EUROPEAN COMMISSION



Directorate-General for Financial Stability, Financial Services and Capital Markets Union

CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

Institutional investors and asset managers' duties regarding sustainability

Disclaimer

This document is a working document of the Commission services for consultation and does not prejudge the final decision that the Commission may take.

The views reflected on this consultation paper provide an indication on the approach the Commission services may take but do not constitute a final policy position or a formal proposal by the European Commission.

The responses to this consultation paper will provide important guidance to the Commission when preparing, if considered appropriate, a formal Commission proposal.

You are invited to reply by **22 January 2018** at the latest to the **online questionnaire** available on the following webpage:

https://ec.europa.eu/info/consultations/finance-2017-investors-duties-sustainability_en

Please note that in order to ensure a fair and transparent consultation process only responses received through the online questionnaire will be taken into account and included in the report summarising the responses.

In its interim report, published in July 2017, the High Level Expert Group on sustainable finance recommended the Commission to clarify that the fiduciary duties (duties of loyalty and prudence) of institutional investors and asset managers explicitly integrate material environmental, social and governance (ESG) factors and long term sustainability.

The aim of this public consultation is to collect the views and opinions of interested parties on this issue in order to inform the impact assessment process.

All citizens and organisations are welcome to contribute to this consultation. This includes beneficiaries and end clients, pension and insurance providers, insurance companies, asset managers, financial advisors, service providers (index providers, research providers), law firms and issuers.

This consultation follows the normal rules of the European Commission for public consultations. Responses will be published unless respondents indicate otherwise in the online questionnaire.

Responses authorised for publication will be published on the following webpage:

https://ec.europa.eu/info/consultations/finance-2017-investors-dutiessustainability_en#contributions

Public consultation on institutional investors and asset managers' duties regarding sustainability

Fields marked	with	* are	mandatory.
---------------	------	-------	------------

Introduction

At the end of 2015, governments from around the world chose a more sustainable path for our planet and our economy by adopting the Paris agreement on climate change and the UN 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

Sustainability has since long been at the heart of the European project. The EU is committed to development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs (Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions 'Next steps for a sustainable European future European action for sustainability' {SWD(2016) 390 final}).

The EU wants its financial system to be aligned with its sustainability objectives. The commitment to incorporating sustainability elements into EU financial services policies and cross cutting initiatives is ingrained in the Mid-Term Review of the Capital Markets Union Action Plan (Mid-Term Review of the Capital Markets Union Action Plan - COM(2017) 292 final).

To develop the overall vision of sustainable finance that this requires, the Commission decided last year to appoint a High-Level Expert Group (HLEG) on sustainable finance under the chairmanship of Christian Thimann. This group is supporting the Commission to develop an overarching and comprehensive EU strategy on sustainable finance.

On 13 July 2017, the HLEG published its interim report which provided a comprehensive vision on sustainable finance. It identified two imperatives for Europe's financial system. "The first is to strengthen financial stability and asset pricing, by improving the assessment and management of long term risks and intangible factors of value creation. The second is to improve the contribution of the financial sector to sustainable and inclusive growth by financing long-term needs and accelerating the shift to a sustainable economy".

In its interim report (<u>EU High-Level Expert Group on Sustainable Finance</u>, <u>'Financing a sustainable European economy' Interim report</u>, <u>July 2017</u>), the HLEG proposed eight early recommendations for policy action on sustainable finance. The third recommendation focused on establishing a "fiduciary duty" that encompasses sustainability. The HLEG suggested clarifying that the duties of institutional investors and asset managers explicitly integrate material environmental, social and governance (ESG) factors and long term sustainability.

Given the maturity and the interest of the HLEG recommendation, the Commission has decided to start work on an impact assessment to assess whether and how a clarification of the duties of institutional investors and asset managers in terms of sustainability could contribute to a more efficient allocation of capital, and to sustainable and inclusive growth.

The duties of care, loyalty and prudence are embedded in the EU's financial framework governing obligations that institutional investors and asset managers owe to their end-investors/scheme members. These duties are the foundation of investment process.

The implementation of these duties implies fulfillment of various obligations for asset managers and institutional investors that include, for instance, the duty to act in the best interest of beneficiaries /investors, with due care, skill and diligence in performing their activities, including the identification and management of conflict of interests. They are also required to act honestly, and ensure adequate and proportionate performance of their activities.

Although these duties are embedded in the EU financial legal framework, it appears unclear that they require institutional investors and asset managers to assess the materiality of sustainability risks (i.e risks relating to environmental, social and governance issues). Market practices indicate that institutional investors and asset managers generally understand these duties as requiring a focus on maximising short-term financial returns and disregard long-term effects on performance due to sustainability factors and risks. This can lead to misallocation of capital and might give rise to concerns about financial stability since markets can be vulnerable to abrupt corrections, such as those associated with the delayed transition to low carbon economies.

This consultation will help the Commission gather and analyse the necessary evidence to determine possible action to improve the assessment and integration of sustainability factors in the relevant investment entities' decision-making process.

Please note: In order to ensure a fair and transparent consultation process only responses received through our online questionnaire will be taken into account and included in the report summarising the responses. Should you have a problem completing this questionnaire or if you require particular assistance, please contact fisma-investors-duties-sustainability@ec.europa.eu.

More information:

- on this consultation
- on the protection of personal data regime for this consultation

Glossary

Relevant investment entities: entities managing assets entrusted to them

Sustainability factors: for the purpose of this consultation, sustainability factors refer to environmental, social and governance issues as defined by the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) (*UNEP Inquiry, Definitions and Concepts: Background Note, 2016*). The exact scope of sustainability factors to be addressed is also the object of this consultation.

Environmental issues relate to the quality and functioning of the natural environment and natural systems including biodiversity loss; greenhouse gas emissions, renewable energy, energy efficiency, natural resource depletion or pollution; waste management; ozone depletion; changes in land use; ocean acidification and changes to the nitrogen and phosphorus cycles

Social issues relate to rights, well-being and interests of people and communities including human rights, labour standards, health and safety, relations with local communities, activities in conflict zones, health and access to medicine, consumer protection; and controversial weapons.

Governance issues relate to the management of investee entities. Issues include board structure, size, diversity, skills and independence; executive pay; shareholder rights; stakeholder interaction; disclosure of information; business ethics; bribery and corruption; internal controls and risk management; and, in general, issues dealing with the relationship between a company's management, its board, its shareholders and its other stakeholders.

1. Information about you

* Are	you replying as:
	a private individual
	an organisation or a company
0	a public authority or an international organisation
* First	name and last name:

*Name of your organisation:
*Name of the public authority:
Contact email address: The information you provide here is for administrative purposes only and will not be published
*Is your organisation included in the Transparency Register? (If your organisation is not registered, we invite you to register here, although it is not compulsory to be registered to reply to this consultation. Why a transparency register?) Yes No
*If so, please indicate your Register ID number:
*Type of organisation: Academic institution Institutional investor Consumer association Media Think tank Other Company, SME, micro-enterprise, sole trader Consultancy, law firm Industry association Non-governmental organisation Trade union
*Please specify the type of organisation:
*Type of public authority International or European organisation Regional or local authority Government or Ministry Regulatory authority, Supervisory authority or Central bank Other public authority
*Please specify the type of public authority:

	Austria
	Belgium
	Bulgaria
	Croatia
	Cyprus
	Czech Republic
	Denmark
	Estonia
	Finland
	France
	Germany
	Greece
	Hungary
	Iceland
0	Ireland
0	Italy
0	Latvia
0	Liechtenstein
0	Lithuania
0	Luxembourg
0	Malta
0	Norway
0	Poland
0	Portugal
0	Romania
0	Slovakia
0	Slovenia
0	Spain
0	Sweden
0	Switzerland
0	The Netherlands
0	United Kingdom
0	Other country
* Plea	se specify your country:

*Where are you based and/or where do you carry out your activity?

*Field of activity or sector (if applicable):
at least 1 choice(s)
Accounting
Auditing
Banking
Credit rating agencies
Insurance
Cccupational pension provision
Personal pension provision
Collective Investment Management
Individual portfolio management
Financial advice
Market infrastructure operation (e.g. CCPs, CSDs, Stock exchanges)
Service provider (e.g. index provider, research providers)
Other
Not applicable
*Please specify your activity field(s) or sector(s):
Type of funds managed (in the case of asset managers)
UCITS
□ AIFs
Total assets under management in EUR (as of 30.09.2017)
Important notice on the publication of responses
*Contributions received are intended for publication on the Commission's website. Do you agree to your
contribution being published?

contribution being published?

(see specific privacy statement (2)

- Yes, I agree to my response being published under the name I indicate (name of your organisation) /company/public authority or your name if your reply as an individual)
- No, I do not want my response to be published

2. Your opinion

2.1 Questions addressed to all respondents:

I. General overview

1) Do you think relevant investment entities should consider sustainability investment decision-making?	factors	in thei	r
Yes			
O No			
No opinion			
Please explain the reasons:			
2) What are the sustainability factors that the relevant investment entities consider? (Please make a choice and indicate the importance of the differe important and 5 is very important). (Please refer to the definition in the Glos	nt facto	rs (1 is	not
	Yes	No	No opinion
Climate factors (these include climate mitigation factors as well as climate resilience factors)	0	0	©
Other environmental factors	0	0	0
Social factors	0	0	0
Governance factors	0	0	0
Others	0	0	0
Please specify others:			
Importance for climate factors: 1 2 3 4 5			

Importance for other environmental factors: 1 2 3 4 5
Importance for social factors: 1 2 3 4 5
Importance for governance factors: 1 2 3 4 5
Importance for others: 1 2 3 4 5
Please specify, which specific factors within the above categories you are considering, if any:
3) Based on which criteria should the relevant investment entities consider sustainability factors in their investment decision making? Please explain:

4) Which of the following entities should consider sustainability factors in their investment
decision-making? (Possibility to select several answers). If so, please indicate the level of impact
that this would have (1 is the smallest impact and 5 is the highest impact).

	Yes	No	No opinion
Occupational pension providers	0	0	0
Personal pension providers	0	0	0
Life insurance providers	0	0	0
Non-life insurance providers	0	0	0
Collective investment funds (UCITS, AIF, EuVECA, EuSEF, ELTIF)	0	0	0
Individual portfolio managers	0	0	0

Non-life insurance providers	0	0	0	
Collective investment funds (UCITS, AIF, EuVECA, EuSEF, ELTIF)	0	0	0	
Individual portfolio managers	0	0	0	
Please explain:				
Level of impact for occupational pension providers:				
0 2				
© 3				
© 4				
© 5				
Level of impact for personal pension providers:				
© 1				
© 2				
◎ 3				
O 4				
© 5				
Level of impact for life insurance providers:				
© 1				
© 2				
© 3				
O 4				
© 5				

© 1	
© 2	
© 3	
4	
© 5	
Level of impact for collective investment funds (UCITS, AIF, EuVECA, EuSEF, ELTIF):	
© 1	
© 2	
© 3	
4	
© 5	
Level of impact for individual portfolio managers:	
© 1	
© 2	
© 3	
4	
© 5	
II. Problem	

Level of impact non-life insurance providers:

5) To your knowledge, what share of investment entities active in the EEA (European Economic Area) currently consider sustainability factors in their investment decisions?

	All or almost all	More than two thirds	More than half	More than a third	None or almost none	No opinion
Occupational pension providers	0	0	0	0	0	0
Personal pension providers	0	0	0	0	0	0
Life insurance providers	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-life insurance providers	0	0	0	0	0	0
Collective investment funds (UCITS, AIF, EuVECA, EuSEF, ELTIF)	0	0	0	0	•	0
Individual portfolio managers	0	0	0	0	0	0

6) To your knowledge, which is the level of integration of sustainability factors by the different investment entities (active in the EEA)?

	High integration	Medium integration	Low integration	No integration	No opinion
Occupational pension providers	0	0	0	0	0
Personal pension providers	0	0	0	0	0
Life insurance providers	0	0	0	0	0
Non-life insurance providers	0	0	0	0	0
Collective investment funds (UCITS, AIF, EuVECA, EuSEF, ELTIF)	0	0	0	0	0
Individual portfolio managers	0	0	0	0	0

7) Which constraints prevent relevant investment entities from integrating sustainability factors or facilitate their disregard. Please provide the importance of the different constraints that you consider relevant (1 is not important and 5 is very important).

	1	2	3	4	5	No opinion
Lack of expertise and experience	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lack of data/research	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lack of impact on asset performance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inadequate methodologies for the calculation of sustainability risks	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inadequate sustainable impact metrics	0	0	0	0	0	0
Excessive costs for the scale of your company	0	0	0	0	0	0
No interest from financial intermediaries	0	0	0	0	0	0
No interest from beneficiaries/clients	0	0	0	0	0	0
European regulatory barriers	0	0	0	0	0	0
National regulatory barriers	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lack of fiscal incentives	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lack of eligible entities	0	0	0	0	0	0
Others	0	0	0	0	0	0

se provide more details on what the constraints/reasons	are and	how ti	hey lim	nit the in	ntegrai	tion oi
inability factors:						
ow challenging is it for relevant investment entities to						
rs? (1 is not challenging and 5 is very challenging) - F sary).	Please r	efer to	the d	efinitio	on in t	he
	1	2	3	4	5	l iqo
Climate factors (these include climate mitigation factors as well as climate resilience factors)	0	0	0	0	0	(
,	0	0	0	0	0	(
Other Environment factors			0	0	0	(
<u> </u>	0	0				(
Other Environment factors	0	0	0	0	0	'
Other Environment factors Social factors		0		0	0	(
Other Environment factors Social factors Governance factors Others	0	0	0			ļ
Other Environment factors Social factors Governance factors	0	0	0			ļ
Other Environment factors Social factors Governance factors Others	0	0	0			ļ
Other Environment factors Social factors Governance factors Others	0	0	0			ļ
Other Environment factors Social factors Governance factors Others	0	0	0			ļ
Other Environment factors Social factors Governance factors Others	0	0	0			ļ
Other Environment factors Social factors Governance factors Others	0	0	0			ļ
Other Environment factors Social factors Governance factors Others se specify others:	0	0	0			

III. Policy options

5

9) In which area should relevant investment entities consider sustainability factors within their investment decision-making? Please make a choice and indicate the relevance of the different areas (1 is minor relevance and 5 is very high relevance).

	Yes	No	No opinion
Governance	0	0	0
Investment strategy	0	0	0
Asset allocation	0	0	0
Risk management	0	0	0
Others	0	0	0

	Risk management	0	0	0	
	Others	0	0	0	
Pl	ease specify others:				•
Re	elevance for governanc	Δ.			
	1				
	2				
(3				
(9 4				
(5				
Re	elevance for investmen	t strateg	gy:		
(〕1				
(2				
	3				
	9 4				
(5				
Re	elevance for asset alloc	ation:			
(1				
(2				
(3				
(∂ 4				

Relevance for risk management:						
© 1						
© 2						
© 3						
O 4						
© 5						
Relevance for others:						
© 1						
0 2						
© 3						
© 4						
© 5						
<u> </u>						
					_	
10) Within the area of governance, which arrangements w				-		
integration of sustainability factors? (1 is the not appropria	te and	5 is tr	e very	appro	priate	e). -
	1	2	3	4	5	No opinion
Specific sustainability investment Committee	0	0	0	0	0	0
Specific sustainability member of the Board	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sustainability performance as part of remuneration criteria	0	0	0	0	0	0
Integration of sustainability factors in the investment decision process	0	0	0	0	0	0
decision process						
Integration of sustainability checks in the control process	0	0	0	0	0	0
Periodic reporting to senior management/board	0	0	0	0	0	0
Others	0	0	0	0	0	0
Please specify others:						
11) Should insurance and pension providers consult their	r benef	iciarie	s on a	n annı	ual/pei	riodic
basis on their preference as regards sustainability factors?					5-5-	
© Yes						
© No						
No opinion						

Please explain:
12) Within the portfolio's asset allocation, should relevant investment entities consider
sustainability factors even if the consideration of these factors would lead to lower returns to
beneficiaries/clients in the medium/short term?
O Yes
O No
No opinion
Please explain:
13) Within the area of risk management, does the current set of corporate disclosures provide the
relevant investment entities with adequate information to perform sustainability risk assessments
relevant investment entities with adequate information to perform sustainability risk assessments in respect of investee companies?
relevant investment entities with adequate information to perform sustainability risk assessments in respect of investee companies? Yes
relevant investment entities with adequate information to perform sustainability risk assessments in respect of investee companies? O Yes No
relevant investment entities with adequate information to perform sustainability risk assessments in respect of investee companies? Yes
relevant investment entities with adequate information to perform sustainability risk assessments in respect of investee companies? Yes No No opinion
relevant investment entities with adequate information to perform sustainability risk assessments in respect of investee companies? O Yes No
relevant investment entities with adequate information to perform sustainability risk assessments in respect of investee companies? Yes No No opinion
relevant investment entities with adequate information to perform sustainability risk assessments in respect of investee companies? Yes No No opinion
relevant investment entities with adequate information to perform sustainability risk assessments in respect of investee companies? Yes No No opinion
relevant investment entities with adequate information to perform sustainability risk assessments in respect of investee companies? Yes No No opinion
relevant investment entities with adequate information to perform sustainability risk assessments in respect of investee companies? Yes No No opinion
relevant investment entities with adequate information to perform sustainability risk assessments in respect of investee companies? Yes No No opinion
relevant investment entities with adequate information to perform sustainability risk assessments in respect of investee companies? Yes No No opinion
relevant investment entities with adequate information to perform sustainability risk assessments in respect of investee companies? Yes No No opinion Please explain where the possible gaps are, if any:
relevant investment entities with adequate information to perform sustainability risk assessments in respect of investee companies? Yes No No opinion Please explain where the possible gaps are, if any: 14) Do the overall information or risk metrics available enable the relevant investment entities to
relevant investment entities with adequate information to perform sustainability risk assessments in respect of investee companies? Yes No No opinion Please explain where the possible gaps are, if any: 14) Do the overall information or risk metrics available enable the relevant investment entities to adequately perform sustainability risk assessments?
relevant investment entities with adequate information to perform sustainability risk assessments in respect of investee companies? Yes No No opinion Please explain where the possible gaps are, if any: 14) Do the overall information or risk metrics available enable the relevant investment entities to adequately perform sustainability risk assessments? Yes

Please explain where the possible gaps are, if any:	
5) Do you think that uniform criteria to perform sustainability risk assessments should be	
veloped at EU level?	
O Yes	
O No	
No opinion	
The opinion	
Please explain:	
C)	
	to.
6) In case material exposure to sustainability factors is identified, what are the most appropria	ate
tions to be performed by the relevant investment entity?	ite
	ate
	ate
	ite
	ate
tions to be performed by the relevant investment entity?	
tions to be performed by the relevant investment entity? 7) Should relevant investment entities disclose how they consider sustainability factors within	
tions to be performed by the relevant investment entity? 7) Should relevant investment entities disclose how they consider sustainability factors within eir investment decision-making?	
tions to be performed by the relevant investment entity? 7) Should relevant investment entities disclose how they consider sustainability factors within investment decision-making? Yes	
tions to be performed by the relevant investment entity? 7) Should relevant investment entities disclose how they consider sustainability factors within eir investment decision-making?	
7) Should relevant investment entities disclose how they consider sustainability factors within eir investment decision-making? Yes No	
7) Should relevant investment entities disclose how they consider sustainability factors within eir investment decision-making? Yes No	
Tions to be performed by the relevant investment entity? 7) Should relevant investment entities disclose how they consider sustainability factors within eir investment decision-making? Yes No No opinion	
7) Should relevant investment entities disclose how they consider sustainability factors within eir investment decision-making? Yes No	
Tions to be performed by the relevant investment entity? 7) Should relevant investment entities disclose how they consider sustainability factors within eir investment decision-making? Yes No No opinion	
Tions to be performed by the relevant investment entity? 7) Should relevant investment entities disclose how they consider sustainability factors within eir investment decision-making? Yes No No opinion	
Tions to be performed by the relevant investment entity? 7) Should relevant investment entities disclose how they consider sustainability factors within eir investment decision-making? Yes No No opinion	
Tions to be performed by the relevant investment entity? 7) Should relevant investment entities disclose how they consider sustainability factors within eir investment decision-making? Yes No No opinion	
Tions to be performed by the relevant investment entity? 7) Should relevant investment entities disclose how they consider sustainability factors within eir investment decision-making? Yes No No opinion	
Tions to be performed by the relevant investment entity? 7) Should relevant investment entities disclose how they consider sustainability factors within eir investment decision-making? Yes No No opinion	

If yes, what areas should the disclosure cover? Please make a choice and indicate the relevance of disclosure within the different areas (1 is minor relevance and 5 is high relevance):

	Yes	No	No opinion
Governance	0	0	0
Investment strategy	0	0	0
Asset allocation	0	0	0
Risk management	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0

Risk management	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0
-			
Please specify others:			
Relevance for governa	nce:		
© 1			
0 2			
© 3 © 4			
© 5			
Relevance for investme	ent strateg	gy:	
© 1			
0 2			
0 3			
45			
₩ 5			
Relevance for asset all	ocation:		
0 1	-		
© 2			
© 3			
© 4			
© 5			

1				
2 3				
4				
5				
s, where?				1
	Yes	No	No opinion	
Pre-contractual disclosure (e.g. prospectuses)	0	0	0	
Semi-annual/annual reports	0	0	0	
Periodic reports	0	0	0	
Website	0	0	0	
Newsletters	0	0	0	
Factsheets	0	0	0	
Marketing materials	0	0	0	
Others	0	0	0	
				1
se specify others:				

Relevance for risk management:

18

18) Which stakeholder groups would incur costs and which would benefit from integrating
sustainability factors within investment decision-making by relevant investment entities?

	Benefits	Costs
Occupational pension providers		
Personal pension providers		
Life insurance providers		
Non-life insurance providers		
Collective investment funds (UCITS, AIF, EuVECA, EuSEF, ELTIF)		
Individual portfolio managers		
General public		
Retail investors		
Financial advisors		
Service providers (index provider, research providers)		
Other stakeholders (please specify)		

Please e	explain:			

2.2 Questions addressed to end-investors

1) Do you take into account sustainability factors when you choose your investment products	or
investment entity?	

O No

ase explain the reasons:						
	act and	5 is tl	he hig	hest ir	npact)	
						N
	act and	5 is tl	he hig	hest ir	npact)	N
Climate factors (these include climate mitigation factors	pact and	5 is tl	a see	hest ir	npact) 5	N
Climate factors (these include climate mitigation factors as well as climate resilience factors)	act and	5 is tl	3	hest in	5	N opin
Climate factors (these include climate mitigation factors as well as climate resilience factors) Other environmental factors	act and	2 ©	3	4	5	N opir
Climate factors (these include climate mitigation factors as well as climate resilience factors) Other environmental factors Social factors	1 0	2	3	4	5	N opin
Climate factors (these include climate mitigation factors as well as climate resilience factors) Other environmental factors Social factors Governance factors	1 0	2	3	4	5	N opin
Climate factors (these include climate mitigation factors as well as climate resilience factors) Other environmental factors Social factors Governance factors Others	1 0	2	3	4	5	N opin
Climate factors (these include climate mitigation factors as well as climate resilience factors) Other environmental factors Social factors Governance factors Others	1 0	2	3	4	5	N opin
as well as climate resilience factors) Other environmental factors Social factors Governance factors	1 0	2	3	4	5	

b) If you consider sustainability factors, is there sufficient information on the different sustainability factors provided by asset managers and institutional investors to help you take informed investment decisions?

	Yes	No	No opinion
Climate factors (these include climate mitigation factors as well as climate resilience factors)	0	0	0
Other environmental factors	0	0	0
Social factors	0	0	0
Governance factors	0	0	0
Others	0	0	0

Other environmental factors				
Social factors		0	0	0
Governance factors		0	0	0
Others		0	0	0
Please specify others:				
Level of information for climate factors (1 is very little information	on and 5 is very ext	tensive	informa	ation):
0 2				
© 3				
© 4				
© 5				
Level of information for other environmental factors (1 is very like)	ittle information and	15 is ve	ery exte	nsive
information):				
© 1				
◎ 2				
◎ 3				
O 4				
© 5				
Level of information for social factors (1 is very little information	n and 5 is very exte	nsive ii	nformati	ion):
O 1				
◎ 2				
◎ 3				
© 4				
◎ 5				

0 1
© 2
© 3
© 4
© 5
Level of information for other factors (1 is very little information and 5 is very extensive information):
© 1
© 2
© 3
4
© 5
If you indicate that there is insufficient information, what kind of information would allow you to consider sustainability factors when you choose your investment products or investment entity? Please explain and indicate how you would like to receive it.
2.3 Question specifically addressed to relevant investment entities
1) As a relevant investment entity do you consider sustainability factors?
O Yes
O No
O No
O No

	Yes	No			
Governance	0	0			
Investment strategy	0	0			
Asset allocation	0	0			
Risk management	0	0			
Valuation	0	0			
Disclosure	0	0			
Other	0	0			
What kind of sustain	nability	factors	do you consider? (Please refer to the definition	s provic	lec
	nability	factors	do you consider? (Please refer to the definition	s provic	dec
	nability	factors	do you consider? (Please refer to the definition	s provid	
Glossary).			do you consider? (Please refer to the definitions		
Climate factors (the	se inclua			Yes	1
Climate factors (<i>thes</i>	se inclua			Yes	ded
Climate factors (<i>thes factors</i>) Other Environment f	se inclua			Yes)

a) In which areas does your entity consider sustainability factors?

Please specify others:	
Please explain:	
	geting sustainability factors what time horizon do they target?
everal answers possible).	geting sustainability factors what time horizon do they target?
everal answers possible). Short term (up to 3 years)	geting sustainability factors what time horizon do they target?
Several answers possible). Short term (up to 3 years) Medium term (4 - 9 years)	geting sustainability factors what time horizon do they target?
everal answers possible). Short term (up to 3 years) Medium term (4 - 9 years) Long term (> 10 years)	geting sustainability factors what time horizon do they target?
everal answers possible). Short term (up to 3 years) Medium term (4 - 9 years)	geting sustainability factors what time horizon do they target?
Several answers possible). Short term (up to 3 years) Medium term (4 - 9 years) Long term (> 10 years) Other	geting sustainability factors what time horizon do they target?
Several answers possible). Short term (up to 3 years) Medium term (4 - 9 years) Long term (> 10 years) Other	geting sustainability factors what time horizon do they target?
Several answers possible). Short term (up to 3 years) Medium term (4 - 9 years) Long term (> 10 years) Other	geting sustainability factors what time horizon do they target?
Several answers possible). Short term (up to 3 years) Medium term (4 - 9 years) Long term (> 10 years) Other	geting sustainability factors what time horizon do they target?
Several answers possible). Short term (up to 3 years) Medium term (4 - 9 years) Long term (> 10 years) Other	geting sustainability factors what time horizon do they target?
everal answers possible). Short term (up to 3 years) Medium term (4 - 9 years) Long term (> 10 years) Other	geting sustainability factors what time horizon do they target?
Several answers possible). Short term (up to 3 years) Medium term (4 - 9 years) Long term (> 10 years)	geting sustainability factors what time horizon do they target?

d) In your view, what is the relevant time frame within which risks and opportunities related to sustainability factors typically materialize?

	Short term (up to 3 years)	Medium term (4 - 9 years)	Long term (> 10 years)	No opinion
Climate factors (these include climate mitigation factors as well as climate resilience factors)	0	0	0	0
Other environment factors	0	0	0	0
Social factors	0	0	0	0
Governance factors	0	0	0	0
Others	0	0	0	0

/ithin your governance pol	icy, whic	ch mea	sures/arrangemer	nts do you h	nave in	place?
				Yes	No	
Specific sustainability investr	nent Com	mittee		0	0	
Specific sustainability member	er of the E	Board		0	0	
Integration of sustainability fa	ctors in t	he inve	stment decision	0	0	
Integration of sustainability cl	necks in t	he cont	rol process	0	0	
Periodic reporting to senior n	nanageme	ent/boa	rd	0	0	
				0	0	
Others						1
						1
					1	
						1
						1
se specify others:	factors i	n your	investment decisi	ons, which	eleme	nts do yo
integrating sustainability	factors i	n your	investment decisi	ons, which	eleme	nts do yo
integrating sustainability	factors i	n your	investment decisi	ons, which	eleme	nts do yo
integrating sustainability der?			investment decisi	ons, which	eleme	nts do yo
n integrating sustainability ider?	Yes	No	investment decisi	ons, which	eleme	nts do yo

Due diligence analysis

Others

Please specify others:
In case you use external ratings of issuers, please indicate which ones?
In case you use internal sustainability ratings, please explain how you get the relevant data?
In case you use sustainability benchmarks, please describe these and explain how get the relevant data.

					Yes	No
Governance arrangements					0	0
Commitment of management of actors	oility	0	0			
Methodologies for the calculation isks	of mark	et and re	egulatory sustain	ability	0	0
Valuation					0	0
Quality and frequency of available	le informa	ation			0	0
Sustainability risk adjusted perfo	rmance				0	0
Others se specify others:					0	0
Others se specify others: n your risk assessment how dolio?			the impact of s	sustainabi		
n your risk assessment how d	Yes	No	the impact of	sustainabi		
n your risk assessment how dolio?	Yes	No O	the impact of s	sustainabi		
n your risk assessment how d	Yes	No	the impact of s	sustainabi		
n your risk assessment how dolio?	Yes	No O	the impact of	sustainabi		

o you disclose informatio	n on ho	w you	integrate sustainability factors in your investmen
Yes No			
NO			
ase explain:			
es, where do you disclose su	ıch infor	mation	?
	Yes	No	
Pre-contractual disclosure	0	0	
Semi-annual/annual reports	0	0	
Periodic reports	0	0	
Website	0	0	
Newsletters	0	0	
Factsheets	0	0	
	0	0	
Marketing materials	0	0	
Marketing materials Others			

se explain:			
s, where do you disclose	such infor	mation	
	Yes	No	
	res	INO	
Semi-annual/annual reports	0	0	
Periodic reports	0	0	
Website	0	0	
Newsletters	0	0	
Factsheets	0	0	
Marketing materials	0	0	
Others	0	0	
ase specify others:			
o you take into account	sustainab	oility fa	ctors in your investment decisions due to related

k) Do you currently incur the following costs and benefinctors?	ts due to the ir	itegrat	ion of sustainability
OTENTIAL BENEFITS:			
	Yes	No	
Ability to attract investors with specific sustainability requirements	0	0	-
Improved financial performance	0	0	1
Reputational benefits/external communication	0	0	-
Internal communication/recruitment	0	0	-
Others	0	0	-
Please specify others:			
Ability to attract investors with specific sustainability rec	quirements - pi	lease e	explain and, when

Improved financial performance - please explain and, when possible, quantify in EUR:
Reputational benefits/external communication - please explain and, when possible, quantify in EUR:
Internal communication/recruitment places explain and when pessible quantify in EUD:
Internal communication/recruitment - please explain and, when possible, quantify in EUR:
Internal communication/recruitment - please explain and, when possible, quantify in EUR:
Internal communication/recruitment - please explain and, when possible, quantify in EUR:
Internal communication/recruitment - please explain and, when possible, quantify in EUR:
Internal communication/recruitment - please explain and, when possible, quantify in EUR:
Internal communication/recruitment - please explain and, when possible, quantify in EUR:
Internal communication/recruitment - please explain and, when possible, quantify in EUR:
Internal communication/recruitment - please explain and, when possible, quantify in EUR: Others - please explain and, when possible, quantify in EUR:

POTENTIAL COSTS:

	Yes	No
Decreased financial performance	0	0
Loss of specific financial opportunities (for instance non-sustainable products /projects)	0	0
Consultancy costs	©	0
Legal counsel costs	0	0
Compliance costs	0	0
Others	0	0
ecreased financial performance - please explain and, when possible, quantify in	n EUR:	
ecreased financial performance - please explain and, when possible, quantify in	n EUR:	
ecreased financial performance - please explain and, when possible, quantify in	n EUR:	
ecreased financial performance - please explain and, when possible, quantify in	n EUR:	
ecreased financial performance - please explain and, when possible, quantify in the control of t		,

Consultancy costs - please explain and, when possible, quantify in EUR:
Legal counsel costs - please explain and, when possible, quantify in EUR:
Compliance costs - please explain and, when possible, quantify in EUR:
piedec explain and, men peceleic, quantity in zeri.
piedec explain and, men pecelole, quantity in zerii
predect explain and, men peccinic, quantity in 2011.
please explain and, men pessions, quantity in zeri.
predict explain and, men peccinic, quantity in 2011.
predict explain and, men peccinic, quantity in zeri.
Others - please explain and, when possible, quantify in EUR:

2) What would be the level of costs associated with the integration of sustainability factors in
investment decision making in the different areas? Please tick the relevant box. (Costs as % of the
AUM).

	< 0.5% of the AUM	0.51% to 1% of the AUM	1.01% to 3% of the AUM	3.01% to 5% of the AUM	> 5% of the AUM	No opinion
Governance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Investment policy	0	0	0	0	0	0
Valuation	0	0	0	0	0	0
Risk management	0	0	0	0	0	0
Disclosure	0	0	0	0	0	0
Overall cost	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Overall cost	0					
wo	-	cularly signif	icant (or potent	-	in any of the ab		
4)	Do you engage	with your cli	ents/beneficiari	es as regards th	neir sustainabilit	ty preferenc	e?
(○ Yes	•					
(○ No						
	so, could you est ler management?		rage costs assoc	ciated to that enga	agement in propo	ortion to the a	assets
(< 0.5% of the	AUM					
(0.51% to 1%	of the AUM					
(1.01% to 3%	of the AUM					
(3.01% to 5%	of the AUM					
(> 5% of the A	UM					
(No opinion						

	the benefits asso fy where possible		integration of su	stainability factors	? Please,
, ,					

3. Additional information

Should you wish to provide additional information (e.g. a position paper, report) or raise specific points not covered by the questionnaire, you can upload your additional document(s) here: