|           | Exon c |   |   |   |  |  |      |    |  |  |  |   |   | Intron |   |    |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
|-----------|--------|---|---|---|--|--|------|----|--|--|--|---|---|--------|---|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|           | 1243   |   |   |   |  |  | 1310 |    |  |  |  |   |   |        |   |    |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Allele 1  | >      | Ť | А | Т |  |  |      | // |  |  |  | ċ | А | Т      | С | т١ | G | Т | G | А | G | Т | А | А | А | С | А | А | А | G | С | Т | А | А | Т | Т | Т | С | Т | А |
| Allele 2  | >      | Т | А | Т |  |  |      | // |  |  |  | С | А | Т      | С | Т  |   |   | A |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | А |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| Allele 3  | >      | Т | A | Т |  |  |      | // |  |  |  | С | А | Т      | С | Т  |   |   | G |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | G |   |   |   |   |   |   | ÷ |
| Allele 1M | >      | Т | G | Т |  |  |      | // |  |  |  | С | А | Т      | С | Т  |   |   | G |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   | А |   |   |   | ÷ |   |   |   |

**Figure 3** Sequence of alleles present in family Z. Alleles 1 and 2 differ at position +3 of the intron. Allele 3 differs, at intronic position +17, from alleles 1 and 2. The mutation A1244G is present on the background of allele 1.

mutation. Finally, this case illustrates the danger, in linkage analysis, of overinterpreting slight phenotypic or pathological features, especially for carrier-status determination in X-linked diseases.

> C. GUIRAUD-CHAUMEIL,<sup>1,\*</sup> M. C. VINCENT,<sup>2,\*</sup> J. LAPORTE,<sup>1</sup> M. FARDEAU,<sup>3</sup> F. SAMSON,<sup>4</sup> AND J.-L. MANDEL<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institut de Génétique et de Biologie Moléculaire et Cellulaire/INSERM/CNRS/Université Louis Pasteur, Illkirch; <sup>2</sup>Laboratoire de Génétique Moléculaire Humaine, Faculté de Médecine et CHRU, Strasbourg; <sup>3</sup>INSERM U153, Institut de Myologie, Paris; and <sup>4</sup>CNRS URA 1159, Le Plessis Robinson, France

# Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), the Centre National pour la Recherche Scientifique, Association Française contre les Myopathies, and the Centre Hospitalier Régional et Universitaire de Strasbourg.

#### References

- Dahl N, Hu LJ, Chery M, Fardeau M, Gilgenkrantz S, Nivelon-Chevallier A, Sidaner-Noisette I, et al (1995) Myotubular myopathy in a girl with a deletion at Xq27-q28 and unbalanced X inactivation assigns the MTM1 gene to a 600kb region. Am J Hum Genet 56:1108–1115
- Hu LJ, Laporte J, Kioschis P, Heyberger S, Kretz C, Poustka A, Mandel JL, et al (1996*a*) X-linked myotubular myopathy: refinement of the gene to a 280-kb region with new and highly informative microsatellite markers. Hum Genet 98: 178–181
- Hu LJ, Laporte J, Kress W, Dahl N (1996b) Prenatal diagnosis of X-linked myotubular myopathy: strategies using new and tightly linked DNA markers. Prenat Diagn 16:231-237
- Laporte J, Hu LJ, Kretz C, Mandel JL, Kioschis P, Coy JF, Klauck SM, et al (1996) A gene mutated in X-linked myotubular myopathy defines a new putative tyrosine phosphatase family conserved in yeast. Nat Genet 13:175–182
- Samson F, Mesnard L, Heimburger M, Hanauer A, Chevallay M, Mercadier JJ, Pelissier JF, et al (1995) Genetic linkage heterogeneity in myotubular myopathy. Am J Hum Genet 57:120-126
- Wallgren-Pettersson C, Clarke A, Samson F, Fardeau M, Du-

bowitz V, Moser H, Grimm T, et al (1995) The myotubular myopathies: differential diagnosis of the X linked recessive, autosomal dominant, and autosomal recessive forms and present state of DNA studies. J Med Genet 32:673-679

Address for correspondence and reprints: Dr. Jean-Louis Mandel, IGBMC, B.P. 163, 67404 ILLKIRCH, CU de Strasbourg, France.

\*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Am. J. Hum. Genet. 60:1544-1548, 1997

## Detection of an Atypical 22q11 Deletion That Has No Overlap with the DiGeorge Syndrome Critical Region

#### To the Editor:

Recent data indicate that the etiology of DiGeorge syndrome (DGS) and velo-cardio-facial syndrome (VCFS) is more complex than previously thought, with one recent report suggesting the existence of a second "critical region" within 22q11 (Kurahashi et al. 1996). Here we report another patient, with a mild phenotype, who has a deletion distinct from, and distal to, the established proximal critical region.

Interstitial deletions within human chromosome 22q11 have been described in patients with DGS (Scambler et al. 1991; Driscoll et al. 1992a), VCFS (Driscoll et al. 1992b; Scambler et al. 1992), and conotruncal anomaly face (CTAF) (Burn et al. 1993) and in a minority of patients with overlap between VCFS and Opitz GBBB (McDonald-McGinn et al. 1995). They also have been reported in many instances in which the full spectrum of these syndromes is not evident-for instance, in congenital heart disease (Wilson et al. 1992). However, in these cases there is usually facial dysmorphism typical of that seen in VCFS. Since both mild and severe malformations, as well as birth defects affecting distinct organ systems, can occur in the same family, these various abnormalities are generally thought to share the same genetic etiology-namely, haploinsufficiency for a gene(s) within 22q11.

Several investigators have compared 22q11 deletions, in order to establish a shortest region of deletion overlap (SRO). Comparison of the position and extent of deletions does not suggest any simple genotype/phenotype correlation. The interstitial deletions reported have almost all been large, involving the same region of  $\sim 2$ Mb. Examination of terminal deletions enabled us to establish an SRO of  $\sim$ 500 kb (Halford et al. 1993). Others have narrowed this further, to  $\sim 250$  kb (Gong et al. 1996). Although this region evidently contained more than one gene, the balance of evidence favored the hypothesis that the birth defects are secondary to haploinsufficiency of a single gene, since a balanced 2;22 translocation breakpoint, ADUBP, mapped within the SRO. Positional cloning efforts concentrated on identification of genes in the proximity of the ADUBP.

Three groups have reported cloning of sequences at or adjacent to the ADU breakpoint (Budarf et al. 1995; Demczuk et al. 1995; Wadey et al. 1995). However, no gene isolated from this region can be unequivocally implicated in DGS. Budarf and colleagues sequenced the disrupted sequences within ADU and identified an open reading frame disrupted by ADUBP, but no cDNA encoding this open reading frame could be isolated (Budarf et al. 1995). A gene, DGCR5, spanning the balanced translocation has been cloned, but it does not appear to code for a protein (Sutherland et al. 1996). The structural gene closest to the ADU breakpoint is DGCR2/ IDD, encoding a transmembrane protein (Demczuk et al. 1995; Wadey et al. 1995). However, none of these sequences appears to have a point mutation in any patient without a 22q11 deletion.

Levy et al. (1995) and colleagues reported a child (patient G) with DGS and a 22q11 deletion that did not extend into the region disrupted in ADU. One possible interpretation (Levy et al. 1995) is that the ADU breakpoint exerts a position effect on a gene(s) located distally within the refined SRO. Most recently, Kurahashi et al. (1996) described a patient with CTAF and a deletion that did not overlap with the SRO located proximally (see fig. 1, *top*), and they suggested the existence of a second critical region located distally within the frequently deleted region. The location and number of genes involved in VCFS/DGS is therefore currently in doubt.

In this paper we report on the mapping of a 22q11 deletion found in one member of a family referred on the basis of familial congenital heart defect. The deletion in this patient is distal to the proximal SRO but overlaps with the deletion reported by Kurahashi.

Family A (pedigree in fig. 1, *bottom*) was screened with 22q11 markers, since three children had congenital heart defects. One child (II.3) had died as a consequence of the heart defects, and no sample was available for analysis. She had an inlet muscular ventricular septal



**Figure 1** Top, Map of 22q11 deletion breakpoints. The relative position of 22q11 markers is shown at the top of the panel; and the positions of various deletion breakpoints are given at the bottom of the panel, with the ADUBP depicted by the jagged line. The superscript "1" and "2" indicate MDGCR, as defined by Gong et al. (1996), in relation to the SRO seen in patients whom we have analyzed—namely, GM00980 and G. The superscript "3" indicates the putative second SRO, as proposed by Kurahashi et al. (1996), distal to and distinct from the proximal SRO. The deletion analyzed here (NA) overlaps with the distal SRO and is similarly distinct from the proximal SRO. Bottom, Pedigree of family A.

defect, septum primum atrial septal defect, patent ductus arteriosus and dextrarotation, and hypothyroidism. She also had slightly short limbs, but a skeletal survey revealed no specific dysplasia. The parents were normal on examination and echocardiography but were first cousins. Patient NA (II.2) had normal limbs and, at birth, a ventricular septal defect that did not require surgical intervention and closed spontaneously. No immune studies have been conducted, since there is a history of typical childhood infections only. There is no documented instance of hypocalcemia, but parathyroidfunction studies were not performed. The palate and facies are normal, and there is no nasal intonation to the speech. Learning and behavior are normal. Child



**Figure 2** FISH analysis of patient NA's metaphase chromosomes, with 22q11 markers. Arrowheads are used to indicate chromosome 22. Panels *a* and *b* show, respectively, markers scF5 and N60C11, both of which detect signal on both chromosomes 22; these markers map proximal to the deletion. Panels *c* and *d* show, respectively, markers D0832 and N122B5, which detect signal on only one chromosome 22; these markers are within the deletion. Panels *e* and *f* show, respectively, markers 118D3 and N14C6, which detect signal on both chromosomes 22; these markers are distal to the 22q11 deletion. The chromosome 22 centromeric marker D22Z1 (which also detects chromosome 14) has been used to identify both chromosomes 22 in some hybridizations, including those in which there is test probe signal only on the nondeleted chromosome (*c* and *d*).

II.1 had a cardiomyopathy but no stigmata of VCFS or DGS and had normal limbs; cardiomyopathy is not a feature of 22q11 deletions. A fourth child, born after the chromosome analysis, had the short-limbed phenotype.

A routine diagnostic test revealed that NA was dizygous for the marker N25 (D22S75) but hemizygous for cosmid D0832 (see map in fig. 1, top). In order to confirm and extend these unexpected findings, a series of markers from across the commonly deleted region (Collins et al. 1995; Morrow et al. 1995) were examined to map the proximal and distal extents of deletion. FISH analysis demonstrated dizygosity for markers sc11.1, scF5 (fig. 2a), and N60C11 (fig. 2b). Markers D0832 (fig. 2c) and N122B5 (fig. 2d) detected hemizygosity in patient NA, whereas sequences detected by 118D3 and N14C6 were dizygous (fig. 2e and f). NA is hemizygous for cosmid N122B5 and dizygous for the mega-YAC 118D3. Interrogation of the Sanger center database revealed that both these markers are contained within the mega-YAC M652G7; therefore, the distal deletion breakpoint must be within mega-YAC M652G7. No analysis with HKAD was conducted. However, the HKAD cosmid and the mega-YAC M652G7 both contain the LZTR gene, so the distal extents of these two atypical deletions are similar.

FISH analysis demonstrates that patient NA has an atypically small 22q11 deletion. This is the third 22q11 deletion that does not extend into the region disrupted by the ADU balanced-translocation breakpoint, but, more strikingly, the deletion does not overlap with the previously described SRO for DGS/VCFS. The distal extent of our SRO is defined by the deletion seen in cell line GM00980 (Halford et al. 1993). Cosmid N60C11 is dizygous in GM00980 and therefore maps distal to the SRO (Halford et al. 1993). N60C11 is also dizygous in patient NA, mapping proximal to the deletion. Both parents had normal chromosomes and were dizygous for N25 and D0832. These observations were corroborated by microsatellite analysis (B. Morrow, unpublished data).

In the absence of a complete transcription map of 22q11, it is not possible to state unequivocally that distinct genes are affected in ADU, in patients G and NA. However, since the distance from the ADU breakpoint to the proximal boundary of the NA deletion is  $\geq 500$  kb, this does seem unlikely. Since the deletion in NA is likely to overlap with the deletion observed in the CTAF patient of Kurahashi et al. (1996), it seems most probable that the phenotype in these two patients is secondary to haploinsufficiency of the same gene(s).

The mild phenotype observed in NA is worthy of comment, since, apart from the previous history of having had a VSD, she could be described as unaffected. Her sib had a more complex congenital heart defect, but no material was available for analysis. Evidently there is the possibility of a germinal mosaicism in this family, and there may be an independently segregating recessive mutation resulting in a mild shortening of the limbs. Interestingly, the CTAF patient with a small distal deletion also had a heart defect (pulmonary atresia and tetralogy of Fallot), and no hypoparathyroidism or cellmediated immune deficiency was reported. It is possible that deletions within the distal "critical" region are more likely to result in a restricted phenotype, for which karyotype analysis might not be requested. In any case, examination with the commercially available probes would not detect their deletions.

It might be argued that the mild heart defect is due to factors other than a 22q11 deletion. In this case, it must be concluded that the deletion has no effect even though it covers approximately the same region as the CTAF distal deletion (Kurahashi et al. 1996). The hypothesis that distal deletions predispose to less severe defects than proximal deletions is robust against this objection. The number and location of genes involved in DGS/VCFS remains in doubt, with the identification of these genes made more difficult by the existence of nonoverlapping deletions and the likelihood of position effects within the region (Sutherland et al. 1996).

HILARY O'DONNELL,<sup>1</sup> CAROLE MCKEOWN,<sup>2</sup> CLIVE GOULD,<sup>3</sup> BERNICE MORROW,<sup>4</sup> AND PETER SCAMBLER<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Molecular Medicine Unit, Institute of Child Health, London; <sup>2</sup>West Midlands Regional Clinical Genetics Service, Birmingham Maternity Hospital, and <sup>3</sup>Department of Cytogenetics, Heartlands Hospital, Birmingham, United Kingdom; and <sup>4</sup>Molecular Genetics, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx

### Acknowledgments

The MRC (U.K.) and British Heart Foundation provided generous support.

### References

- Budarf ML, Collins J, Gong W, Roe B, Wang Z, Bailey LC, Sellinger B, et al (1995) Cloning a balanced translocation associated with DiGeorge syndrome and identification of a disrupted candidate gene. Nat Genet 10:269-278
- Burn J, Takao A, Wilson DI, Cross I, Momma K, Wadey R, Scambler PJ, et al (1993) Conotruncal anomaly face syndrome is associated with the deletion within chromosome 22q11. J Med Genet 30:822-824
- Collins JE, Cole CG, Smink LJ, Garrett CL, Leversha MA, Soderlund CA, Maslen GL, et al (1995) A high resolution integrated yeast artificial chromosome clone map of human chromosome 22. Nature Suppl 377:367-379
- Demczuk S, Aledo R, Zucman J, Delattre O, Desmaze C, Dauphinot L, Jalbert P, et al (1995) Cloning of a balanced translocation breakpoint in the DiGeorge syndrome critical region and isolation of a novel potential adhesion receptor gene in its vicinity. Hum Mol Genet 4:551–558
- Driscoll DA, Budarf ML, Emanuel B (1992) A genetic etiology for DiGeorge syndrome: consistent deletions and microdeletions of 22q11. Am J Hum Genet 50:924–933
- Driscoll DA, Spinner NB, Budarf ML, McDonald-McGinn PM, Zackai EH, Goldberg RB, Shprintzen RJ, et al (1992) Deletions and microdeletions of 22q11.2 in VCFS. Am J Med Genet 44:261-268
- Gong W, Emanuel BS, Collins J, Kim DH, Wang Z, Chen F, Zhang G, et al (1996) A transcription map of the DiGeorge and velo-cardio-facial syndrome minimal critical region on 22q11. Hum Mol Genet 5:789–800
- Halford S, Wadey R, Roberts C, Daw SCM, Whiting JA, O'Donnell H, Dunham I, et al (1993) Isolation of a putative transcriptional regulator from the region of 22q11 deleted in DiGeorge syndrome, Shprintzen syndrome and familial congenital heart disease. Hum Mol Genet 2:2099-2107
- Kurahashi H, Nakayama T, Osugi Y, Tsuda E, Masuno M, Imaizumi K, Kamiya T, et al (1996) Deletion mapping of 22q11 in CATCH22 syndrome: identification of a second critical region. Am J Hum Genet 58:1377-1381
- Levy A, Demczuk S, Aurias A, Depetris D, Mattei MG, Philip N (1995) Interstitial 22q11 deletion excluding the ADU breakpoint in a patient with DGS. Hum Mol Genet 4:2417-2418
- McDonald-McGinn DM, Driscoll DA, Bason L, Christensen K, Lynch D, Sullivan K, Canning D, et al (1995) Autosomal dominant "Opitz" GBBB syndrome due to a 22q11.2 deletion. Am J Med Genet 59:103-113
- Morrow B, Goldberg R, Carlson C, Das Gupta R, Sirotkin H, Collins J, Dunham I, et al (1995) Molecular definition of the 22q11 deletions in velo-cardio-facial syndrome. Am J Hum Genet 56:1379–1390
- Scambler PJ, Carey AH, Wyse RKH, Roach S, Dumanski JP, Nordenskjold M, Williamson R (1991) Microdeletions within 22q11 associated with sporadic and familial Di-George syndrome. Genomics 10:201-206

- Scambler PJ, Kelly D, Williamson R, Goldberg R, Shprintzen R (1992) The velo-cardio-facial syndrome is associated with chromosome 22 deletions which encompass the DiGeorge syndrome locus. Lancet 339:1138–1139
- Sutherland HF, Wadey R, McKie JM, Taylor C, Atif U, Johnstone KA, Halford S, et al (1996) Identification of a novel transcript disrupted by a balanced translocation associated with DiGeorge syndrome. Am J Hum Genet 59:23-31
- Wadey R, Daw S, Taylor C, Atif U, Kamath S, Halford S, O'Donnell H, et al (1995) Isolation of a gene encoding an integral membrane protein from the vicinity of a balanced translocation breakpoint associated with the DiGeorge syndrome. Hum Mol Genet 4:1027-1034
- Wilson DI, Goodship JA, Burn J, Cross IE, Scambler PJ (1992) Deletions within chromosome 22q11 in familial congenital heart disease. Lancet 340:573-575

Address for correspondence and reprints: Dr. Peter J. Scambler, Room 214, Molecular Medicine Unit, Institute of Child Health, 30, Guilford Street, London WC1N 1EH, UNited Kingdom. E-mail: pscamble@hgmp.mrc.ac.uk © 1997 by The American Society of Human Genetics. All rights reserved. 0002-9297/97/6006-0036\$02.00

Am. J. Hum. Genet. 60:1548-1552, 1997

## Linkage Disequilibrium between the Spinocerebellar Ataxia 3/Machado-Joseph Disease Mutation and Two Intragenic Polymorphisms, One of Which, X359Y, Affects the Stop Codon

### To the Editor:

Machado-Joseph disease (Takiyama et al. 1993) or spinocerebellar ataxia 3 (Stevanin et al. 1994a) (SCA3/ MJD), is the most frequent form of autosomal dominant cerebellar ataxia type I, a heterogeneous group of neurodegenerative disorders of unknown etiology (Harding 1993; Sequeiros and Coutinho 1993; Dürr and Brice 1996; Dürr et al. 1996). The responsible mutation has been characterized as an unstable CAG-repeat expansion in the coding region of the MJD1 gene (Kawaguchi et al. 1994; Cancel et al. 1995). The molecular mechanism leading to repeat expansion remains unknown. However, Igarashi et al. (1996) recently suggested, on the basis of an intragenic polymorphism (987G $\rightarrow$ C) in the MJD1 gene, that an allelic interaction between the normal and expanded chromosomes may be implicated in the instability of the expanded CAG repeat. Until recently, the SCA3/MJD mutation was thought to originate in the Azores Islands. We now know that the disease is not restricted to patients of Portuguese descent and that several different mutations probably are involved, even in Portuguese pedigrees (Stevanin et al. 1995a; Takiyama et al. 1995; Gaspar et al. 1996; Jughetti et al. 1996). We report here a new intragenic polymorphism at the stop codon (1118A $\rightarrow$ C) of the MJD1 cDNA, resulting in the addition of 16 amino acid residues to the C-terminal domain of the gene product, that does not affect the phenotype. Analysis of the haplotypes defined by the 987G $\rightarrow$ C and 1118A $\rightarrow$ C polymorphisms revealed the existence of four different haplotypes associated with the SCA3/MJD mutation that have resulted from at least four ancestral mutations.

We have cloned cDNAs corresponding to the normal and expanded MJD1 alleles from a SCA3/MJD patient, by retro-transcription of brain mRNA followed by PCR. Direct sequencing of both cDNAs revealed at codon 359 a polymorphism that differs from the published MJD1 sequence (Kawaguchi et al. 1994) and that corresponds to a 1118A $\rightarrow$ C substitution (Ter $\rightarrow$ Y) predicting an SCA3/MJD protein containing 16 additional amino acid residues (YELHVI-FALHYSSFPL). This result is in agreement with preliminary results obtained on cDNA from lymphoblastoid mRNA (Trottier et al. 1995) and from a brain library (Goto et al. 1996). The polymorphisms can be detected rapidly by differential PCR with the MJD52 primer (Kawaguchi et al. 1994) and either MJD-TAA (GCAAAAATCACATGGAGCTCT) or MJD-TAC (GCAAAAATCACATGGAGCTCG) for the 1118A $\rightarrow$ C polymorphism and either MJD-GGG (CTCTGTCCTGATAGGTCCCCC) or MJD-CGG (CTCTGTCCTGATAGGTCCCG) for the  $987G \rightarrow C$ polymorphism. PCR amplifications were performed as described elsewhere (Cancel et al. 1995), except for annealing at 64°C and 63°C for the 1118A→C and  $987G \rightarrow C$  polymorphisms, respectively. PCR products were separated on 1% agarose gels and were visualized by UV exposure in the presence of  $0.5 \,\mu g$  ethidium bromide/ml (fig. 1). PCR products from control subjects who were homozygous for a polymorphism on both normal chromosomes were run on 6% acrylamide gels for better resolution of the alleles and were visualized by autoradiography, after being blotted onto nylon membranes and hybridized with a  $\gamma^{32}$ Plabeled (CAG)7 oligonucleotide.



**Figure 1** Detection of the four  $987G \rightarrow C/1118A \rightarrow C$  haplotypes on expanded SCA3/MJD alleles. The four PCR amplifications (see text) for each subject were run on a 1% agarose gel containing 0.5 µg of ethidium bromide/ml, to separate normal and expanded alleles.