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#### Abstract

The shelling of crystals is concerned with counting the number of atoms on spherical shells of a given radius and a fixed centre. Its straight-forward generalization to quasicrystals, the so-called central shelling, leads to non-universal answers. As one way to cope with this situation, we consider shelling averages over all quasicrystal points. We express the averaged shelling numbers in terms of the autocorrelation coefficients and give explicit results for the usual suspects, both perfect and random.
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## 1. Introduction

One characteristic feature of a crystal is the number of atoms on shells of radius $r$ around an arbitrary, but fixed centre, e.g. around one fixed atom. In the simplest case, one thus considers a lattice, such as the square lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ in the plane, and determines the number of lattice points on circles of radius $r$. In the square lattice case, only squared radii $r^{2}=m^{2}+n^{2}$ with $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ are possible for obvious geometric reasons, compare Fig. [1.

For this example, the answer is well known from number theory [8] because it essentially boils down to counting the number of ways that $r^{2}$ can be written as the sum of two squares. The result reads as follows. Let $r^{2}=M$ be an integer, and $\sigma(r)$ the number of points of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$ on a circle of radius $r$ around the origin. Then, $\sigma(r)=4 a(M)$ where $a(M)$ is a multiplicative arithmetic function, i.e. $a(1)=1$ and $a(M N)=a(M) a(N)$ for coprime $M, N$. So, it suffices to know $a(M)$ for $M$ a prime power, i.e. $M=p^{\ell}$. The explicit result is

$$
a\left(p^{\ell}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } p=2  \tag{1}\\ \ell+1 & \text { if } p \equiv 1 \bmod 4 \\ 0 & \text { if } p \equiv 3 \bmod 4 \text { and } \ell \text { odd } \\ 1 & \text { if } p \equiv 3 \bmod 4 \text { and } \ell \text { even }\end{cases}
$$

There are many explicit formulas known for lattices,


Fig. 1. Shelling of the square lattice. The circles centred at the lattice point denoted by the thick dot have radii $r^{2}=1,2,4,5,8,9,10,13,16$, the corresponding shelling numbers are $\sigma(r)=4,4,4,8,4,4,8,8,4$, compare Eq. (1).
see [6] for further examples and a systematic exposition by means of lattice theta functions.

Now, if one tries to extend this approach to quasicrystals, one immediate problem arises: due to the lack of periodicity, there are no "natural" centres any more, except, perhaps, the centre in a pattern with exact maximal symmetry - if this exists! There are several attempts to find analogues of Eq. (1), and explicit results can be found in $14,12,13,16,5]$. However, an alternative approach is desirable in which one does not ask for the shelling numbers around a
fixed centre, but for the averaged shelling numbers around any possible centre [5], e.g. around any centre that is itself in the point set. This is what we want to continue to analyze here, in analogy to earlier work on the coordination numbers of crystals versus quasicrystals [1], 2]. Note that the ordinary and the averaged shelling problems coincide for lattices.

## 2. A formula for averaged shelling

It is intuitively clear how to define the averaged shelling number for a point set $\Lambda$ : if we fix a radius $r$ and a centred ball $B_{s}(0)$ of radius $s \gg r$, we inspect any point inside the large ball, determine the shelling number around it, and average over these possibilities. This is a radially averaged autocorrelation coefficient. The problem with it is that there might not be a limit as $s \rightarrow \infty$, and this is indeed a serious problem in general. However, cut-and-project sets, or model sets as we want to call them, have the nice property that these averages are guaranteed to exist. What is more, each finite patch or configuration within a regular, generic model set (see 15] for the precise conditions) occurs with a uniform frequency. Thus, we can evaluate the averaged shelling number by means of the existing autocorrelation coefficients. Let us describe how this works.

Let $\Lambda=\Lambda(\Omega)$ be a regular model set [11] with window $\Omega$. The possible distances between points are all of the form $r=|y|$ with $y \in \Lambda-\Lambda$ where $\Lambda-\Lambda=\left\{x_{1}-x_{2} \mid x_{1}, x_{2} \in \Lambda\right\}$. We find the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(r)=\sum_{\substack{y \in \Lambda-\Lambda \\|y|=r}} \nu(y) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, for $y \in \Lambda-\Lambda, \nu(y)$ is the autocorrelation coefficient, normalized per point rather than per volume:

$$
\begin{align*}
\nu(y) & =\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left|\Lambda_{s}\right|} \sum_{\substack{x \in \Lambda_{s} \\
x+y \in \Lambda}} 1 \\
& =\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\left|\left(\Lambda_{s}\right)^{*}\right|} \sum_{\substack{x^{*} \in\left(\Lambda_{s}\right)^{*} \\
(x+y)^{*} \in \Omega}} 1  \tag{3}\\
& =\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(\Omega)} \int \chi_{\Omega}\left(x^{*}\right) \chi_{\Omega}\left(x^{*}+y^{*}\right) d x^{*}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Lambda_{s}=\Lambda \cap B_{s}(0),\left|\Lambda_{s}\right|$ is the number of points in $\Lambda_{s},(.)^{*}$ is the $*$-map (or lift) from physical to internal space as described in 11], and $\chi_{\Omega}$ denotes the characteristic function of the window. Note that the last step of (3) is correct because Weyl's Theorem applies to regular model sets 15 .

## 3. Result in one dimension

Let us explain the typical situation in one dimension with a representative example. Consider the


Fig. 2. Averaged shelling function $\sigma(r)$ for the silver mean model set, plotted against the distance $r \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ in physical space (left) and against its counterpart $r_{\text {int }}=\left|r^{\prime}\right|$ in internal space (right).
silver mean substitution rule $a \mapsto a b a, b \mapsto a$. This gives a semi-infinite fixed point of the form
|abaaabaabaabaaabaabaaabaabaaab ...

If $a$ codes an interval of length $1+\sqrt{2}$ and $b$ one of length 1 , this gives a point set, starting at 0 , which is the positive part of the silver mean model set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda=\left\{x \in \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}] \left\lvert\, x^{\prime} \in\left[-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\right]\right.\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where (. $)^{\prime}$ is the algebraic conjugation, defined by $\sqrt{2} \mapsto-\sqrt{2}$, and represents the $*$-map in this case.
$\Lambda-\Lambda$ is a subset of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$, and itself another model set, this time with window $[-\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}]$. So, applying Eq. (3), the autocorrelation coefficient for $y \in \Lambda-\Lambda$ can be written as $\nu(y)=f\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ with

$$
f\left(y^{\prime}\right)= \begin{cases}1-\frac{\left|y^{\prime}\right|}{\sqrt{2}} & \text { if }\left|y^{\prime}\right| \leq \sqrt{2}  \tag{6}\\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

The possible shelling radii are then the non-negative numbers in $\Lambda-\Lambda$. So, the shelling function is simply $\sigma(0)=1$ and, since $\nu(y)=\nu(-y), \sigma(y)=2 f\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ for any positive $y \in \Lambda-\Lambda$. Now, the averaged shelling can be calculated, and the smallest positive radii are $1,1+\sqrt{2}, 2+\sqrt{2}, 2+2 \sqrt{2}, 3+2 \sqrt{2}$ and so on. The result is plotted in Fig. 2. It is clearly seen how the inherent structure of the averaged shelling is hidden in physical space but clearly visible in internal space.

Let us briefly mention that one can also calculate the averaged shelling for the corresponding random tiling, as the autocorrelation is explicitly known in one dimension, see 5 for details.

## 4. Rhombic Penrose tiling

In the plane, the most studied non-periodic tiling is the classic Penrose tiling in its rhombic version, see the left part of Fig. 3. We consider a realization where the edges have unit length. The set of vertex points constitutes a model set, however one with four different translation classes and hence also four different windows [4]. Although it is still possible to


Fig. 3. Patches of the rhombic Penrose (left) and the Ammann-Beenker tiling (right). For the latter, two simpleton flips in the shaded hexagons are indicated. The thin lines correspond to the patch of the perfect tiling.
use Eq. (3), it is computationally a little easier to determine all finite patches of a certain radius together with their frequencies and to calculate the exact averaged shelling from that. This is done by a refinement of the window method as described in 4$]$. The result is summarized in Table 1.

Note that the squares of possible radii, $r^{2}$, are totally positive numbers (i.e. both $r^{2}$ and its algebraic conjugate are positive) in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\tau]$ where $\tau=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$ is the golden number. This can be understood from the underlying shelling problem of the cyclotomic ring $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$ with $\xi=\exp (2 \pi i / 5)$ and its number-theoretic ramifications, see 5 for details and a closed formula for the central shelling of $\mathbb{Z}[\xi]$.

## 5. Octagonal tiling: perfect versus random

Finally, let us consider the vertex set of the well-known Ammann-Beenker or octagonal tiling as shown in the right half of Fig. 3. As above, edges are fixed to have unit length. The vertex points form again a simple model set, obtained (up to scale) from the four-dimensional lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ with a regular octagon as window in the 2D internal space [3]. To obtain a good numerical approximation for a larger number of radii in this case, we have used a large periodic approximant (with 47321 vertices in the unit cell) and determined the averages explicitly. For small radii, the agreement with the exact formula based upon the window technique is 6 to 8 digits.


Fig. 4. Averaged shelling function $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(r)$ for the perfect Ammann-Beenker tiling, plotted against the radius $r$ in physical space (left) and against its counterpart $r_{\text {int }}$ in internal space (right).

Table 1. Exact results for the first 50 averaged shelling numbers $\sigma(r)$ of the rhombic Penrose tiling.

| $r^{2}$ | $\sigma(r)$ | $r^{2}$ | $\sigma(r)$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $2-\tau$ | $4-2 \tau$ | $5+8 \tau$ | $-22+16 \tau$ |
| 1 | 4 | $10+5 \tau$ | $52-32 \tau$ |
| $3-\tau$ | $8-4 \tau$ | $11+5 \tau$ | $-84+52 \tau$ |
| $4-\tau$ | $-12+8 \tau$ | $8+7 \tau$ | $20-12 \tau$ |
| $1+\tau$ | $10-4 \tau$ | $7+8 \tau$ | $-144+92 \tau$ |
| $2+\tau$ | $30-16 \tau$ | $10+7 \tau$ | $-106+66 \tau$ |
| 4 | $10-6 \tau$ | $7+9 \tau$ | $88-52 \tau$ |
| $3+\tau$ | $-28+20 \tau$ | $8+9 \tau$ | $128-76 \tau$ |
| 5 | $4-2 \tau$ | $9+9 \tau$ | $-138+86 \tau$ |
| $3+2 \tau$ | $16-8 \tau$ | $7+11 \tau$ | $150-88 \tau$ |
| $2+3 \tau$ | $-4+6 \tau$ | $9+10 \tau$ | $92-56 \tau$ |
| $5+2 \tau$ | $-56+36 \tau$ | $8+11 \tau$ | $-12+12 \tau$ |
| $7+\tau$ | $20-12 \tau$ | $11+10 \tau$ | $-180+112 \tau$ |
| $3+4 \tau$ | $58-32 \tau$ | $8+12 \tau$ | $126-76 \tau$ |
| $5+3 \tau$ | $40-24 \tau$ | $13+9 \tau$ | $52-32 \tau$ |
| $4+4 \tau$ | $2 \tau$ | $11+11 \tau$ | $156-96 \tau$ |
| $7+3 \tau$ | $-64+40 \tau$ | $12+11 \tau$ | $92-56 \tau$ |
| $4+5 \tau$ | $44-24 \tau$ | $13+11 \tau$ | $-32+20 \tau$ |
| $5+5 \tau$ | $42-24 \tau$ | $10+13 \tau$ | $48-28 \tau$ |
| $7+4 \tau$ | $52-32 \tau$ | $9+14 \tau$ | $-80+56 \tau$ |
| $6+5 \tau$ | $-68+44 \tau$ | $12+13 \tau$ | $-380+236 \tau$ |
| $8+4 \tau$ | $20-12 \tau$ | $10+15 \tau$ | $122-72 \tau$ |
| $9+4 \tau$ | $-32+20 \tau$ | $11+15 \tau$ | $-156+100 \tau$ |
| $5+7 \tau$ | $-36+28 \tau$ | $13+14 \tau$ | $164-100 \tau$ |
| $7+6 \tau$ | $120-72 \tau$ | $11+16 \tau$ | $108-64 \tau$ |

On the left of Fig. © , the averaged shelling is shown against the radius in physical space. The result looks as erratic as in the 1D case, except for the square-root growth of the averaged shelling numbers at small distances. On the right, plotting the shelling against the internal radius shows the inherent structure. The points lie on curves that start at multiples of eight on the ordinate and glide down convexly towards the abscissa which is met at a point between $r_{1}=1+\sqrt{2} \simeq 2.4142$ and $r_{2}=(4+2 \sqrt{2})^{1 / 2} \simeq 2.6131$. These radii correspond to the inradius and circumradius of the window of the set $\Lambda-\Lambda$, which is an octagon of sidelength two.

Alternatively, one may consider the corresponding random tiling. It can be obtained, starting from the perfect pattern, by simpleton thermalization, i.e., by repeating random simpleton flips as shown in Fig. 3 for sufficiently many times (some 1000 flips per vertex of the starting patch as a rule of thumb). Doing this for a periodic approximant with 8119 vertices in the unit cell and numerically evaluating the averaged shelling numbers for the resulting random tiling gives the other entries of Table 2. Note that a real ensemble average, which would give the correct (and exact) average, is practically impossible, so we have to rely on the self-averaging nature of the model.

Table 2. Averaged shelling function $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(r)$ for perfect and $\sigma_{\mathrm{s}}(r)$ for stochastic Ammann-Beenker tilings. The possible shell radii of the perfect tiling are a proper subset of those of the random tiling.

| $r^{2}$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(r)$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{s}}(r)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $2-\sqrt{2}$ | $4-2 \sqrt{2} \simeq 1.17157$ | 1.17157 |
| 1 | 4 | 4 |
| 2 | $-6+6 \sqrt{2} \simeq 2.48528$ | 2.34807 |
| $5-2 \sqrt{2}$ |  | 0.40719 |
| $8-4 \sqrt{2}$ |  | 0.01700 |
| 3 | $20-12 \sqrt{2} \simeq 3.02944$ | 2.81463 |
| $2+\sqrt{2}$ | $36-22 \sqrt{2} \simeq 4.88730$ | 3.92068 |
| 4 | $-2+2 \sqrt{2} \simeq 0.82843$ | 1.30213 |
| $13-6 \sqrt{2}$ |  | 0.00246 |
| $6-\sqrt{2}$ |  | 0.57889 |
| 5 | $-56+40 \sqrt{2} \simeq 0.56854$ | 1.63616 |
| $10-3 \sqrt{2}$ |  | 0.04459 |
| $3+2 \sqrt{2}$ | $12-4 \sqrt{2} \simeq 6.34315$ | 4.11676 |
| 6 |  | 0.90676 |
| $9-2 \sqrt{2}$ |  | 0.09977 |
| $4+2 \sqrt{2}$ | $32-20 \sqrt{2} \simeq 3.71573$ | 2.73580 |
| $6+\sqrt{2}$ | $-16+12 \sqrt{2} \simeq 0.97056$ | 1.66129 |
| $5+2 \sqrt{2}$ | $16-8 \sqrt{2} \simeq 4.68629$ | 4.33600 |
| 8 |  | 0.29191 |
| $11-2 \sqrt{2}$ |  | 0.07094 |
| $14-4 \sqrt{2}$ |  | 0.00739 |
| 9 |  | 0.62840 |
| $14-3 \sqrt{2}$ |  | 0.00838 |
| $7+2 \sqrt{2}$ | $24-16 \sqrt{2} \simeq 1.37258$ | 2.00517 |
| 10 |  | 0.33477 |
| $6+3 \sqrt{2}$ | $4 \sqrt{2} \simeq 5.65685$ | 4.77325 |
| 11 |  | 0.17416 |
| $17-4 \sqrt{2}$ |  | 0.00296 |
| $10+\sqrt{2}$ |  | 0.21949 |
| $6+4 \sqrt{2}$ |  | $4 \sqrt{2} \simeq 5.65685$ |

## 6. Open problems

Illustrated by explicit results for some of the most common examples, we have demonstrated what the averaged shelling for quasicrystals means and how the corresponding results look like. However, closed expressions seem difficult already for planar examples, and their real implications are still to be understood. It is clear that several interesting numbertheoretic connections are lurking in the back, at least if there is any reasonable generalization of the notion of theta functions. Some further hints at this can be found in [5], but additional work is needed before a more definitive statement can be made.

From the computational point of view, one can use the above approach and complete the exercise for the other standard examples, in particular for the icosahedral cases in three-dimensional space and for the Elser-Sloane quasicrystal (7]. One interesting aspect
certainly is the possibility to distinguish perfect from random order this way, as is apparent from the octagonal tilings treated above. This is to be compared with the Fourier space approach [9, 10] and could give rise to improved statements about the validity of perfect versus random tiling models.
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