, regiondeutschland@vemission.org

3 Objectives, work programme and research environment

- Research area of the proposed consortium according to the DFG classification system:

106-04 Islamwissenschaften, Arabistik, Semitistik

106-05 Religionswissenschaft und Judaistik

107-01 Evangelische Theologie

107-02 Katholische Theologie

- Concise summary of the planned consortium's main objectives and task areas

Long term objectives of TheoReS

- **Detecting and creating nodes of excellent research in theology and religious studies** with excellent human resources covering all fields of the theologies and religious studies, with an availability of all relevant research data (materials, sources, literature, etc.), and with a high standard production of new basic research data on influential, relevant and normative texts, materials and practices of the religions resp. the faith communities.
- **Creating a dynamic standard access to research materials and metadata** by providing user friendly interfaces as well as standardised APIs that increase the uptake of technology in theology and religious studies.
- **Developing and using new techniques** to reconstruct and present basic research materials (like religious normative texts) in a radical new way in order to make new frontier research results possible.
- **Boosting access** to cultivated linguistic diversity, philosophical complexity, historical depth and theological arguments often written in so-called "dead" languages;
- **Providing an enhanced content-oriented approach**, which considers the depth and the polymorphic aspect of religious experience as a part of the shaping of a reflective society.
- **Inserting (inter-)religious expertise and intercultural competence** into the public discourse to reduce corrupted data and prejudices.
- **Creating, selecting and testing digital infrastructures** capable of generating, pre-serving and transmitting knowledge between academics, members of religious communities, and the many groups on whom religious studies have a consequential impact.

Short term objectives of TheoReS

- **Systematically manage research data** in the research domain of theologies and religious studies (e.g. by connecting stakeholders such as libraries and institutes; Task Area 1- Data Capture, Develop and Connect and harmonising digitisation standards across Germany in a European perspective (Task Area 2 - Standards and Linking),
- **Network the data both nationally and internationally** (by and harmonising digitisation standards across Germany in a European perspective; Task Area 2 - Standards and Linking) connecting communities of practice to develop appropriate digital tools; Task Area 1- Data Capture, Develop and Connect),
- **Provide long-term data storage and data backup** (by providing a central non-commercial repository for the community; Task Area 3 – Store and Use),
- **Enhance accessibility of research data** (by improving the visibility and access of research data in IxTheo (Task Area 4 - Search and Find), and by offering expertise on digital research sources, data and their interpretation to build new knowledge (Task Area 5 - Learn and Train).

The purpose of TheoReS is to improve substantially the existing infrastructure in Germany for research data on religions in past and present in a global horizon. The aim of TheoReS is to contribute to and even to prepare a national research data infrastructure, which makes new frontier research results in the theologies and in religious studies within various subject areas possible (like: in religious studies in a narrow sense, in philosophy, law, social sciences, history, oriental studies, ethnology, cultural studies, sinology, etc.).

TheoReS is focusing on all data about religions. Religion is not understood with a single, restrictive definition, but rather in a wide, inclusive sense. Data about religions often have the form of texts, but they have other forms as well (artefacts, practices, buildings, liturgies, music, persons, statistics, surveys, etc.). From the beginning of European universities – and even before – theology has been collecting, creating and analysing data especially about Christianity in its diversity. In the further course of European history, there has been the collection of many data, first on Judaism, then on Islam and later on in the modern times on further religions worldwide. Beyond this, there has been a massive production and collection of data within the religions themselves. Today's research data infrastructure has neither been able to integrate all these data and to work with them, nor to make all these data accessible for a wider (academically educated) public (like teachers or pastors or imams or rabbis). As Germany nowadays is a religious diverse society, this lack of knowledge and lack of access to knowledge and data applies to all religions present in Germany, including Christianity. This is especially a problem in respect to Islam and Judaism.

The general level of information on Islam and Judaism in the German population is very low, which causes all the problems in society, which have their roots in poor information and knowledge. This is a consequence from the deficits in the data infrastructure concerning research on Islam and Judaism (concerning Christianity there are less massive but similar deficits – not to mention the other religions).

TheoReS is developing a research data infrastructure for the theologies and religious studies, which is directed to the academic world, but as well to the faith communities and the wider public in society. As the theologies are involved into the discourses and practices of present-day faith communities, access to TheoReS will not be limited to pure researchers, but as well be possible for the faith communities within society (and to further interested parts of society as well). The double context of theology – the academia and society resp. faith communities – has consequences for the understanding of research data. On the one hand there are specific data traditions in the history of faith communities, which need to be included into a research data infrastructure. On the other hand, there are specific research data related to the present-day faith communities, which also need to be included into a research data infrastructure. In the history of the religions we find especially normative texts and practices, which cannot be treated in an historical way, because they have consequences for the lived faiths today. Although there is a dominance of texts among the data, artefacts or statistical surveys or interviews are as well research data in the theologies and in religious studies. Research on a normative religious text has always consequences for the daily discourses and practices of the respective faith communities. Therefore, a research data infrastructure on theologies and religious studies has to include and combine normative texts with actual contexts, which enables new interpretations of normative texts. In fact, such an infrastructure makes fully new interreligious processes of interpretation and discourses possible.

- Brief description of the proposed use of existing infrastructures, tools and services that are essential in order to fulfil the planned consortium's objectives

TheoReS plans, first, to manage and administer in a national research data infrastructure all available research data in such a way, that they are accessible for all researchers (and even beyond). Till now no such management of research data exists in the theologies and in religious studies. Such an intelligent research data management will improve research in the theologies and in religious studies a lot and will make new frontier research results possible. Second, with a national research data infrastructure TheoReS will be able to create research data, which are a necessary condition of further research and of new research results. This understanding of a research data infrastructure is especially relevant in the theologies and in religious studies.

With the methods and techniques of Digital Humanities the normative texts of various religions in the world can be opened and edited in a radical new way. These new types of research data in respect to the normative texts of religions enable very new research about the respective religions and their traditions. In all the research organisations of the members of the TheoReS consortium there are groups who are already contributing to the creation of this digital infrastructure made of central research data. TheoReS will coordinate and support all the activities of its members – in fact, all research in Germany in the theologies and in religious studies – concerning the production and provision of all the research data on normative texts and practices of the religions with the best techniques and methods of DH. This includes the production and availability of further texts and practices, which are central, influential and highly relevant for the various traditions of the religions and present-day faith communities. TheoReS will as well coordinate and support all the activities of its members concerning the production and provision of research data about the present-day realities of the active faith communities. TheoReS will elaborate a systematic mapping of the structural starting points and will summarise desiderata in order to create synergy effects within the present elements of research infrastructure and between consortium partners. The main objectives here are therefore: identifying the needs of researchers in the field of the theologies and of religious studies and its infrastructures especially regarding access to research data, (digital) collections and tools, but as well regarding the production of digital essential research data; acquiring the users' perspective and clarifying the given conditions and resources on which TheoReS has recourse to; creating a basis of material, that can help for infrastructure design and data management and for a permanent adaptation to the user's needs, but as well to the innovations in the field.

- Interfaces to other funded or proposed NFDI consortia: brief description of existing agreements for collaboration and/or plans for future collaboration

Establishing inter-connections and exploring cross-values: TheoReS will establish relationships with other Research Data Infrastructures that are interested in sharing their services based on agreed-upon programming language and user and access policies. TheoReS will benefit from the latter's already-established sets of data and metadata and services, and in return they gain from TheoReS focus on the theologies and on religious studies.

There are inter-connections of TheoReS in the research data infrastructure with many research areas in the Humanities: with the cultural studies (in all directions), with history, with ancient studies (like archaeology), with social studies, with modern and ancient philosophies, with literature studies in general, and many more. At the NFDI workshop of the DFG in May 2019 in Bonn, the inter-connections of TheoReS especially to the consortia of NFDI4Culture, NFDI4Objects and the NFDI4Memory became clear, but also with the consortium Text+ and with the consortium NFDI Small Disciplines (as some small disciplines are very important for the

theologies and religious studies, like the oriental languages). Agreements with these consortia do not exist at the moment. The communication with the other consortia will be part of the working out of the full and mature application – now, that DFG is going on with the process. TheoReS is strongly connected with a *European Research Infrastructure on Religious Studies*. TheoReS is coordinating its activities with the European research infrastructure consortium RESILIENCE (REligious Studies Infrastructure: tooLs, Experts, conNections and CEnters), which was successfully with an application to the ESFRI Roadmap 2021. RESILIENCE exists since 2016, with WWU Münster as one of its founders. Later on, INFAI (Institut für angewandte Informatik) in Leipzig joined as well RESILIENCE. With EU financial support via the EU Call H2020-INFRADEV-2018-2020 (“Preparatory Phase of new ESFRI projects and early phase support to ESFRI high strategic potential areas”) RESILIENCE could work out a successful application to the ESFRI Roadmap 2021. ESFRI (European Strategic Forum on Research Infrastructures) decided end of June 2021 to take RESILIENCE on its Roadmap 2021. Speaker of RESILIENCE is Prof. Dr. Alberto Melloni, Director of the Fondazione per le scienze religiose “Giovanni XXIII” (Fscire) in Bologna. RESILIENCE has 13 partners from 11 different countries. TheoReS is strongly connected with Section 5 on “Sciences Religieuses” of the École Pratique des Hautes Études (EPHE) in Paris, which is one of the leading institutions in the Study of Religion worldwide with excellent competence in DH and in research data infrastructures (like some of the German members of TheoReS). EPHE is an associate partner in TheoReS. With further European outstanding places in the theologies and in religious studies – similar to EPHE – TheoReS is in contact in order to cooperate in order to develop a research data infrastructure in the theologies and in religious studies from the beginning in a European horizon.

4 Cross-cutting topics

- Please identify cross-cutting topics that are relevant for your consortium and that need to be designed and developed by several or all NFDI consortia.

According to the “Leipzig-Berlin-Erklärung zu NFDI-Querschnittsthemen der Infrastrukturentwicklung” (<https://zenodo.org/record/3895209#.YQvV04gzbc>), for TheoReS are various cross-cutting topic initiatives of high relevance, such as (Meta)Data, Findability, User-driven Development, Training and Education, Cultural Change or Internationalisation. To these topics TheoReS can make substantial contributions.

For TheoReS its contributions to the cross-cutting themes must be especially further coordinated with other NFDI consortia in the Humanities but also with the European partners of TheoReS (EPHE in Paris and ESFRI consortium RESILIENCE).

- Please indicate which of these cross-cutting topics your consortium could contribute to and how.

Due to the interdisciplinary character of the diverse TheoReS data, the data of the consortium are also relevant for other scientific fields, such as archaeology, history, sociology, and many more. Therefore, we want to prepare the data in the European horizon in such a way that they are suitable for a broad reception in science.

Furthermore, TheoReS addresses a number of cross-cutting issues in all Task Areas for which collaboration with other consortia is appropriate. This refers, for example, to: The development of tools (Task Area 1) and standards (Task Area 2); The provided storage (Task Area 3); The optimization of data discoverability (Task Area 4). Further cross-cutting issues with high importance for TheoReS are ethical issues of research data, juridical questions of data protection, and issues of governance.