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#### Abstract

$\mathrm{BaSe}_{2}$ crystallizes with a monoclinic cell, $a=9.820(5), b=4.929(3), c=9.335(5) \AA$, $\beta=118.48(5)^{\circ} ; Z=4$, space group C 2/c. BaSe 3 is tetragonal, $a=7.2802(5), c=4.2495(4)$ $\AA ; Z=2$, space group $\mathrm{P} \overline{4} 2_{1} \mathrm{~m}$. Both polyselenides are isotypic with the corresponding polysulfides.


In the system $\mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{S}$ three polysulfides are known and structurally characterized: tetragonal $\mathrm{Ba}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ [1], monoclinic $\mathrm{BaS}_{2}[2,3]$ and tetragonal $\mathrm{BaS}_{3}$ [2]. We tried to synthesize the corresponding selenides by reacting the constituent elements in the appropriate ratios in closed silica tubes at temperatures between 500 and $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{BaSe}_{3}$ was obtained as a brown-red powder while in the case of $\mathrm{BaSe}_{2}$ red transparent single crystals grew occasionally. Both compounds are fairly stable in dry air. X-ray patterns immediately suggested isomorphism with the sulfides. We used Guinier patterns, taken with copper radiation and silicon as internal standard, for the determination of the lattice parameters. For $\mathrm{BaSe}_{3}$ we tried to derive the positional parameters from diffractometer intensity measurements. Unfortunately the $\mathrm{BaSe}_{3}$ powder was not so well crystallized so that we were able to determine the intensities of only 25 independent reflections. With isotropic refinement we arrived at a reliability factor $R=$ 0.092 . Thus, although the resulting interatomic distances are less accurate than we would wish, there is no doubt that $\mathrm{BaSe}_{3}$ crystallizes in the same structure as the corresponding polysulfide.

The single-crystal measurements on $\mathrm{BaSe}_{2}$ were carried out on an automatic four-circle diffractometer SYNTEX P2 $2_{1}$ with monochromatic Mo K $\alpha$ radiation. Up to $2 \vartheta_{\text {max }}=50.3^{\circ}$ (corresponding to $\sin \vartheta / \lambda=0.6$ ) we collected 789 independent reflexions of which 364 had an intensity $>3 \sigma$. The absorption corrections of the intensities were somewhat hampered by the very unfavorable plate-like shape of the crystal. The refinement led to a final $R$ value of 0.13 .

[^0]Table I. Crystallographic data for $\mathrm{BaSe}_{3}$. $\mathrm{BaS}_{3}$ type, space group $\mathrm{P} \overline{4} 2_{1} \mathrm{~m}$ ( Nr .113 ).
$a=7.2802(5), c=4.2495(4) \AA ; Z=2$.

## Ba in $2(\mathrm{a})$ :

$0,0,0 ; 1 / 2,1 / 2,0$.
$\mathrm{Se}(1)$ in $2(\mathrm{c})$ :
$0,1 / 2, z ; 1 / 2,0, \bar{z}$ with $z=0.175(15)$.
$\mathrm{Se}(2)$ in $4(\mathrm{e}): x, 1 / 2+x, z ; \bar{x}, 1 / 2-x, z ; 1 / 2+x, \bar{x}, \bar{z}$;
$1 / 2-x, x, \bar{z}$ with $x=0.189(3), z=0.485(20)$.
Interatomic distances

| $\mathrm{Ba} \quad-4 \mathrm{Se}(2)$ at $3.36(6) \AA$ |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| $4 \mathrm{Se}(2)$ at $3.44(6) \AA$ |  |
| $4 \mathrm{Se}(1)$ at $3.72(1) \AA$ |  |
| 2 Ba at $c=4.25(1) \AA$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Se}(1)-2 \mathrm{Se}(2)$ at $2.35(6) \AA$ |  |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Se}(2)$ at $3.52(9), 3.54(7) \AA$ |
| 4 Ba at $3.72(1) \AA$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Se}(2)-1$ | $\mathrm{Se}(1)$ at $2.35(6) \AA$ |
| 2 Ba at $3.35(5) \AA$ |  |
| 2 Ba at $3.44(5) \AA$ |  |
| $2 \mathrm{Se}(1)$ at $3.51(3), 3.54(7) \AA$ |  |

The structural data for $\mathrm{BaSe}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{BaSe}_{2}$ are collected in Table I and Table II, respectively. Both compounds are nonmetallic polyselenides. Thus, they are Mooser-Pearson phases [4] and therefore can formally be described by ionic formulae $\mathrm{Ba}^{+2} \mathrm{Se}_{2}^{-1}$ and $\mathrm{Ba}^{+2} \mathrm{Se}^{0} \mathrm{Se}_{2}^{-1}$. From their color we deduce an energy gap of roughly 2 eV , somewhat larger in the diselenide than in the triselenide.
In the $\mathrm{ThC}_{2}$-type structure of $\mathrm{BaSe}_{2}$ the anions form pairs with an $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{Se}$ separation of $2.40 \AA$. This distance lies well within the range observed in other nonmetallic polyselenides (in pyrite-type $\mathrm{MnSe}_{2}$ : $2.38 \AA$ [5], in orthorhombic $\mathrm{PdSe}_{2}: 2.36 \AA$ [6], in the pyrite derivative $\mathrm{Rh}_{3} \mathrm{Se}_{8}: 2.415$ and $2.416 \AA[7]$ and in marcasite-type $\mathrm{FeSe}_{2}: 2.535 \AA$ [8]. The less accurate $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{Se}$ distances in $\mathrm{BaSe}_{3}, 2.35 \AA$, appear to be at the lower limit. In the alkali triselenides which

Table II. Crystallographic data for $\mathrm{BaSe}_{2}$. Monoclinic $\mathrm{ThC}_{2}$ type, space group C 2/c (Nr. 15)
$a=9.820(5), b=4.929(3), c=9.335(5) \AA, \beta=118.48(5)^{\circ} ; Z=4$.
$(0,0,0 ; 1 / 2,1 / 2,0)+$
Ba in $4(e): \pm(0, y, 1 / 4) ;$ Se in $8(f): \pm(x, y, z ; x, \bar{y}, 1 / 2+z)$.

contain very similar polyanions, the $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{Se}$ distances and the $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{Se}$ angles are as follows: $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ $2.382(2) \AA, 102.5(1)^{\circ} ; \mathrm{Rb}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3} 2.383(7) \AA, 103.1(3)^{\circ}$; $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{3}$ 2.358(1) $\AA$, 103.6(5) ${ }^{\circ}$ [9]. Shorter distances were found in orthorhombic $\mathrm{Rb}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{5}$ [10] which contains 5 -membered Se chains: $\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{II}=2.31(2) \AA$, $\mathrm{II}-\mathrm{III}=2.36(1) \AA, \mathrm{III}-\mathrm{IV}=2.37(2) \AA, \mathrm{IV}-\mathrm{V}=$ $2.33(1) \AA$, and angles of $109.1(5)^{\circ}, 104.5(6)^{\circ}$ and $108.0(5)^{\circ}$. In the infinite chains of grey selenium the distance is $2.373(5) \AA$ and the angle is $103.1(2)^{\circ}$ [10]. Shorter $\mathrm{Se}-\mathrm{Se}$ distances are observed in metalorganic compounds such as $\mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}\left(\mu-\mathrm{Se}_{2}\right)$ : 2.293(2) $\AA$ [11].

The bonding Ba-Se distances range from 3.28 to $3.36 \AA$ in $\mathrm{BaSe}_{2}$ and from 3.36 to $3.45 \AA$ in $\mathrm{BaSe}_{3}$. They may be compared with the separation $\mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{Se}=$ $\mathrm{a} / 2=3.30 \AA$ in rocksalt-type BaSe , where however, both Ba and Se are six-coordinated. The $\mathrm{ThC}_{2}$-type structure of $\mathrm{BaSe}_{2}$ can formally also be derived from the rocksalt structure by replacing one kind of atoms by anion pairs. The different orientations of the anion pairs are responsible for the various degrees of distortions of the originally cubic cell in cubic pyrite, tetragonal $\mathrm{CaC}_{2}$ and monoclinic $\mathrm{ThC}_{2}$. Whereas in pyrite the cation coordination number
remains six (while the coordination number of the anion is reduced to four) it is increased to eight in the $\mathrm{ThC}_{2}$ structure while the anion coordination number is five. Whereas the non-bonding $\mathrm{Ba}-\mathrm{Se}$ distances are at least as large as the sum of the Van-der-Waals radii in $\mathrm{BaSe}_{2}$, four additional Se atoms surround the cation in $\mathrm{BaSe}_{3}$ at a distance which is only $\sim 0.3 \AA$ larger than the bond distance.
It may be noteworthy that the structure of $\mathrm{Yb}^{+2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ [12] is not of a new type but belongs also to the $\mathrm{ThC}_{2}$ family. Since the size of the Sr ion is between those of Ba and $\mathrm{Yb}^{2+}$, but $\mathrm{SrS}_{2}$ crystallizes in the $\mathrm{CuAl}_{2}$ type [2], either the reported structure of $\mathrm{YbS}_{2}$ or that of $\mathrm{SrS}_{2}$ does not correspond to the normal-pressure room-temperature modification. Moreover, $\mathrm{CaS}_{2}$ might also exist in a $\mathrm{ThC}_{2}$ modification although we failed to synthesize this phase.
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