UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS AND MECHANICS DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS JADRANSKA 19, 1111 LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA

Preprint series, Vol. 42 (2004), 938

SMALL TRIANGLE-FREE CONFIGURATIONS OF POINTS AND LINES

Marko Boben Tomaž Pisanski Arjana Žitnik Branko Grünbaum

ISSN 1318-4865

October 20, 2004

Ljubljana, October 20, 2004

Small triangle-free configurations of points and lines

Marko Boben, Tomaž Pisanski, Arjana Žitnik[‡] University of Ljubljana, Slovenia

Branko Grünbaum[§] University of Washington, Seattle, USA

June 28, 2004

Abstract

In the paper we show that all combinatorial triangle-free configurations for $v \leq 18$ are geometrically realizable. We also show that there is a unique smallest astral (18₃) triangle-free configuration and its Levi graph is the generalized Petersen graph G(18, 5). In addition, we present geometric realizations of the unique flag transitive triangle-free configuration (20₃) and the unique point transitive triangle-free configuration (21₃).

1 Introduction

Close to a century ago, Steinitz [25, p. 490] stated that it appears that all combinatorial configurations (v_3) with v > 10 can be realized by geometric configurations of points and straight lines, although this question has not been decided so far. Steinitz's expectation has been contradicted a few years ago by an example with v = 16 (see [8], as well as [2]), which can be easily modified to yield examples for all $v \ge 16$. However, a modified version of this question, which will be formulated below after the necessary definitions are presented, is still open.

There exist methods (see for example [4, 26]) and algorithms (such as VEGA, see [17, 19]), that can be used to decide whether a given combinatorial configuration can be realized geometrically. Since the number of combinatorial configurations grows very fast with v (see below, Table 1, adapted from [1]), it is not feasible to check the realizability of all configurations (v_3) with a given reasonably large v. It is therefore of some interest to investigate the realizability of certain special configurations, endowed with unusual properties. One of the

^{*}E-mail address: Marko.Boben@fmf.uni-lj.si.

[†]E-mail address: Tomaz.Pisanski@fmf.uni-lj.si. Supported in part by a research grant from Ministrstvo za šolstvo, znanost in šport Republike Slovenije. Part of the research was conducted while the author was visiting Neil R. Grabois Professor of Mathematics at Colgate University.

[‡]E-mail address: Arjana.Zitnik@fmf.uni-lj.si.

[§]E-mail address: grunbaum@math.washington.edu.

| v | a | b | c | d |
|----|--------------------|-----------------|-------|---|
| 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 9 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 10 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 31 | 25 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 229 | 95 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | 2 036 | 365 | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | 21 399 | 1 432 | 0 | 0 |
| 15 | $245 \ 342$ | 5 799 | 1 | 1 |
| 16 | $3\ 004\ 881$ | 24 092 | 0 | 0 |
| 17 | $38 \ 904 \ 499$ | $102 \ 413$ | 1 | 0 |
| 18 | $530 \ 452 \ 205$ | $445 \ 363$ | 4 | 0 |
| 19 | $7\ 640\ 941\ 062$ | $1 \ 991 \ 320$ | 14 | 0 |
| 20 | ? | ? | 162 | 1 |
| 21 | ? | ? | 4 713 | 1 |

Table 1: The numbers of various combinatorial (v_3) configurations (from [1]; the entries for v = 19 in columns a and b were obtained later by the same computer program). Column a contains the numbers of combinatorial (v_3) configurations, column b the numbers of selfpolar (v_3) configurations, column c the numbers of triangle-free configurations, and column d the numbers of triangle free "point" transitive configurations.

reasons is that very frequently configurations (or other mathematical entities) that are special in some respect are also special in other ways as well.

We chose to investigate combinatorial configurations (v_3) which are exceptional in that they contain no triangles. (A triangle in a configuration is a triplet of points, not on one line of the configuration, such that each pair does lie on a line of the configuration.) Relying on data from [1], we shall investigate the triangle-free combinatorial configurations (v_3) with $v \leq 18$ on their realizability as geometric configurations, and in particular, on the possibilities of finding symmetric realizations. The same applies to the triangle-free configuration (20₃) that is flag transitive and to the triangle-free configuration (21₃) that is point transitive; by the data in Table 1 these two configurations are unique. As we shall see, the expectations of finding interesting properties will be fulfilled.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the combinatorial prerequisites, Section 3 the geometric ones. Section 4 presents the results of a detailed examination of the eight configurations under consideration. The last section gives some additional information, as well as several open problems.

2 Combinatorial configurations

In this paper by combinatorial configuration or more specifically combinatorial (v_3) configuration C we mean an incidence structure of v symbols called "points" and v symbols called "lines", such that three "lines" are incident with each "point", three "points" with each "line", and two "lines" meet in at most one "point". No geometric significance is attached to the terms "point" and "line". It is often convenient to identify the "lines" of a combinatorial (v_3) configuration with the triplet of "points" incident with it. When there is no danger of confusion, we shall dispense with the quotation marks.

The known numbers of different (that is, non-isomorphic) combinatorial (v_3) configurations are shown in Table 1, together with the available information about the triangle-free ones among them. While the entries for $v \leq 11$ were determined already in the nineteenth century, the other entries are very recent. (Gropp [10] for $v \leq 14$, [1] for the other values). The numbers in the last two columns show how special are the triangle-free configurations.

Combinatorial configurations are closely related to graphs. A Levi graph $L(\mathcal{C})$ (or incidence graph) of a (v_3) configuration \mathcal{C} (or of any incidence structure with points and lines) is a bipartite graph with black vertices representing the points, white vertices representing the lines and with an edge joining two vertices if and only if the corresponding point and line are incident. Combinatorial configuration can be characterized by their Levi graphs in the following way.

Proposition 1. An incidence structure C is a (v_3) configuration if and only if its Levi graph is trivalent and has girth (the length of the shortest cycle) at least 6.

For the proof of the Proposition and more about the relation between configurations and graphs, see for example [5]. The term Levi graph was introduced in this paper; the concept seems to have originated in the little-known work [15] by F. W. Levi who was also the author of the first book on configurations [14].

A cycle of length 2d in the Levi graph $L(\mathcal{C})$ corresponds to a d-gon in the combinatorial configuration \mathcal{C} . In particular a hexagon in $L(\mathcal{C})$ corresponds to a triangle in \mathcal{C} . A configuration \mathcal{C} is *triangle-free* if and only if its Levi graph has girth at least 8.

The primary purpose of this paper is to provide data on the triangle-free combinatorial configurations (v_3) with $v \leq 18$. These previously unpublished data were obtained by [1] in the course of calculations for the tables in that paper. They are presented in Section 4, in a somewhat modified form, together with the data on the configurations (20_3) and (21_3) which we consider.

A configuration C is said to be *k*-connected if its Levi graph L(C) is *k*-connected. This is equivalent to saying that the deletion of any fewer than *k* elements (points and/or lines) from C does not disconnect C. All combinatorial (v_3) configurations with $v \leq 13$ are 3-connected, and so are most of the configurations enumerated in Table 1. In particular, all the triangle-free configurations listed in Section 4 are 3-connected.

The generalized Petersen graph G(n,r), introduced by Watkins [28] is a graph with vertex set

$$V(G(n,r)) = \{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$$

and edge set

$$E(G(n,r)) = \{u_i u_{i+1}, u_i v_i, v_i v_{i+r} : i = 0, \dots, n-1\}.$$

Since G(n, r) is isomorphic to G(n, n - r) and since G(n, n/2) is not a simple graph, without loss of generality we shall assume that 0 < r < n/2. The graphs G(n, r) constitute a standard family of graphs which is a generalization of the renowned Petersen graph G(5, 2).

It is well-known, see [9], that the generalized Petersen graph G(n, r) is:

- (i) vertex transitive if and only if either n = 10 and r = 2, or $r^2 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{n}$;
- (ii) a Cayley graph if and only if $r^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$;
- (iii) flag-transitive only in the following seven cases: (n, r) = (4, 1) the cube,
 (5, 2) the Petersen graph, (8, 3) the Moebius-Kantor graph, (10, 2) the dodecahedron, (10, 3) the Desargues graph, (12, 5) the Levi graph of one of the selfpolar (12₃) configurations, and (24, 5).

For the last, see in particular Coxeter [6].

The family of generalized Petersen graphs G(n, r) contains some other very important graphs, such as the *n*-prism G(n, 1), the Dürer graph G(6, 2), etc. We shall show that the graph G(18, 5) also deserves to be considered as special.

3 Geometric configurations

A geometric (v_3) configuration is a set of v points and v (straight) lines in the Euclidean plane, such that precisely three of the lines pass through each of the points, and precisely three of the points lie on each of the lines. It is clear that each geometric configuration determines a combinatorial configuration, while the reverse is not true. For example, it is well known that the combinatorial Fano configuration, the only combinatorial (7_3) configuration (projective plane of order 2) cannot be realized (with points and lines) in the Euclidean plane. It is also known that the unique combinatorial (8_3) configuration is not realizable. However, with the exception of a single (10_3) configuration, all 3-connected (v_3) with $v \geq 9$ for which the realizability has been decided, can be geometrically realized in the plane. Indeed, we make the following Conjecture.

Conjecture 1. All 3-connected (v_3) configurations with v > 10 are geometrically realizable by points and straight lines.

We note that [26] showed the validity of this conjecture for v = 11 and 12. In fact, they established the much stronger result that all such configurations can be realized by points and lines in the rational plane. Probably all configurations mentioned in the conjecture can be realized even in the rational plane.

As a small step towards the verification of our conjecture we shall show that all six triangle-free (v_3) configurations with $v \leq 18$ are realizable as are the unique (20_3) and (21_3) considered here.

We note that geometric realizability of a (v_3) configuration in the (real or rational) Euclidean plane is equivalent to its realizability in the corresponding projective plane. We shall work exclusively in the real Euclidean plane, since this allows us to look for geometric realizations with isometric symmetries. Note that with the term symmetry, we refer exclusively to isometric symmetries of geometric configurations, while we use automorphism when we talk about combinatorial configurations.

Symmetries of a geometric configuration are reflected in the automorphisms of the corresponding combinatorial configuration. Loss of symmetry may occur when passing from a combinatorial to a geometric configuration – if the latter exists at all. Symmetries make the configurations not only more appealing visually, but also help in drawing them and understanding their structure. In particular, we shall be interested in astral configurations, introduced in [11].

A (v_3) configuration is said to be *astral* provided its points form two orbits under its groups of (isometric) symmetries, and the lines form two orbits as well. Clearly, no (v_3) configuration can have a single orbit of points or of lines under its symmetries. If both the points and the lines of a (v_3) configuration form at most three orbits, the configuration is called *stellar*.

4 Small triangle-free combinatorial (v_3) configurations

We come now to the main topic of our paper, the triangle-free configurations with relatively few points. There are six such configurations – one (15_3) configuration, one (17_3) configuration, and four (18_3) configurations – which we shall consider in turn. We also consider the unique flag transitive triangle-free (20_3) configuration and the unique point transitive triangle-free (21_3) configuration. We find that each of them has some interesting features. Since the trianglefree (15_3) configuration and the triangle-free (17_3) configuration are the only combinatorial triangle-free configurations with the given number of points, and since the property of being triangle-free is (combinatorially and geometrically) selfdual, these configurations are necessarily combinatorially selfpolar. Considering four triangle-free (18_3) configurations, two of them are selfpolar. All eight configurations can be realized geometrically, as shown in Figures 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 15, and 17.

4.1 The triangle-free configuration (15_3)

The selfpolar triangle-free configuration (15_3) is often called the Cremona-Richmond configuration. It is highly symmetric: its automorphism group is S_6 , the symmetric group of degree 6, and is transitive on its flags. Its Levi graph (see Figure 1) is the remarkable and well-known Tutte 8-cage. There is a 1-parameter family of different stellar realizations. One stellar realization is shown in Figure 2. It can be proved that there is no astral realization of this configuration. The Cremona-Richmond configuration (15₃) has lines:

| 1 | \mathcal{Z} | \mathcal{Z} | 5 | 4 | γ | 6 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 |
|---|---------------|---------------|---|----|----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----------------|----------------|
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | $\overline{7}$ | $\overline{7}$ |
| 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 11 |
| 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 15 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 14 | 12 |

It is flag transitive and combinatorially selfpolar (that is, has a selfduality of order 2). This selfpolarity is given by the correspondence $1 \leftrightarrow 1, 2 \leftrightarrow 2, 3 \leftrightarrow 3$, ... None of the other $(v_3), v \leq 18$, configurations considered here has a point transitive group of automorphisms.

For an extensive note about the history of the Cremona-Richmond configuration see the last section.





Figure 1: The Levi graph of the triangle-free combinatorially selfpolar Cremona-Richmond (15_3) configuration. This graph is the Tutte 8-cage.

Figure 2: A stellar realization of the Cremona-Richmond (15_3) configuration.

4.2 The triangle-free configuration (17_3)

The triangle-free configuration (17_3) has lines:

| 16 | 5 | 11 | 14 | 6 | 17 | 8 | 15 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 10 | $\mathcal{2}$ | $\tilde{7}$ | 12 | 3 | 13 |
|----|---|----|----|----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|---------------|-------------|----|----|----|
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 10 |
| 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 9 | 14 | 11 | 15 | 16 | 13 |
| 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 15 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 13 | 16 | 12 | 17 | 17 | 17 |

It is combinatorially selfpolar by the correspondence $1 \leftrightarrow 1, 2 \leftrightarrow 2, 3 \leftrightarrow 3, \ldots$

Its group of automorphisms is the dihedral group D_6 . The generators a and b satisfying the property $a^2 = b^2 = (a b)^6 = e$ (e is the identity) are

$$a = (2,4)(3,5)(8,10)(9,14)(11,12)(13,16)$$

$$b = (1,3)(4,12)(5,13)(6,15)(7,14)(10,11)(16,17)$$

It has four point orbits, of three different sizes:

 $\{1, 3, 13, 17, 16, 5\}, \{2, 12, 10, 8, 11, 4\}, \{7, 14, 9\}, \{6, 15\}.$

It has also four line orbits, with the same sets of lines. Its Levi graph is shown in Figure 3, and a geometric realizations is presented in Figure 4.

4.3 The triangle-free configuration 18-A

The triangle-free configuration 18-A has lines

| 5 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 13 | 11 | 16 | 6 | γ | $\mathcal{2}$ | \mathcal{S} | 8 | 12 | 10 | 14 | 17 | 18 | 15 |
|---|---|----------------|---|----|----|----|----|----------|---------------|---------------|----|----|----------------|----------------|----|----|----|
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | $\overline{7}$ | $\overline{7}$ | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 16 |
| 3 | 5 | $\overline{7}$ | 9 | 11 | 13 | 15 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 16 | 17 | 15 | 18 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 17 |



Figure 3: Levi graph of the triangle-free combinatorially selfpolar configuration (17_3) .



Figure 4: A geometric realization of the triangle-free configuration (17_3) .



Figure 5: The Levi graph of the configuration 18-A.

It is combinatorially selfpolar by the correspondence $1 \leftrightarrow 1, 2 \leftrightarrow 2, 3 \leftrightarrow 3, \dots$ It has seven point orbits, of three different sizes:

 $\{1, 11, 12, 18\}, \{2, 8\}, \{3, 4, 10, 15\}, \{5, 6, 13, 17\}, \{7, 16\}, \{9\}, \{14\}.$

It has also seven line orbits, with the same sets of lines. Its automorphisms are the permutations: e (identity), a, b, c, with $a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = e$, ab = ba = c, which form the noncyclic group of four elements (dihedral D_2 , Klein's "Vierergruppe"):

$$a = (1, 18)(2, 8)(3, 15)(4, 10)(5, 17)(6, 13)(11, 12),$$

$$b = (1, 11)(3, 10)(4, 15)(5, 6)(7, 16)(12, 18)(13, 17).$$

The Levi graph of the configuration 18-A is shown in Figure 5, its geometric realization in Figure 6.

4.4 The triangle-free configuration 18-B

The triangle-free configuration 18-B has lines:

| 1 | $\mathcal{2}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | $\tilde{7}$ | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
|---|---------------|---|----------|----|----|-------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----------------|----------------|----|----|----|
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | $\overline{7}$ | $\overline{7}$ | 8 | 11 | 11 |
| 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 16 | 9 | 10 | 13 | 14 | 16 | 12 | 15 |
| 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 13 | 15 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 17 | 15 | 16 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 17 | 18 |

It has two point orbits:

```
\{1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 14, 15, 17, 18\}, \{3, 6, 10, 12, 13, 16\},\
```



Figure 6: A geometric realization of the 18-A configuration.

and three line orbits:

 $\{1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 16, 17\}, \{2, 4, 15, 18\}, \{6, 13\}.$

which shows that it is not selfdual. This is the smallest known configuration in which the number of line orbits is different from the number of point orbits. The automorphism group is D_{12} . The generators a and b satisfying $a^2 = b^2 = (a b)^{12} = e$ are:

$$a = (2,7)(3,6)(4,5)(8,17)(9,14)(10,13)(11,18)(12,16),$$

$$b = (1,2)(4,9)(5,8)(6,10)(7,11)(12,13)(14,15)(17,18).$$

The Levi graph of configuration 18-B in shown in Figure 7, its geometric realization in Figure 8. It is of some interest that 18-B is a "double cover" of the Pappus configuration, which results when the following identifications are performed:

1 = 15, 2 = 14, 4 = 11, 5 = 18, 7 = 9, 8 = 17; 3 = 16, 6 = 12, 10 = 13.

This "double cover" is shown in Figure 9, where the identified points have been separated, and the lines have been replaced by pseudolines for better intelligibility. It should be pointed out, that our "double cover" is not a covering projection in the usual sense of algebraic topology. Namely, it fails to be a local isomorphism at three singular points.



Figure 7: The Levi graph of the configurations 18-B (plain font) and 18-C (italic)



Figure 8: A geometric realization of the 18-B configuration.



Figure 9: A schematic diagram (pseudoline configuration) of the triangle-free 18-B configuration as a "double cover" of the Pappus configuration.



Figure 10: A geometric realization of the 18-C configuration.

4.5 The triangle-free configuration 18-C

The triangle-free configuration 18-C is dual to configuration 18-B. To underscore this, its points are denoted by italic, and lines by plain characters. It has lines

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
|---------------|---|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----------|----------|----|----|----|
| 1 | 1 | 1 | $\mathcal{2}$ | $\mathcal{2}$ | \mathcal{Z} | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | γ | γ | 11 | 11 | 14 |
| \mathcal{Z} | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 8 | 10 | g | 17 | 8 | 10 | g | 12 | 13 | 15 | 16 |
| \mathcal{Z} | 5 | $\tilde{7}$ | 9 | 11 | 13 | 15 | 16 | 12 | 13 | 18 | 17 | 14 | 15 | 18 | 16 | 17 | 18 |

The configuration 18-C has three point orbits:

$$\{1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 16, 17\}, \{2, 4, 15, 18\}, \{6, 13\}, \{1, 12\}, \{1, 12\}, \{1, 12\}, \{1, 12\}, \{1, 12\}, \{2, 13\}, \{2, 14\}, \{2,$$

and two line orbits:

 $\{1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 14, 15, 17, 18\}, \{3, 6, 10, 12, 13, 16\}.$

The Levi graph of configuration 18-C in shown in Figure 7, its geometric realization in Figure 10.

4.6 The triangle-free configuration 18-D

The triangle-free configuration 18-D has lines

| 1 | $\mathcal{2}$ | \mathcal{Z} | 4 | 5 | 6 | γ | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
|----|---------------|---------------|----|----|----|----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 18 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |

This configuration has two point orbits under its automorphisms, given by the permutations: (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9) and (10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18), and the





Figure 11: The Levi graph of the triangle-free combinatorially selfpolar configuration 18-D. This graph is the generalized Petersen graph G(18, 5).

Figure 12: A geometrically selfpolar astral realization of the triangle-free configuration 18-D.

same orbits of lines. The automorphism group of order 18 given by the generators

$$a = (2,9)(3,8)(4,7)(5,6)(10,15)(11,14)(12,13)(16,18),$$

$$b = (1,2)(3,9)(4,8)(5,7)(10,16)(11,15)(12,14)(17,18)$$

satisfying $a^2 = b^2 = (a b)^9 = e$ is the dihedral group D_9 .

The Levi graph of 18-D is shown in Figure 11. It is in fact a generalized Petersen graph G(18, 5), which therefore is the smallest generalized Petersen graph that represents a triangle-free configuration (v_3) . A geometric realization of 18-D as an astral configuration is given in Figure 12. The symmetry group of this realization is the cyclic group C_9 of order 9.

We may summarize the previous discussion in a form of a theorem.

Theorem 2. There exists a unique smallest astral triangle-free configuration (18_3) and its Levi graph is the generalized Petersen graph G(18,5).

Proof. Configuration 18-D satisfies the conditions of the Theorem. Triangle free configurations 18-A, 18-B, and 18-C lack the symmetries, while (15_3) and (17_3) configurations cannot be astral since 15 and 17 are odd.

4.7 The flag transitive triangle-free configuration (20_3)

According to [1] there are altogether 14 triangle-free (19_3) configurations and 162 triangle-free (20_3) configurations. None of triangle-free (19_3) configurations is point or line transitive, but there is an unique triangle-free (20_3) configuration which is flag transitive (no other triangle-free (20_3) configuration is either point



Figure 13: The Levi graph of the flag transitive triangle-free configuration (20_3) . It is the Kronecker double cover of the dodecahedron graph G(10, 2).

or line transitive). Its lines are:

| 1 | $\mathcal{2}$ | \mathcal{B} | 4 | 5 | 6 | γ | 8 | g | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
|----------|---------------|---------------|----|----|----|----------|----|----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 8 | $\overline{7}$ | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 12 | 11 | 14 | 13 | 12 |
| 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 20 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 20 | 19 | 18 |
| | | | | | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |) | | | | |
| | | | | | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | | | | | |
| | | | | | 11 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 3 | | | | |
| | | | | | 17 | 20 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 7 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Its Levi graph is the Kronecker double cover of the dodecahedron graph G(10, 2), see Figure 13. The configuration is combinatorially selfpolar by the correspondence $1 \leftrightarrow 1, 2 \leftrightarrow 2, 3 \leftrightarrow 3, \ldots$ Its automorphism group of order 240 generated by

$$a = (2,7)(4,17)(5,20)(9,12)(10,15)(13,14)(18,19),$$

$$b = (1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10)(11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20)$$

is $S_5 \times C_2$.

Its (cyclic) astral realization is shown in Figure 14. This configuration also admits a *dihedral* astral realization, i.e. an astral realization with dihedral group of symmetries. In fact, there exists an one-parametric family of dihedral astral realizations. Two realizations from this family are shown in Figure 15.



Figure 14: A geometrically selfpolar astral realization of the flag transitive triangle-free configuration (20_3) .



Figure 15: Two dihedral astral realizations of the flag transitive triangle-free configuration (20_3) .

4.8 The point transitive triangle-free configuration (21_3)

Among 4713 triangle-free (21_3) configurations there exists an unique point transitive configuration, see [1]. Its lines are:

| 1 | $\mathcal{2}$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | γ | 8 | g | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
|---|---------------|---|---|----|----|----------|----------------|----|----|----------------|----|----|----|----|
| 1 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 12 | $\overline{7}$ | 15 | 11 | 5 | 14 | 9 | 3 | 2 |
| 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 16 | 16 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 17 | 6 |
| 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 21 | 18 |
| | | | | | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | | | | |
| | | | | | 1 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 3 | $\overline{7}$ | | | | |
| | | | | | 9 | 12 | 17 | 14 | 11 | 10 | | | | |
| | | | | | 15 | 21 | 19 | 16 | 13 | 20 | | | | |

Its Levi graph is shown in Figure 16. While this configuration is point transitive, it is not line transitive; the two orbits are

 $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14\}, \{15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21\}.$

Its automorphism group of order 42 is generated by

 $\begin{aligned} a &= (1, 13, 21, 10, 19, 6, 16)(2, 14, 9, 11, 5, 7, 17)(3, 12, 20, 8, 18, 4, 15), \\ b &= (1, 2, 3)(4, 10, 17)(5, 12, 21)(6, 11, 15)(7, 8, 16)(9, 18, 13)(14, 20, 19), \\ c &= (1, 19)(2, 14)(3, 20)(4, 18)(6, 16)(7, 11)(8, 15)(9, 17)(10, 13). \end{aligned}$

It turns out that this group is the automorphism group of the dihedral group D_7 .

Of course, it can not be realized as an astral configuration, but it admits a stellar realization. It is shown in Figure 17.

5 Additional data and comments

It is well known that drawings of configurations can be misleading regarding the existence; this can happen either inadvertently (as in the well-known case of the ten configurations (10_3) by Kantor [12]), or intentionally (as in [8]). Hence, the diagrams in Section 4 by themselves do not prove the existence of the geometric configurations in question. Therefore, to show their realizability, we give the coordinates of points for each of them. These values were obtained by the VEGA program using the algorithm described in [4].

| i | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|-------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| x_i | 1 | $\frac{5}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{15}{47}$ | $-\frac{65}{59}$ | $-\frac{5}{4}$ | $-\frac{25}{118}$ |
| y_i | 0 | $-\frac{433}{1000}$ | $\frac{433}{500}$ | $-\frac{1299}{1000}$ | $-\frac{433}{500}$ | $\frac{433}{1175}$ | $\frac{866}{1475}$ | $\frac{433}{1000}$ | $\frac{433}{5900}$ |
| i | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | |
| x_i | $-\frac{1}{4}$ | $\frac{13}{8}$ | $-\frac{13}{8}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $-\frac{25}{118}$ | $-\frac{5}{4}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | -1 | |
| y_i | $\tfrac{1299}{1000}$ | $-\frac{433}{500}$ | $\frac{433}{500}$ | $\frac{433}{500}$ | $\frac{1299}{1180}$ | $\frac{433}{300}$ | $-\frac{433}{500}$ | 0 | |

Coordinates of points of the triangle-free (17_3) configuration:

Coordinates of points of the triangle-free configuration 18-A:



Figure 16: The Levi graph of the unique point transitive triangle-free configuration (21_3) . The picture above is from [1] and was obtained by subdividing the Heawood graph (Levi graph of the Fano configuration) and connecting each set of 3 "collinear" vertices with valency 2 to a new vertex. The vertices of the Heawood graph correspond to the lines of the larger line orbit, the subdivision points correspond to the points, while the "new vertices" correspond to the lines of the smaller line orbit.



Figure 17: A stellar realization of the unique point transitive triangle-free configuration (21_3) .

| · · | 1 | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 4 |
|-------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|------------------------------|-----------------|
| ı | 1 | | | | 2 | | | 3 | 4 |
| x_i | 1 | $\frac{236458}{1149311}$ | <u>6219076</u> 1401495 | 31570038 | $\frac{1840+486}{8800+136}$ | $\frac{5330278450}{064638041}$ | $\frac{686079003753x}{848583497585x}$ | $-\frac{761}{655}$ | $\frac{3}{5}$ |
| y_i | 0 | $\frac{19739}{229862}$ | 9633336 2280299 | $\frac{42016495}{06314007}$ | $\frac{5120 - 142}{760 + 272}$ | $\frac{014621081}{129276083}$ | $\frac{.3374013671x}{69716699517x}$ | $-\frac{23}{131}$ | $-\frac{4}{5}$ |
| i | | 5 | | 6 | 7 | 8 | (|) | |
| x_i | $\frac{1240}{371}$ | $\frac{010+12459}{150-27020}$ | $\frac{\partial 1x}{\partial x}$ | $\frac{3}{5}$ | $-\frac{9}{10}$ | $-\frac{297}{85}$ | 2946000 - 135208000 - 135208000 - 135208000 - 135208000 - 135208000 - 135208000000 - 135208000 - 13520800000 - 13520800000000000000000000000000000000000 | $\frac{6653199x}{18088230x}$ | |
| y_i | $\frac{868}{185}$ | $\frac{60+15161}{575-13510}$ | $\frac{1x}{0x}$ | $\frac{4}{5}$ | $\frac{19}{5}$ | $-\frac{31}{17}$ | $\frac{6347680+}{6760400+}$ | $\frac{10418226x}{9044115x}$ | |
| i | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| x_i | $-\frac{81}{35}$ | $\frac{3-x}{5+5x}$ | $-\frac{1}{5}$ | $-\frac{9}{10}$ | $-\frac{11}{10}$ | $-\frac{1}{5}$ | $-\frac{8437}{7130}$ | $\frac{17}{10}$ | $-\frac{9}{10}$ |
| y_i | $\frac{2}{5}$ | $\frac{4+5x}{5+5x}$ | -1 | $-\frac{2}{5}$ | $-\frac{1}{10}$ | 1 | $-\frac{6148}{3565}$ | $\frac{2}{5}$ | $\frac{2}{5}$ |

where x is a root of the polynomial

$148356340695120 + 340383889522429x + 168711078656205x^2.$

Note that this polynomial has two different roots, which give two different realizations. This is also true for the other two cases below.

Approximate coordinates of this realization are (taking x = -1.38064):

| i | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|-------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|------|---------|---------|---------|
| x_i | 1. | -2.3218 | -1.1618 | 0.6 | -0.6448 | 0.6 | -0.9 | -3.4941 | -1.0593 |
| y_i | 0. | -0.2698 | -0.1758 | -0.8 | -3.2895 | 0.8 | 3.8 | -1.8235 | 1.4034 |
| i | 9 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| x_i | -2.3143 | -2.3017 | -0.2 | -0.9 | -1.1 | -0.2 | -1.1833 | 1.7 | -0.9 |
| y_i | 0.4 | 1.5254 | -1. | -0.4 | -0.1 | 1. | -1.7245 | 0.4 | 0.4 |

| i | 1 | 2 | 3 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | | 8 |
|-------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| x_i | $\frac{79}{40}$ | 1 | $\frac{313}{235}$ 1 | $\frac{2}{5}$ | $-\frac{7}{5}$ | $-\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{12675 - 348134x}{-54060 + 138290x}$ | |
| y_i | $\frac{91}{40}$ | $1 \frac{3}{2}$ | $\frac{337}{235}$ 0 | $-\frac{7}{5}$ | $\frac{2}{5}$ | $\frac{9}{10}$ | $\tfrac{88725-135212x}{108120-276580x}$ | |
| i | 9 | 10 | | 11 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| x_i | $-\frac{1474}{2975}$ | $\frac{9-99x}{189-103}$ | $\frac{-4}{3x}$ $\frac{-4}{22}$ | $\frac{-45+2471x}{225+2465x}$ | | 2 | $\frac{6}{5}$ | $\frac{2-15x}{5+5x}$ |
| y_i | $\frac{4657}{5950}$ | $\frac{990-22}{-945+51}$ | $\frac{x}{5x} = \frac{-35}{225}$ | $\frac{55+26261x}{50+24650x}$ | $\frac{5}{2}$ | $\frac{11}{10}$ | $\frac{3}{2}$ | $\frac{-14+7x}{10+10x}$ |
| i | 17 | 18 | | | 12 | | | |
| x_i | -3 | $\frac{1}{5}$ | $\frac{457251}{-44886}$ | $01459368951 \\ 07332503510$ | $\frac{1645+255}{3100+113}$ | $\frac{306412}{405009}$ | 1686935 9755911 | $\frac{68577x}{353090x}$ |
| y_i | $\frac{7}{10}$ | $-\frac{7}{10}$ | $\frac{-56409}{80772}$ | 22448758348 | 33215 + 236 | 746604 | 9852213 | $\frac{264047x}{706180x}$ |

Coordinates of vertices of the triangle-free configuration 18-B:

where x is a root of the polynomial

 $-13479623775 - 957906403010x + 2628530183883x^2.$

Approximate values (taking x = -0.0135669) are:

| i | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|-------|---------|---------|---------|------|------|------|---------|---------|---------|
| x_i | 1.975 | 1. | 1.3319 | 1. | 0.4 | -1.4 | -0.5 | -0.3110 | -0.4955 |
| y_i | 2.275 | 1. | 1.4340 | 0. | -1.4 | 0.4 | 0.9 | 0.8095 | 0.7827 |
| i | 9 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| x_i | 0.0543 | -0.4099 | -7.0118 | -1.2 | 2. | 1.2 | 0.4468 | -3. | 0.2 |
| y_i | -1.0402 | -2.0418 | 4.9468 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 1.5 | -1.4289 | 0.7 | -0.7 |

| i | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | 6 | | |
|-------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| x_i | $\frac{3}{2}$ | 0 | $\frac{3}{4}$ | $-\frac{29}{170}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ | $\frac{-127}{-124}$ | $\frac{758+76}{905+14}$ | $\frac{424x}{580x}$ | |
| y_i | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{71}{425}$ | $\frac{1}{10}$ | $\frac{-970}{-249}$ | 071 - 502 810 + 29 | $\frac{180x}{160x}$ | |
| i | | | 7 | | | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| x_i | $\frac{-215414}{-239476}$ | $\frac{1480385241}{524018894}$ | $\frac{141-101}{084+33}$ | $\frac{1354635205}{8566522189}$ | $\frac{108530x}{9666178x}$ | $-\frac{4}{5}$ | $-\frac{2}{5}$ | $-\frac{4}{5}$ | $-\frac{2}{5}$ |
| y_i | $-659608 \\ -239476$ | $\frac{8482201660}{524018894}$ | $\frac{003+255}{084+33}$ | 5765499569 8566522189 | $\frac{786740x}{9666178x}$ | $\frac{13}{10}$ | $\frac{13}{20}$ | $-\frac{13}{10}$ | $-\frac{13}{20}$ |
| i | 12 | 13 | | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | |
| x_i | $\frac{1065949}{21301980}$ | $-\frac{283}{1000}$ | $\frac{-278}{-746}$ | $\frac{9+2332x}{0+1320x}$ | $\frac{-2+x}{5+5x}$ | $-\frac{3}{10}$ | $\frac{1}{5}$ | $\frac{4}{5}$ | |
| y_i | $\frac{9544093}{21301980}$ | $\frac{1157}{2000}$ | $\frac{793}{-373}$ | $\frac{3-976x}{30+660x}$ | $\frac{-13+16x}{20+20x}$ | $\frac{2}{5}$ | $\frac{4}{5}$ | $-\frac{3}{5}$ | |

Coordinates of points of the triangle-free configuration 18-C:

where x is a root of the polynomial

 $-374743077758166 + 284285457716057x + 40064761902780x^2.$

Approximate values (taking x = -8.23189) are:

| i | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|-------|------|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|------|
| x_i | 1.5 | 0. | 0.75 | -0.1706 | 0.5 | 3.0902 | -0.2686 | -0.8 | -0.4 |
| y_i | 0. | 0. | 0. | 0.1671 | 0.1 | -0.6451 | 0.7175 | 1.3 | 0.65 |
| i | 9 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| x_i | -0.8 | -0.4 | -0.0500 | -0.283 | 1.1997 | 0.2830 | -0.3 | 0.2 | 0.8 |
| y_i | -1.3 | -0.65 | 0.4480 | 0.5785 | -0.9634 | 1.0005 | 0.4 | 0.8 | -0.6 |

As for the configuration 18-D, a simpler description is possible due to its high degree of symmetry. The outer nine vertices are those of a regular nonagon, as are the inner nine. The lines passing through pairs of outer vertices are determined by the sides of a regular $\{9/4\}$ -gon, those passing through two of the inner vertices by the sides of a regular $\{9/2\}$ -gon. Each inner vertex is situated on one of the former lines and divides the side of the $\{9/4\}$ -gon in ratio $1:2.637\ldots$

Unlike other configurations mentioned in the paper, the smallest triangle-free (v_3) configuration, the Cremona-Richmond configuration, has a long history.

Although the name Cremona-Richmond configuration for the smallest triangle-free configuration is used by some writers, there seems to be no easily available explanation for the name. As we were curious, we investigated and here is what we found.

The beginnings of the history of this configuration are somewhat opaque. The first mentions of objects that appear related to the configuration are in the plethora of writings about cubic surfaces that were fashionable in the second half of the nineteenth century. It seems that the set of people who thoroughly understand these papers and books is today very small; it certainly does not include the authors of the present note. Another complication in tracing the history is that the papers in question appeared well before the concept of configurations was formulated by Reye [20] in 1876.

In connection with studies of the families of straight lines on cubic surfaces, it was noted by Schläfli [22, p. 117] in 1858 that there exist such surfaces on which it is possible to find families of 15 lines and 15 special tangent planes with the correct number of mutual incidences. A somewhat more accessible account of

this is in [23], see in particular p. 197. Cremona [7] seems to have been the first to give an explicit list (on pp. 111–112) of the incidences of these lines and planes. From our perspective, with the concept of configuration available, this can be interpreted in two ways. On the one hand, from the lines and planes in question, by intersection with a suitable plane, one can obtain our configuration (15_3) of points and lines. On the other hand, it is possible to interpret Cremona's list as a combinatorial configuration. Coming from a somewhat different point of view, the Cremona-Richmond configuration was considered by Martinetti [16], who stressed that it is triangle-free. He also studied the triangle-free configurations (n_3) for $16 \le n \le 18$; his enumeration is consistent with the one in [1]. However, although Martinetti's presentation is couched in geometric terminology (points, lines, polygons, etc.), his treatment is purely combinatorial. It is appropriate to recall that this was before the discovery by Schroeter [24] that one of the ten combinatorial configurations (10_3) cannot be geometrically realized; hence Martinetti may possibly have thought that the establishment of a combinatorial configuration implies the existence of a configuration of real points and lines. We guess that his name is not attached to the configuration for two reasons. First, the paper [16] seems to have been largely forgotten; it is not mentioned in the survey [25], which is the basic reference for configurations. Second, as mentioned in the report [13] on [16], some of Martinetti's claims are incorrect. In the following years, the next mention and description of the triangle-free geometric configuration (15_3) as consisting of points and lines appears in the 1900 paper [21] by Richmond (his Theorem on page 128). This seems to have earned him the inclusion of is name in the designation of the configuration.

Visconti [27] in 1916 found that the configuration can be presented as a selfinscribed/circumscribed polygon (with cycle of vertices such as (1, 4, 8, 15, 5, 13, 12, 11, 2, 9, 7, 6, 10, 14, 3) in the notation of our Figure 2), but does not admit a presentation as three pentagons inscribed cyclically into each other. She also discovered the astral character of the configuration we denoted 18-D, albeit only in the combinatorial version of the configuration.

None of these works contains any depiction of the Cremona-Richmond configuration. Zacharias [30] rediscovered the Cremona-Richmond configuration, and found a stellar realization for it; he seems not to have been aware of prior results concerning the configuration and, in particular, does not mention that it is triangle-free. Another geometric realization appears in Wells [29, p. 40]; it is not stellar, but Wells notes that it is triangle-free. The stellar realization of the configuration in Figure 2 is from [3].

Some general questions arise naturally in connection with the consideration of the particular configurations. For example, is there a way of determining how symmetric can a geometric realization of a configuration be, given the knowledge of the automorphism groups, the point- and line-orbits, and whatever other information is inherent in the combinatorial description. In the specific cases considered here, can one find "nicer" realizations than the ones given in Figures 4, 6, 8, 10?

The triangle-free configurations (v_3) considered here can be thought of as one extreme, to be contrasted with those configurations that have the maximal possible number of triangles for a given v. This question seems not to have been considered in the literature.

Acknowledgement

The first contacts leading to the present work were made during the geometry conference in Ein Gev in April 2000, organized by prof. J. Zaks.

References

- A. Betten, G. Brinkmann, T. Pisanski, Counting symmetric configurations v₃, Discrete Appl. Math. 99 (2000), 331–338.
- [2] M. Boben, B. Grünbaum and T. Pisanski, What did Steinitz Prove in his Thesis? (in preparation)
- [3] M. Boben and T. Pisanski, *Polycyclic configurations*, European J. Combin. 24 (2003), 431–457.
- [4] J. Bokowski, B. Sturmfels, Computational Synthetic Geometry, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1355, Springer, Heidelberg, 1989.
- [5] H. S. M. Coxeter, Self-dual configurations and regular graphs, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 56 (1950), 413–455.
- [6] H. S. M. Coxeter, *The generalized Petersen graph G(24, 5)*, Comp. & Math. with Appls. **12B** (1986), 579–583,
- [7] L. Cremona, Mémoire de géométrie pure sur les surfaces du troisieme ordre, J. reine angew. Math. 68 (1868), 1–133.
- [8] H. L. Dorwart and B. Grünbaum, Are these figures oxymora?, Math. Magazine 65 (1992), 158–169.
- [9] R. Frucht, J. E. Graver, M. E. Watkins, The groups of the generalized Petersen graphs, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 70 (1971) 211–218.
- [10] H. Gropp, On the existence and nonexistence of configurations n_k , J. Combin. Inform. System Sci. **15** (1990), 34–48.
- [11] B. Grünbaum, Astral (n_k) configrations, Geombinatorics **3** (1993), 32–37.
- [12] S. Kantor, Die Configurationen (3,3)10, K. und k. Academie der Wiss., Vienna, S.-ber. math-nat. Cl. 84 II (1881), 1291–1314.
- [13] E. Kötter, Review of [16], Jahrbuch Fortschritte Mathematik 18 (1886), p. 517.
- [14] F. W. Levi, Geometrische Konfigurationen, Hirzel, Leipzig, 1929.
- [15] F. W. Levi, Finite Geometrical Systems, Calcutta 1942.
- [16] V. Martinetti, Sopra alcune configurazioni piane, Annali di matematica pura ed applicata (2) 14 (1886), 161–192.
- [17] T. Pisanski & coworkers, VEGA, a programming tool for manipulating discrete mathematical structures, see http://vega.ijp.si.

- [18] T. Pisanski, M. Boben, D. Marušič, A. Orbanić, A. Graovac, *The 10-cages and derived configurations*, Discrete Math. **275** (2004), 265–276.
- [19] T. Pisanski, M. Boben, A. Žitnik, Visualization of graphs and related discrete structures in Mathematica, PrimMath[2001] conference, Zagreb 2001, 27-39.
- [20] T. Reye, Geometrie der Lage I, 2nd ed. Hannover, 1876.
- [21] H. W. Richmond, On the figure of six points in space of four dimensions, Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. 31 (1900), 125–160.
- [22] L. Schläfli, An attempt to determine the twenty-seven lines upon a surface of the third order, and to divide such surfaces into species in reference to the reality of the lines upon the surface, Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math. 2 (1858), 55–65, 110–120.
- [23] L. Schläfli, On the distribution of surfaces of the third order into species, in reference to the absence or presence of singular points, and the reality of their lines, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London 153 (1863), 193–241.
- [24] H. Schroeter, Über lineare Konstructionen zur Herstellung der Konfigurationen n₃, Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen (1888), 237–253.
- [25] E. Steinitz, Konfigurationen der projektiven Geometrie, Encyclop. Math. Wiss. 3 (Geometrie) (1910), 481–516.
- [26] B. Sturmfels and N. White, All 11₃ and 12₃ configurations are rational. Aequat. Math. **39** (1990), 254–260.
- [27] E. Visconti, Sulle configurazioni piane atrigone, Giornale di Matematiche di Battaglini 54 (1916), 27–41.
- [28] M. Watkins, A Theorem on Tait Coloring with an Application to the Generalized Petersen Graphs, J. Combin. Theory 6 (1969), 152–164.
- [29] D. Wells, The Penguin Dictionary of Curious and Interesting Geometry. Penguin, London, 1991.
- [30] M. Zacharias, Streifzüge im Reich der Konfigurationen: Eine Reyesche Konfiguration (15₃), Stern- und Kettenkonfigurationen, Math. Nachr. 5 (1951), 329–345.