

The use of Decision Analysis and Decision Trees in Paternity Testing in Forensic Medicine

Prof.Dr. Ergun Karaağaoğlu

*Hacettepe University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Biostatistics
Ankara, Turkey*

Meriç Çolak, Instructor

*Baskent University, School of Health and Health Care Management
Ankara, Turkey
meliscol@tr-net.net.tr*

Hüsniye Aydın, State Institute of Statistics Expert

*State Institute of Statistics
Necatibey cad. No:114 Yücepetepe
Ankara, Turkey*

1. Introduction

Paternity testing is very important in Forensic Medicine and in law. The subject of this study is to identify those tests; blood group systems, Rh systems, M-N types, C-c types, E-e types, S-s types, P blood groups, Kell blood types, Lu types, Duffy types, Kidd types; used in paternity in Forensic Medicine, which are more sensitive and specific. Decision trees for each test are constructed to get the resulting probabilities of cases. Three hundred and forty eight paternity testing cases were studied, among which 79 cases were identified as being non-fathers, the remaining 269 cases were labeled as being fathers.

2. Materials and Methods

The data is gathered from the records of Ankara University Dept. of Forensic Medicine, which covers paternity testing cases between 1968 to 1989. In our study, 348 cases, each consisting of a father, a mother and a child. were included and for each case the probability of occurrence of such an outcome (combinations of the considered 11 test results of the individuals within a case) is calculated for each test. Clinically, if a probability of zero is achieved in at least one of the 11 tests, paternity is rejected, otherwise paternity is accepted. In this study, the positivity criteria (cut-off point) is set at different probabilities, ranging from 0.20 to 0.80. We observed that, highest percentage of correct classification were around 0.20 for all of the tests. When 0.20 is taken as the cut-off point, sensitivities and specificities (false positives and false negatives) are calculated for each test.

3. Results

Sensitivities and Specificities and percentage of correct classification (accuracy) of each test for cut-off point 0.20 are given in Table 1. When we applied this decision criteria to our data set, the results are outlined in Table 2. Sensitivity of combined tests is 0.78 and the specificity is 1.00. Although false negative rate (FN=0.22) seems to be rather high, a probability of zero automatically labels the case as non-fathers, with 100% certainty. If the probability of any test is between 0.001 and 0.199, a false negative rate of 0.22 may be misleading. In such a case, we recommend a further test. If all tests result with probabilities greater than 0.20 (positive test result), with 100% certainty, paternity will be detected. (positive predictive value=ppv=1.00).

Table 1. Sensitivity, Specificity, Accuracy Values of 11 Test for cut-off value 0.20

| Tests | Accuracy | Sensitivity | Specificity |
|------------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Blood group | 0.72 | 0.82 | 0.42 |
| Rh systems | 0.77 | 0.96 | 0.13 |
| M-n types | 0.82 | 1.00 | 0.27 |
| C-c types | 0.80 | 1.00 | 0.22 |
| E-e types | 0.79 | 1.00 | 0.21 |
| S-s types | 0.77 | 1.00 | 0.25 |
| P blood group | 0.74 | 1.00 | 0.14 |
| Kell blood types | 0.71 | 1.00 | 0.02 |
| Lu types | 0.76 | 1.00 | 0.23 |
| Duffy types | 0.73 | 1.00 | 0.08 |
| Kidd types | 0.82 | 1.00 | 0.28 |

Table 2. Combined Test Results for cut-off value 0.20

| | | Clinic results | | | |
|--------------|---------------------------------|----------------|-------------|--------------|--|
| | | Nonfather 0 | Father 1 | Total | |
| Test results | Nonfather, prob. < 0.20 0 | 79 100 % | 59 22 % | 138 40 % | Sensitivity = 0.78 Specificity = 1.00 Predictive value positive =1.00 Accuracy = 0.83 |
| | Father, prob. ≥ 0.20 1 | 0 | 210 78 % | 210 60 % | |
| | Total | 79 22 % | 269 77 % | 348 100 % | |

In developing countries, expensive tests such as DNA test which is almost 100% accurate, are less frequently used in Forensic Medicine, such a decision criteria may be helpful in reducing costs. We believe that this study will offer a solution for paternity testing in Forensic Medicine.

REFERENCES

- Wiener A.S., Socha W.W. (1976). Methods available, for solving medicolegal problems of disputed parentage. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 2, 42-64.
- Petiti Diana.B.(1994). *Meta Analysis and Cost Effectiveness Analysis*. Oxford University Press.
- Ingelfinger Joseph.A., Mosteller, Frederic Thibodeau Lawrence, Ware James. (1994). *Biostatistics in Clinical Medicine*. Newyork.
- Kök Nezh, Kaur Kamil OSMAN, Yurtman Talat. (1993). 41 Babalık tayini vakasında mevcut 123 kişinin kan grubu sistemlerine göre dağılımı ve babalık reddinin sözkonusu olduğu 9 vakada kan grubu uyumsuzlukları. *Atatürk Üniversitesi Tıp bülteni*. 25(2), 191-198.

FRENCH RÉSUMÉ

Le sujet de cet étude, est identifier ces testes desservies en paternite chez la medecine judiciaire.Les arbres de décision pour chque teste sont construits pour les résultats de probabilités des cas.