SHALLOW LAKES RESEARCH



Why are Lake Abaya and Lake Chamo so different? A limnological comparison of two neighboring major Ethiopian Rift Valley lakes

Fassil E. Teffera · Pieter Lemmens () · Arne Deriemaecker · Jozef Deckers · Hans Bauer · Feleke W. Gamo · Luc Brendonck · Luc De Meester

Received: 2 November 2017/Revised: 28 March 2018/Accepted: 30 March 2018 © Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2018

Abstract Lake Abaya and Lake Chamo are the two largest Ethiopian Rift Valley lakes; they are located close to each other, but have a strikingly different water transparency. We explain key differences in the structure and the functioning of the food web with variation in limnological variables and major pelagic food web compartments within and across both lakes. Data from a detailed comparative investigation of physical and chemical variables and zooplankton community characteristics during the wet and dry

Guest editors: S. Nandini, S.S.S. Sarma, Erik Jeppesen & Linda May / Shallow Lakes Research: Advances and Perspectives

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-018-3707-8) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Fassil E. Teffera and Pieter Lemmens have shared first authors.

F. E. Teffera · F. W. GamoBiology Department, Arba Minch University,P.O. Box 21, Arba Minch, Ethiopia

F. E. Teffera · P. Lemmens (⊠) · A. Deriemaecker ·
L. Brendonck · L. De Meester
Laboratory of Aquatic Ecology Evolution &
Conservation, KU Leuven, Charles Deberiotstraat 32, 3000 Louvain, Belgium
e-mail: pieter.lemmens@kuleuven.be

season from two consecutive years revealed major differences in key limnological variables between Lake Abaya and Lake Chamo. The most pronounced differences were related to water transparency and the amount of suspended solids in the water column. Lake Abaya is much more turbid, has lower phyto- and zooplankton biomass, and has considerably lower primary production than Lake Chamo. Based on our results, we infer that the profound differences in food web structure between both lakes probably result from differences in sediment load. Finally, our results indicate that conservation programs should focus on reducing sediment inflow from the catchments into the lakes.

Keywords Lake Abaya · Lake Chamo · Limnology · Water transparency · Suspended solids · Primary production · Phytoplankton · Zooplankton

J. Deckers

Division of Soil and Water Management, KU Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200E, 3001 Louvain, Belgium

H. Bauer Wildlife Conservation Research Unit, Recanati-Kaplan Centre, University of Oxford, Tubney OX13 5QL, UK

Introduction

The Ethiopian Rift Valley comprises a set of seven iconic lakes (from North to South: Ziway, Abijata, Langano, Shala, Hawassa, Abaya and Chamo) and one large reservoir (Koka) (Ayenew & Legesse, 2007). These lentic water bodies provide a variety of vital ecosystem services and are highly recognized for their unique and outstanding biological diversity (Baxter, 2002; Zinabu et al., 2002). Despite their natural richness, the Ethiopian Rift Valley lakes are increasingly subjected to human demands, resulting in nutrient enrichment and profound siltation due to soil erosion in their catchments (Willén et al., 2011; Teffera et al., 2017). This has led to profound environment degradation that severely alters the socio-ecological integrity of the region (Ayenew, 2007; Meshesha et al., 2012). At the global scale, excessive sediment loading remains a primary anthropogenic disturbance that is especially important for tropical water bodies (Donohue & Garcia Molinos, 2009), and which can strongly undermine the provisioning of major ecosystem services such as water supply, fisheries, and tourism (Pimentel et al., 1995). The Ethiopian Rift Valley lakes are currently recognized as among the most fragile inland waters on Earth (Ayenew & Legesse, 2007).

Lake Abaya and Lake Chamo are the two largest Ethiopian Rift Valley lakes within the Main Ethiopian Rift (Belete et al., 2015). They are key determinants of the ecology of the region and have an exceptionally high socio-economic value since they provide multiple ecosystem services (Golubtsov & Habteselassie, 2010; Kassawmar et al., 2011; Alemayehu & Raju, 2015). Both lakes are also widely recognized for their important ecological richness (Ayenew & Legesse, 2007). Although Lake Abaya and Lake Chamo are located in close proximity to each other and are only separated by an approximately 5-km-wide ridge, they are characterized by a strikingly different water transparency and water color. Lake Abaya is very turbid and has a reddish color due to suspended red clay and colloidal ferric oxide, while Lake Chamo is considerably clearer and lacks red water coloration (Schröder, 1984; Gebremariam, 2007). Over the past decades, both lakes have been subjected to severe sediment run-off and eutrophication, which likely result from strong soil erosion due to deforestation in their catchments and intensified fertilizer application on the surrounding agricultural lands (Teffera et al., 2017).

Earlier investigations indicate that the phytoplankton community in the turbid Lake Abaya is dominated by picoplankton, whereas cyanobacteria, including Microcystis sp., are dominant in Lake Chamo (Belay & Wood, 1982; Willén et al., 2011). A comparative study of eight Ethiopian freshwater lakes along a North-South gradient by Vijverberg et al. (2014) indicates that the zooplankton communities in Lake Abaya and Lake Chamo are dominated by copepods, while the overall density of cladocerans in both lakes is relatively low. Lake Chamo and Lake Abaya do not differ in overall fish community composition, but the fish density is considerably higher in Lake Chamo than in Lake Abaya (Lemmens et al., 2017). Synodontis schall (Bloch and Schneider) is the most abundant fish species in both lakes (Golubtsov & Habteselassie, 2010; Vijverberg et al., 2012; Lemmens et al., 2017). Despite the high societal value of these two iconic lakes, the majority of studies conducted on Lake Abaya and Lake Chamo have largely focused on specific limnological variables as part of an overall comparison of the different Ethiopian Rift Valley Lakes. Detailed comprehensive limnological studies that include a broad range of physical, chemical, and biological variables are currently lacking. Information from such studies is, however, urgently needed for the conservation of the biological diversity in the Rift Valley, as well as for a sustainable socio-economic development of the region.

The present study aims to fill this major knowledge gap by conducting a detailed comparative investigation of major physical and chemical variables and zooplankton community characteristics in Lake Abaya and Lake Chamo based on data collected during multiple field sampling campaigns over different seasons in two consecutive years. We specifically aim to unravel key differences in the structure and the functioning of the food web in both lakes by (1) testing for differences in limnological variables and major pelagic food web compartments (e.g., phytoplankton and zooplankton) between lakes, and (2) explore seasonal and spatial variation in major abiotic and biotic variables within and across lakes.

Materials and methods

Study area

Lake Abaya (5°5′14N; 37°41′20″E) and Lake Chamo (5°50′59″; 37°33′54″E) are located in Southern Nations Nationalities and People's Regional State of Ethiopia (Fig. 1). Both lakes are naturally separated by only a 5-km-wide ridge with a vertical offset of approximately 60 m (Awulachew, 2006a; Belete et al., 2015). Lake Abaya and Lake Chamo both are relatively shallow (8–12 m) and polymictic throughout the year (Makin et al., 1975).

Both lakes do not share the same catchment. The catchment area of Lake Abaya is considerably larger compared to that of Lake Chamo (15,219 and 1109 km², respectively). Likewise, the surface area of Lake Abaya is by far larger than that of Lake Chamo (1943 and 329 km², respectively) (Awulachew, 2006a, b). The rivers Bilate, Gidabo, and Galana are the main perennial rivers entering Lake Abaya, whereas Lake Chamo receives water from the rivers

Kulfo, Sile, and Elgo (Makin et al., 1975; Teklemariam, 2005). The two lakes have a complex history of being hydrologically interconnected or disconnected from each other (Baxter, 2002; Schutt & Thiemann, 2006). Lake Abaya and Lake Chamo have been interconnected in the past, with water flowing from Lake Abaya into Lake Chamo via the Kulfo river, but the lakes were disconnected from 1980 (Tekelemariam & Wenclawiak, 2004) until 2013 (Teffera et al., 2017).

The catchments of both lakes are characterized by a humid to hot semi-arid tropical climate with a bimodal rainfall pattern including two wet seasons (a first from end-March to mid-June, a second from mid-September to late November) and two dry seasons (a first from December to mid-March, a second from end-June to mid-September) (Makin et al., 1975; Wagesho, 2014). Most of the alluvial soils around Lake Abaya and Lake Chamo were formed from recently deposited material (Makin et al., 1975). The flood plains and the deltas of both lakes are fertile and hence have been under



Fig. 1 Outline map of Ethiopia with a detailed view on Lake Abaya and Lake Chamo (shape file downloaded from www.maplibrary.org)

extensive agricultural cultivation over the last three decades.

Data collection

Major physical and chemical variables and zooplankton community characteristics were determined at eight locations in each lake along a transect from inflow to outflow during the dry (mid-March) and wet (end-May) seasons of 2013 and 2014; two locations close to the major tributary, four locations in the pelagic zone of which one at the deepest point of the lake, and two locations close to the outflow of the lake. In addition, phytoplankton productivity was quantified once at each location in both lakes during the dry season of 2012 (mid-August) using in situ stable isotope addition experiments (see below for more details).

Water from different depths (just below water surface, middle water column, and just above lake bottom) was collected at each location in each lake using a Van Dorn water sampler (SEBA). Water from different depths was pooled and the combined water sample was used to determine water temperature, pH, electric conductivity, nutrient concentrations, and phytoplankton biomass. Oxygen concentration was measured directly in the lake approximately 1 m below water surface.

Water temperature, oxygen concentration, pH, and conductivity were measured using a standard electrode (HACH, HQ40D). Subsamples from the pooled water sample were taken for the analysis of nutrient concentrations. Samples for the analysis of nitrate (NO_3^{-}) and phosphate (PO_4^{3-}) concentrations were filtered over a glass fiber filter (Whatman GF/F) in the field to remove small particles and phytoplankton. Nutrient samples were stored cool in the dark in the field and were kept frozen (-20° C) in the laboratory until further analysis. Phytoplankton biomass was estimated by in situ measurements of chlorophylla concentration in the pooled water sample using a fluorometer (AquaFluorTM, Turner hand-held Designs, Sunnyvale, CA). Water transparency was measured using a Secchi-disk (diameter: 0.3 m).

In the laboratory, the concentration of total suspended solids in the water column was determined gravimetrically by filtering a known volume of lake water through a pre-weighted glass fiber filter (Whatman GF/C). Total nitrogen (TN) and total phosphorus (TP) concentrations were analyzed using a photometer (HACH DR/900) after alkaline persulfate digestion following Koroleff (1970). Samples for the measurement of NO_3^- and PO_4^{3-} concentrations were processed without digestion. The results of the nutrient analyses were validated by a parallel analysis of a subset of samples using an Auto Analyzer II (Technicon) (analyses performed at KU Leuven, Belgium).

Zooplankton communities were sampled over the entire water column depth using a Schindler-Patalas trap (12 L, 64 µm mesh size) collecting samples at 0.5-m intervals from the water surface near to the lake bottom. Samples from different depths were combined into one pooled sample that was preserved in glucosesaturated formaldehyde (4%). In the laboratory, cladocerans were identified to species level using Flössner (2000) and Korinek (1999), except for individuals belonging to the genus Diaphanosoma and Macrothrix for which the genus level was used. At least 300 adult cladocerans from each sample were counted. Copepods were identified as either belonging to the Cyclopoida or the Calanoida and counted. Cyclopoids were further classified to genus level following Boxshall and Halsey (2004). Zooplankton abundances were converted into biomasses using measured lake-specific mean body sizes of each species and published body size-dry weight regressions (Bottrell et al., 1976).

Phytoplankton productivity was estimated during the dry season of 2012 by measuring carbon uptake rates at in situ light conditions at the surface using in situ stable isotope ¹³C addition experiments following Bouillon et al. (2011). This approach provides a relative measure of primary production, but cannot be used to quantify daily areal primary production rates. At each location in each lake, two replicate bottles (500 ml, polycarbonate) with lake surface water were spiked with NaH¹³CO₃ (500 μ l, 99% ¹³C) and immediately incubated 0.5 m below water surface for 2 h. Phytoplankton production was quantified as the carbon uptake over the incubation period ($\mu g C l^{-1} h^{-1}$) following Dauchez et al. (1995) after determination of the percentage of dissolved ¹³C and the percentage of ¹³C in the particulate organic material at the start (T_0) and the end (T_{end}) of the incubation. Carbon stable isotope ratios were analyzed with an elemental analyzer-isotope ratio mass spectrometer (Thermo Flash HT/EA with Delta V Advantage, Bremen, Germany) at KU Leuven.

Data analysis

The associations between physical and chemical variables and between multiple zooplankton taxa were visualized in relation to lake, season, and habitat using ordination plots of principal component analyses (PCA). Additional separate redundancy analyses (RDA) were applied to formally test for an overall effect of lake, season, habitat, and the lake–habitat and lake–season interactions on the entire set of physical and chemical variables and zooplankton community composition. The significance of RDA models was evaluated with 999 Monte Carlo permutations. Dependency of multiple observations from each lake was taken into account by restricting the permutations to blocks (see Table S1).

In a second step, we used linear mixed models to test for differences between lakes and seasons for each physical and chemical variable and zooplankton community biomass separately. Lake and season were included as fixed factors in these analyses, while year and location nested within year were modeled as random factors to take into account temporal and spatial dependency of observations within each lake.

All data, except pH, were logarithmically transformed prior to statistical analysis in order to improve assumptions of normality. Statistical analyses were done in R (version 3.4.2; R Development Core Team 2013) using the 'vegan' and the 'lme4' package (Oksanen et al., 2010).

Results

Environmental variables

Partial RDA analyses revealed a significant effect of lake, season, and habitat on the entire set of investigated physical and chemical variables ($R_{adj.}^2 = 41.1$, 12.2, and 21.9%, respectively) (Table 1). In addition, the interaction between lake and habitat explained a considerable proportion of variation in limnological conditions ($R_{adj.}^2 = 11.8\%$). Albeit statistically significant, the effect of the lake–season interaction was relatively small ($R_{adj.}^2 = 4.1\%$). The first and the second PCA axis jointly explained 62.9% of the overall variation in limnological conditions (Fig. 2A). The first axis explained 47.4% of the variation and

 Table 1 Results of partial RDA analyses testing for the effect of lake, season, habitat, and their interactions on the entire set of limnological variables and zooplankton community composition as quantified in our field campaigns

	$R_{\rm adj.}^2~(\%)$	df	F	Р
Limnological variables				
Lake	41.1	1	78.8	0.001
Season	12.2	1	36.12	0.001
Habitat	21.9	1	23.47	0.001
Lake × season	4.1	5	2.76	0.003
Lake × habitat	11.8	2	18.9	0.001
Zooplankton community				
Lake	94.2	1	151.15	0.001
Season	0.6	1	2.49	0.049
Habitat	7.2	1	9.59	0.001
Lake × season	7.4	6	4.16	0.001
Lake × habitat	7.9	3	9.23	0.001

Significant effects (P < 0.05) are shown in bold

clearly differentiated Lake Abaya from Lake Chamo. The concentration of total suspended solids (TSS), nitrate (NO₃⁻), and phosphate (PO₄³⁻) was higher in Lake Abaya than in Lake Chamo, whereas chlorophyll-a (Chl-a), pH, daytime oxygen concentration (DO), and water transparency were higher in Lake Chamo than in Lake Abaya. Interestingly, Chl-a was not associated with TN and TP, but instead showed a strong negative association with TSS. The first PCA axis also distinguished inflow habitats from pelagic and outflow habitats, with inflow locations in both lakes having relatively higher nutrient concentrations and TSS. The second axis explained 15.5% of the variation in environmental variables and mainly differentiated between the wet and dry season. TN and TP concentrations tended to be higher in the wet season compared to the dry season.

Linear mixed models revealed that TN and TP concentrations did overall not differ between lakes, and were significantly higher in both lakes during the wet season than during the dry season (Fig. 3). NO_3^- concentrations tended to be higher in Lake Abaya than in Lake Chamo during the wet season. Similarly, PO_4^{3-} concentrations tended to be higher in Lake Abaya compared with Lake Chamo, especially during the dry season when PO_4^{3-} concentrations in Lake Chamo were relatively low. The amount of TSS was



Fig. 2 Biplots of Principal Component Analyses (PCA) showing **A** the associations between environmental variables and **B** zooplankton taxa in Lake Abaya and Lake Chamo as collected at different locations (inflow, pelagic, outflow) in the wet and dry season of 2013 and 2014. *COND* conductivity, *TRANS* water transparency, *TSS* total suspended solids, *PO*₄ phosphate, *NO*₃ nitrate, *TN* total nitrogen, *TP* total phosphorus, *DO* dissolved oxygen concentration, *chl-a* chlorophyll-*a* concentration. *D. barbata* = *Daphnia barbata* (Weltner), *C. cornuta* = *Ceriodaphnia cornuta* (Sars), *M. micrura* = *Moina micrura* (Kurz), *D. magna* = *Daphnia magna* (Straus)

systematically higher in Lake Abaya than in Lake Chamo, and was in both lakes higher during the wet season. In contrast, phytoplankton biomass was considerably higher in Lake Chamo than in Lake Abaya during the dry season. Similarly, oxygen concentrations tended to be higher in Lake Chamo than in Lake Abaya. Water transparency, pH, and zooplankton biomass were higher in Lake Chamo than in Lake Abaya during both the wet and dry season. Conductivity was higher in Lake Chamo than in Lake Abaya during the dry season, but varied considerably between sampling locations in Lake Chamo. Similarly, water transparency was higher in Chamo compared to Lake Abaya, but also varied strongly between sample locations in Lake Chamo. The high within-lake variability for conductivity and water transparency in Lake Chamo can largely be attributed to considerably lower values of both variables at inflow habitats compared to pelagic and outflow habitats (Fig. S1). Pelagic phytoplankton production was on average more than 10 times lower in Lake Abaya compared with Lake Chamo (mean and standard deviation for Lake Abaya and Lake Chamo: 33.4, 42.9 and 456.0 µg C l^{-1} h⁻¹, 164.5 µg C l^{-1} h⁻¹, respectively; *T* test: t = -7.44, df = 18.7, P < 0.001).

The same nine zooplankton taxa were found in both lakes over the entire set of samples. Nevertheless, RDA analysis revealed significant variation in zooplankton community composition between lakes, seasons, and habitats (Table 1). Differences in zooplankton community were especially strong between lakes ($R_{adi.}^2 = 94.2\%$), whereas season and habitat only explained a relative small proportion of variation $(R_{adi}^2 = 0.6 \text{ and } 7.2\%, \text{ respectively}).$ Similarly, the lake-season and lake-habitat interactions had a significant, but small effect on zooplankton community composition ($R_{adj.}^2 = 7.4$ and 7.9%, respectively). The first axis of the PCA ordination plot comprised 78.9% of the compositional variation in zooplankton community and clearly differentiated Lake Abaya from Lake Chamo (Fig. 2B). The second PCA axis explained 13.3% of the zooplankton compositional variation and was closely associated with differences between seasons and habitats. The majority of zooplankton taxa showed a positive association with Lake Chamo. In Lake Abaya, calanoid copepods and Ceriodaphnia dubia (Richard) tended to be slightly more abundant during the dry season.



Fig. 3 Boxplots with the median (solid line) and the mean (dotted line) values for each limnological variable and zooplankton community biomass in Lake Abaya and Lake Chamo in the dry and wet season. Boxes and error bars represent the 25th and 75th and the 10th and the 90th percentile, respectively. **A** total nitrogen, **B** total phosphorus, **C** nitrate,

common (a, b, c) differ significantly from each after pairwise post hoc comparison (Tukey, P < 0.05) *micrura* (Kurz) was the most abundant cladoceran in

phyll-a, **H** daytime oxygen concentration, **I** water transparency,

J pH, and K zooplankton biomass. Boxes without letters in

The overall total zooplankton biomass was much higher in Lake Chamo than in Lake Abaya (overall average biomass in Lake Chamo and Lake Abaya 770.85 and 15.11 μ g l⁻¹, respectively) (Table 2, Fig. 3). Copepods were dominant in both lakes (77.61 and 71.51% of total zooplankton biomass in Lake Abaya and Lake Chamo, respectively), with calanoid copepods being considerably more important in Lake Abaya than in Lake Chamo (36.60 and 1.52% of total zooplankton biomass, respectively). *Moina* *micrura* (Kurz) was the most abundant cladoceran in both lakes. Other cladoceran taxa occurred only at relative low biomasses in both lakes (typically less than 6% of total zooplankton biomass).

Discussion

Our study reveals profound differences in key limnological variables in two neighboring major Ethiopian

Table 2	Summary	results	of linear	mixed	models	testing	for t	the eff	ect o	of lake,	season,	and	their	interaction	on	measured	limno-
logical v	ariables ar	nd total	zooplankt	ton con	nmunity	biomas	S										

	Estimate	SE	df	<i>t</i> -value	Р
Total nitrogen					
Intercept	0.574	0.032	3.3	17.68	< 0.001
Lake	0.024	0.033	21.5	0.74	0.470
Season	0.112	0.021	45.0	5.42	< 0.001
Lake \times season	- 0.010	0.029	45.0	- 0.34	0.734
Total phosphorus					
Intercept	0.428	0.024	3.2	17.93	< 0.001
Lake	- 0.013	0.024	25.0	- 0.52	0.610
Season	0.106	0.018	45.0	5.99	< 0.001
Lake \times season	- 0.038	0.025	45.0	- 1.50	0.141
NO ₃ ⁻					
Intercept	0.261	0.040	2.4	6.50	0.014
Lake	-0.058	0.036	19.0	- 1.63	0.120
Season	0.125	0.019	45.0	6.53	< 0.001
Lake \times season	- 0.067	0.027	45.0	- 2.47	0.017
PO_4^{3-}					
Intercept	0.354	0.021	22.9	16.58	< < 0.001
Lake	- 0.105	0.030	22.9	- 3.47	0.002
Season	- 0.027	0.020	46.0	- 1.34	0.188
Lake \times season	0.090	0.029	46.0	3.12	0.003
Total suspended solids					
Intercept	2.142	0.077	16.0	27.73	< 0.001
Lake	- 0.506	0.109	16.0	- 4.63	< 0.001
Season	0.310	0.039	46.0	7.91	< 0.001
Lake \times season	0.009	0.055	46.0	0.16	0.867
Conductivity					
Intercept	3.052	0.075	16.2	40.71	< 0.001
Lake	0.147	0.106	16.2	1.39	0.184
Season	- 0.015	0.040	46.0	- 0.36	0.718
Lake \times season	- 0.155	0.056	46.0	- 2.74	0.009
Chlorophyll-a					
Intercept	0.834	0.057	1.8	14.75	0.006
Lake	0.273	0.046	32.6	5.97	< 0.001
Season	Season 0.026		45.0	0.65	0.522
Lake \times season	- 0.300	0.056	45.0	- 5.32	< 0.001
Dissolved oxygen					
Intercept	0.872	0.016	12.4	53.89	0.001
Lake	0.054	0.021	20.1	2.54	0.020
Season	0.021	0.012	45.0	1.70	0.096
Lake \times season	- 0.001	0.018	45.0	- 0.04	0.967
Water transparency					
Intercept	1.066	0.054	15.4	19.66	< 0.001
Lake	0.416	0.075	14.7	5.56	< 0.001

Table 2 continued

	Estimate	SE	df	<i>t</i> -value	Р			
Season	- 0.029	0.017	45.0	- 1.75	0.086			
Lake \times season	- 0.053	0.023	45.0	- 2.28	0.027			
рН								
Intercept	9.152	0.021	9.0	437.98	< 0.001			
Lake	0.286	0.027	30.0	10.49	< 0.001			
Season	0.028	0.023	45.0	1.24	0.220			
Lake \times season	- 0.003	0.032	45.0	- 0.09	0.923			
Zooplankton biomass								
Intercept	- 0.318	0.911	26.4	- 0.35	0.729			
Lake	3.484	0.519	38.0	6.71	< 0.001			
Season	- 0.312	0.544	38.0	- 0.57	0.570			
Lake \times season	0.010	0.334	38.0	0.03	0.977			

Significant effects (P < 0.05) are shown in bold

Rift Valley lakes. The most pronounced differences in physical and chemical characteristics between the two lakes relate to water transparency and the amount of suspended solids in the water column. Interestingly, total nutrient concentrations in Lake Abaya and Lake Chamo were very similar and did not distinguish the two lakes. Based on our results, we argue that differences in food web structure between both lakes result from lower primary production in Lake Abaya due to stronger light limitation in the water column as a result of a higher sediment load in this lake.

Our study indicates that the profound difference in water transparency between both lakes is largely caused by higher concentrations of suspended solids in Lake Abaya compared with Lake Chamo. The higher turbidity of Lake Abaya likely has multiple explanations. First, Lake Abaya is much larger than Lake Chamo, which makes it more prone to wind-induced sediment resuspension (Scheffer, 2004). Secondly, the much larger catchment area of Lake Abaya results in higher sediment input per unit lake area (Ekholm et al., 2000; Hecky et al., 2003). Third, deforestation and soil erosion in the main catchment of Lake Abaya has been extensive and occurred earlier than land degradation in the catchment of Lake Chamo (Makin et al., 1975; Schröder, 1984). Importantly, the current turbid state of Lake Abaya is not its natural state. Historical data indicate that the lake shifted from a clear to a turbid state more than four decades ago due to profound land degradation in its main catchment (Teffera et al., 2017). Ethiopia is a country in full transition and the expansion of agricultural activities in the Ethiopian Rift Valley has increased pressure on its natural resources (Ayenew & Legesse, 2007; Meshesha et al., 2012). The large scale conversion of the natural vegetation to cropland (Belay et al., 2015) has improved food security in Ethiopia (Belay & Abebaw, 2004), but has also resulted in enhanced soil erosion and nutrient input (Ayenew & Legesse, 2007). As a consequence, the majority of the Ethiopian Rift Valley lakes currently suffer from massive sediment input and eutrophication.

Water transparency also seems to determine food web structure in the two investigated lakes. Although both lakes are hypertrophic and have very similar total nutrient concentrations (with TN and TP concentrations typically > 3.10 and > 1.77 mg l^{-1} , respectively), phytoplankton biomass and productivity are considerably lower in Lake Abaya than in Lake Chamo. Similarly, other productivity-related variables, such as oxygen concentration and pH, are lower in Lake Abaya compared to Lake Chamo. This is in line with earlier observations on the occurrence of periodic strong algae blooms in Lake Chamo and the absence of such blooms in Lake Abaya (Belay & Wood, 1982). Given that Lake Abaya is turbid and Lake Chamo is relatively clear, these findings suggest that primary production in Lake Abaya is largely limited by light in the water column, rather than by nutrients. Indeed, the photic zone is considerably smaller in Lake Abaya than in Lake Chamo (0.7 and 1.6 m, respectively, data not shown). This is consistent with the fact that freely available phosphorus tends to be lower in Lake Chamo compared to Lake Abaya. Similar to our findings, the currently low primary production in Lake Tana (Ethiopia) has also been linked to increased light limitation due to humaninduced sediment erosion from its catchment area (Dejen et al., 2017).

Water turbidity seems to be an important determinant of zooplankton community characteristics in the investigated lakes. The much lower water transparency in Lake Abaya due to high sediment load and the resulting low primary production very likely explain the lower zooplankton biomass in Lake Abaya compared with Lake Chamo (approximately 50 times). A negative relation between zooplankton density and water turbidity has previously also been observed in Lake Tana (Dejen et al., 2004). Low phytoplankton production leads to low food availability for herbivorous zooplankton. In addition, high turbidity might also have profound direct negative effects on zooplankton by interfering with their filter capacity (Kirk & Gilbert, 1990). This might explain the strong dominance of selective herbivorous calanoid copepods in Lake Abaya. Similarly, we argue that the relative high abundance of Moina micrura in the investigated lakes is at least partly related to water turbidity as the genus Moina is known to be tolerant for high turbidity (Hart, 1988; Lougheed & Chow-Fraser, 1998). The observed strong dominance of copepods and relative low abundance of cladocerans in both investigated lakes is in line with earlier findings of Vijverberg et al. (2014). Low primary production and low zooplankton biomass might explain the overall lower fish density in Lake Abaya than in Lake Chamo as previously observed by Lemmens et al. (2017). Similar observations have also been made in other Ethiopian lakes. For example, Dejen et al. (2017) link the strong decline in fish density in Lake Tana to increased turbidity that results in low primary production. Enhanced sediment load has also been linked to the overall decline in fish species richness in the Ethiopian Rift Valley lakes (Vijverberg et al., 2012). Problems related to enhanced sediment load are not only restricted to Ethiopian lakes, but have also resulted in profound food web alterations in multiple other tropical lakes. For example, human-induced increases in sediment load in Lake Baringo (Kenya) resulted in the disappearance of aquatic vegetation, a strong reduction in primary production and a profound change in fish community composition (Aloo, 2002).

The differences in some physical and chemical variables between seasons and habitats within each lake suggest that the inflow of water and sediment from the main catchments is important. The higher amount of suspended solids and the higher concentrations of nutrients during the wet season compared with the dry season in both lakes might be indicative of the importance of sediment run-off and inflow of nutrients from the catchment area due to erosion, especially during the wet season when rainfall in the region is high and the tributaries bring large volumes of water to the lakes. Inflow of sediments from the tributaries also explains the lower water transparency at inflow habitats of both lakes. The lower phytoplankton biomass in Lake Chamo during the wet season likely results from temporal light limitation due to increased suspended solid content in the water column.

In conclusion, our comparative analysis of the limnological characteristics of Lake Abaya and Lake Chamo revealed several major differences between these two major Ethiopian Rift Valley lakes. The two lakes differ substantially in water transparency and the amount of suspended solids. Lake Abaya is highly turbid, which in turn leads to lower primary production, lower phytoplankton, and lower zooplankton biomass in this lake. Unfortunately, Lake Chamo is changing dramatically since the lake has become increasingly turbid over the latest decades (Teffera et al., 2017). Our study shows how this might change the limnological conditions and the food web structure of this lake. Many tropical lakes are currently subjected to a rapidly increasing sediment load as a result of land use intensification in their catchments. This has a strong impact on the functioning of these lakes, and has major implications for the conservation of global aquatic biodiversity (Donohue & Garcia Molinos, 2009). Our study stresses the urgent need for catchment wide management programs that protect the ecological integrity of lentic waterbodies. Despite the prominent role of the Ethiopian Rift Valley in the maintenance of biological diversity and economic sustainability, little has been done to protect the Ethiopian Rift Valley lakes in general. Restoration programs should focus on reducing soil erosion from the catchment areas. In addition, the lakes and their surroundings need more effective protection.

Acknowledgements This study is part of a collaborative research project "Land and water Research for Sustainable Livelihood in the South Ethiopian Rift Valley" between Arba Minch University in Ethiopia and KU Leuven in Belgium. The authors wish to acknowledge VLIR-OI and VLIR-UOS for the financial support of this research. We sincerely thank Arba Minch University and the KU Leuven Laboratory of Aquatic Ecology, Evolution and Conservation and the Division of Soil and Water Management for facilitating field work and follow-up analyses. The authors gratefully acknowledge Ethiopian Wildlife Conservation Authority and Nechisar National Park for providing us the study license in protected area of the lakes.

References

- Alemayehu, H. M. & A. J. S. Raju, 2015. Towards sustainable management of Ethiopia's Lake Chamo biodiversity resources: a geo-spatial supported approach. In Oku, E. E. & K. O. Asubonteng (eds), Harnessing Land and Water Resources for Improved Food Security and Ecosystem Services in Africa. United Nations University Institute for Natural Resources, Accra.
- Aloo, P., 2002. Effects of Climate and Human Activities on the Ecosystem of Lake Baringo, Kenya. The East African Great Lakes: Limnology, Palaeolimnology and Biodiversity. Springer, New York: 335–347.
- Awulachew, S. B., 2006a. Investigation of physical and bathymetric characteristics of Lakes Abaya and Chamo, Ethiopia, and their management implications. Lakes & Reservoirs: Research & Management 11(3): 133–140.
- Awulachew, S. B., 2006b. Modelling natural conditions and impacts of consumptive water use and sedimentation of Lake Abaya and Lake Chamo, Ethiopia. Lakes & Reservoirs: Research & Management 11(2): 73–82.
- Ayenew, T., 2007. Water management problems in the Ethiopian rift: challenges for development. Journal of African Earth Sciences 48(2): 222–236.
- Ayenew, T. & D. Legesse, 2007. The changing face of the Ethiopian rift lakes and their environs: call of the time. Lakes & Reservoirs: Research & Management 12(3): 149–165.
- Baxter, R., 2002. Ethiopian Rift Valley Lakes, Biology of Inland Waters. Backhuys, Leiden.
- Belay, A. & R. Wood, 1982. Limnological aspects of an algal bloom on Lake Chamo in Gamo Goffa administrative region of Ethiopia in 1978. Ethiopian Journal of Science 5: 1–19.
- Belay, K. & D. Abebaw, 2004. Challenges facing agricultural extension agents: a case study from South Western Ethiopia. African Development Review 16(1): 139–168.
- Belay, K. T., A. Van Rompaey, J. Poesen, S. Van Bruyssel, J. Deckers & K. Amare, 2015. Spatial analysis of land cover changes in Eastern Tigray (Ethiopia) from 1965 to 2007: are there signs of a forest transition? Land Degradation & Development 26(7): 680–689.
- Belete, A., L. Beccaluva, G. Bianchini, N. Colombani, M. Fazzini, C. Marchina, C. Natali & T. Rango, 2015. Waterrock interaction and lake hydrochemistry in the Main

Ethiopian Rift. In Billi, P. (ed.), Landscapes and Landforms of Ethiopia. Springer, Dordrecht: 307–321.

- Bottrell, H., A. Duncan, Z. Gliwicz, E. Grygierek, A. Herzig, A. Hillbricht-Ilkowska, H. Kurasawa, P. Larsson & T. Weglenska, 1976. A review of some problems in zooplankton production studies. Norwegian Journal of Zoology 24: 416–456.
- Bouillon, S., R. M. Connolly & D. Gillikin, 2011. Use of stable isotopes to understand food webs and ecosystem functioning in estuaries. Treatise on Estuarine and Coastal Science. 7: 143–173.
- Boxshall, G. A. & S. H. Halsey, 2004. An Introduction to Copepod Diversity. The Ray Society, London.
- Dauchez, S., L. Legendre & L. Fortier, 1995. Assessment of simultaneous uptake of nitrogenous nutrients (15N) and inorganic carbon (13C) by natural phytoplankton populations. Marine Biology 123(4): 651–666.
- Dejen, E., J. Vijverberg, L. A. Nagelkerke & F. A. Sibbing, 2004. Temporal and spatial distribution of microcrustacean zooplankton in relation to turbidity and other environmental factors in a large tropical lake (L. Tana, Ethiopia). Hydrobiologia 513(1–3): 39–49.
- Dejen, E., W. Anteneh & J. Vijverberg, 2017. The decline of the Lake Tana (Ethiopia) fisheries: causes and possible solutions. Land Degradation & Development 28(6): 1842–1851.
- Donohue, I. & J. Garcia Molinos, 2009. Impacts of increased sediment loads on the ecology of lakes. Biological Reviews 84(4): 517–531.
- Ekholm, P., K. Kallio, S. Salo, O.-P. Pietiläinen, S. Rekolainen, Y. Laine & M. Joukola, 2000. Relationship between catchment characteristics and nutrient concentrations in an agricultural river system. Water Research 34(15): 3709–3716.
- Flössner, D., 2000. Die Haplopoda und Cladocera Mitteleuropas. Backhuys Publishers, Leiden.
- Gebremariam, B., 2007. Basin Scale Sedimentary and Water Quality Responses to External Forcing in Lake Abaya, Southern Ethiopian Rift Valley. Universität Siegen, Siegen.
- Golubtsov, A. S. & R. Habteselassie, 2010. Fish faunas of the Chamo-Abaya and Chew Bahir basins in southern portion of the Ethiopian Rift Valley: origin and prospects for survival. Aquatic Ecosystem Health & Management 13(1): 47–55.
- Hart, R., 1988. Zooplankton feeding rates in relation to suspended sediment content: potential influences on community structure in a turbid reservoir. Freshwater Biology 19(1): 123–139.
- Hecky, R. E., H. A. Bootsma & M. L. Kingdon, 2003. Impact of land use on sediment and nutrient yields to Lake Malawi/ Nyasa (Africa). Journal of Great Lakes Research 29: 139–158.
- Kassawmar, N. T., K. R. M. Rao & G. L. Abraha, 2011. An integrated approach for spatio-temporal variability analysis of wetlands: a case study of Abaya and Chamo lakes, Ethiopia. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 180(1–4): 313–324.
- Kirk, K. L. & J. J. Gilbert, 1990. Suspended clay and the population dynamics of planktonic rotifers and cladocerans. Ecology 71: 1741–1755.

- Korinek, V., 1999. A guide to the limnetic Cladocera in African inland lakes (Crustacea, Branchiopoda). Stuttgart, Germany.
- Koroleff, F., 1970. Determination of total phosphorus in natural waters by means of persulphate oxidation Interlaboratory report No 3, Vol 3. Le Conseil International pour l'exploration de la mer.
- Lemmens, P., F. E. Teffera, M. Wynants, L. Govaert, S. Deckers, H. Bauer, F. Woldeyes, L. Brendonck, S. Bouillon & L. De Meester, 2017. Intra-and interspecific niche variation as reconstructed from stable isotopes in two ecologically different Ethiopian Rift Valley lakes. Functional Ecology 31(7): 1482–1492.
- Lougheed, V. L. & P. Chow-Fraser, 1998. Factors that regulate the zooplankton community structure of a turbid, hypereutrophic Great Lakes wetland. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 55(1): 150–161.
- Makin, M., T. Kingham, A. Waddams, C. Birchall & T. Teferra, 1975. Development prospects in the Southern Rift Valley, Ethiopia. Land Resource Study, Land Resources Division, Ministry of Overseas Development, UK (21).
- Meshesha, D. T., A. Tsunekawa & M. Tsubo, 2012. Continuing land degradation: cause-effect in Ethiopia's Central Rift Valley. Land Degradation & Development 23(2): 130–143.
- Oksanen, J., F. Blanchet, R. Kindt, P. Legendre, R. O'Hara, G. Simpson, P. Solymos, M. Stevens & H. Wagner, 2010. Vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 1.17-1. R package version: 1.17-6.
- Pimentel, D., C. Harvey, P. Resosudarmo, K. Sinclair, D. Kurz, M. McNair, S. Crist, L. Shpritz, L. Fitton & R. Saffouri, 1995. Environmental and economic costs of soil erosion and conservation benefits. Science 267(5201): 1117–1123.
- R Development Core Team, 2013. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. In R Foundation for Statistical Computing. http://www.R-project.org/.
- Scheffer, M., 2004. Ecology of Shallow Lakes. Chapman and Hall, London.

- Schröder, R., 1984. An attempt to estimate the fish stock and the sustainable yield of Lake Ziway and lake Abaya, Ethiopian Rift Valley. Archiv für Hydrobiologie Supplementband Monographische Beiträge 69(3): 411–441.
- Schutt, B. & S. Thiemann, 2006. Kulfo River, South-Ethiopia as the regulator of lake level changes in the Lake Abaya-Lake Chamo system. Zentralblatt für Geologie und Paläontologie 1: 129–143.
- Teffera, F. E., P. Lemmens, A. Deriemaecker, L. Brendonck, S. Dondeyne, J. Deckers, H. Bauer, F. W. Gamo & L. De Meester, 2017. A call to action: strong long-term limnological changes in the two largest Ethiopian Rift Valley lakes, Abaya and Chamo. Inland Waters 7: 129–137.
- Tekelemariam, A. & B. Wenclawiak, Water quality monitoring within Abaya and Chamo drainage basin. In: Lake Abaya Research Symposium Proceedings: 109–116.
- Teklemariam, A. T., 2005. Water Quality Monitoring in Lake Abaya and Lake Chamo Region. University of Siegen, Siegen.
- Vijverberg, J., E. Dejen, A. Getahun & L. A. Nagelkerke, 2012. The composition of fish communities of nine Ethiopian lakes along a north-south gradient: threats and possible solutions. Animal Biology 62(3): 315–335.
- Vijverberg, J., E. Dejen, A. Getahun & L. J. Nagelkerke, 2014. Zooplankton, fish communities and the role of planktivory in nine Ethiopian lakes. Hydrobiologia 722(1): 45–60.
- Wagesho, N., 2014. Catchment dynamics and its impact on runoff generation: coupling watershed modelling and statistical analysis to detect catchment responses. International Journal of Water Resources and Environmental Engineering 6(2): 73–87.
- Willén, E., G. Ahlgren, G. Tilahun, L. Spoof, M.-R. Neffling & J. Meriluoto, 2011. Cyanotoxin production in seven Ethiopian Rift Valley lakes. Inland Waters 1(2): 81–91.
- Zinabu, G., E. Kebede-Westhead & Z. Desta, 2002. Long-term changes in chemical features of waters of seven Ethiopian Rift-Valley lakes. Hydrobiologia 477(1–3): 81–91.