Identify affirmation and mental health among sexual minorities:

A Raised-Mormon Sample

[1]James G. Bridges, [2]G. Tyler Lefevor, & [3]Ronald L Schow

[1]Kansas State University, [2]Rhodes College, [3]Idaho State University

Abstract

How can someone successfully integrate a lesbian, gay, or bisexual (LGB) and Mormon identity? Using a sample of 530 LGB-identified individuals raised in the LDS church, this study asks how factors of empowerment are associated with sexual identity affirmation and mental health outcomes. We found that sexual identity support, connection needs support, LGBT community support, and educational attainment were significantly associated with more positive mental health outcomes. LGB women raised-Mormon appear to fair worse than men on average. We also found that those raised Mormon who had disaffiliated with the LDS church reported significantly lower levels of internalized homonegativity than those still affiliated. Clinicians working with LGB Mormons and post-Mormons should consider the effect that affirming sources of support may have on positive mental health outcomes.

Keyword: sexual minority, mental health, empowerment, Mormon, LDS, suicidality

Identify Affirmation and Mental Health among Sexual Minorities: A Raised-Mormon Sample

Can someone really be queer *and* Christian? How about queer *and* Mormon? If so, what helps or hinders the integration of these identities? As many as half (52%) of lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and queer (LGBTQ) adults reported affiliation with a religion with a third of those individuals reporting conflict between their sexual minority identity and religious beliefs (Pew Research Center, 2013). Sexual minorities affiliated with conservative religious organizations, like the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints (LDS or Mormon), often experience discrimination (Hunter, 2010; Oswald, 2001), which is often linked to low support (Doty, Willoughby, Lindahl, & Malik, 2010; Swann & Spivey, 2004) and difficulty reconciling sexual minority and religious identities (Dehlin, Galliher, Bradshaw, & Crowell, 2015). Sexual minority Mormons engage in a variety of strategies in an effort to reconcile their conflicting identities: (1) rejecting non-heterosexual identity; (2) rejecting religious identity; (3) integrating both identities; (4) and compartmentalizing (Levy & Reeves, 2010; Pitt, 2010; Rodriguez & Ouellette, 2000). Of these strategies, Dehlin et al. (2015) argues that sexual minority Mormons most commonly reject their religious identity and least commonly affirm both sexual minority and religious identities. Additionally, residing outside of Utah has also been found to be associated with rejecting a religious identity for sexual minority Mormons (Dehlin et al., 2015).

Because navigating conflict between sexual and religious identities affects well-being (Anton, 2008; Kauth, 2006), identifying the barriers and facilitators to navigating this conflict may help improve mental health for those thus navigating. Accordingly, this study has two main aims: 1) to identify group differences based on identity affirmation and mental health outcomes and then 2) to identify how these contextual factors for sexual minority adults raised in the LDS church community relate to mental health outcomes while controlling for other variables.

Background

In order to identify specific contextual factors that may be linked to well-being among sexual minorities from conservative religions, we lean on two frameworks that make predictions about the kinds of factors that may be relevant: empowerment and minority stress theories. We then turn to examine the relationship between three individual-level empowerment factors—and the organizational and community factors that support them—and well-being among sexual minority Mormons: sexual minority identity, religiousness, and gender.

Empowerment and Minority Stress Theories

Empowerment theory posits that when the social environment grants people the ability to make change within that environment (empowerment processes)—otherwise understood as greater access to resources—a sense of control and greater well-being are experienced (empowerment outcomes; Perkins, & Zimmerman, 1995), postulating a direct link between individual well-being and the social environment. Empowerment outcomes can include greater access to spiritual resources and privileges in a church, or can refer to fewer health disparities and greater life satisfaction. From this perspective, research with marginalized groups may help identify ways that individuals in these groups and concerned others (i.e., allies) may make changes in their environment such that those marginalized may gain access important resources for safe and healthy living (Perkins, & Zimmerman, 1995). This perspective may be particularly applicable for research with queer Christians (Rodriquez, 2010) or Mormons, as these groups may experience a sense of marginalized identity in both LGBTQ and religious settings (CITATION MASKED). Applying empowerment theory to social issues involves four main steps: (1) identifying *empowerment deficits*; (2) promoting *empowerment awareness* to the targeted community or organization (the LDS church and queer Mormon community for this

study); (3) *mobilization of economic, social, and political power* (social and political groups that are established for support and change); and (4) *changes in the levels of equity in society* (removing main barriers to empowerment; Garnets & D'Augelli, 1994).

Empowerment theory and minority stress theory conjointly provide a framework to understand why mental health disparities may exist and how these disparities may be addressed. Minority stress theory (Meyer, 2003) describes two specific types of stressors that may affect individuals on these levels. Distal stressors are contexts where prejudice, discrimination, or rejection are transferred to the individual. In contrast, proximal stressors are internalized negative beliefs and expectations that result from exposure to discriminatory contexts and experiences. Empowerment theory describes three distinct levels that these stressors may operate on, simultaneously highlighting three levels in which the process of empowerment may operate: individual, organization, and community (Zimmerman, 2000). Taken together, these two theories encourage researchers to examine how various stress-buffering factors are differentially available to minority groups. For example, a sexual minority raised in the LDS church may experience individual factors related to empowerment (e.g., having a graduate degree), organizational factors (e.g., disaffiliation with a homonegative institution), and community factors (e.g., support around their sexual identity).

Empowerment among Sexual Minority Mormons

The LDS church holds unique beliefs regarding heterosexual marriage, gender, and familial relationships in the afterlife (Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, 2008), which may affect the experience of its sexual minority members. The LDS church prohibits any form of same-sex sexual relationships (Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, 2016a); as such, full participation and access to organizational privileges in the LDS church for sexual minority

Mormons is currently only possible through celibacy or heterosexual marriage. Those who

engage in same-sex relationships are subject to church discipline, possibly including the loss of

membership through excommunication (As outlined in *Church Handbook* 2, Section 21.4.6;

Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, 2016b). Excommunication is particularly severe as it

revokes an individual's *saving ordinances* (including baptism), which are seen as necessary for

salvation after death (Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, 2016c).

Sexual identity status and well-being. Excommunication and the shame that

accompanies it may motivate many sexual minority Mormons to reduce the salience of their

same-sex attractions or sexual minority identity. Several organizations, support groups, and

online resources have emerged to help Mormons who desire to follow the teachings of the LDS

church (e.g., North Star, mormonandgay.lds.org). Many people who desire to do so choose to

identify as "same-sex attracted" or "same-gender attracted" (SSA/SGA) rather than LGB, and

may do so to indicate a prioritization of their religious identity over a sexual minority identity

(Dehlin et al., 2015; Pitt, 2010; Rodriguez & Ouellette, 2000). Those who identify as SSA/SGA

tend to be more religious and less engaged with the LGB community but report similar mental

health outcomes to LGB-identified Mormons (MASKED FOR REVIEW). From an

empowerment lens, SSA/SGA Mormons are relatively privileged as they are afforded the

organizational and community resources that the LDS church provides.

Where SSA/SGA Mormons appear to draw strength from their religiousness, Mormons

who identify as LGB appear to frequently experience religiousness as problematic (MASKED

FOR REVIEW). This trend may be due to their experience of disempowerment within the LDS

church and the non-affirmation of their sexual identity (Dehlin et al., 2015) and may lead many

LGB Mormons to seek empowerment through the mobilization of economic, social, and political

power (i.e., level 3; Garnets & D'Augelli, 1994). This empowerment process has led to the

development of several organizations designed to help LGB Mormons navigate their sexual and

religious identities within a sexual minority affirming context including *Affirmation*, *Mormons

Building Bridges*, and the *Open Stories Foundation*. Throughout the manuscript we refer to

sexual identity affirmation which we have defined as an individual's beliefs that being gay,

lesbian, or bisexual is an important part of who they are and is not deviant or abnormal in any

way. Other insatnces where we discuss affirming same-sex sexuality refers to seeing intimate

sexual relations between two people of the same gender as normal and healthy. These

organizations seek to help LGB Mormons feel less isolated, accept their same-sex attractions,

and reduce their internalized negative beliefs about homosexuality.

Religiousness and well-being. The amount of conflict experienced by sexual minority

Mormons seems to be context dependent. In religious contexts supportive of same-sex desire and

relationships, religious life can be a positive source of support and development for sexual

minorities (Rodriguez & Ouellette, 2000; Rosenkrantz, Rostosky, Riggle & Cook, 2016). In

religious contexts that uphold conservative heteronormative conceptualizations of relationships

and partnerships, many sexual minorities experience conflict (Hunter, 2010; Schuck & Liddle,

2001), remove themselves from those contexts (Herek, Norton, Allen, & Sims, 2010), and

experience mental health benefits from so doing (Dehlin et al., 2015). Nonetheless, some sexual

minorities continue engaging in conservative religion despite potential harm to well-being

(Herek et al., 2010; Schuck & Liddle, 2001) and reinforcement of internalized homonegativity

(Barnes & Meyer, 2012). Although many experience distress (Dehlin et al., 2015), for at least

some of these individuals, their conservative faith may buffer the negative effects of internalized

homonegativity on well-being (MASKED FOR REVIEW). Despite the multiplicity of ways in

which sexual minorities reconcile conflict with conservative religion, the resolution of this conflict in an authentic way appears to be central to both satisfaction with life and health (MASKED FOR REVIEW). Moreover, Utah residency has also been considered an important contextual factor, indicating its ability to predict sexual identity affirmation. It appears that a significantly higher percentage of sexual minorities raised in the LDS church who reject LGB identities live in Utah when compared with those who reject a religious identity (Dehlin et al., 2015). It is still unknown to what degree Utah residency contributes to mental health for sexual minority Mormons who use LGB labels.

Over two decades ago Garnets and D'Augelli (1994) argued that the (a) stresses of coming out (Charbonnier & Graziani, 2016), (b) heterosexism (Meyer, 2003), and the (c) difficulties identifying with a community (Meyer, 2003) were the main barriers to individual and community development for queer populations. Accordingly, positive experiences in religious/spiritual spaces, sexual minority identity legitimacy, and non-heterosexual relationship legitimacy may be organizational and community level resources LGB Mormons may need greater access to within religious environments (Zimmerman, 2000).

Church affiliation and disaffiliation. If identifying with a community is a main barrier for sexual minority individual development, it is important to consider how sexual minority Mormons experience the LDS church community. The results are nuanced and seem to depend a great deal on what identities are seen as more salient. On the one hand, church affiliation for sexual minority Mormon adults has been linked to higher depression and minority stress when compared with those who had disaffiliated from the church (Dehlin, Galliher, Bradshaw, & Crowell, 2014). On the other hand, it has been observed that when religious identity is prioritized over sexual identity, minority stress is mitigated (Crowell, Galliher, Dehlin, & Bradshaw, 2015;

MASKED FOR REVIEW). Cranney (2017) also found that in the state of Utah sexual minority Mormons who report affiliation with the LDS church score better on health measures than non-Mormon sexual minorities. Other findings suggest that adult sexual minority Mormons who consider their sexual identity more important than their Mormon identity also reported significantly higher rates of stigmatization when compared to those who saw their Mormon identity as more important (Grigoriou, 2014).

Gendered experiences of LGB Mormons. Mormon teaching and doctrine embraces heteronormativity, strongly valuing marriage, family, and traditional gender roles (Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, 2016d), making it unclear how sexual minority Mormons "fit in" to these values. Sexual minority Mormons have a variety of experiences navigating gender roles and expectations, though it seems fairly clear that men and women have distinct experiences (Crowell, et al., 2015; Jacobsen & Wright, 2014). Sexual minority Mormon men appear to be somewhat more likely than sexual minority Mormon women to stay engaged with the LDS church (Dehlin Galliher, Bradshaw, & Crowell, 2015), perhaps at least in part because of their relative experience of empowerment within the organization as the governing councils at all levels of the LDS church are comprised entirely of men. Sexual minority Mormon women may feel more disenfranchised than men, leading them to experience more distress in the LDS church and to leave the organization more readily (Couch, Mulcare, Pitts, Smith, & Mitchell, 2008). Additionally, the pressure to conform to submissive gender roles experienced in the Mormon Church may be related to more negative mental health consequences and may also be associated with sexual minority Mormon women leave their religious organization (Jacobsen & Wright, 2014).

Present Study

Our review of the literature found that Utah residency (Cranney, 2017), gender (Crowell et al., 2015), and LDS church affiliation (Dehlin et al., 2015)—all of which can be conceptualized as empowerment factors—were related to mental health among sexual minority Mormons. We also found that sexual minority Mormons have varied outcomes of well-being depending on how they affirm their identities, how they label themselves, and how these outcomes intersect with LDS church affiliation or disaffiliation (Crowell et al., 2015; Grigoriou, 2014). Given the disempowered role of sexual minority Mormons—and particularly sexual minority Mormon women—within the LDS church and guided by empowerment theory (Perkins, & Zimmerman, 1995), we are interested in identifying deficits in empowerment and raising awareness of these deficits (i.e., levels 1 and 2) to enhance autonomy and empowerment among sexual minority Mormons. SSA/SGA Mormons are historically empowered within the LDS church (i.e., safe from church discipline and excommunication). Those identifying as SSA/SGA are more likely to remain active and see their religious identity as more salient to their sexual minority identity, which means they are less likely to engage in same-sex sexual relations because they are adhering to church policy and doctrine. For the present study we focus exclusively on Mormons who identify as LGB and are consequently disempowered for identifying as such.

As our literature review uncovered several systemic deficits in empowerment experienced by LGB Mormons, in the present study, we first examine the impact of an individual- (gender), community- (Utah residency), and organizational- level (LDS church affiliation) empowerment factor on the mental health to illustrate these deficits. As a way to structure potential interventions to address empowerment deficits noted, we next examine the relationship between various community- and organization-level empowerment factors and

mental health (e.g., LGB community support, connection needs support, sexual-identity specific support) and mental health. Given that individuals would be unable to access these resources without affirming their sexuality, we conclude by examine the role that an individual's internal sense of sexual identity affirmation (acceptance of same-sex attractions, outness, internalized homonegativity) plays in mediating the relationships between empowerment factors and mental health. Our analyses were guided by the following research questions and hypotheses:

RQ1: How do sources of support (empowerment factors), affirmation of sexual identity, and mental health vary by gender, Utah residency, and current LDS church affiliation for LGB identifying sexual minorities raised in the LDS church?

H1: Sexual minority women will report lower scores of mental health outcomes than sexual minority men on average.

H2: Sexual minorities residing in Utah will report lower scores on mental health than sexual minorities residing outside the state of Utah.

H3: Sexual minorities still affiliated with the LDS church will report lower scores on mental health and sexual minority identity affirmation than sexual minorities no longer affiliated with the LDS church.

RQ2: How are empowerment factors associated with mental health outcomes directly and indirectly through sexual identity affirmation for LGB identifying sexual minorities raised in the LDS church?

Both empowerment theory and minority stress theory argue that the social context influences the internal experiences of the individual. Generally speaking, the research questions and hypotheses assume that levels of support, and other social contexts, whether affirming or non-affirming, will be associated with mental health outcomes of sexual minority Mormons.

Additionally, empowerment theory, as addressed above, considers the stresses of coming out and heterosexism as two of the three main barriers to healthy development for sexual minority populations (Garnets & D'Augelli, 1994). The manifestations of these barriers (measured in this study as acceptance for same-sex attractions, internalized homonegativity, and outness) are considered in light of their relationship to mental health for sexual minority Mormons.

Method

Procedure

The current study uses cross-sectional data from the 4-option survey collected from 2016-2017, described by Lefevor et al. (2019). The survey was accessible online through Survey Monkey software. An explanation of the survey, its purposes, and future use of the data was available to participants through a website, which included a link to the survey and necessary information regarding the possible harm and benefits of participation.

The survey was advertised in several outlets including the *Salt Lake Tribune*, the *LDS Living Magazine*, and the *Online Religion News Source*. Other participants were gained through recruitment announcements in numerous forums for sexual minority individuals that have a connection to conservative social or religious environments including meetings for Affirmation, North Star, and the Alliance for Therapeutic Choice and Scientific Integrity. Announcements seeking participation were also posted in sexual minority online groups like Mormons Building Bridges and emailed through listservs and to various people known to research members' in an attempt to increase the prominence of the study. Other than the listed benefits on the survey website, there was no compensation for the participants.

Participants

The subset for this study included only cisgender men and women who reported they had been raised in the LDS church and identified with sexual minority labels such as gay, lesbian, and bisexual (n = 530). Selecting participants raised in the LDS church was made to better isolate the unique experiences of Mormon Church culture and its effects on individuals. The average age for the subsample was 36.4, and 43% reported current affiliation with the LDS church. The majority of the participants identified as white (90%), men (73.6%), and had obtained a bachelor's degree (37%; see Table 1), which may be representative of the larger Mormon population in North America. Nonetheless, analyses should be considered in light of this cultural context. Although participants in this sample report affiliation or disaffiliation with the LDS church, we refer to all respondents as sexual minority Mormons.

Measures

Demographic items of interest included age, education, ethnicity, gender, sexual orientation, current religious affiliation, and state of residence.

Life satisfaction. Life satisfaction was assessed using the Satisfaction with Life Scale (Diener, Emmons, Larsen, & Griffin, 1985). The scale asked survey participants to rate their satisfaction in life with 5 items. Sample statements included "In most ways my life is close to my ideal." and "I am satisfied with my life." Items were assessed on a 7-point scale ranging from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 7 (*strongly agree*). Items were coded where higher scores indicated higher levels of life satisfaction. The mean of these items was computed for participants. Internal reliability for this variable was .89 overall, .87 for women, and .90 for men.

Anxiety. Symptoms of anxiety were measured with the Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale (GAD-7; Spitzer, Kroenke, Williams, & Lowe, 2006). The GAD-7 asked survey participants to rate their level of anxiety with 7 items within the past two weeks of reporting.

Sample statements included "Not being able to stop or control worrying" and "Feeling afraid as if something awful might happen." Items were assessed on a 4-point scale ranging from 0 (not at all) to 3 (nearly every day). Items were coded where higher scores indicated higher levels of anxiety. The mean of these items was computed for participants. Internal reliability for this variable was .92 overall, .91 for women, and .92 for men.

Suicidality. The measure for suicidality consisted of one item taken from the patient health questionnaire (PHQ-9; Kroenke, Spitzer, Williams, 2001) which asked how frequent in the past 2 weeks participants had "Thoughts that you would be better off dead or of hurting yourself in some way." Participants indicated the frequency of suicidality on a 4-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (*not at all*) to 3 (*nearly every day*).

Internalized homonegativity. Internalized homonegativity was measured with the three-item internalized homonegativity subscale of the Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Identity Scale (Mohr & Kendra, 2012). It assessed internalized homonegativity for participants on a 6-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (*strongly agree*) to 6 (*strongly disagree*). Items included "If it were possible, I would choose to be straight, I wish I were heterosexual" and "I believe it is unfair that I am attracted to people of the same sex." Agreeing with scale items signified higher rates of internalized homonegativity. The mean of these items was computed for participants. Internal reliability for this variable was .89 overall, .90 for women, and .89 for men.

LGB self-acceptance. In order to measure self-acceptance of same-sex attraction, participants were asked to rate themselves on a 7-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (*strongly disagree*) to 7 (*strongly agree*) in response to the statement, "I experience self-acceptance about my same sex attractions."

Outness. Participants were asked to rate the degree to which they were open about their sexual orientation in the following way: "How open/out are you about your experience with same-sex attraction (current or former) and/or being LGBTQ+?" Options included: (1) not at all open/out, (2) Open/out to a few people I know, (3) Open/out to about half of the people I know, (4) Open/out to most people I know, (5) Open/out to all or most people I know.

Sexual identity support. Participants indicated the degree to which they felt supported in their identities as sexual minorities with 3 items with a 7-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (*never*) to 7 (*always*). Items included "I feel valued and supported for experiencing SSA/being LGBT+, I fear I will be ostracized, harmed, and/or lose too much if it were more known about my experiencing SSA/being LGBT+," and "I am afraid of disappointing my family for experiencing SSA/being LGBT+." The second and third items were reversed coded, and agreement with these items signified higher rates of sexual identity support. The mean of these items was computed for participants. Internal reliability for this variable was .77 overall, .74 for women, and .77 for men.

Connection needs support. To indicate the degree to which participants felt their overall needs in life were being met, they were asked to rate themselves on a 7-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (*never*) to 7 (*always*) in response to the statement, "I meet my needs for connection, intimacy, and mutual understanding."

LGBT community support. To indicate the degree to which participants felt the LGBT community was a source of support, they were asked to rate themselves on a 7-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (*never*) to 7 (*always*) in response to the statement, "The out/open-LGBT community has felt like a supportive community for me."

Analytic Plan

Independent samples *t*-tests using *SPSS* were computed to answer our first research question about empowerment deficits. Correlational analyses and a mediated path analysis structural equation model were conducted in *Mplus* to answer our second research question about the relationship between empowerment factors, sexual orientation affirmation, and mental health outcomes. Mediation was analyzed with a bootstrap estimation using 2,000 iterations. The data met assumptions of normality, and fell between -3 and 3 for skewness and -10 and 10 for kurtosis. Full information maximum likelihood was used to handle missing data. Due to the large number of hypothesis tests conducted, we chose a conservative alpha level of .01 to reduce the risk of type I error.

Results

Preliminary Analyses

Main differences by gender. Independent samples *t*-tests were conducted to compare mean differences by gender on empowerment factors and mental health outcomes, which included support variables, identity affirmation, life satisfaction, anxiety, and suicidality. All statistics reported have been adjusted to account for violations of the homogeneity assumption where applicable. Due to the large number of hypothesis tests conducted, we chose a conservative alpha level of .01 to reduce the risk of type I error. Men reported significantly higher scores on sexual identity support (Women, $M = 2.96$, $SD = 1.66$; Men, $M = 3.85$, $SD = 1.56$; $t(528) = -5.72$, $p < .01$, $d = -.50$) and level of outness (Women, $M = 2.96$, $SD = 1.66$; Men, $M = 3.85$, $SD = 1.56$; $t(528) = -3.19$, $p < .01$, $d = -.28$) than women on average. Women reported significantly higher anxiety symptoms (Women, $M = 7.81$, $SD = 5.64$; Men, $M = 5.32$, $SD = 5.15$; $t(528) = 4.58$, $p < .01$, $d = .40$) and there was a marginally significant mean difference with higher suicidality scores reported by women at the .01 level (Women, $M = .56$, $SD = .88$; Men,

$M = .36$, $SD = .73$; $t(528) = 2.46$, $p = .015$, $d = .21$) when compared to men on average. These findings mostly confirm our first hypothesis that overall, LGB-identified sexual minority women would report significantly more negative mental health outcomes than men. In addition, we found that LGB-identified sexual minority women reported less sexual identity support and outness than men.

Differences by Utah residency. Independent samples t-tests were conducted separately by gender to compare mean differences by Utah residency on empowerment factors and mental health outcomes, which included support variables, identity affirmation, life satisfaction, anxiety, and suicidality. All statistics reported have been adjusted to account for violations of the homogeneity assumption where applicable. No significant mean differences were observed between Utah and non-Utah residency on mental health outcomes or empowerment factors for sexual minority men and women. There was only a marginally significant mean difference for men residing in Utah reporting higher levels of suicidality (Non-Utah, $M = .27$, $SD = .65$; Utah, $M = .47$, $SD = .82$; $t(388) = -2.55$, $p = .011$, $d = -.26$) than men with non-Utah residency on average. Our second hypothesis that Utah-residency would be associated with lower scores of mental health for both male and female LGB-identified sexual minorities was not confirmed.

Differences by LDS church affiliation. Independent samples t-tests were conducted separately by gender to compare mean differences by LDS church affiliation on empowerment factors and mental health outcomes, which included support variables, identity affirmation, life satisfaction, anxiety, and suicidality (see Table 2). All statistics reported in Table 2 have been adjusted to account for violations of the homogeneity assumption where applicable.

We found that on average women and men no longer affiliated with the LDS church reported significantly higher sexual identity support, with disaffiliated sexual minority Mormon

men also reporting significantly higher connection needs support and LGBT community support than men still affiliated with the LDS church. Women and men also reported significantly higher levels of outness, self-acceptance for their same-sex attractions, and lower internalized homonegativity. LGB-identified sexual minority men disaffiliated from the LDS church reported significantly lower levels of suicidality and higher levels of life satisfaction compared to men still affiliated with the LDS church. In part, our third hypothesis was confirmed—but mostly for the male subsample which may have also been due to a smaller sample of LGB-identified sexual minority women—stating that sexual minorities no longer affiliated with the LDS church would report significantly higher scores on support variables, more affirming of their sexual minority identity, and report more positive mental health outcomes.

Correlations of all continuous variables. We expected that each of the various types of support would be positively associated with identity affirmation, outness, and LGB self-acceptance and negatively associated with lower internalized homonegativity. We also expected that the types of support and identity affirmation would be positively associated with mental health. Overall, our correlational analyses confirmed our hypotheses (see Table 3). In addition to correlational analyses, we also computed regression statistics to determine the relationships between our control variables and our main three outcomes (see Table 4).

Mediated Path Analysis

To better understand the role of sexual orientation affirmation in helping individuals access the empowerment factors available (e.g., sexual identity support, LGBT community support, LDS church affiliation) and consequently affecting these individuals' mental health, we conducted a mediated path analysis using *Mplus*. In this analysis, we examined the direct effects of available individual, community, and organizational empowerment factors (LDS church

affiliation, education, Utah residency, sexual identity support, connection needs support, and

LGBT community support) on mental health outcomes (life satisfaction, anxiety, and

suicidality). We also examined the indirect effects of these empowerment factors on mental

health through sexual orientation affirmation (LGB self-acceptance, level of outness, and

internalized homonegativity). We used full information maximum likelihood to address missing

data, and the model terminated normally and was just identified—thus not requiring the reporting

of fit indices (Kline, 2016).

Direct effects. Direct effects are reported in Table 4. Overall, sexual identity support and

connection needs support but not LGBT community support were positively related to mental

health. Similarly, self-acceptance and outness but not internalized homonegativity were

positively related to mental health. Additionally, sexual identity affirmation (LGB self-

acceptance, internalized homonegativity, and outness) was positively related to all support

variables (sexual identity, connection needs, and LGBT community support). Various

demographic variables were associated with mental health outcomes including age, education,

and gender. No longer affiliating with the LDS church was negatively related to internalized

homonegativity and positively related to outness, but also was not significantly associated in any

way with mental health outcomes.

Indirect effects. All outcome variables were regressed onto all predictor and control

variables indirectly through each of the three sexual identity affirmation variables (LGB self-

acceptance, internalized homonegativity, and outness). Results reported here and in the table are

only those indirect paths that were statistically significant (see Table 5). Because internalized

homonegativity was not related to any of the mental health outcomes, it did not mediate any of

the relationships between empowerment factors and mental health. Self-acceptance and outness,

however, largely significantly mediated the relationship between sexual identity support and LGBT community support and mental health. Only self-acceptance mediated the relationship between connection needs support and mental health. Outness mediated the relationship between affiliating with the LDS church and both anxiety and suicidality.

Discussion

Empowerment theory argues that greater access to resources—which are based on individual and environmental factors—grants people the ability to make change within that environment, leading to a sense of control and greater well-being are experienced (Garnets & D'Augelli, 1994). Applied to minority populations, empowerment theory encourages the identification of empowerment deficits to better understand how barriers to resources at each level of individual development (i.e., personal, community, and organizational) can be addressed and removed. From our findings we identify the existence of empowerment deficits, the impact of empowerment factors on outcomes, and the role of sexual identity affirmation in accessing empowerment factors among LGB individuals raised Mormons.

What Empowerment Deficits Exist for Sexual Minorities Raised Mormon?

We found empowerment deficits among LGB individuals raised Mormon based on gender (Perkins & Zimmerman, 1995). LGB-identified sexual minority women reported more anxiety than did men. Though women in general report more psychological distress than men (American Psychological Association, 2010), these findings are likely compounded by the relatively disempowered role of women in the LDS church. LGB-identified sexual minority women raised Mormon have been noted to have unique mental health challenges and experiences of oppression that lead many to reconsider their relationship with the faith (Couch et al., 2008; Jacobsen & Wright, 2014).

We also found some evidence that LGB individuals who continue to affiliate with the LDS church experience deficits in empowerment. Though not all pairwise comparisons were significant, trends universally indicated that sexual minorities who no longer identified as LDS reported better mental health and less internalized homonegativity than those still affiliated. Studies examining the effects of affiliation with other conservative religious organizations have yielded similar findings for sexual minorities (Barnes & Meyer, 2012; Crowell et al., 2015).

Despite these apparent differences in mental health outcomes based on religious affiliation, in our path analysis we found that paths between religious affiliation and mental health outcomes were universally non-significant. This apparent reversal of effect may be due to the moderating role of internalized homonegativity and support variables. Studies have found that internalized homonegativity may function differently for those who are affiliated with conservative religions than for those who are religiously unaffiliated (CITATION WITHHELD). Further, studies have consistently found that support buffers the effects of minority stress on psychological distress, such as may be encountered in conservative religious environments (Meyer, 2003). Thus, although there is some evidence that LDS affiliation may be negatively related to mental health, our results seem to indicate that this effect may be better explained by other factors such as the amount and quality of support.

Contrary to expectations, Utah residency was not significantly associated with mental health outcomes. However, suicidality was significantly associated with residing in Utah, while controlling for all other variables. The meaning of this finding is ambiguous and may reflect national trends that find increased suicidality in the mountain west (Pepper, 2017). Alternatively, the increased suicidality may reflect increased minority stress that may occur in states with more homonegative attitudes (Hatzenbuehler, McLaughlin, Keyes, & Hasin, 2010), which may also be

supported by increased attention to the prevalence of LGBTQ suicides in Utah (Barker,

Parkinson, & Knoll, 2016; Hale, 2018; Williams, 2018).

How Might Empowerment Factors Reduce These Deficits?

Given the empowerment deficits noted, understanding the factors that may ameliorate

these deficits is particularly important. We found that several empowerment factors were largely

related to mental health outcomes among including sexual identity support, connection needs

support, outness, and support from the LGB community. These findings largely mirror the

literature finding positive relationships between social support and mental health among sexual

minorities (CITATION WITHHELD). They also confirm sexual minority specific findings that

suggest that involvement with the LGB community, outness, and support around sexual identity

may buffer the effects of minority stress to enhance well-being (Meyer, 2003).

However, we also found that these factors were not equally available throughout our

sample. Women reported less sexual identity support and outness than did men. Those affiliated

with the LDS church reported sexual identity support, connection needs support, outness, and

support from the LGB community. Taken in light of intersectionality theory (Crenshaw, 1989),

these findings suggest that experiencing multiple oppressed identities (i.e., being a woman and

being a sexual minority within a conservative religion) may create unique forms of

discrimination that result in negative outcomes, in this case, in reduced support around sexual

identity. Given the relationship between these factors and mental health outcomes, it may be that

diminished access to these empowerment factors may contribute to the mental health disparities

noted previously.

How Do People Access These Empowerment Factors?

Because we were interested in not only noting deficits in empowerment but in identifying concrete ways to help people access empowerment factors, we conducted a mediated path analysis to better understand how LGB individuals raised Mormon may access empowerment factors. In particular, we focused on the role that outness and acceptance of same-sex attractions and the related connection needs support may play in helping individuals access the empowerment factors noted.

We found that, of all of the variables both connection needs support and accepting one's same-sex attraction were the most strongly related to mental health outcomes. Additionally, we found that accepting same-sex attractions mediated the relationships between sexual identity support, connection needs support, and LGBT community support and all mental health outcomes. These findings seem to be supported by the previous literature which identifies the important role that self-acceptance plays in overall well-being for sexual minority Mormons and other religiously oriented individuals (Dahl, & Galliher, 2009; Dehlin, Galliher, Bradshaw, & Crowell, 2014).

We also found that outness mediated the relationships between sexual identity support, LGBT community support, LDS church affiliation and anxiety and suicidality. Though there is limited literature on outness and religious communities, it has been found that the process of coming-out can be more difficult for individuals with more identity conflict with being a sexual minority and religious (Schuck, & Liddle, 2001). Our data also seems to reflect this, suggesting that being affiliated with the LDS church is associated with how out you are as a sexual minority, which is then related to how much anxiety or suicidality you experience. Considering the history of the church and sexual minority experiences (Grigoriou, 2014), this finding is to be expected

and may shed light on the difficulty of not only holding both queer and Mormon identities, but allowing these integrated identities to be seen and affirmed in Mormon congregations.

Implications

We identified several deficits in empowerment and found various empowerment factors that are related to mental health among sexual minorities raised Mormon. As we ultimately desire to empower sexual minorities raised in conservative religions to exercise more autonomy in their lives, we provide several recommendations for ways clinicians, religious communities, researchers, and concerned others may use our findings to effect such change (Zimmerman, 2000).

Clinical settings. Psychotherapy may be a crucial setting for sexual minorities from conservative religious backgrounds to foster self-acceptance (Bradshaw et al., 2015; Butler, 2010), which we found to be strongly related to the utilization of various community resources related to mental health. This study highlights how crucial various forms of identity affirming support are for well-being and self-acceptance of sexual minorities raised in the LDS church. The findings suggest that determining what social supports are in place and how affirming these social supports are of minority sexual identities is important. Specifically, considering the findings regarding the role that outness plays for this population, it also seems important that clinicians guide sexual minority clients through what it would mean to come out, to what degree, how this would impact their religious life, and what kinds of connection needs supports are in place if coming out leads to a loss of community or significant portion of their community. Clinicians can also become informed of the support groups, online resources, and media outlets that provide support and validation for the unique struggles faced by sexual minority religious adults raised Mormon.

Religious communities. With strong heteronormative religious teachings, the LDS church leaves little room for diverse theological interpretation—interpretation that could make room for non-heterosexual identities. Subsequently, Mormons gain greater access to religious privileges as they act and report more orthodoxy to ecclesiastical leaders. Because affirming one's non-heterosexual identity goes against LDS-authorized religious teaching (Oaks, 1995) religious privileges and opportunities to participate are often withdrawn (Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, 2016b). Because endorsed teachings and spaces leave little room for sexual minority identity affirmation—which we found to be directly linked to mental health—religious communities may need to create their own spaces, without the endorsement of church leaders. As sexual minorities experience self-acceptance around their same-sex attractions, come out, and gain access to these resources (i.e. Affirmation, Mormonspectrum.org, Open Stories Foundation, Family Acceptance Project), they are likely to improve their mental health.

Limitations and Future Directions

Despite our intentions to gather data in a generalizable way, our results are inherently limited by our sampling procedure, instruments used, and analysis plan. Our sample was limited to lesbian, gay, and bisexual identified individuals who were raised Mormon. There are many sexual minority Mormons who reject sexual identity labels for whom these results may not extend to (CITATION WITHHELD). It is unclear how well our results generalize to sexual minorities raised in other Christian or religious traditions as well as how outcomes may vary *between* individuals who identify as gay/lesbian and bisexual. Although we employed a variety of means to get as representative a sample as possible, it is likely that our sample does not accurately reflect the experience of LGB individuals raised Mormon generally. Access to the survey largely depended on individuals who were connected to online groups and news outlets—

many based out of the Utah region. Moreover, though the LDS church is an international

organization, the majority of its members reside in regions of the world that have majority

Caucasian populations, with a little over half (56%) of its church membership residing in North

America alone (Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, 2018). Our sample reflects a group

that is highly educated, and majority Caucasian and thus generalizability should be considered

with this cultural context.

In the present study, we conceptualized outness as a static variable that reflected the

degree to which individuals disclose an LGB to others. Research on outness, however, indicates

that its relationship to mental health and other outcomes of well-being is context dependent

(Kosciw, Palmer, & Kull, 2015). Future research should examine outness in this lens (D'Augelli,

1994). Further, although we assessed affiliation with the LDS church, we were not able to assess

religiousness more generally, and future research should examine the role of religiousness more

generally in the mental health of sexual minorities raised Mormon.

Conclusion

The aim of this study was to understand how factors of empowerment factors (i.e. sexual

identity support, connection needs support, LGBT community support, Utah residency,

education, and LDS church affiliation) were associated with sexual identity affirmation (i.e. LGB

self-acceptance, internalized homonegativity, and level of outness) and mental health outcomes

(i.e. life satisfaction, anxiety, and suicidality). We found that sources of support were strong

predictors of positive sexual identity affirmation and mental health outcomes. We also found that

disaffiliating with the LDS church was associated with lower internalized homonegativity and

that support from LGBT communities improved mental health. We encourage clinicians to

assess various forms of identity affirming support and provide established resources and

communities for sexual minority clients raised Mormon. Considering the significant

association's sexual identity support and connection needs support has on mental health and

well-being, we encourage family and friends of LGB-identified sexual minority Mormons to

honor their identity choices and support them in their chosen level of participation within the

LDS church.

References

Anton, B. S. (2008). Proceedings of the American Psychological Association for the legislative

    year 2007: Minutes of the annual meeting of the Council of Representatives and minutes

    of the meetings of the Board of Directors. *American Psychologist, 63*, 360–402.

American Psychological Association. (2010). Stress in America: Gender and Stress. Retrieved

    from https://www.apa.org/news/press/releases/stress/2010/gender-stress.pdf.

Barker, M., Parkinson, D., & Knoll, B. (2016). The LGBTQ Mormon crisis: Responding to the

    empirical research on suicide. *Dialogue: A Journal of Mormon Thought, 49*(2), 1–24.

Butler, C. (2010). Sexual and gender minorities: Consideration for therapy and training. In C.

    Butler, A. O'Donovan, & E. Shaw (Eds.), *Sex, sexuality and therapeutic practice: A*

    manual for therapists and trainers (pp. 85–128). New York, NY: Routledge/Taylor &

    Francis Group.

Charbonnier, E., & Graziani, P. (2016). The stress associated with the coming out process in the

    young adult population. *Journal of Gay & Lesbian Mental Health, 20*, 319-328. DOI:

    10.1080/19359705.2016.1182957.

Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints. (2008). *Same-Sex Marriage and Proposition 8*. Salt

    Lake City, UT: Newsroom. Retrieved from

    https://www.mormonnewsroom.org/article/same-sex-marriage-and-proposition-8.

Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints. (2016a). *Same-Sex Attraction.* Retrieved from

    https://www.lds.org/topics/same-sex-attraction?lang=eng.

Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints. (2016b). *Handbook 2: Administering the Church.*

    Retrieved from https://www.lds.org/handbook/handbook-2-administering-the-

    church/selected-church-policies/21.4.5?lang=eng&_r=1#214.

Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints. (2016c). *Baptism.* Retrieved from

https://www.lds.org/topics/baptism?lang=eng.

Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints. (2016d). *The Family: A proclamation to the world*.

Retrieved from https://www.lds.org/topics/family-proclamation?lang=eng&old=true.

Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints. (2018). *Newsroom: Facts and Statistics*. Retrieved

from https://www.mormonnewsroom.org/facts-and-statistics#.

Couch, M., Mulcare, H., Pitts, M., Smith, A., & Mitchell, A. (2008). The religious affiliation of

gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender and intersex Australians: A report from the private

lives survey. *People and Place, 16,* 1-11.

Cranney, S. (2017). The LGB Mormon paradox: Mental, physical, and self-rated health among

Mormon and non-Mormon LGB individuals in the Utah behavioral risk factor

surveillance system. *Journal of Homosexuality, 64*, 731-744. DOI:

10.1080/00918369.2016.1236570.

Crenshaw, K. (1989). Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex: A Black feminist critique

of antidiscrimination doctrine, feminist theory and antiracist politics. *University of

Chicago Legal Forum*, *1989*, 139–167. Retrieved from

http://chicagounbound.uchicago.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1052&context=uclf

Crowell, K. A., Galliher, R. V., Dehlin, J. P., & Bradshaw, W. S. (2015). Specific aspects of

minority stress associated with depression among LDS affiliated non-heterosexual adults.

Journal of Homosexuality, 62, 242–267. DOI:10.1080/00918369.2014.969611.

Dahl, A. L., & Galliher, R. V. (2009). LGBQQ young adult experiences of religious and sexual

identity integration. *Journal of LGBT Issues in Counseling, 3*, 92–112. doi:

10.1080=15538600903005268.

D'Augelli, A. R. (1994). Identity development and sexual orientation: Toward a model of

lesbian, gay, and bisexual development. In E. J. Trickett, R. J. Watts, & D. Birman

(Eds.), *Human diversity: Perspectives on people in context*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Dehlin, J. P., Galliher, R. V., Bradshaw, W. S., & Crowell, K. A. (2015). Navigating sexual and

religious identity conflict: A Mormon perspective. *Identity: An International Journal of

Theory and Research, 15*, 1-22. DOI: 10.1080/15283488.2014.989440.

Dehlin, J. P., Galliher, R. V., Bradshaw, W. S., & Crowell, K. A. (2014). Psychosocial correlates

of religious approaches to same-sex attraction: A Mormon perspective. *Journal of Gay

and Lesbian Mental Health, 18*, 284–311. DOI: 10.1080/19359705.2014.912970.

Diener, E., Emmons, R. A., Larsen, R. J., & Griffin, S. (1985). The Satisfaction with Life Scale.

Journal of Personality Assessment, 49, 71-75.

Doty, N. D., Willoughby, B. L., Lindahl, K. M., & Malik, N. M. (2010). Sexuality related social

support among lesbian, gay, and bisexual youth. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 39*,

1134-1147. DOI 10.1007/s10964-010-9566-x.

Garnets, L. D., & D'Augelli, A. R. (1994). Empowering lesbian and gay communities: A call for

collaboration with community psychology. *American Journal of Community Psychology,

22*, 447-470. DOI: 10.1007/BF02506889.

Grigoriou, J. A. (2014). Minority stress factors for same-sex attracted Mormon adults.

Psychology of Sexual Orientation and Gender Diversity, 1, 471-479. DOI:

10.1037/sgd0000078.

Hale, L. (2018, July 25). *Can the LDS church be blamed for Utah's LGBT suicides?* Retrieved

from https://www.kuer.org/post/can-lds-church-be-blamed-utah-s-lgbt-suicides.

Hatzenbuehler, M. L., McLaughlin, K. A., Keyes, K. M., & Hasin, D. S. (2010). The impact of

    institutional discrimination on psychiatric disorders in lesbian, gay, and bisexual

    populations: A prospective study. *American Journal of Public Health, 100*, 452-459. doi:

    10.2105/AJPH.2009.168815

Herek, G. M., Norton, A. T., Allen, A. J., & Sims, C. L. (2010). Demographic, psychological,

    and social characteristics of self-identified lesbian, gay, and bisexual adults in a US

    probability sample. *Sex Research and Social Policy, 7*, 176–200. DOI: 10.1007/s13178-

    010-0017-y.

Hunter, S. (2010). *Effects of conservative religion on lesbian and gay clients and practitioners:*

    Practice implications. Washington, DC: NASW Press.

Kauth, M. R. (2006). The evolution of human sexuality: An introduction. *Journal of Psychology*

    and Human Sexuality, 18, 1–22. DOI: 10.1300/J056v18n02_01.

Kline, R. B. (2016). *Principles and practice of structural equation modeling.* (4th ed.). New

    York: The Guilford Press.

Kosciw, J. G., Palmer, N. A., & Kull, R. M. (2015). Reflecting resiliency: Openness about sexual

    orientation and/or gender identity and its relationship to well-being and educational

    outcomes for LGBT students. *American Journal of Community Psychology, 55*, 167–178.

    DOI 10.1007/s10464-014-9642-6.

Levy, D. L., & Reeves, P. (2011). Resolving identity conflict: Gay, lesbian, and queer

    individuals with a Christian upbringing. *Journal of Gay & Lesbian Social Services, 23*,

    53–68. DOI: 10.1080/10538720.2010.530193.

Meyer, I. H. (2003). Prejudice, social stress, and mental health in lesbian, gay, and bisexual populations: Conceptual issues and research evidence. *Psychological Bulletin, 129,* 674-697. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.129.5.674.

Mohr, J. J., & Kendra, M. S. (2011). Revision and extension of a multidimensional measure of sexual minority identity: The Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Identity Scale. *Journal of Counseling Psychology, 58*, 234-45. DOI: 10.1037/a0022858.

Oaks, D. H. (October, 1995). *Same-gender attraction*. Retrieved from https://www.lds.org/study/ensign/1995/10/same-gender-attraction?lang=eng.

Oswald, R. F. (2001). Religion, family, and ritual: The production of gay, lesbian, bisexual, and transgender outsiders-within. *Review of Religious Research, 43*, 39–50. DOI: 10.2307/3512242.

Pepper, C. M. (2017). Suicide in the mountain west region of the United States. *Crisis, 38*(5), 344–350. DOI: 10.1027/0227-5910/a000451.

Pew Research Center. (2013). *A survey of LGBT Americans: Attitudes, experiences and values in changing times*. Retrieved from http://www.pewsocialtrends.org/files/2013/06/SDT_LGBTAmericans_06-2013.pdf.

Pitt, R. N. (2010). ''Still looking for my Jonathan'': Gay Black men's management of religious and sexual identity conflicts. *Journal of Homosexuality, 57*, 39–53. DOI: 10.1080/00918360903285566.

Perkins, D. D., & Zimmerman, M. A. (1995). Empowerment theory, research, and application. *American Journal of Community Psychology, 23*, 569–579. DOI: 10.1007/BF02506982.

Rodriguez, E. M. & Ouellette, S. C. (2000). Gay and lesbian Christians: Homosexual and religious identity integration in the members and participants of a gay-positive church. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion, 39*, 333-347. DOI:10.1111/0021-8294.00028.

Rosenkrantz, D. E., Rostosky, S. S., Riggle, E. D. B., & Cook, J. R. (2016). The positive aspects of intersecting religious/spiritual and LGBTQ identities. *Spirituality in Clinical Practice, 3*, 127-138. DOI: 10.1037/scp0000095.

Schuck, K. D., & Liddle, B. J. (2001). Religious conflicts experienced by lesbian, gay and bisexual individuals. *Journal of Gay & Lesbian Psychotherapy, 5*, 63–82. DOI: 10.1300/J236v05n02_07.

Spitzer, R. L., Kroenke, K., Williams, J. B. W., & Lowe, B. (2006). A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder. *Archives of Internal Medicine, 166*, 1092-1097. DOI: 10.1001/archinte.166.10.1092.

Swann, S. K., & Spivey, C. A. (2004). The relationship between self-esteem and lesbian identity during adolescence. *Child & Adolescent Social Work Journal*, *21*, 629-646. DOI: 10.1007/s10560-004-6408-2.

Williams, T. (2018, April 26). *Utah is finally addressing its epidemic of youth suicide*. Retrieved from https://www.advocate.com/commentary/2018/4/26/utah-finally-addressing-its-epidemic-youth-suicide.

Zimmerman, M. A. (2000). Empowerment theory: Psychological, organizational and community levels of analysis. In J. Rappaport & E. Seidman (Eds.), *The handbook of community psychology*. New York: Plenum Press.