

RETRACTION NOTE

Open Access



Retraction Note: Comparison of 0.05% cyclosporine and 3% diquafosol solution for dry eye patients: a randomized, blinded, multicenter clinical trial

Chang Hyun Park¹, Hyung Keun Lee², Mee Kum Kim³, Eun Chul Kim⁴, Jae Yong Kim⁵, Tae-im Kim⁶, Hong Kyun Kim⁷, Jong Suk Song⁸, Kyung Chul Yoon⁹, Do Hyung Lee¹⁰, Tae-Young Chung¹¹, Chul Young Choi¹² and Hyun Seung Kim^{1*}

Correction to: BMC Ophthalmol (2019) 19:131
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12886-019-1136-8>

The editor has retracted this article [1]. In the accompanying trial registry [2] the authors stated that they conducted three separate arms of this clinical trial. The article only reports the results of two of the arms. The data and the results of this article are therefore unreliable. Additionally, there is considerable overlap with a previously published article by the same authors [3].

**All authors agree to this retraction

[1] Park, C.H., Lee, H.K., Kim, M.K. et al. Comparison of 0.05% cyclosporine and 3% diquafosol solution for dry eye patients: a randomized, blinded, multicenter clinical trial. *BMC Ophthalmol* 19, 131 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12886-019-1136-8>

[2] https://cris.nih.go.kr/cris/en/search/search_result_st01.jsp?seq=6936

[3] Park CH, Kim MK, Kim EC, Kim JY, Kim TI, Kim HK, Song JS, Yoon KC, Lee DH, Lee HK, Chung TY, Choi CY, Kim HS. Efficacy of Topical Cyclosporine Nanoemulsion

0.05% Compared with Topical Cyclosporine Emulsion 0.05% and Diquafosol 3% in Dry Eye. *Korean J Ophthalmol*. 2019 Aug;33(4):343–352. <https://doi.org/10.3341/kjo.2018.0116>

Author details

¹Department of Ophthalmology, Yeouido St. Mary's Hospital, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, 10, 63-ro, Yeongdeungpo-gu, Seoul 07345, South Korea. ²The Institute of Vision Research, Department of Ophthalmology, Gangnam Severance Hospital, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea. ³Department of Ophthalmology, Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea. ⁴Department of Ophthalmology, Bucheon St. Mary's Hospital, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Bucheon, South Korea. ⁵Department of Ophthalmology, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea. ⁶The Institute of Vision Research, Department of Ophthalmology, Severance Hospital, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea. ⁷Department of Ophthalmology, Kyungpook National University School of Medicine, Daegu, South Korea. ⁸Department of Ophthalmology, Korea University College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea. ⁹Department of Ophthalmology, Chonnam National University Medical School, Gwangju, South Korea. ¹⁰Department of Ophthalmology, Ilsan Paik Hospital, Inje University College of Medicine, Goyang, South Korea. ¹¹Department of Ophthalmology, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea. ¹²Department of Ophthalmology, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Sungkyunkwan University School of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea.

Published online: 23 June 2020

Reference

1. Park, et al. Comparison of 0.05% cyclosporine and 3% diquafosol solution for dry eye patients: a randomized, blinded, multicenter clinical trial. *BMC Ophthalmol*. 2019;19:131. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12886-019-1136-8>.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12886-019-1136-8>.

* Correspondence: sara514@catholic.ac.kr

¹Department of Ophthalmology, Yeouido St. Mary's Hospital, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, 10, 63-ro, Yeongdeungpo-gu, Seoul 07345, South Korea

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.