IHS Automotive

Supplying the OEMs

SupplierBusiness

Supplying Hyundai-Kia

2014 edition

supplierbusiness.com





Contents

Overview		6
Global market overview		
Financial data		
Hyundai Motor Group financial overview		
,		
Product strategy		8
Company history and strategy review		
Major model programmes		
Kia Rio		11
Hyundai Verna		13
Hyundai Tucson		15
Kia Sportage		20
Hyundai Santa Fe		21
Hyundai i30		26
Vehicle platforms		28
Platform strategy		28
Major platforms		29
1. HD - (inc. Hyundai Avante, Elantra, i30, ix35, Tucso	n; Kia Forte, Cerato, Cee'd, K3, Soul, Sportage)	29
2. PB - (inc. Hyundai Accent, i20, Veloster; Kia Pride,	Rio, Soul, Venga)	30
3. NF/CM - (inc. Sonata, Santa Fe, Grandeur, i40; Kia	a Optima, Magentis, K4, K5, K7, Sorento)	30
	·)	
6. J2/J3 - (Hyundai Avante, Elantra, Tiburon, Tucson;	Kia Cerato, Sportage)	33
	Quoris)	
	a)	
Component sharing		
Volume planning		37
Production strategy		
Production strategy overview		
Manufacturing network		
· ·		
Turkey		48

$IHS^{^{\!\top\!}}\textbf{Automotive-SupplierBusiness}$

Principal Author: Julian Buckley

COPYRIGHT NOTICE AND LEGAL DISCLAIMER

COPYRIGHT NOTICE AND LEGAL DISCLAIMER
© 2014 IHS. No portion of this report may be reproduced, reused, or otherwise distributed in any form without prior written consent, with the exception of any internal client distribution as may be permitted in the license agreement between client and IHS. Content reproduced or redistributed with IHS permission must display IHS legal notices and attributions of authorship. The information contained herein is from sources considered reliable but its accuracy and completeness are not warranted, nor are the opinions and analyses which are based upon it, and to the extent permitted by law, IHS shall not be liable for any errors or omissions or any loss, damage or expense incurred by reliance on information or any statement contained herein. For more information, please contact IHS at customercare@ihs.com, +1 800 IHS CARE (from North American locations), or +44 (0) 1344 328 300 (from outside North America). All products, company names or other marks appearing in this publication are the trademarks and property of IHS or their respective owners.



India	49
Russia	
Brazil	
Internal supply network	
Modularisation strategy	
Supplier parks	
Cluster of reference	
Strategies for manufacturing efficiency	56
Purchasing strategy	E(
Purchasing strategy ————————————————————————————————————	
Levels of vertical integration and outsourcing	
Purchasing organisation	
Purchasing offices	
Key purchasing personnel	
Purchasing budget	
Supplier selection	62
Supply base development	62
Major and strategic suppliers	
Supplier evaluation criteria	64
Working with Hyundai Kia	6
North America	65
Europe	66
Global sourcing	
Policy and plans	
APAC	
NAFTA	
EMEA	
LATAM	68
Pricing policy	7.
Cost reduction strategies	
Payment terms	
Raw material price management	
naw material price management	1 2
Quality management	74
Quality level	
Quality management systems	
Supplier integration into product development	75
Management of suppliers and sub-suppliers	75
Supplier awards	76
Геchnology	
Technological positioning	
Areas of focus	
R&D spendingR&D organisation	
Access to supplier technology and process development	
Approach to alternative fuels, electrification and fuel cells	
Special vehicle development	

Interview	
Supplier relationship survey	04
Introduction to the SuRe Index	
Methodology	
Executive summary	
Performance review – Hyundai Kia	
2014 SuRe survey results	
Top of the ranking: Trust and future potential drive harmony	
Middle of the ranking: OEMs push cost reduction	
Bottom ranking: Cost overrules quality	
Profit potential	
Organization	
Trust	
Pursuit of excellence	
Outlook	
SuRe by region	
North America	
Europe	
SuRe by company size	
Outle by company size imminimum immi	55
SWOT analysis – Hyundai Kia	100
Global footprint	101
Forward model programme	103
HYUNDAI	
KIA	
Hyundai Kia Vehicle Line-up by Brand/Global Nameplate	105
Major suppliers	117
Models	
Figure 1: Global light vehicle sales, 2007–2013	•
Figure 1: Global light vehicle sales, 2007–2013Figure 2: The Pony was the first model developed internally by Hyundai	
Figure 3: The Hyundai Avante shares its exterior styling with the Elantra	II
Figure 4: The Kia Rio 1.1 CRDi is capable of achieving 85g of CO ₂ per kilometre	13 45
Figure 5: The latest Hyundai Accent is only available as either a saloon or five-door hatchback	
Figure 6: A replacement for the second-gen Hyundai Tucson (pictured) is expected in 2015-'16	
Figure 7: The VF Sonata was the pinnacle of Fluidic Sculpture design Figure 8: The latest Hyundai Sonata is considered a full-size model in North America	
Figure 9: The third-generation Kia Sportage was styled by former Audi designer Peter Schreyer	
Figure 10: The tapering rear window design identifies this as the 2014 Hyundai Santa Fe Sport	
Figure 11: The third-gen Kia Optima (pictured) could be replaced with a new model over 2015	
Figure 12: Kia launched the first diesel version of the K3 in South Korea in 2013, using the 1.6-litre CRDi	
engine	
Figure 13: Hyundai introduced the second-gen i30 in 2012	
Figure 14: Hyundai launched the second-gen i10 in late 2013	
Figure 15: The Kia K2700, pictured with a flatbed, is based on the AU platformFigure 16: The rooftop photovoltaic array at the Hyundai Asan plant is described as the largest in South	

Norea	42
Figure 17: The assembly line at Hyundai Motor Manufacturing Alabama	44
Figure 18: Artist's impression of third Kia plant in China - SOP production is scheduled for 2014	46
Figure 19: Kia Sportage leaving the assembly line at the plant in Zilina, Slovakia	47
Figure 20: The new i10 will make up most of the output at the Hyundai plant in Izmit, Turkey	48
Figure 21: Celebration of the 500,000th car produced at Hyundai Motors Russia, a Solaris saloon	50
Figure 22: Launch of the Brazil-only HB20 hatchback	51
Figure 23: The Namyang R&D centre	
Figure 24: Hyundai America Technical Center in Ann Arbor, Michigan	
Figure 25: Diagram of the diesel-electric Kia Optima T-Hybrid, unveiled at the 2014 Paris motor show	
Figure 26: The Hyundai ix35 Fuel Cell in London	84
Figure 27: Gesture-based control technologies in the HCD-14 Genesis concept allow the interior to be	
decluttered	
Figure 28: The Intrado (HED-9) concept was unveiled at the 2013 Geneva motor show	
Figure 29: Unveiled in 2013, the Kia Cross GT concept has a hybrid powertrain and a third row of seating	
Figure 30: SuRe Index – Top of the ranking	
Figure 31: SuRe Index – Middle of the ranking	
Figure 32: SuRe Index – Bottom of the ranking	
Figure 33: SuRe Index - North America	98
Figure 34: SuRe Index – Europe	
Figure 35: SuRe Index - Asia	
Figure 36: Map for Hyundai Kia global assembly plant locations	
Figure 37: The Kia GT4 Stinger concept featured carbon fibre wheels	
Figure 38: Hyundai Kia forward model programme	. 105
Tables	
Table 1: Key financial performance values for Hyundai Motor	6
Table 2: Global vehicle sales (2003–2023) by Strategic Group	
Table 3: Hyundai Kia Top 10 best-selling models worldwide (by Sales brand, Global nameplate) 2008–2013	
2018	
Table 4: North American sales for Hyundai Accent, Kia Rio and segment competitors (2007–2017)	
Table 5: Sales of the Hyundai Verna (by regional sales nameplates) 2007–2017	
Table 6: Global sales for Hyundai Tucson, related models and segment competitors (2007–2017)	
Table 7: Global sales for Hyundai Sonata, related models and segment competitors (2007–2017)	
Table 8: Kia Sportage, Global sales (2010–2017)	
Table 9: Global sales for Hyundai Santa Fe, related models and segment competitors (2007–2020)	
Table 10: Hyundai Kia Global platform volumes (by Manufacturer group) 2008–2013–2018–2023	
Table 11: Global sales for Hyundai Sonata, related models and segment competitors (2007–2017)	
Table 12: Global sales for Hyundai i10, Kia Morning and segment competitors (2007 - 2020)	
Table 13: North American sales for Hyundai Equus, Kia K900 and segment competitors (2009–2017)	35
Table 14: 2012 Hyundai i30 and Kia Cee'd supplier comparison sheet (from the IHS Who Supplies Whom	00
database)	
Table 15: Mexico production output (by Production brand) 2012–2017	
Table 16: Hyundai Kia 2014 Global manufacturing footprint (by Production plant)	
Table 17: PPG products used across Hyundai and Kia production (from the SupplierBusiness Who Supplier Whom details and	
Whom database) Table 18: BorgWarner parts used across Hyundai and Kia production (from the SupplierBusiness Who Su	
plies Whom database)	•
Table 19: Hyundai and Kia supplier relations survey performance (2006–2013)	
Table 19: Hyundai and Kia supplier relations survey performance (2006–2013)	
Table 21: Hyundai-Kia SWOT Analysis	
Table 22: Hyundai Kia brand production locations (by Country, production plant) 2013–2014	
Table 23: Major suppliers to Hyundai and Kia (by model)	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Vehicle platforms

Platform strategy

Like all other major OEMs, Hyundai Kia has been looking at ways to consolidate platforms across both group brands and like model ranges to increase finished vehicle volumes in relation to initial development investment, which in turn leads to improved economies of scale and greater margins.

Within this range of shared platforms Hyundai Kia has been looking to achieve greater levels of flexibility, a goal designed to support not just like model ranges but individual model types within those ranges, all with only minimal alterations. This is particularly important due to the continuing popularity of crossover models, both in the C- and B-segments, while the same can also support development of niche models unique to an individual brand. Based on the standard platform layout, where front, middle and rear sections are joined before the body sides are offered up to this assembly, such changes would focus on the middle section and the use of different pressings to alter the wheelbase length to suit the vehicle type being manufactured. This leaves the suspension hard points and engine bay bulkhead as fixed points of reference.

Further, the two brands have retained distinct design groups. Although they are required to use the same powertrains and platforms – and standardized parts which are designed to work within those architectures – almost everything else about the individual Hyundai and Kia models is different. This is not just limited to the exterior designs but also the interior, where the IP within a Hyundai is based around the centre stack, and in a Kia the general layout of controls is spread across the dashboard. Within this, equipment is unique to the brand, as are materials and even onboard infotainment and telematics systems.

As steel technologies have advanced, so stronger, more rigid platform structures have been possible and this has also helped to differentiate the brands. These improved materials mean that there is a reduced amount of appreciable flex in the platform structure when suspension impacts occur, which means that engineers can be far more precise in tuning an otherwise shared suspension set-up. This ultimately means that these engineers can tune a vehicle's suspension to reflect the character of the brand, rather than to off-set the unwanted chassis flex. This results in each brand having a set of characteristics which are applied to each vehicle, where Hyundai is largely oriented towards ride comfort and Kia applies a less forgiving set-up for improved driver feedback and road handling.

Overall, there are some key differences between how Hyundai Kia and competing manufacturers have gone about achieving the current depth of platform shares. As operations across the two South Korean OEMs have moved forward since 1999, they have managed to share platforms across all major vehicle segments; this, without a dedicated modular system, such as the Volkswagen Group's MQB. In most cases, the basic platform sharing system employed by Hyundai and Kia is more commonly across production of low-volume, high-cost premium models, largely due to the increased cost being able to produce a clearly different vehicle. Yet Hyundai and Kia have devised a system which not only cuts costs but delivers volume models that, while using the same underlying engineering, have sufficient differentiating factors that the two brands do not wantonly cannibalize sales off each other.

As of 2013, Hyundai claimed that it was building 40 distinct vehicles based on just six integrated platforms – using the same number of platforms, the OEM was producing 36 and 32 models in 2011 and 2009 respectively, while in 2002 there were no integrated platforms in use. Considering the number of vehicle types, models and versions, this 2013 figure calls into question what criteria are being used to define an 'individual' platform. Where an extended wheelbase alone does not result in an all-new structure, changes to fixed points, such as A-pillar location, should be sufficient to warrant a new structure type. No matter what the actual figure, it is clear that Hyundai has managed to streamline the total number of platforms it shares across the two group carmakers, which will help reduce production costs (tooling, storage, development, etc.) and also development time – Hyundai claims that shared platforms have reduced average vehicle development times from 40 months to just 19 months.

Supplier selection

Supply base development

The majority of OEMs based in the western hemisphere each rely on a mature network of independent supply companies, acting either globally or regionally, for delivery of parts, components and modules. In some cases, parts delivered from the supplier network comprise up to 80% of each finished vehicle. These networks have been further developed over an extended period of managed out-sourcing, where the OEMs have used tier one suppliers and the upstream supply chain as both a route to reducing internal costs and as a ready-made R&D network.

These OEM-supplier relationships have taken considerable time to develop—some date back (with differences in arrangement and operation) to when the automotive industry was in its infancy. This has led to these relationships becoming a vital element of modern vehicle production and development. Examples of these extended partnerships include Mercedes-Benz and Bosch, General Motors and Delphi, Ford and Visteon – in Japan, this extends to Toyota and Denso.

Longevity and the open market have both played their part in shaping the development of these supplier/OEM relationships, but this natural evolution has not been available to Hyundai. As a relative newcomer to the world automotive stage, the carmaker has needed to rapidly grow a supplier network in a country where there was previously none. In its initial stages, this process was influenced by policies put in place by the Korean national government and by the preferred formation of family business empires, or chaebol. With the resulting vertical arrangement of companies, these corporate groups bear a greater similarity to the Japanese keiretsu, or groups of preferred suppliers, rather than the current Western arrangement of external suppliers, which consists of former group companies which have been spun off to become independent entities and wholly-independent suppliers.

Hyundai has created its own supplier network in South Korea through two routes, either by forming its own company or by purchasing companies to fulfil a specific role. Whichever way these operations were brought into the Hyundai Motor Group, they now act as the primary tier one companies delivering to both Hyundai and Kia. Although there are differences by company, each has been tailored to address a specific need within the total process of vehicle manufacturing, to the point where they have become the default players on both the national and international stage, as Hyundai Kia has grown in stature around the world.

Until recently, these suppliers could not match the level of quality delivered by most companies working with western OEMs, but over the past decade they have made considerable advances in both quality and R&D capability – these gains can largely be attributed to the push by Hyundai and Kia to improve finished vehicle quality so as to compete directly with established carmakers and brands. Such is the improved level of quality now delivered by the group tier suppliers that they have looked to diversify away from their traditional relationships with Hyundai and Kia and deliver parts to other global OEMs. Considering the cyclical nature of the automotive business, in the long-term this should prove beneficial for Hyundai, Kia and the individual suppliers.

Major and strategic suppliers

The companies within the Hyundai Motor Group are, by positioning and delivered part volumes, both major and strategic suppliers. Listed in the Internal Supply Network section (please see Production Strategy section), these companies (which include Hyundai Mobis, Hyundai Wia and Hyundai Dymos) produce specific parts and modules to support vehicle production. Beyond this, there are also companies within the group which operate beyond design and production of parts, such as Hyundai Steel, which delivers almost 50% of all steel used across Hyundai and Kia production, and Hyundai Glovis, which ships finished vehicles to their respective destinations.

Outside the Hyundai group of companies, there remain a series of high-profile suppliers delivering considerable portions of their respective annual output to the South Korean OEM. One such company is Mando, which is the largest South Korean tier one supplier, specializing in development and production of brake, steering and suspension modules. Formerly part of the South Korean Halla Group, Mando was sold to JP Morgan in 1999 at the height of the Asian financial crisis. Mando was almost bought by Hyundai in 2008, but a reformed Halla (which was bankrupted during the aforementioned crisis)

ultimately took back control of the supplier. (It is interesting to note that Halla was formed by Jeong In Yeong, brother of Jeong Ju Yeong, the founder of Hyundai Motor).

In 2000, it was estimated that Mando was delivering 83% of all company output to Hyundai, but by 2010 this figure had fallen to 57% as sales to other OEMs, including General Motors, increased. It is forecast that the portion of all Mando output sold to Hyundai will fall to 40% by 2015, as orders from Chinese OEMs are expected to increase. This, though, is still a considerable quantity of parts and Mando remains integral to vehicle assembly at Hyundai, particularly in the South Korean market.

Another supplier delivering to Hyundai from outside the group is LG Chem. The series battery technology developer and manufacturer is already delivering 1.4kWh lithium-polymer battery packs to Hyundai for production of the Sonata 'Blue Drive' hybrid saloon, and there is evidently more to come. According to reports, LG Chen has developed a 48V lithium-ion battery which will be used exclusively in future pure-electric versions of existing Hyundai SUV models. The higher voltage will help power the increasing number of onboard electrical systems, including stop-start, electric power steering and regenerative braking. These models are due for introduction in 2017.

Supplier companies from outside South Korea which play a key role in assembling Hyundai and Kia vehicles include PPG. Originally known as Pittsburgh Plate Glass, due to the production of hardened glass products, PPG has gone on to extend its product ranges, which now include a series of primers, basecoats and clearcoats used across a series of Hyundai and Kia assembly locations around the world.

Table 17: PPG products used acrobase)	oss Hyundai and Kia produ	ction (from the Suppl	ierBusiness Who S	upplies Whom data-
Supplier's Component Description	Brand Name	Model Name (Year)	Country	Plant
Basecoat	HYUNDAI	SONATA	UNITED STATES	MONTGOMERY
Basecoat	KIA	CEE'D	SLOVAKIA	ZILINA
Basecoat (color) paint layer	KIA	SORENTO	UNITED STATES	WEST POINT
Clearcoat	HYUNDAI	SONATA	UNITED STATES	MONTGOMERY
Clearcoat	KIA	CEE'D	SLOVAKIA	ZILINA
Electrocoat	HYUNDAI	SONATA	UNITED STATES	MONTGOMERY
Electrocoat	KIA	CEE'D	SLOVAKIA	ZILINA
LASD - Liquid Applied Sound Damper	KIA	SORENTO	UNITED STATES	WEST POINT
LASD - Liquid Applied Sound Dampner	HYUNDAI	SONATA	UNITED STATES	MONTGOMERY
Primer	HYUNDAI	SONATA	UNITED STATES	MONTGOMERY
Course IHC Automotive				

Another company based outside South Korea which plays an integral role in production across Hyundai and Kia is BorgWarner. With the company headquarters in Auburn Hills, Michigan, BW is a global leader in powertrain solutions, including gearboxes, clutches and turbochargers. While Hyundai now assembles most of the gearboxes and engines used across production at its own plants, various components, including auto and GVT transmission friction plates and engine timing chain systems are still delivered from the global supplier to most major Hyundai and Kia plants, including Ulsan (for production of the Sonata, Santa Fe and i20) and at Gwangju (Soul and Sportage).

Table 18: BorgWarner parts used across F database)	Hyundai and Kia p	roduction (from the Su	pplierBusiness WI	no Supplies Whom
Supplier's Component Description	Brand Name	Model Name (Year)	Country	Plant
6-spd AT: cam assy	HYUNDAI	SANTA FE (2011)	UNITED STATES	MONTGOMERY
6-spd AT: Friction / separator plate packs	HYUNDAI	SANTA FE (2011)	UNITED STATES	MONTGOMERY
6-spd AT: roller one way clutch	HYUNDAI	SANTA FE (2011)	UNITED STATES	MONTGOMERY
6-spd AT: Friction clutch pack assemblies	HYUNDAI	SONATA (2009)	UNITED STATES	MONTGOMERY
6-spd AT: Roller one-way clutch assembly	HYUNDAI	SONATA (2009)	UNITED STATES	MONTGOMERY
Balance Shaft Drive Chain System	HYUNDAI	SANTA FE (2012)	UNITED STATES	WEST POINT
Chain Engine Timing System on 1.0/1.2L Kappa engine options	HYUNDAI	XCENT (2014)	INDIA	IRUNGATTUKKOTTAI
Chain Timing System on 1.0/1.2L Kappa I3/I4	HYUNDAI	i10 (2013)	INDIA	IRUNGATTUKKOTTAI
Chain Timing System on Gamma 1.6L and Theta 2.0L	KIA	CERATO (2013)	MALAYSIA	GURUN

Interview

Jürgen Grimm, head of Powertrain Engineering at the Hyundai Motor Europe Technical Center

Julian Buckley speaks with Jürgen Grimm, head of Powertrain Engineering at the Hyundai Motor Europe Technical Center, located in Frankfurt Germany, about issues related to powertrain development across Hyundai and Kia the new T-Hybrid system, launched at the 2014 Paris motor show. The interview took place in October 2014.

I'd like to talk about the powertrain strategy between Kia and Hyundai in Europe. Is it correct to say that one concentrates on engines and another on transmissions? Who decides what gets developed on vehicles?

Jurgen Grimm: We are doing this in two ways. Once the guys from each brand decide which kind of models they need, they have to decide what is the proper technology and how we can share it between both brands. Another route is like the T-Hybrid system, where we think about which technologies are important for development and what needs to be introduced. Then we look at both brands to understand which models can use the technology; basically we are developing the same tech for both brands, but the refinement in both brands is somewhat different. Sometimes the brands decide which technology they want to launch first because it fits their products better.

Can we look at that from a technical standpoint and say what the differences are and how that manifests itself?

With our 1.6-litre turbo engines, we first offered these to Hyundai in the US, for the Veloster. Then we decided to bring it to Europe in a different performance reach; in the US we focused more on maximum power, and for Europe we focused more on driveability, which means we cut a little bit of the maximum power and reinforced the low end torque. For Kia we introduced the 1.6 turbo at the sister plant [Zilina, Slovakia] for the Procee'd GT. From our perspective, the driveability of that car should be the most focused issue, so we came back to the original performance level and combined that with a very short and aggressive transmission ratio. We also tuned the turbo more aggressively. This is how we tune it for different applications.

So tuning the engine to the target customer is part of differentiating Kia and Hyundai?

Yes, and you can see this in our latest technology study, the 48V T-Hybrid concept. On the Kia side we made this a full package, adding the electric supercharger to get more power output, better driveability, better dynamics, combined with a longer gear ratio to gain further CO_2 reductions. This is the full package. But we are very flexible, and can launch technologies for both brands with different application factors.

What do you think about emissions in general? Do you think it's worth the possible investment to comply with 50% CO₂ reductions which could be outlined in Euro 7 guidelines? Is it feasible?

It's always feasible. For Euro IV, we introduced the diesel particulate filter. For Euro V we learned to reduce the amount of precious metals in the systems so they became cheaper. What is important for us in the automotive industry is to have a relevant and reliable planning rate. We can't have a situation where every two years the targets are being changed and every country has different variations. With enough time, processes can be optimized and cost increases controlled.

So as long as you have enough lead time, the development can incorporate changes and improvements. Can we talk about the T-Hybrid and the background of the system?

From our side, three years ago we had everything that was required for the big market breakthrough, we had fuel cell, we had hybrid, we had a few electric cars, everything was there. But then everybody realised the big market breakthrough had still not happened.

What do you mean exactly with the big market breakthrough?

In Germany, there is a market for one million turbodiesels, and we wanted to tap into that. We are an automotive